

## The Influence of Conjugacy Class Sizes on the Structure of Finite Groups

Ruifang Chen\* and Xianhe Zhao

Abstract. Let  $G$  be a group. The question of how certain arithmetical conditions on the sizes of the conjugacy classes of  $G$  influence the group structure has been studied by many authors. In this paper, we investigate the influence of conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements on the structure of  $G$ . A criterion for a group to have abelian Sylow subgroups is given and some well-known results on Baer-groups are generalized.

### 1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite. Let  $G$  be a group and  $x$  be an element in  $G$ . We use  $|x^G|$  to denote the conjugacy class size of  $x$  in  $G$  and  $x$  is said to be primary or biprimary if the order of  $x$  is a prime power or is divisible by exactly two distinct primes, respectively. Furthermore, we set  $\rho'(G) = \{p \mid p \text{ is a prime and } p \text{ divides } |g^G| \text{ for some primary or biprimary element } g \text{ in } G\}$  and  $\pi(G) = \{p \mid p \text{ is a prime and } p \text{ divides } |G|\}$ . If  $\pi$  is a set of primes contained in  $\pi(G)$ , we denote by  $\rho_{c\pi}(G) = |\{p \mid p \text{ is a prime and } p \text{ divides } |g^G| \text{ for some primary or biprimary } \pi\text{-element } g \text{ in } G\}|$ . All other notations are standard.

In finite group theory, a classic problem is to study how the set of conjugacy class sizes may determine properties of a group. For example, Burnside [3] showed that a group  $G$  can not be simple if there is a non-central element  $x$  in  $G$  such that  $|x^G|$  is a prime power and Itô [8] showed that if the conjugacy class sizes of elements in  $G$  are 1 and  $m$ , where  $m$  is an integer, then  $G$  is nilpotent,  $m = p^a$  for some prime  $p$  and  $G = P \times A$ , with  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $A \leq Z(G)$ .

Recently, many authors attempt to obtain some properties of a group by replacing conditions for all conjugacy class sizes by conditions referring to conjugacy class sizes of some elements, and some useful results have been already obtained (see, e.g., [7, 9, 10]).

---

Received December 3, 2015; Accepted November 25, 2016.

Communicated by Ching Hung Lam.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 20D25, 20D60.

*Key words and phrases*. conjugacy class size, Sylow subgroup, abelian.

The research of the work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1504101, 11501176), the Doctoral Research Foundation of Henan Normal University (5101019170127) and Henan Engineering Laboratory for Big Data Statistical Analysis and Optimal Control, School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Henan Normal University.

\*Corresponding author.

In this paper, we first attempt to investigate the relation between a group and the conjugacy class sizes of its primary or biprimary elements, and we get the following theorem, which generalizes some well-known results in [2, 5, 8].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -separable group, where  $\pi = \{p, q\}$  with  $p$  and  $q$  two distinct primes. If  $p, q \in \rho'(G)$  and  $pq$  does not divide  $|x^G|$  for any primary or biprimary element  $x$  in  $G$ , then  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ - and  $q$ -subgroups.*

On the other hand, inspired by a result of R. Baer [1], we investigate the structure of a group, the conjugacy class size of whose every primary or biprimary  $\pi$ -element is a prime power, where  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$ . In fact, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$ . Suppose that the conjugacy class size of every primary or biprimary  $\pi$ -element in  $G$  is a prime power. Then  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable and  $\rho_{c\pi}(G) \leq 2$ . Furthermore, one of the following two possibilities occurs:*

- (1) *the conjugacy class size of every primary or biprimary  $\pi$ -element in  $G$  is a power of  $q$ , where  $q$  is a prime and  $q \notin \pi$ . In this case,  $G$  has abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups.*
- (2)  *$G = H \times K$  with  $H$  and  $K$  a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup and a  $\pi$ -complement of  $G$ , respectively. Moreover,  $H$  has the following possibilities:*
  - (2a)  $H \leq Z(G)$ ;
  - (2b)  $H = H_1 \times H_2$ , where  $H_1$  is a non-abelian Sylow subgroup of  $H$  and  $H_2 \leq Z(G)$ ;
  - (2c)  $H = PQ \times T$ , with  $P$  and  $Q$  abelian Sylow  $p$ - and  $q$ -subgroups of  $G$  respectively,  $Q \trianglelefteq H$ ,  $P \cap P^h = O_p(H)$  for every  $h \in H - N_H(P)$  and  $T \leq Z(G)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Lemma 2.1.** [2, Lemma 7] *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -separable group. Then  $|x^G|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number for every  $\pi$ -element  $x$  in  $G$  if and only if  $G$  has abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups.*

**Lemma 2.2.** [6, Theorem 1] *Let  $G$  be a group acting transitively on a set  $\Omega$  with  $|\Omega| > 1$ . Then there exists a prime  $p$  and a  $p$ -element  $x$  in  $G$  such that  $x$  acts without fixed points on  $\Omega$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $\bigcup_{g \in G} H^g$  contains all primary elements of  $G$ , then  $G = H$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\Omega = \{H^g \mid g \in G\}$ . Then the conjugacy action of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is transitive. Suppose to the contrary that  $H < G$ , then  $|\Omega| > 1$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists a prime  $p$  and a  $p$ -element  $x$  in  $G$  such that  $x$  acts without fixed points on  $\Omega$ , whence  $H^{g^x} \neq H^g$

for any  $g \in G$ . However, by hypothesis, there exists  $H^h$  such that  $x \in H^h$ , and thus  $H^{hx} = H^h$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** [5, Lemma 1.1] *Let  $G$  be a group and  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $x \in N$  and  $y \in G$ , then*

- (1)  $|x^N| \mid |x^G|$ ;
- (2)  $|(yN)^{G/N}| \mid |y^G|$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In [8], the following theorem is given.

**Theorem 3.1.** [8, Proposition 5.1] *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p, q$  be two distinct primes. If  $pq$  does not divide  $|x^G|$  for any  $x \in G$ , then  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ - or  $q$ -subgroups.*

By just investigating  $\{p, q\}$ -elements of  $G$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -separable group, where  $\pi = \{p, q\}$  with  $p$  and  $q$  two distinct primes. If  $pq$  does not divide  $|x^G|$  for any  $\pi$ -element  $x$  in  $G$ , then  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ - or  $q$ -subgroups.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is a  $\pi$ -group, then the theorem is true by Theorem 3.1. So we may assume that  $G$  is not a  $\pi$ -group. Since  $\pi = \{p, q\}$  and  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable, a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  is solvable. Therefore,  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $q$ -solvable. Furthermore, we may assume that  $p \mid |x^G|$  for some  $p$ -element  $x$  and  $q \mid |y^G|$  for some  $q$ -element  $y$ . For otherwise, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $p$  does not divide the conjugacy class size of any  $p$ -element in  $G$ , then  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups by Lemma 2.1, and the theorem is true.

From the above paragraph, we may choose  $x$  to be a  $p$ -element in  $G$  such that  $p$  divides  $|x^G|$ . Then  $q$  does not divide  $|x^G|$  by the hypothesis. Therefore, there exists a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $Q \leq C_G(x)$ . For every element  $y \in Q$ , we have  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ , and thus both  $|x^G|$  and  $|y^G|$  divide  $|(xy)^G|$ . So  $p$  divides  $|(xy)^G|$ . The hypothesis implies that  $q$  does not divide  $|y^G|$ . Again by Lemma 2.1, we see that the Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $G$  are abelian and the proof of this theorem completes.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -separable group, where  $\pi = \{p, q\}$  with  $p, q$  two distinct primes. If  $pq$  does not divide  $|x^G|$  for any primary or biprimary element  $x$  in  $G$ , then  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ - or  $q$ -subgroups.*

Now, we come to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It is obvious that  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $q$ -solvable.

By Corollary 3.3, we may assume that the Sylow  $q$ -subgroups of  $G$  are abelian. Suppose that  $G$  is a minimal counterexample with the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups non-abelian and we will provide a contradiction by the following two steps. Let  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $H$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup and a  $q$ -complement of  $G$ , respectively.

*Step 1:*  $O_{q'}(G) \neq 1$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $O_{q'}(G) = 1$ , then  $O_q(G) \neq 1$  since  $G$  is  $q$ -solvable. Therefore,  $Q \leq C_G(O_q(G)) \leq O_q(G)$  since  $Q$  is abelian, and thus  $Q = O_q(G) \trianglelefteq G$ . This follows that  $C_G(Q) = Q$ . For every primary element  $x$  in  $G$ , since  $pq$  does not divide  $|x^G|$ , we see that  $C_G(x)$  contains a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup or a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore, there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $x \in C_G(P^g) \cup C_G(Q) = C_G(P)^g \cup Q \subseteq C_G(P)^g Q = (C_G(P)Q)^g$ . It follows that every primary element of  $G$  is contained in  $\bigcup_{g \in G} (C_G(P)Q)^g$ . So  $G = C_G(P)Q$  by Lemma 2.3. It is easy to get that  $P \leq C_G(P)$ , and thus  $P$  is abelian, which is a contradiction.

*Step 2:* The contradiction.

Since  $O_{q'}(G) \neq 1$  by Step 1, we can choose  $N$  to be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  which is contained in  $H$ . We use  $\overline{G}$  to denote the group  $G/N$ . Then  $pq$  does not divide the conjugacy class size of any primary or biprimary element in  $\overline{G}$  by Lemma 2.4. If  $p \notin \rho'(\overline{G})$ , then  $\overline{G}$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups by Lemma 2.1, and so does  $G$ , which is a contradiction. If  $q \in \rho'(\overline{G})$ , then  $\overline{G}$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups since  $G$  is a minimal counterexample, which also yields to the contradiction that  $G$  has abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroups. Therefore,  $q \notin \rho'(\overline{G})$ . It follows that the Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\overline{G}$ , say  $\overline{Q}$  is contained in  $Z(\overline{G})$ , and  $\overline{G} = \overline{Q} \times \overline{H}$ . Therefore,  $H$  is normal in  $G$  and  $[G, QN] \leq N$ . Since  $[G, Q] > 1$ , we see that  $1 < [G, QN]$  and  $[G, QN]$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Notice that  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , we have that  $[G, QN] = N$ . Let  $C = \bigcup_{n \in N} C_N(Q)^n$ . Since  $QN \trianglelefteq G$ , for every element  $g \in G$ , there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $Q^g = Q^n$ , so  $C = \bigcup_{g \in G} C_N(Q)^g$ . If  $C = N$ , then  $C_N(Q) = N$ . Since  $[Q, H] \leq [G, QN] = N$ , we conclude that  $Q$  acts trivially on  $H/N$ . Therefore,  $(hN)^w = hN$  for every  $h \in H$  and  $w \in Q$ , so there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $h = h^w n$ . Let  $|w| = t$ . Then  $h = hn^t$ . It follows that  $n = 1$  since  $(|w|, |n|) = 1$ , and thus  $Q$  acts trivially on  $H$ . Now we have that  $Q \leq Z(G)$ , which is a contradiction. So  $C < N$ . Let  $y$  be a primary element in  $N - C$  such that  $q$  divides  $|y^G|$ . Then the hypothesis implies that  $p$  does not divide  $|y^G|$ . Choose  $P^v$  to be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  which is contained in  $C_G(y)$ . Since  $P^v$  is not abelian, there exists  $z \in P^v$  such that  $p$  divides  $|z^G|$ . Therefore,  $pq$  divides  $|(yz)^G|$ , which is a contradiction. □

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In [1], R. Baer took interest in a group whose conjugacy class size of every primary element is a prime power, and we call such a group a Baer-group. In the same paper, he gave the structure of such a group. In 1998, A. R. Camina and R. D. Camina [4] investigated  $q$ -Baer groups, the conjugacy class sizes of whose  $q$ -elements, for just one prime  $q$ , are prime powers. Later, A. Beltrán and M. J. Felipe [2] investigated the structure of a group  $G$  if the conjugacy class sizes of its  $\pi$ -elements are prime powers, where  $\pi$  is a set of primes.

In the rest of this paper, we are just interested in the conjugacy class sizes of primary or biprimary  $\pi$ -elements for some primes set  $\pi$ , and we obtain Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* If  $|\pi| = 1$ , then the theorem is true by [4, Theorem A]. Therefore, we can suppose that  $|\pi| > 1$ . Furthermore, we can assume that  $G$  does not have central Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup. Since  $G$  is not simple, the hypothesis holds for every proper normal subgroup and every factor group of  $G$ , and thus every proper normal subgroup and every factor group of  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable by induction. It follows that  $G$  is  $\pi$ -separable.

First suppose that there exists a prime  $p \in \pi$  and a  $p$ -element  $x \in G$  such that  $|x^G|$  is a power of  $q$  with  $q$  a prime not in  $\pi$ . Then  $C_G(x)$  contains a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $R$  of  $G$  for every prime  $r \in \pi - p$ . If  $y \in R$ , then  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ . Since  $|(xy)^G|$  is a prime power and  $|x^G|$  is a power of  $q$ , we see that  $|y^G|$  is also a power of  $q$ . On the other hand, the conjugacy class size of every  $p$ -element is a power of  $q$  by [4, Theorem 2]. Therefore,  $G$  has abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups by [11, Theorem 3.3].

Now, suppose that the conjugacy class size of every primary or biprimary  $\pi$ -element in  $G$  is a  $\pi$ -number. Then by [11, Theorem 3.1],  $G = H \times K$  with  $H$  a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $K$  a  $\pi$ -complement of  $G$ , respectively. Therefore, the conjugacy class size of every primary element of  $H$  is a prime power by Lemma 2.4. It follows that  $H$  is a Baer-group, and thus  $H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_t$  such that  $(|H_i|, |H_j|) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$  and that if  $H_i$  is not of prime power order, then the order of  $H_i$  is divisible by exactly two different primes and its Sylow subgroups are abelian by [1, Theorem]. If two direct factors, say  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , are not contained in  $Z(G)$ , then we can choose  $x \in H_1$  and  $y \in H_2$ . It follows that  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ , and thus both  $|x^G|$  and  $|y^G|$  divide  $|(xy)^G|$ , which contradicts the fact that  $|(xy)^G|$  is a prime power. Therefore, there is at most one direct factor of  $H$  which is not contained in  $Z(G)$ . Now we conclude that the conjugacy class size of every  $\pi$ -element of  $H$  is a prime power, and thus  $H$  has the described structure by [5, Theorem 2]. □

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group. Then the conjugacy class size of every primary or biprimary element of  $G$  is a prime power if and only if the conjugacy class size of every element of  $G$  is a prime power.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi = \pi(G)$  in Theorem 1.2. Then  $G$  is abelian or  $G = H \times K$ , where  $(|H|, |K|) = 1$ ,  $K \leq Z(G)$  and  $H$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $G$  or  $|H|$  is divisible by exactly two different primes and its Sylow subgroups are abelian. In particular, the conjugacy class size of every element in  $G$  is a prime power.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Let  $n > 1$  be a natural number and  $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{a_i}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct primes and  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We define  $\sigma(n) = k$ . Furthermore, for a group  $G$ , we set  $\sigma'(G) = \max_{g \in G^*} \sigma(|g^G|)$ , where  $G^* = \{g \in G \mid g \text{ is a primary or biprimary element}\}$ . We see that  $G$  is solvable if  $\sigma'(G) = 1$ . However, we cannot derive that  $G$  is solvable, or we cannot even have that  $G$  is non-simple if  $\sigma'(G) = 2$  since  $G = A_5$  is an example.

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the reviewer for his/her helpful suggestion.

### References

- [1] R. Baer, *Group elements of prime power index*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1953), no. 1, 20–47. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1990777>
- [2] A. Beltrán and M. José Felipe, *Prime powers as conjugacy class lengths of  $\pi$ -elements*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **69** (2004), no. 2, 317–325. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0004972700036054>
- [3] W. Burnside, *On groups of order  $p^\alpha q^\beta$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **s2-1** (1904), no. 1, 388–392. <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-1.1.388>
- [4] A. R. Camina and R. D. Camina, *Implications of conjugacy class size*, J. Group Theory **1** (1998), no. 3, 257–269. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth.1998.017>
- [5] D. Chillag and M. Herzog, *On the length of the conjugacy classes of finite groups*, J. Algebra **131** (1990), no. 1, 110–125. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(90\)90168-n](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(90)90168-n)
- [6] B. Fein, W. M. Kantor and M. Schacher, *Relative Brauer groups II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 39–57. <https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.1981.328.39>
- [7] X. Guo, X. Zhao and K. P. Shum, *On  $p$ -regular  $G$ -conjugacy classes and the  $p$ -structure of normal subgroups*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), no. 6, 2052–2059.
- [8] N. Itô, *On finite groups with given conjugate types I*, Nagoya Math. J. **6** (1953), 17–28. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0027763000016937>

- [9] Q. Kong and X. Guo, *On an extension of a theorem on conjugacy class sizes*, Israel J. Math. **179** (2010), 279–284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-010-0082-1>
- [10] X. Zhao and X. Guo, *On conjugacy class sizes of the  $p'$ -elements with prime power order*, Algebra Colloq. **16** (2009), no. 4, 541–548.  
<https://doi.org/10.1142/s1005386709000510>
- [11] X. H. Zhao, X. Y. Guo and J. Y. Shi, *On the conjugacy class sizes of prime power order  $\pi$ -elements*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **35** (2011), no. 4, 735–740.

Ruifang Chen and Xianhe Zhao

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Henan  
453007, China

*E-mail address:* fang119128@126.com, zhaoxianhe989@163.com