

## MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR PERIODIC SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH SPECTRUM POINT ZERO

Dongdong Qin, Fangfang Liao and Yi Chen

**Abstract.** This paper is concerned with the following Schrödinger equation:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where the potential  $V$  and  $f$  are periodic with respect to  $x$  and 0 is a boundary point of the spectrum  $\sigma(-\Delta + V)$ . By a generalized variant fountain theorem and an approximation technique, for odd  $f$ , we are able to obtain the existence of infinitely many large energy solutions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the semilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $V(x) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a potential and  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nonlinear coupling which is superlinear as  $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ . As we know, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and nonlinearities has been widely investigated in the literature over the past several decades for both its importance in applications and mathematical interest, see, e.g., [1-3], [7-11] and [21-24]. It is well known (see, e.g., [13]) that the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator  $A = -\Delta + V$  is purely absolutely continuous and bounded below. There are many results on the existence and multiplicity of solutions for problem (1.1) depending on the location of 0 in  $\sigma(A)$ .

For the case of  $0 < \sigma(A)$ , Coti-zelati and Rabinowitz proved in [25] the existence of infinitely many solutions with  $f \in C^2$  and the so called Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superquadratic condition. In [9], under a general superlinear assumption and monotone

---

Received September 6, 2013, accepted January 6, 2014.

Communicated by Neil Trudinger.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35Q55, 58E05.

*Key words and phrases*: Schrödinger equation, Spectrum point zero, Infinitely many solutions.

condition on  $f$ , Li, Wang and Zeng obtained the existence of ground state solutions by concentration compactness argument. We also refer reader to [10] and [14-19] where the condition (AR) was replaced by more general superlinear assumptions.

A lot work has been done under assumption that 0 lies in a spectral gap of  $\sigma(A)$ . In [8], relying on a degree theory and a linking-type argument developed there, Kryszewski and Szulkin obtained a nontrivial solution under condition (AR) and infinitely many geometrically distinct nontrivial solutions with additional locally Lipschitzian assumption on  $f$  (see (A8) in [8]). The stronger results to date appear to be those of Szulkin and Weth [18], following the approach of Pankov [11], they proved the existence of ground state solutions under hypotheses weaker than those previously assumed. Yang [23] also obtained the same results using a different method (based on the approach of [16]) which is much simpler. In recent paper [2], using a generalized variant fountain theorem established there, Batkan and Colin proved the existence of infinitely many large energy solutions for (1.1).

As far as we know, there are only several papers deal with the case that 0 is a boundary point of the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$ . In [3], Bartsch and Ding obtained a nontrivial solution with condition (AR). Later, this result was improved by Willem and Zou in [22] by using an improved generalized weak link theorem. In [24], Yang, Chen, Ding proved a nontrivial solution under the following assumptions:

- (V1)  $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  is 1-periodic in  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ;
- (V2)  $0 \in \sigma(A)$  and there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $(0, \beta] \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$ ;
- (S1)  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \mathbb{R})$  is 1-periodic in  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and there exist constants  $c > 0$ ,  $2 < \mu \leq p < 2^*$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad |f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + |u|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$  if  $N \geq 3$  and  $2^* := +\infty$  if  $N = 1$  or  $2$ ;

- (S2)  $f(x, u) = o(u)$  as  $|u| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $x$ ;
- (S3) There exists constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$F(x, u) \geq c_0|u|^\mu, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $F(x, u)$  is the primitive function of  $f$ ;

- (S4)  $u \rightarrow \frac{f(x, u)}{|u|}$  is strictly increasing on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

To the best of our knowledge, there are no results concerning the existence of infinitely many large energy solutions for (1.1) with spectrum point zero, which is exactly what we will do in this paper with assumptions (V1), (V2) and (S2). Instead of (S1), (S3) and (S4), we give the following assumptions:

- (S1')  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \mathbb{R})$  is 1-periodic in  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and there exist constants  $c > 0$ ,

$2 < \mu \leq p < 2^*$  and  $a(x) \in L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad |f(x, u)| \leq c(1 + a(x)|u|^{p-1}), \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $2^* := 2N/(N - 2)$  if  $N \geq 3$  and  $2^* := +\infty$  if  $N = 1$  or  $2$ ;

(S3')  $f(x, u)u \geq 0, \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ , and there exists constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$F(x, u) \geq c_0 a(x)|u|^\mu, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R};$$

(S4') There exists a function  $W(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$F(x, u+v) - F(x, u) + \left[ \frac{(t-1)^2 u}{2} - tv \right] f(x, u) \geq W(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u, v \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, 1];$$

(S5)  $f(x, -u) = -f(x, u), \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, we are ready to state the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (S1'), (S2), (S3'), (S4') and (S5) are satisfied, then problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many large energy solutions in  $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$ .*

Obviously, condition (V2) implies that  $V$  can not be constant. Condition (S4') first introduced in [15] is weaker than the monotonicity condition (S4), which together with (S2) and (S3), by an standard argument (see [18]), implies that

$$F(x, u+v) - F(x, u) + \left[ \frac{(t-1)^2 u}{2} - tv \right] f(x, u) > 0, \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, t \in [0, +\infty).$$

One point we need to mention is that on multiple solutions for (1.1) with spectrum point zero, Bartsch and Ding obtained the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions with condition (AR) and the following assumption, see  $(g_4)$  in [3], i.e.,

$(g_4)$  There are constant  $a_3, \varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x, u, v$

$$|f(x, u+v) - f(x, u)| \leq a_3(|u|^{p-2} + |v|^{p-2} + |u|^{p-1})|v|, \quad \text{if } |v| \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $f$  is locally Lipschitzian with respect to  $u$  and can also be found in a similar fashion in [8] as condition (A8). Consequently,  $f(x, u) = f(x, 0) + \int_0^u f'_u(x, \xi)d\xi$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . It is therefore easy to see that  $(g_4)$  is equivalent to  $f$  being locally Lipschitzian in  $u$  and satisfying  $|f'_u(x, u)| \leq a_3(|u|^{p-2} + |u|^{p-1})$  for some  $a_3$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, u \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the derivative  $f'_u(x, u)$  exists.

At the end of this introduction, we state another result dealing with the case that 0 is a left end point of  $\sigma(A)$ , i.e. we replace (V2) by

(V2')  $0 \in \sigma(A)$  and there exists  $\beta > 0$  such that  $[-\beta, 0) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 1.2** *Suppose that (V1), (V2') hold and  $-f$  satisfies (S1'), (S2), (S3'), (S4') and (S5), then problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many large energy solutions in  $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$ .*

The proof of theorem 1.2 is analogous to theorem 1.1 working with  $-\Phi$  instead of  $\Phi$ , where functional  $\Phi$  is defined in Section 2.

Inspired by the above recent works and using an argument of concentration compactness type and an approximation technique (see e.g., [2], [3], [24]), we are able to obtain the existence of infinitely many large energy solutions for problem (1.1). This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the variational framework and main variational tool. In Section 3, the existence of critical points for functional  $\Phi$  restricted on suitable subspace of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is proved. In the last Section, The proof of theorem 1.1 is given.

## 2. VARIATIONAL SETTING

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $|\cdot|_s$  with the usual  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  norm for  $s \in [1, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ . For any  $s \in [2, 2^*]$ , by Soblev embedding theorem, there exists an embedding constant  $\gamma_s \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad |u|_s \leq \gamma_s \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proof of the main result are based on variational methods applied to the following functional:

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)|u|^2) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx = \frac{1}{2} (Au, u)_{L^2} - \Psi(u)$$

where and in the sequel  $\Psi(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx$ ,  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$  denote the inner product of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . The hypotheses on  $f(x, u)$  imply that  $\Phi \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla u \nabla v + V(x)uv) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and a standard argument shows that the critical points of  $\Phi$  are weak solutions of (1.1).

Under assumption (V1),  $A = -\Delta + V$  is a self-adjoint operator, acting on  $H := L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with domain  $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ . Setting  $H^- := P_0 H$  and  $H^+ := (id - P_0)H$ , where  $(P_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} : H \rightarrow H$  denote the spectral family of  $A$ , then we have the

orthogonal decomposition  $H = H^- + H^+$ . Let  $E = D(|A|^{\frac{1}{2}})$  be equipped with the inner product

$$(2.4) \quad (u, v) = (|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}u, |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}v)_{L^2}$$

and norm  $\|u\| = \||A|^{\frac{1}{2}}u\|_2$ . Then  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset E$  and we have the decomposition

$$E = E^- \oplus E^+, \quad u = Pu + Qu$$

with  $Pu \in E^-$  and  $Qu \in E^+$ , where  $E^\pm = E \cap H^\pm$  orthogonal with respect to both  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and the orthogonal projections are denoted by

$$(2.5) \quad P : E \rightarrow E^-, \quad Q : E \rightarrow E^+.$$

By (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|Qu\| - \frac{1}{2}\|Pu\| - \Psi(u), \quad \forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Since the spectrum of  $A$  restricted on  $H^+$  is contained in  $(\beta, +\infty)$ , the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  norm on  $E^+$ . But it is not true on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^- = H^-$  because of  $0 \in \sigma(A)$  as a right end point of  $\sigma(A)$ , thus the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is weaker than  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  norm and  $H^-$  is not complete with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ . Moreover, we can not look for solutions of (1.1) in the completion  $E$  of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  under norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , because  $\Psi(u)$  is not well defined due to our assumption on  $f(x, u)$ .

To solve this problem, we set

$$(2.7) \quad E_n^- := E^- \cap P_{-\frac{1}{n}}H \subset E^-, \quad E_n := E_n^- \oplus E^+ \subset E, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Since the spectrum of  $A$  restricted on  $E_n$  is bounded away from 0, the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  norm on  $E_n$ , i.e., there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad c_1\|u\|_E \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \leq c_2\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in E_n.$$

Denote orthogonal projection  $Q_n$  as follows

$$(2.9) \quad Q_n = P_{-\frac{1}{n}} + (id - P_0) : E \rightarrow E_n.$$

Then for any  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$(2.10) \quad Q_n u \rightarrow u \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ with respect to } \|\cdot\| \text{ and } |\cdot|_s, \quad 2 \leq s < 2^*.$$

Define another norm on  $E$

$$(2.11) \quad \|u\|_\mu := (\|u\|^2 + |u|_\mu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Let  $E_\mu^-$  be the completion of  $H^-$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ , then  $E_\mu := E_\mu^- \oplus E^+$  is the completion of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$ , moreover,  $E_\mu$  is a reflective Banach space such that  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset E_\mu \subset E$  and all norms  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_\mu$  are equivalent on  $E^+$  (see e.g., [3]), i.e.,

$$(2.12) \quad \|u\|_\mu \sim \|u\| \sim \|u\|_{H^1}, \quad \forall u \in E^+.$$

The following abstract critical point theorem plays an important role in proving our main results.

Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and has an orthogonal decomposition

$$X = Y \oplus Z, \quad Z = Y^\perp = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty \mathbb{R}e_j},$$

where  $\|e_j\| = 1$ ,  $Y \subset X$  is a closed and separable subspace. Let  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Q : X \rightarrow Z$  be the orthogonal projections and  $\{b_n\}_n \subset Y$  be an orthogonal base of  $Y$ . Define another norm on  $X$  by setting

$$(2.13) \quad \|u\|_\tau = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{|(Pu, b_i)|}{2^{i+1}}, \|Qu\| \right\}, \quad \forall u = Pu + Qu \in X.$$

The topology generated by  $\|u\|_\tau$  is called  $\tau$ -topology (see [8], [21]). Observe that  $\|Qu\| \leq \|u\|_\tau \leq \|u\|$  for all  $u \in X$ , moreover, if  $u_n$  is a bounded sequence in  $X$ , then

$$\|u_n - u\|_\tau \rightarrow 0 \iff Pu_n \rightarrow u, \quad Qu_n \rightarrow u.$$

For  $0 < r_k < \rho_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , define the following notations:

$$Y_k := Y \oplus (\bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j), \quad Z_k := \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^\infty \mathbb{R}e_j}, \quad B_k := \{Y_k : \|u\| \leq \rho_k\}$$

$\Gamma_k(\lambda) := \{ \gamma | \gamma : B_k \rightarrow X \text{ is odd, } \tau\text{-continuous and } \gamma|_{\text{tial}B_k} = id; \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u), \forall u \in B_k; \text{ For every } u \in \text{int}(B_k), \text{ there is a } \tau\text{-neighborhood } N_u \text{ in } Y_k \text{ such that } (id - \gamma)(N_u \cap \text{int}(B_k)) \text{ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of } X \}$ .

For any  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , functional  $\Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as follows

$$(2.14) \quad \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)) := L(u) - \lambda J(u), \quad \forall u \in E,$$

with the following assumptions:

- (A<sub>1</sub>)  $J(u) \geq 0$  for every  $u \in X$ ,  $L(u) \rightarrow \infty$  or  $J(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (A<sub>2</sub>)  $\Phi_\lambda$  is a  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous and  $\nabla \Phi_\lambda$  is weakly sequentially continuous,  $\forall \lambda \in [1, 2]$ .
- (A<sub>3</sub>)  $\Phi_\lambda$  maps bounded sets to bounded sets uniformly for  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$  and  $\Phi_\lambda(-u) = \Phi_\lambda(u)$ ,  $\forall (\lambda, u) \in [1, 2] \times X$ ;

The generalized variant fountain theorem is:

**Lemma 2.1.** [2]. *Under assumptions  $(A_1) - (A_3)$ , if there are  $0 < r_k < \rho_k$  such that  $b_k(\lambda) > a_k(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , then  $c_k(\lambda) > b_k(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ . Moreover, for a.e.  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , there exists a sequence  $\{u_k^n(\lambda)\}_n \subset X$  such that*

$$\sup_n \|u_k^n(\lambda)\| < \infty, \quad \Phi_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_k(\lambda), \quad \Phi'_\lambda(u_k^n(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$a_k(\lambda) := \sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|=\rho_k} \Phi_\lambda(u), \quad b_k(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|=r_k} \Phi_\lambda(u), \quad c_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)} \sup_{u \in B_k} \Phi_\lambda(\gamma(u)).$$

### 3. CRITICAL POINTS FOR $\Phi_n$

In this section, we assume that (V1), (V2), (S1'), (S2), (S3'), (S4') and (S5) are all satisfied. In order to apply Lemma 2.1, we consider the family of modified functionals  $\Phi_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &= \frac{1}{2}\|Qu\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\|Pu\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u)dx \\ (3.1) \quad &= \frac{1}{2}\|Qu\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}\|Pu\|^2 - \lambda\Psi(u), \quad \forall \lambda \in [1, 2]. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\Phi_{n,\lambda} = \Phi_\lambda|_{E_n}, \quad \Psi_n = \Psi|_{E_n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Then  $\Psi_n$  is well defined in  $E$ , moreover  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}, \Psi_n \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \Psi'_n(u), v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx, \quad \forall u, v \in E,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u), v \rangle = (Qu, Qv) - \lambda(Pu, Pv) - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)v dx.$$

Obviously,  $\Phi_{n,1}(u) = \Phi_n(u), \forall u \in E$ .

For  $0 < r_k < \rho_k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , we define

$$Y_k := E^- \oplus (\oplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j), \quad Z_k := \overline{\oplus_{j=k}^\infty \mathbb{R}e_j}, \quad B_k := \{u : \|u\| \leq \rho_k\}, \quad E = Y_k \oplus Z_{k+1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,k}(\lambda) &:= \sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|=\rho_k} \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u), \quad b_{n,k}(\lambda) = \inf_{u \in Z_k, \|u\|=r_k} \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u), \\ c_{n,k}(\lambda) &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)} \sup_{u \in B_k} \Phi_{n,\lambda}(\gamma(u)). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$  is of  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous and  $\nabla\Phi_{n,\lambda}$  is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover,  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$  maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

The proof of the preceding Lemma is standard (see for example [8, 21]).

**Lemma 3.2.** For any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and almost every  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , there exists a sequence  $\{u_k^m(\lambda)\}_m \subset E$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad \sup_m \|u_k^m(\lambda)\| < \infty, \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_k^m(\lambda)) \rightarrow c_{n,k}(\lambda), \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k^m(\lambda)) \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* By (S3'), (S5), (3.1) and Lemma 3.1, condition  $(A_1) - (A_3)$  are all satisfied, in order to use Lemma 2.1, we only need to prove  $b_{n,k}(\lambda) > a_{n,k}(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in [1, 2]$ .

**Step 1.** We claim that for every  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , there exists  $\rho_k > 0$  (independent of  $n$ ) big enough such that  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq 0$  for all  $u \in Y_k$ , with  $\|u\| = \rho_k$ . If  $k = 0$ , (S3') yields that  $F(x, t) \geq 0$  for any  $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ , so we have  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \leq 0$  for  $u \in E^-$ . If  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , Arguing by contradiction, suppose that there exist sequences  $\{\lambda_m\} \subset [1, 2]$ ,  $\{u_m\} \subset Y_k$ , with  $u_m = Qu_m + Pu_m, Pu_m \in E^-, Qu_m \in \oplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j$  such that

$$\Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m) \geq 0, \quad \|u_m\| \rightarrow \infty, \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $w_m = u_m / \|u_m\| = Pw_m + Qw_m$ , then

$$(3.5) \quad 1 = \|w_m\|^2 = \|Pw_m\|^2 + \|Qw_m\|^2$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \leq \frac{\Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m)}{\|u_m\|^2} = \frac{1}{2}\|Qw_m\|^2 - \frac{\lambda_m}{2}\|Pw_m\|^2 - \lambda_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_m)}{|u_m|^2} |w_m|^2 dx.$$

Then we deduce from (S3'), (3.5), (3.6) and the fact  $\lambda_m \in [1, 2]$  that

$$(3.7) \quad \|Pw_m\|^2 \leq \lambda_m \|Pw_m\|^2 \leq \|Qw_m\|^2 = 1 - \|Pw_m\|^2,$$

therefore

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \leq \|Pw_m\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \|Qw_m\| \leq 1.$$

Note that  $w_m$  is bounded,  $Qw_m \in \oplus_{j=0}^k \mathbb{R}e_j$  and all norms are equivalent in finite-dimensional vector space, then we may assume

$$w_m \rightharpoonup w = Pw + Qw, \quad Pw_m \rightharpoonup Pw, \quad Qw_m \rightarrow Qw.$$



By (3.8), then  $Qw \neq 0$  and  $|u_m| = \|u_m\| |w_m| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By (S3') and Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_m)}{|u_m|^2} |w_m|^2 dx \rightarrow \infty,$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By (3.6), this is a contradiction.

**Step 2.** For any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we certify that  $b_{n,k}(\lambda) > 0, \forall \lambda \in [1, 2]$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , by (S1') and (S2), there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad |f(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon |u| + C_\varepsilon a(x) |u|^{p-1}, \quad \forall (x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

and  $R_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad |a(x)|_{L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon)} = \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} |a(x)|^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}} dx \right\}^{\frac{2^*-p}{2^*}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad \Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid 0 \leq |x| \leq R_\varepsilon\}.$$

By (2.1), (2.12), (3.1), (3.10), (3.11) and the fact  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , for any  $u \in E^+$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \frac{\lambda \varepsilon}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx - \frac{\lambda C_\varepsilon}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |u|^p dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \varepsilon |u|_2^2 - \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(x) |u|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} a(x) |u|^p dx \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \|u\|^2 - \frac{2C_\varepsilon |a(x)|_\infty}{p} |u|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^p - \frac{2C_\varepsilon}{p} |u|_{2^*}^p |a(x)|_{L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \right) \|u\|^2 - \frac{2C_\varepsilon |a(x)|_\infty}{p} \beta_k^p \|u\|^p - \frac{2\varepsilon C_\varepsilon}{p} c_2^p \gamma_{2^*}^p \|u\|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c}{p} \beta_k^p \|u\|^{p-2} \right) \|u\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon := \min \left\{ \frac{1}{4c_2^2 \gamma_2^2}, \frac{\beta_k^p |a(x)|_\infty}{c_2^p \gamma_{2^*}^p} \right\}$ ,  $\beta_k := \sup_{v \in Z_k, \|v\|=1} |v|_{L^p_{loc}} > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $c$  is a positive constant. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.8 in [21], by (2.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k = 0.$$

For  $u \in Z_k$  with  $\|u\| = r_k$ , if we choose  $r_k := (c\beta_k^p)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$  (independent of  $n$ ), we have

$$b_{n,k}(\lambda) \geq \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) \geq \tilde{b}_k := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) (c\beta_k^p)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} > 0$$

and by (3.13),  $\tilde{b}_k \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Step 1 and step 2 imply that  $b_{n,k}(\lambda) > 0 \geq a_{n,k}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ . By Lemma 2.1, we get the conclusion. ■

Observe that,  $id \in \Gamma_k(\lambda)$ , by (3.1), (S3') and the definition of  $c_{n,k}(\lambda)$ , we know

$$c_{n,k}(\lambda) \leq \sup_{u \in Y_k, \|u\|=\rho_k} \Phi_n(u) \leq \tilde{c}_k := \frac{1}{2}\rho_k^2,$$

thus  $c_{n,k}(\lambda) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$ ,  $\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

The following result can be found in [3] as Lemma 2.1 and corollary 2.3, see also Lemma 3.1 in [22].

**Lemma 3.3.** ([3]).  $E_\mu$  embeds continuously into  $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , hence compactly into  $L_{loc}^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $2 \leq t < 2^*$ . Furthermore,  $E_\mu^-$  embeds continuously into  $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$ .  $Au \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $u \in E_\mu^-$ . On the other hand, if  $u \in E_\mu$  solves (1.1), then  $u(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** For any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and almost every  $\lambda \in [1, 2]$ , there exists  $u_k(\lambda) \in E \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(3.14) \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_k(\lambda)) = c_{n,k}(\lambda) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k], \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_k(\lambda)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{v_k^m(\lambda)\}_m \subset E_n$  be the sequence obtained in Lemma 3.2. Here for notational simplicity, throughout this paragraph, for any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set  $v_m = v_k^m(\lambda)$ . by (3.4), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \sup_m \|v_m\| < \infty, \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda}(v_m) \rightarrow c_{n,k}(\lambda), \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(v_m) \rightarrow 0.$$

If

$$\delta := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |Qv_m|^2 dx = 0$$

then by (2.12) and Lion's concentration compactness principle (see [21], Lemma 1.21), we have that  $Qv_m \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , for  $2 < s < 2^*$ . By (2.1), (2.8), (3.10), (3.15) and Hölder's inequality, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x, v_m)Qv_m| dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_m| |Qv_m| dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |v_m|^{p-1} |Qv_m| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon |v_m|_2 |Qv_m|_2 + C_\varepsilon |a(x)|_\infty |v_m|_p^{p-1} |Qv_m|_p \\ &\leq \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \|v_m\|^2 + C_\varepsilon c_2^{p-1} \gamma_p^{p-1} |a(x)|_\infty \|v_m\|^{p-1} |Qv_m|_p \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon$  is chosen arbitrarily. By (3.1), (3.3), (S3') and (3.15), we get

$$\Phi_{n,\lambda}(v_m) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|Qv_m\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(v_m), Qv_m \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v_m) Qv_m dx \rightarrow 0.$$

This contradicts with the fact that  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}(v_k^m) \geq \tilde{b}_k > 0$ , thus  $\delta > 0$ .

Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume the existence of  $k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , such that

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_m)} |Qv_m|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Define  $u_m(x) = v_m(x + k_m)$ , then

$$(3.16) \quad \int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |Qu_m|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

(V1) and (S1') imply that  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}$  and  $\Phi'_{n,\lambda}$  are invariant by above translation, hence by (3.15),

$$(3.17) \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_m) \rightarrow c_{n,k}(\lambda) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k], \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_m) \rightarrow 0,$$

and  $\|u_m\| = \|v_m\|$  is bounded. Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  in  $E_\mu$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.18) \quad u_m \rightarrow u, \quad \text{in } L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad 2 \leq s < 2^*$$

and  $u_m \rightarrow u$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . It then follows from (3.16) and (3.17) that  $Qu \neq 0$  in  $E_\mu \subset E$  and

$$(3.19) \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u) = 0.$$

By (2.1), (2.8), (3.10)–(3.12) and (3.18), for  $u$  restricted on  $E_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_m - u) dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(x, u_m - u)| dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_m - u|^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} a(x) |u_m - u|^p dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon} a(x) |u_m - u|^p dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \|u_m - u\|^2 + C_\varepsilon \|a(x)\|_\infty \|u_m - u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^p + C_\varepsilon \|u_m - u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon)}^p \|a(x)\|_{L^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-p}}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ & \leq \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 \|u_m - u\|^2 + o(1) + \varepsilon C_\varepsilon c_2^p \gamma_{2^*}^p \|u_m - u\|^p \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon$  is chosen arbitrarily. Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_m - u)(u_m - u) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the function  $s \mapsto F(x, s)$  satisfies the conditions of Brézis-Lieb Lemma (see [21], Lemma 1.32), it then follows that

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_m) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx.$$

Similarly we have

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_m)u_m dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)u dx.$$

Observe that

$$(3.22) \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda}(u_m) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Phi'_{n,\lambda}(u_m), u_m \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_m)u_m dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_m) dx.$$

Then, by (3.17), (3.20) and (3.21), taking the limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.22), we obtain

$$c_{n,k}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u)u dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u) dx$$

This implies, by (3.19), that  $\Phi_{n,\lambda}(u) = c_{n,k}(\lambda) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$ , thus (3.14) holds. ■

By Lemma 3.4, we directly obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there are sequences  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m \subset E \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\{\lambda_m\}_m \subset [1, 2]$  with  $\lambda_m \rightarrow 1$  such that*

$$(3.23) \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda_m}(u_k(\lambda_m)) = 0, \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_k(\lambda_m)) = c_{n,k}(\lambda_m) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k].$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *For any  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , there is a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &\geq \Phi(tQu) + \frac{t^2 \|Pu\|^2}{2} + \frac{1-t^2}{2} \langle \Phi'(u), u \rangle \\ &\quad + t^2 \langle \Phi'(u), Pu \rangle + C, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take  $v = (t-1)u - tPu$  in (S5), then we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F(x, tQu) - F(x, u) + \left( \frac{1-t^2}{2} u + t^2 Pu \right) f(x, u) \right] dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x) dx, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi(u) - \Phi(tQu) - \langle \Phi'(u), w \rangle \\ &= \frac{1-t^2}{2} \|Qu\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|Pu\|^2 - (Qu, Qw) + (Pu, Pw) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [F(x, tQu) - F(x, u) + f(x, u)w] dx, \quad \forall w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Take  $w = \frac{1-t^2}{2}u + t^2Pu$  in (3.26) and by (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(u) - \Phi(tQu) - \langle \Phi'(u), \frac{1-t^2}{2}u + t^2Pu \rangle \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2}\|Pu\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ F(x, tQu) - F(x, u) + \left( \frac{1-t^2}{2}u + t^2Pu \right) f(x, u) \right] dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.24) with  $C = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)dx$ . ■

**Lemma 3.7.** *The sequence  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  obtained in Lemma 3.4 is bounded.*

*Proof.* Here for notational simplicity, throughout this paragraph, for any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set  $u_m = u_k(\lambda_m)$ , then

$$(3.27) \quad \Phi'_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m) = 0, \quad \Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m) = c_{n,k}(\lambda_m) \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k].$$

Arguing by contradiction that  $\|u_m\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Define  $w_m = u_m/\|u_m\|$ , then  $\|w_m\| = 1$ . If

$$\delta := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |Qw_m|^2 dx = 0,$$

by (2.12) and Lion's concentration compactness principle (see [21], Lemma 1.21), we have  $Qw_m \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , for  $2 < s < 2^*$ . By (S1'), (2.1), (2.8) and (3.10), for any  $r > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.28) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, rQw_m) dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |rQw_m|^2 dx + C_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |rQw_m|^p dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon r^2 \|Qw_m\|_2^2 + r^p C_\varepsilon \|a(x)\|_\infty \|Qw_m\|_p^p \\ &\leq \varepsilon c_2^2 \gamma_2^2 r^2 \|Qw_m\|^2 + o(1) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\varepsilon$  is chosen arbitrarily. By (3.1) and (3.27), we get

$$0 \leq \frac{\Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m)}{\|u_m\|^2} = \frac{1}{2}\|Qw_m\|^2 - \frac{\lambda_m}{2}\|Pw_m\|^2 - \lambda_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, u_m)}{|u_m|^2} |w_m|^2 dx.$$

Then, by (S3') and the fact  $\{\lambda_m\} \subset [1, 2]$ , one has  $\|Qw_m\| \geq \|Pw_m\|$ . Observe that

$$1 = \|w_m\|^2 = \|Qw_m\|^2 + \|Pw_m\|^2$$

then  $\|Qw_m\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . By (3.27), (3.28) and take  $t = r/\|u_m\|$  in Lemma 3.6, then for  $m$  big enough, we have  $t \in [0, 1]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_k - C &\geq \Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m) - C \geq \Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(rQw_m) + \frac{r^2\|Pw_m\|^2}{2} \\ &= \frac{r^2\|Qw_m\|^2}{2} - \lambda_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, rQw_m) dx \geq \frac{r^2}{4} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a contradiction if we take  $r$  big enough, thus  $\delta > 0$ .

Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume the existence of  $k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , such that

$$\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(k_m)} |Qw_m|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Define  $v_m(x) = w_m(x + k_m)$ , then

$$(3.29) \quad \int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)} |Qv_m|^2 dx > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Since  $V(x)$  is periodic,  $\|v_m\| = \|w_m\| = 1$ . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $v_m \rightharpoonup v$  in  $E$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.30) \quad v_m \rightarrow v, \quad \text{in } L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

and  $v_m \rightarrow v$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . By (3.29),  $Qv \neq 0$ .

Now we define  $\tilde{u}_m(x) = u_m(x + k_m)$ , then  $\tilde{u}_m/\|u_m\| = v_m \rightarrow v$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $v \neq 0$  in  $E_n$ .

For  $x \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : v(y) \neq 0\}$ , we have  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{u}_m(x) = \infty$ . Hence, it follows from (3.1), (3.27), (S1'), (S3'), Fatou's lemma and the fact  $\{\lambda_m\} \subset [1, 2]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{n,k}(\lambda_m) + o(1)}{\|u_m\|^2} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_m)}{\|u_m\|^2} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|Qw_m\|^2 - \frac{\lambda_m}{2} \|Pw_n\|^2 - \lambda_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, \tilde{u}_m)}{\tilde{u}_m^2} v_m^2 dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, \tilde{u}_m)}{\tilde{u}_m^2} v_m^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(x, \tilde{u}_m)}{\tilde{u}_m^2} v_m^2 dx = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that  $\{u_m\}$  is bounded, i.e.  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  is bounded. ■

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  is the sequence obtained in Lemma 3.5, then for any fixed  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there exists  $c_{n,k} \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$  such that  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  is a (PS) $_{c_{n,k}}$  sequence for  $\Phi_n$ , i.e.,*

$$(3.31) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi'_n(u_k(\lambda_m)) = 0, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(u_k(\lambda_m)) = c_{n,k} \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k].$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7,  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  is bounded, then we obtain the conclusion from (3.23) and the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(u_k(\lambda_m)) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \Phi_{n,\lambda_m}(u_k(\lambda_m)) + (\lambda_m - 1) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|Pu_k(\lambda_m)\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x, u_k(\lambda_m)) dx \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \Phi'_n(u_k(\lambda_m)), v \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \langle \Phi'_{n, \lambda_m}(u_k(\lambda_m)), v \rangle + (\lambda_m - 1) \left[ -b(Pu_k(\lambda_m), v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, u_k(\lambda_m)) v dx \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $\|v\| \leq 1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.9.** *For any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there is  $v_k^n \in E_n \setminus \{0\}$  such that*

$$(3.32) \quad \Phi'_n(v_k^n) = 0, \quad \Phi_n(v_k^n) = c_{n,k} \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k].$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, we know  $\{u_k(\lambda_m)\}_m$  is a bounded  $(PS)_{c_{n,k}}$  sequence for  $\Phi_n$ . By a similar argument as in Lemma 3.4, we can prove that there exists  $v_k^n \in E_n \subset E \setminus \{0\}$ , such that  $\Phi'_n(v_k^n) = 0$  and  $\Phi_n(v_k^n) = c_{n,k} \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$ . ■

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , if  $v_k^n$  is the critical point obtained in Lemma 3.9 for  $\Phi_n$ , then  $\{v_k^n\}_n$  is bounded in  $E_\mu$ .*

*Proof.* By (3.32), there exists a sequence still denote by  $\{v_k^n\}_n$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi'_n(v_k^n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(v_k^n) = c_k$$

where  $c_k \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$ . Hence  $\{v_k^n\}_n$  is a  $(PS)_{c_k}^*$  sequence for  $\Phi$ . By a similar fashion as in the the proof of Lemma 3.7, we can prove that  $\{v_k^n\}_n$  is bounded in  $E$ . Thus by (2.11), we only need to prove  $\{|v_k^n|_\mu\}_n$  is bounded. Arguing by contradiction, suppose that  $|v_k^n|_\mu \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $w_k^n = v_k^n / |v_k^n|_\mu$ , by the boundedness of  $\{\|v_k^n\|\}_n$ , then we have  $|w_k^n|_\mu = 1$ ,  $\|w_k^n\| = \|v_k^n\| / |v_k^n|_\mu \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\{\|w_k^n\|\}_n$  is bounded, passing to a subsequence in necessary, we may assume  $w_k^n \rightharpoonup w_k$  in  $E_\mu$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $w_k^n \rightarrow w_k$  in  $L^t_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $2 \leq t < 2^*$ ,  $w_k^n \rightarrow w_k$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.7, we can prove that  $\{Qw_k^n\}_n$  is non-vanishing and passing to a  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -translation if necessary, we may assume that  $Qw_k^n \neq 0$ . Then  $|v_k^n| = |w_k^n| |v_k^n|_\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (S3'), (4.1) and Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\Phi_n(v_k^n)}{|v_k^n|_\mu^2} = \frac{1}{2} \|Qw_k^n\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|Pw_k^n\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x, v_k^n)}{|v_k^n|^2} |w_k^n|^2 dx \rightarrow -\infty,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradiction implies that  $\{v_k^n\}_n$  is bounded in  $E_\mu$ . ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Lemma 4.1,  $\{v_k^n\}_n \subset E_n$  is bounded in  $E_\mu$ . Going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume  $v_k^n \rightharpoonup v_k$  in  $E_\mu$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have  $v_k^n \rightarrow v_k$  in  $L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $2 \leq s < 2^*$  and  $v_k^n \rightarrow v_k$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By a similar fashion as in the the proof of Lemma 3.7, we can prove that  $\{Qv_k^n\}_n$  is non-vanishing and passing to a  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ -translation if necessary, we may assume that  $Qv_k \neq 0$ . For each  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , by (3.10), Lemma 3.3, and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v_k^n)(id - Q_n)\phi dx \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_k^n| |(id - Q_n)\phi| dx + C_\varepsilon |a(x)|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_k^n|^{p-1} |(id - Q_n)\phi| dx \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (Av_k^n, \phi)_{L^2} = (Av_k^n, Q_n\phi)_{L^2} \\ & = (\Phi'_n(v_k^n), Q_n\phi)_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v_k^n)\phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v_k^n)(id - Q_n)\phi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1) and (4.2), taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.3), we have

$$(Av_k, \phi)_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, v_k)\phi dx,$$

this implies  $v_k$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1). By the same fashion as in the the proof of Lemma 3.4, we can prove that  $\Phi(v_k) = c_k \in [\tilde{b}_k, \tilde{c}_k]$ . By Lemma 3.3, since  $\tilde{b}_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we know that problem (1.1) possesses infinitely many large energy solutions in  $H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $\mu \leq t \leq 2^*$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

1. S. Alama and Y. Y. Li, On multibump bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **41** (1992), 983-1026.
2. C. J. Batkam and F. Colin, On multiple solutions of a semilinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **84** (2013), 2677-2694.
3. T. Bartsch and Y. H. Ding, On a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, *Math. Ann.*, **313** (1999), 15-37.
4. T. Bartsch, A. Pankov and Z. Q. Wang, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with steep potential well, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **3(4)** (2011), 549-569.
5. Y. H. Ding and C. Lee, Multiple solutions of Schrödinger equations with indefinite linear part and super or asymptotically linear terms, *J. Differential Equations*, **222** (2006), 137-163.



6. Y. H. Ding, *Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems*, Interdiscip. Math. Sci., Vol. 7, World Scientific Publishing Co., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
7. L. Jeanjean, On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequence and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Peoc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **129** (1999), 787-809.
8. W. Kryszewski and A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equations, *Adv. Differential Equation*, **3** (1998), 441-472.
9. Y. Q. Li, Z. Q. Wang and J. Zeng, Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **23(6)** (2006), 829-837.
10. Z. L. Liu and Z. Q. Wang, On the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superlinear condition, *Adv. Nonlinear Studies*, **4** (2004), 561-572.
11. A. Pankov, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, *Milan J. Math.*, **73** (2005), 259-287.
12. D. D. Qin, X. H. Tang and J. Zhang, Multiple solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, **2013** (2013), No. 207, pp. 1-9.
13. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. IV, Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
14. P. H. Rabinowitz, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **43** (1992), 270-291.
15. M. Schechter, Superlinear Schrödinger operators, *J. Func. Anal.*, **262** (2012), 2677-2694.
16. M. Schechter and W. Zou, Weak linking theorems and Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **9** (2003), 601-619 (electronic).
17. M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
18. A. Szulkin and T. Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **257(12)** (2009), 3802-3822.
19. X. H. Tang, Infinitely many solutions for semilinear Schrödinger equation with sign-changing potential and nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **401** (2013), 407-415.
20. X. H. Tang, Ground state solutions for superlinear asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equations, submitting *Commun. Contemp. Math.*
21. M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
22. M. Willem and W. Zou, On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero, *Indiana. Univ. Math. J.*, **52** (2003), 109-132.
23. M. Yang, Ground state solutions for a periodic Schrödinger equation with superlinear nonlinearities, *Noli. Anal.*, **72** (2010), 2620-2627.

24. M. Yang, W. Chen and Y. Ding, Solutions for periodic Schrödinger equation with spectrum zero and general superlinear nonlinearities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **364**(2) (2010), 404-413.
25. Coti-Zelati and P. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a smilinear elliptic PDE on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **46** (1992), 1217-1269.

Dongdong Qin, Fangfang Liao and Yi Chen  
School of Mathematics and Statistics  
Central South University  
Changsha 410083, Hunan  
P. R. China  
E-mail: qindd132@163.com  
liaofangfang1981@126.com  
mathcyt@163.com