

The A_α -spectral Radius and $[a, b]$ -factors in Graphs

Yonglei Chen, Fei Wen* and Jing Ha

Abstract. Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the adjacency matrix and the degree matrix of G , respectively. For any real $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, Nikiforov [12] defined the matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ as

$$A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G).$$

An $[a, b]$ -factor of a graph G is a spanning subgraph H such that $a \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for any $v \in V(G)$, where a and b are positive integers. In this paper, we give an upper bound of A_α -spectral radius of graphs with unique perfect matching, and then present A_α -spectral conditions for the existence of an $[a, b]$ -factor in a graph. Our results extend the result of Fan et al. in [4] for the unique perfect matching and $[a, b]$ -factor of graphs, and that of Zhao et al. in [16] for a $[1, b]$ -odd factor of graphs.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs considered are simple connected and undirected. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Let $A(G)$ and $D(G)$ be the *adjacency matrix* and the *diagonal matrix* of vertex degrees of G , respectively. We write $d_G(v)$, i.e., $d(v)$, for the *degree* of the vertex $v \in V(G)$, $N_G(v)$ for the *neighbor set* of the vertex $v \in V(G)$, and $N_G[v]$ for $\{v\} \cup N_G(v)$. For any real $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, Nikiforov [12] defined the matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ as $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$. It is easy to see that $A_0(G) = A(G)$, $A_1(G) = D(G)$ and $2A_{1/2}(G) = Q(G)$, where $Q(G)$ is the *signless Laplacian matrix*. Moreover, $L(G) = (A_\alpha(G) - A_\beta(G))/(\alpha - \beta)$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$, where $L(G)$ is the *Laplacian matrix*. The A_α -*spectral radius* of G is the largest eigenvalue of $A_\alpha(G)$, and denoted by $\rho_\alpha(G)$. The largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$, denoted by $\rho(G)$, is called the *spectral radius* of G . Obviously, $\rho_\alpha(G) = \rho(G)$ if $\alpha = 0$.

The *join* and *disjoint union* of graphs are denoted by the symbols ∇ and \cup , respectively. A *matching* M of G is a subset of $E(G)$ such that any two edges of M have no common vertices. Moreover, if M covers all vertex of G then it is said to be a *perfect matching* or a *1-factor*. Suppose that G_1 is an empty graph with vertex set $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$,

Received April 2, 2023; Accepted March 4, 2024.

Communicated by Daphne Der-Fen Liu.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05C50.

Key words and phrases. A_α -spectral radius, perfect matching, $[a, b]$ -factors.

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11961041, 12261055) and Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province, China (Grant No. 21JR11RA065).

*Corresponding author.

and G_2 is a complete graph with vertex set $W = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Let $G(2n, 1)$ be the graph of order $2n$ obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by letting $N_{G_2}(u_i) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Clearly, $G(2n, 1)$ contains a unique perfect matching. More recently, Fan, Lin and Lu [4] determined the graph $G(2n, 1)$ attaining the maximum spectral radius among all graphs of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching, and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.1. [4, Theorem 1.1] *If G is a connected graph of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching, then $\rho(G) \leq \rho(G(2n, 1))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G(2n, 1)$.*

Let $A_\alpha(G)$ be the A_α -matrix of G , and $\rho_\alpha(G)$ be the A_α -spectral radius of G . Inspired by the result of Theorem 1.1, we extend this result by giving the graph attaining the maximum A_α -spectral radius among all graphs of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching, and have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If G is a connected graph of order $2n$ with a unique perfect matching, then $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G(2n, 1))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G(2n, 1)$.*

In 2021, Zhao, Huang and Wang [16] provided a lower bound for the A_α -spectral radius $\rho_\alpha(G)$ which guarantees the existence of a perfect matching in a connected graph G . Let

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ 14 & \text{if } 1/2 < \alpha \leq 2/3, \\ 5/(1 - \alpha) & \text{if } 2/3 < \alpha < 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. [16, Theorem 3] *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, and let G be a connected graph of even order n with $n > f(\alpha)$. If $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1))$, then G has a perfect matching unless $G = K_1 \nabla (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$, where $\rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1))$ is equal to the largest root of $x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n + \alpha - 4)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 2)n - 10\alpha^2 + 15\alpha - 8 = 0$.*

A spanning subgraph H is an $[a, b]$ -factor of a graph G if $a \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$, where a and b are positive integers. Especially, when $a = b = 1$, a $[1, 1]$ -factor of G is also called a perfect matching or a 1-factor of G . Moreover, a spanning subgraph H is a $[1, b]$ -odd factor of a graph G if $d_H(v)$ is odd and $1 \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$. In this paper, we extend the result of Theorem 1.3 by proving that the lower bound $\rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b + 1)K_1))$ can guarantee the existence of a $[1, b]$ -odd factor where $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, and let G be a connected graph of even order n with $n > b + 2 + \alpha + \frac{2(b+1)(b+2-\alpha)^2}{b}$. If $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b + 1)K_1))$, then G has a $[1, b]$ -odd factor unless $G = K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$, where $\rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1))$*

is equal to the largest root of $x^3 - ((\alpha + 1)n - b + \alpha - 3)x^2 + (\alpha n^2 + (\alpha^2 - \alpha b - \alpha - 1)n - 2\alpha + 1)x - \alpha^2 n^2 + (3\alpha^2 + 2\alpha^2 b - \alpha - 2\alpha b + b + 1)n - \alpha^2 b^2 - 5\alpha^2 b - 4\alpha^2 + 2\alpha b^2 + 8\alpha b + 5\alpha - b^2 - 4b - 3 = 0$.

Motivated by the theorem above, we pose a problem below.

Problem 1.5. Let $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ and G be a connected graph of even order n . Investigate the lower bound of $\rho_\alpha(G)$ to guarantee the existence of a $[1, b]$ -odd factor.

In the past decade, more researchers have presented different conditions for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor. Li and Cai [11] gave a degree condition that if $\delta(G) \geq a$, and for any two nonadjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, $\max\{d_G(u), d_G(v)\} \geq \frac{an}{a+b}$, then G has an $[a, b]$ -factor. Li [10] provided the neighborhood condition that G has an $[a, b]$ -factor if $\delta(G) \geq (k-1)a$, $n \geq \frac{(a+b)(k(a+b)-2)}{b}$ and $|N_G(v_1) \cup N_G(v_2) \cup \dots \cup N_G(v_k)| \geq \frac{an}{a+b}$ for any independent subset $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ of $V(G)$. Kouider and Lonc [8] gave sufficient conditions, which involve the minimum degree, the stability number and the connectivity of a graph. Chen [2] presented some sufficient conditions on the binding number and the minimum degree for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor. Cho and Park [3] gave counterexamples of Matsuda’s conjecture and proposed the following conjecture about adjacent spectral lower bound for a graph to have an $[a, b]$ -factor.

Conjecture 1.6. [3, Conjecture 4.4] *Let $a \cdot n$ be an even integer at least 2, where $n \geq a + 1$. If G is a graph of order n with $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a})$ where $H_{n,a} = K_{a-1} \nabla (K_1 \cup K_{n-a})$, then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.*

Recently, Fan, Lin and Lu [4] confirmed Conjecture 1.6 for $n \geq 3a + b - 1$ and gave the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. [4, Theorem 1.3] *Let a, n be two positive integers such that $a \cdot n$ is even, and let $b \geq a \geq 1$. If G is a graph of order $n \geq 3a + b - 1$ with $\rho(G) > \rho(H_{n,a})$, then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.*

Later, Wei and Zhang [15] have completely proved that Conjecture 1.6 is true. Enlightened by the results above, we give an A_α -spectral condition to ensure that G has an $[a, b]$ -factor. Moreover, it also extends the result of Theorem 1.7.

For convenience, suppose that a and b are two positive integers, and set $t = \lceil \frac{an}{a+b} \rceil - 1$. For $1 \leq a \leq 2$, let

$$f_1(\alpha) = 3a + b - 1.$$

For $a \geq 3$, let

$$f_1(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ 3a + b - 1, 2t + 1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right\} & \text{if } 3/4 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } b > a, \\ 3a + b - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, we define

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{cases} K_t \nabla (K_1 \cup K_{n-t-1}) & \text{if } 3/4 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and } b = a, \\ H_{n,a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.8. *Let a, n be two positive integers such that $a \cdot n$ is even, and let $b \geq a \geq 1$. If G is a graph of order $n \geq f_1(\alpha)$ with $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Firstly, we give some lemmas that will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [9, Lemma 2.1], [13] *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and G be a connected graph with $uv_i \in E(G)$ and $wv_i \notin E(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $G' = G - \{uv_i\} + \{wv_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and \mathbf{x} be a unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. If $x_w \geq x_u$, then $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$.*

An edge uv in graph G is said to be a *cut edge* if $\omega(G - uv) > \omega(G)$, where $\omega(G)$ denotes the number of the components of G .

Lemma 2.2. [7] *Let G be a connected graph with a unique perfect matching. Then G contains a cut edge uv that is an edge of the perfect matching of G .*

Lemma 2.3. [6, Lemma 8.7.2, p. 177] *If M_1 and M_2 are two nonnegative $n \times n$ matrices such that $M_1 - M_2$ is nonnegative, then*

$$\rho(M_1) \geq \rho(M_2),$$

where $\rho(M_i)$ is the spectral radius of M_i for $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.4. [12, Proposition 14] *For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, let G be a graph, and \mathbf{x} a nonnegative eigenvector to $\rho_\alpha(G)$:*

- (i) *If G is connected, then \mathbf{x} is positive and is unique up to scaling;*
- (ii) *If G is disconnected and P is the set of vertices with positive entries in \mathbf{x} , then the subgraph induced by P is a union of components H of G with $\rho_\alpha(H) = \rho_\alpha(G)$;*
- (iii) *If G is connected and μ is an eigenvalue of $A_\alpha(G)$ with a nonnegative eigenvector, then $\mu = \rho_\alpha(G)$;*
- (iv) *If G is connected, and H is a proper subgraph of G , then $\rho_\alpha(H) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.*

Let $G[S]$ be the subgraph of G induced by S for any $S \subseteq V(G)$. If $d_G(u) \geq 2$ and $d_G(v) = 1$, then uv is called *pendant edge*, where $uv \in E(G)$. From Lemma 2.4, we noticed that \mathbf{x} is positive if G is a connected graph, where \mathbf{x} is a nonnegative eigenvector corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. In other words, if G is a connected graph, then one can set \mathbf{x} as a positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. We now give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that G is a connected graph of order $2n$, which has a unique perfect matching M . According to Lemma 2.2, there is a cut edge u_0v_0 in M . Then one can deduce that $G - u_0v_0$ is consisted of two odd components, and the edges of each component in M are unique. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ be the positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $x_{u_0}^{(0)} \geq x_{v_0}^{(0)}$. Let

$$G_1 = G - \{v_0w : w \in N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}\} + \{u_0w : w \in V(G) \setminus N_G[u_0]\}.$$

Clearly, we can see that G_1 also has a unique perfect matching, say M_1 . Let $H = G - \{v_0w : w \in N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}\} + \{u_0w : w \in N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}\}$. If $(N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}) \subseteq (N_G(u_0) \setminus \{v_0\})$, then $H = G$, which implies that $\rho_\alpha(H) \leq \rho_\alpha(G)$. Otherwise, there exists a vertex w such that $w \in N_G(v_0) \setminus \{u_0\}$ and $w \notin N_G(u_0) \setminus \{v_0\}$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\rho_\alpha(G) < \rho_\alpha(H)$. Consequently, $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(H)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong H$. Meanwhile, by Lemma 2.3 it deduces that $\rho_\alpha(H) \leq \rho_\alpha(G_1)$, with equality if and only if $H \cong G_1$. Thus, one can obtain $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G_1)$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G_1$.

Let $S_1 = V(G_1) - \{u_0, v_0\}$. Note that u_0v_0 is a pendant edge of G_1 and $u_0v_0 \in M_1$. We have that the induced graph $G_1[S_1]$ also contains a unique perfect matching, i.e., $M_1 \setminus \{u_0v_0\}$. From the definition of $G_1[S_1]$, it is easy to see that each component of $G_1[S_1]$ has a unique perfect matching. Again by Lemma 2.2, there is a cut edge u_1v_1 in some component of $G_1[S_1]$ that is contained in $M_1 \setminus \{u_0v_0\}$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$ be the positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_1)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_1)$. Assume that $x_{u_1}^{(1)} \geq x_{v_1}^{(1)}$. Let

$$G_2 = G_1 - \{v_1w : w \in N_{G_1[S_1]}(v_1) \setminus \{u_1\}\} + \{u_1w : w \in S_1 \setminus N_{G_1[S_1]}[u_1]\}.$$

Clearly, G_2 also has a unique perfect matching. Similar to that before, from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we get $\rho_\alpha(G_1) \leq \rho_\alpha(G_2)$, with equality if and only if $G_1 \cong G_2$.

By repeating this process, one can construct a sequence of graphs $G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n-1}$, which have a unique perfect matching:

(i) $G_0 = G$;

(ii) for $i \in [0, n - 2]$, let $S_i = V(G_i) - \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ and

$$G_{i+1} = G_i - \{v_iw : w \in N_{G_i[S_i]}(v_i) \setminus \{u_i\}\} + \{u_iw : w \in S_i \setminus N_{G_i[S_i]}[u_i]\},$$

where u_iv_i is a cut edge in some component of $G_i[S_i]$ that is contained in the unique perfect matching of $G_i[S_i]$ and $x_{v_i}^{(i)} \leq x_{u_i}^{(i)}$, where $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ is the positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_i)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_i)$.

As mentioned above, we see that G_i has a unique perfect matching for each i , and $\rho_\alpha(G_i) \leq \rho_\alpha(G_{i+1})$ with equality if and only if $G_i \cong G_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-2$). Note that $G_{n-1} \cong G(2n, 1)$. Hence the proof is completed. □

3. Proof of the Theorem 1.4

In 1985, Amahashi [1] gave a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence of an odd $[1, b]$ -factor.

Lemma 3.1. [1, Theorem 2] *Let G be a graph and let b be a positive odd integer. Then G contains a $[1, b]$ -odd factor if and only if for every subset $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$o(G - S) \leq b|S|,$$

where $o(G - S)$ is the number of odd components in a graph $G - S$.

Let $\rho_\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(A_\alpha) \geq \lambda_2(A_\alpha) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(A_\alpha)$ denotes all eigenvalues of $A_\alpha(G)$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Based on *Rayleigh's principle*, Nikiforov [12] obtained the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.2. [12, Proposition 2] *If $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and G is a graph of order n , then*

$$\rho_\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(A_\alpha) = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n(A_\alpha) = \min_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle.$$

Moreover, if \mathbf{x} is a unit n -vector, then $\rho_\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(A_\alpha) = \langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ if and only if \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector to $\rho_\alpha(G)$, and $\lambda_n(A_\alpha) = \langle A_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ if and only if \mathbf{x} is an eigenvector to $\lambda_n(A_\alpha)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i + s$. If $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_t \geq p$ and $n_1 < n - s - p(t - 1)$, then*

$$\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-p(t-1)} \cup (t-1)K_p)).$$

Proof. Let $G = K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t})$ and \mathbf{x} be a positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. By symmetry, one can suppose that $x_v = x_i$ for all $v \in V(K_{n_i})$, where $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $x_u = y_1$ for all $u \in V(K_s)$. Then it follows from $A_\alpha(G)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G)\mathbf{x}$ that $(\rho_\alpha(G) - ((n_1 - 1) + \alpha s))x_1 = (1 - \alpha)sy_1 > 0$, which gives that $\rho_\alpha(G) > (n_1 - 1) + \alpha s$. Again, for $2 \leq j \leq t$, one can see that

$$(\rho_\alpha(G) - (n_j - 1) - \alpha s)(x_1 - x_j) = (n_1 - n_j)x_1 \geq 0.$$

Since $\rho_\alpha(G) > n_1 - 1 + \alpha s \geq n_j - 1 + \alpha s$, we have $x_1 \geq x_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq t$. Let $G' = K_s \nabla(K_{n-s-p(t-1)} \cup (t-1)K_p)$. By Lemma 3.2 and numbering the vertices of G' properly, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\alpha(G') - \rho_\alpha(G) \\ & \geq x^T(A_\alpha(G') - A_\alpha(G))x \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2}) \\ & = (1 - \alpha) \left(\sum_{i=2}^t (n_i - p)x_i(n_1x_1 - px_i) + \sum_{i=2}^t (n_i - p)x_i \left(n_1x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^t (n_j - p)x_j - n_ix_i \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=2}^t (n_i - p)(n_1x_1^2 - px_i^2) + \sum_{i=2}^t (n_i - p)(n - p(t-1) - n_i - s)x_i^2 \right) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so, the result follows. □

Let H be a $[1, b]$ -odd factor of a graph G . Then by the definition of $[1, b]$ -odd factor, for each $v \in V(G)$, $1 \leq d_H(v) \leq b - 1 \leq b$ if b is an even number. Thus, one can also call that $[1, b']$ -odd factor is a $[1, b]$ -odd factor of G , where $b' = b - 1$ is an odd. Therefore, we always take b as an odd number to consider $[1, b]$ -odd factor. Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We here discuss two cases in the following.

If $b = 1$, then $n > b + 2 + \alpha + \frac{2(b+1)(b+2-\alpha)^2}{b} = 3 + \alpha + 4(3 - \alpha)^2 > 10$, moreover, $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla(K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla(K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1))$. Thus, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that G has a $[1, 1]$ -factor unless $G = K_1 \nabla(K_{n-3} \cup 2K_1)$, it therefore means that if $n > b + 2 + \alpha + \frac{2(b+1)(b+2-\alpha)^2}{b}$ and $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla(K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1))$, then G also has a $[1, b]$ -factor unless $G = K_1 \nabla(K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$.

If $b > 1$, we assume, by a contradiction, that G contains no $[1, b]$ -odd factor. Then by Lemma 3.1, there exists some nonempty subset S of $V(G)$ such that $q = o(G - S) > b|S|$. Let $|S| = s$. We assert that q and bs have the same parity. If s is an odd, then $n - s$ and bs are odd numbers since n is even and b is odd. As $n - s$ is odd, the number of odd components in $G - S$ must be odd, i.e., q is also odd. If not, q is even, then the number of vertices of all odd components in $G - S$ is also even. And together with the number of vertices of all even components in $G - S$, we have $n - s$ is even, a contradiction. So, q and bs are odd numbers. Similarly, one can prove that q and bs are even numbers if s is an even. Thus, q and bs have the same parity. Hence $q \geq bs + 2$. To promote the proof, we first prove the following claims.

Claim 3.4. G is a spanning subgraph of $G_1 = K_s \nabla(K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})$ for some positive odd integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_q$ with $\sum_{i=1}^q n_i = n - s$.

Proof. Note that $G - S$ is consisted of $q \geq 1$ odd components and $k \geq 0$ (say) even components. We write X_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) for the odd components, Y_j ($0 \leq j \leq k - 1$) for the even components in $G - S$, where $|V(X_1)| \geq |V(X_2)| \geq \dots \geq |V(X_q)|$. To obtain some positive odd integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_q$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^q n_i = n - s$, we consider $X_i \nabla Y_j$ for some $1 \leq i \leq q$ and $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Clearly, $|V(X_i \nabla Y_j)|$ is an odd number. Without loss of generality, let us join all even components of $G - S$ to X_1 , i.e., $X_1 \nabla (Y_0 \cup Y_1 \dots \cup Y_{k-1})$. We can see that $|V(X_1 \nabla (Y_0 \cup Y_1 \dots \cup Y_{k-1}))|$ is also an odd number and $X_1 \nabla (Y_0 \cup Y_1 \dots \cup Y_{k-1})$ must be a spanning subgraph of K_{n_1} for some odd integer $n_1 = |V(X_1 \nabla (Y_0 \cup Y_1 \dots \cup Y_{k-1}))|$. Meanwhile, X_i ($2 \leq i \leq q$) must be a spanning subgraph of K_{n_i} for some odd integers n_i ($2 \leq i \leq q$), respectively, where $n_i = |V(X_i)|$. Recall that $|S| = s$ and $G[S]$ is a spanning subgraph of K_s . Thus, G is a spanning subgraph of $G_1 = K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})$ for some positive odd integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_q$ with $\sum_{i=1}^q n_i = n - s$. \square

In addition, it deduces from Lemma 2.4(iv) that $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G_1)$, where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong G_1$.

Claim 3.5. For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q) = (n - s - q + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Proof. If $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q) = (n - s - q + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, then $K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q}) = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)$. Hence $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})) = \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1))$.

If $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_q) \neq (n - s - q + 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_q})) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1))$. So, this proves Claim 3.5. \square

Claim 3.6. For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $q = bs + 2$.

Proof. If $q = bs + 2$, then $K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1) = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)$. So, we have $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)) = \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1))$.

If $q \geq bs + 4$, then $K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)$ is a subgraph of $K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)$. Thus, by Lemma 2.3 it deduces that $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1))$. Now, we prove the inequation is strict, that is,

$$\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)).$$

Let $G_2 = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)$ and $G_3 = K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)$. Clearly, $V(G_3)$ can be partitioned as $V(G_3) = V(K_s) \cup V(K_{n-s-bs-1}) \cup V((bs+1)K_1)$, where $V(K_s) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s\}$, $V((bs+1)K_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{bs+1}\}$ and $V(K_{n-s-bs-1}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-s-q+1}, w_{n-s-q+2}, \dots, w_{n-s-bs-1}\}$. In addition, we write $E_1 = \{w_i w_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-s-q+1, n-s-q+2 \leq j \leq n-s-bs-1\} \cup \{w_i w_k \mid n-s-q+2 \leq i \leq n-s-bs-2, i+1 \leq k \leq n-s-bs-1\}$. Obviously, $G_2 \cong G_3 - E_1$.

Let \mathbf{x} (resp. \mathbf{y}) be the positive unit eigenvectors of $A_\alpha(G_3)$ (resp. $A_\alpha(G_2)$) corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_3)$ (resp. $\rho_\alpha(G_2)$). By symmetry, \mathbf{x} takes the same value on the vertices of $V(K_s)$, $V(K_{n-s-bs-1})$ and $V((bs+1)K_1)$, respectively, say x_1, x_2 and x_3 . Similarly, \mathbf{y} takes the same value on the vertices of $V(K_s)$, $V(K_{n-s-q+1})$ and $V((q-1)K_1)$, respectively, say y_1, y_2 and y_3 . Then, it follows from $A_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y} = \rho_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y}$ and $A_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x}^T(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \rho_\alpha(G_2))\mathbf{y} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T(A_\alpha(G_3) - A_\alpha(G_2))\mathbf{y} \\ &= \alpha \left(\sum_{i=n-s-q+2}^{n-s-bs-1} (n-bs-s-2)x_{w_i}y_{w_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-s-q+1} (q-bs-2)x_{w_i}y_{w_i} \right) \\ & \quad + (1-\alpha) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-s-q+1} \sum_{j=n-s-q+2}^{n-s-bs-1} (x_{w_i}y_{w_j} + y_{w_i}x_{w_j}) + \sum_{i=n-s-q+2}^{n-s-bs-2} \sum_{k=i+1}^{n-s-bs-1} x_{w_i}y_{w_k} \right) \\ &= \alpha((q-bs-2)(n-bs-s-2)x_2y_3 + (n-s-q+1)(q-bs-2)x_2y_2) \\ & \quad + (1-\alpha)((q-bs-2)(n-s-q+1)(x_2y_2 + y_3x_2) + (q-bs-2)(q-bs-3)x_2y_3) \\ &> 0 \quad (\text{since } q \geq bs+4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-q+1} \cup (q-1)K_1)) < \rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1))$. □

Claim 3.7. For $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$, if $n > b+2+\alpha + \frac{2(b+1)(b+2-\alpha)^2}{b}$ and $b > 1$, then we have

$$\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1))$$

with equality holding if and only if $s = 1$.

Proof. If $s = 1$, then $K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1) = K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$. So we have $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)) = \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1))$.

If $s \geq 2$, we should verify that $\rho_\alpha(K_s \nabla (K_{n-s-bs-1} \cup (bs+1)K_1)) < \rho_\alpha(K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1))$. Let $G_4 = K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$. Obviously, $G_4 \cong G_3 - \{u_i v_j \mid 2 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq b+1\} + \{v_i v_j \mid b+2 \leq i, j \leq bs+1, i \neq j\} + \{w_i v_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-s-bs-1, b+2 \leq j \leq bs+1\}$.

Let \mathbf{z} be the positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_4)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_4)$. By symmetry, \mathbf{z} takes the same value on the vertices of $V(K_1)$, $V(K_{n-b-2})$ and $(b+1)V(K_1)$,

respectively, say z_1, z_2 and z_3 . Recall that \mathbf{x} is the positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G_3)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_3)$ and takes the same value on the vertices of $V(K_s), V(K_{n-s-bs-1})$ and $V((bs+1)K_1)$, respectively, say x_1, x_2 and x_3 . Then, from $A_\alpha(G_4)\mathbf{z} = \rho_\alpha(G_4)\mathbf{z}$ and $A_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x}$ it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_4)z_2 = \alpha(n-b-2)z_2 + (1-\alpha)(z_1 + (n-b-3)z_2),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_4)z_3 = \alpha z_3 + (1-\alpha)z_1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_3)x_2 = \alpha(n-bs-2)x_2 + (1-\alpha)(sx_1 + (n-bs-s-2)x_2),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_3)x_3 = \alpha sx_3 + (1-\alpha)sx_1.$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.5) \quad z_3 = \frac{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n-b-3)}{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha} z_2.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.6) \quad x_2 = \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n-bs-s-2)} x_1$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad x_3 = \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s} x_1.$$

Note that $K_1 \cup K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1$ is a spanning subgraph of $K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$, meanwhile, both G_3 and G_4 are the proper subgraphs of K_n . It is easy to see that $\rho_\alpha(G_3) < n-1$ and $n-b-2 < \rho_\alpha(G_4) < n-1$. Together with $A_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G_3)\mathbf{x}$ and $A_\alpha(G_4)\mathbf{z} = \rho_\alpha(G_4)\mathbf{z}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{z}^T(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \rho_\alpha(G_3))\mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{z}^T(A_\alpha(G_4) - A_\alpha(G_3))\mathbf{x} \\ &= \alpha(- (b+1)(s-1)z_2x_1 + b(s-1)(n-bs-s-1)z_2x_2 - (b+1)(s-1)z_3x_3 \\ & \quad + b(s-1)(n-b-s-2)z_2x_3) \\ & \quad + (1-\alpha)(- (b+1)(s-1)z_3x_1 + b(s-1)(n-bs-s-1)z_2x_2 \\ & \quad - (b+1)(s-1)z_2x_3 + b(s-1)(n-b-s-2)z_2x_3) \\ &= (s-1)\alpha \left(- (b+1)z_2x_1 + b(n-bs-s-1)z_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n-bs-s-2)} x_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (b+1) \frac{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n-b-3)}{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha} z_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s} x_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + b(n-b-s-2)z_2 \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s} x_1 \right) \\ & \quad + (s-1)(1-\alpha) \left(- (b+1) \frac{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n-b-3)}{\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha} z_2x_1 \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)z_2 \frac{(1 - \alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)} x_1 - (b + 1)z_2 \frac{(1 - \alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s} x_1 \\
 &+ b(n - b - s - 2)z_2 \frac{(1 - \alpha)s}{\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s} x_1 \Big) \quad (\text{from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7)}) \\
 = &\frac{(s - 1)z_2 x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 &\times \alpha \Big(- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \\
 &+ b(n - b - s - 2)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(1 - \alpha)s \Big) \\
 + &\frac{(s - 1)z_2 x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} (1 - \alpha) \\
 &\times \Big(- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \\
 &+ b(n - b - s - 2)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(1 - \alpha)s \Big) \\
 = &\frac{(s - 1)z_2 x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 &\times \Big(- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &+ \alpha(b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(- (n - b - 3)) \\
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \\
 &- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(1 - \alpha)s \\
 &+ \alpha(b + 1)(n - b - 3)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(1 - \alpha)s \\
 &+ b(n - b - s - 2)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \Big) \\
 = &\frac{(s - 1)z_2 x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 &\times \Big(\alpha(b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(n - b - 3)((1 - \alpha)s - (\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)) \\
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \\
 &- (b + 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &+ b(n - b - s - 2)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 &- (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \Big) \\
 = &\frac{(s - 1)z_2 x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 &\times \Big(- \alpha(b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(n - b - 3)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \\
 &+ b(n - bs - s - 1)(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s) \Big)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + (b(n - b - s - 2) - (b + 1))(1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 & - (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \\
 = & \frac{(s - 1)z_2x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 & \times \left((\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2)) \right. \\
 & \times ((1 - \alpha)s(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(b(n - b - s - 2) - (b + 1)) - \alpha(b + 1)(n - b - 3)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - s)) \\
 & + (\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)((1 - \alpha)bs(n - bs - s - 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha) \\
 & \left. - (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3))(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))) \right) \\
 > & \frac{(s - 1)z_2x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 & \times \left((\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))\frac{n - b - 3}{2} \right. \\
 & \times (s(b(n - b - s - 2) - (b + 1)) - (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - s)) \\
 & + (\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)\left(\frac{1}{2}bs(n - bs - s - 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha) \right. \\
 & \left. - (b + 1)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha - (n - b - 3)) \right) \\
 & \left. (\text{since } \alpha \in [0, 1/2] \text{ and } \rho_\alpha(G_4) > n - b - 2) \right) \\
 > & \frac{(s - 1)z_2x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 & \times \left((\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))\frac{n - b - 3}{2} \right. \\
 & \times (2(b(n - b - s - 2) - (b + 1)) - (b + 1)(n - 1 - s)) \\
 & + (\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)\left(\frac{1}{2}bs(n - b - 2 - \alpha) - (b + 1)(bs + 2s - \alpha s)(b + 2 - \alpha) \right) \\
 & \left. (\text{since } s \geq 2, \rho_\alpha(G_3) < n - 1 \text{ and } n - b - 2 < \rho_\alpha(G_4) < n - 1) \right) \\
 = & \frac{(s - 1)z_2x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 & \times \left((\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))\frac{n - b - 3}{2} \right. \\
 & \times ((b - 1)n - (2b(s + b + 3) + 2 - (s + 1)(b + 1))) \\
 & \left. + s(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)\left(\frac{1}{2}b(n - b - 2 - \alpha) - (b + 1)(b + 2 - \alpha)(b + 2 - \alpha) \right) \right) \\
 > & \frac{(s - 1)z_2x_1}{(\rho_\alpha(G_4) - \alpha)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))} \\
 & \times \left((\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s - (n - bs - s - 2))\frac{n - b - 3}{2} \right. \\
 & \times ((b - 1)n - (2b(s + b + 3) + 2 - 2b(s + 1))) \\
 & \left. + s(\rho_\alpha(G_3) - \alpha s)\left(\frac{1}{2}bn - \frac{1}{2}b(b + 2 + \alpha) - (b + 1)(b + 2 - \alpha)(b + 2 - \alpha) \right) \right) \quad (\text{since } b > 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$> 0 \quad \left(\text{since } n > b + 2 + \alpha + \frac{2(b+1)(b+2-\alpha)^2}{b} \right). \quad \square$$

Claim 3.8. For any $b \geq 1$, we have $K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$ contains no $[1, b]$ -odd factor.

Proof. Let $V_1 = V(K_1)$, $V_2 = V(K_{n-b-2})$ and $V_3 = V((b+1)K_1)$. Taking $S = V_1$ we have $o(G - S) = b + 2 > b|S| = b$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1 it follows that $K_1 \nabla (K_{n-b-2} \cup (b+1)K_1)$ contains no $[1, b]$ -odd factor. \square

Combining Claims 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8, the proof is therefore completed. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we firstly present some preliminaries, and then give a proof of Theorem 1.8.

Lemma 4.1. [11, Theorem 5] *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2a + b + \frac{a^2-a}{b}$ with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq a$, and a, b be two integers such that $1 \leq a < b$. If*

$$\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \geq \frac{an}{a+b}$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices u and w of G , then G contains an $[a, b]$ -factor.

A spanning subgraph H is an $[a, b]$ -factor of a graph G , if $a \leq d_H(v) \leq b$ for each $v \in V(G)$, where a and b are positive integers. Especially, if $a = b = k$, then $[a, b]$ -factor is also called a k -factor.

Lemma 4.2. [14] *Suppose $k \geq 3$. Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4k - 3$ with minimum degree $\delta(G)$ where $k \cdot n$ is even and $\delta(G) \geq k$. If*

$$\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \geq \frac{n}{2}$$

for any two nonadjacent vertices u and w of G , then G contains an k -factor.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph of order n and let u, w be two nonadjacent vertices of G . If $1 \leq \max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \leq t$, then $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{x} be a positive unit eigenvector of $A_\alpha(G)$ corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$. By numbering the vertices in $V(G) \setminus \{u, w\}$ appropriately, we may assume that $V(G) \setminus \{u, w\} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$ with $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_2} \geq \dots \geq x_{v_{n-2}}$. Let

$$G' = G - \{uv \mid v \in N_G(u)\} - \{wv \mid v \in N_G(w)\} + \{uv_i, wv_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}.$$

We note that u and w are two nonadjacent vertices of G such that $1 \leq \max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \leq t$, which implies that $t \leq n - 2$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(G')$,

with equality holds if and only if $G \cong G'$. On the other hand, since G' is a spanning graph of $K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$, from Lemma 2.4(iv) we have $\rho_\alpha(G') \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$, the equality holds if and only if $G' \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$. Therefore, combining with above one can get that $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$, with equality if and only if $G \cong G' \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$, i.e., $G \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$. \square

Lemma 4.4. [12, Proposition 4] *Let $1 \geq \alpha > \beta \geq 0$. If G is a graph of order n with $A_\alpha(G) = A_\alpha$ and $A_\beta(G) = A_\beta$, then*

$$\lambda_k(A_\alpha) - \lambda_k(A_\beta) \geq 0$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq n$. If G is connected, then the inequality is strict, unless $k = 1$ and G is regular.

As usual, we write $K_{n-1} + v$ for $K_{n-1} \cup v$, and $K_{n-1} + e$ for the complete graph of order $n - 1$ with a pendent edge.

Lemma 4.5. [5, Theorem 2] *Let G be a graph of order n and spectral radius $\rho(G)$. If*

$$\rho(G) \geq n - 2,$$

then G contains a Hamiltonian path unless $G = K_{n-1} + v \cong H_{n,1}$. If the inequality is strict, then G contains a Hamiltonian cycle unless $G = K_{n-1} + e \cong H_{n,2}$.

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1.8 in the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let G be a graph satisfying the assumption of Theorem 1.8. We assert that G is connected. If not, we may assume that G_1, G_2, \dots, G_l ($l \geq 2$) are the components of G . Then $\rho_\alpha(G) = \max\{\rho_\alpha(G_1), \rho_\alpha(G_2), \dots, \rho_\alpha(G_l)\} \leq \rho_\alpha(K_{n-1}) = n - 2$, a contradiction. Moreover, we declare that $\delta(G) \geq a$. If $1 \leq \delta(G) \leq a - 1$, then together with $\delta(H_{n,a}) = a$ and the structure of $H_{n,a}$ one can see that G is a spanning subgraph of $H_{n,a}$, where $a \geq 2$. Hence $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(H_{n,a})$, it is a contradiction.

Case 1: $1 \leq a \leq 2$. Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. Then by Lemma 4.4, $\rho_\alpha(G) = \lambda_1(A_\alpha) \geq \lambda_1(A_0) = \rho(G)$. Note that $\rho_\alpha(H_{n,2}) \geq \rho_\alpha(H_{n,1}) = n - 2$. So, one can deduce that $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(H_{n,1}) \geq \rho(H_{n,1}) = n - 2$ for $a = 1$, and $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(H_{n,2}) \geq \rho(H_{n,2}) \geq n - 2$ for $a = 2$. On the other hand, from Lemma 4.5 we know that G contains a Hamiltonian path for $a = 1$ and a Hamiltonian cycle for $a = 2$. Thus, if $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(H_{n,1})$, then G contains a 1-factor, and if $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(H_{n,2})$, then G contains a 2-factor.

Case 2: $a \geq 3$. Assume by a contradiction, that G is a graph of order $n \geq f_1(\alpha)$ which contains no $[a, b]$ -factor. Since $3a + b - 1 - (2a + b + \frac{a^2 - a}{b}) = a - (1 + \frac{a^2 - a}{b}) = \frac{(a-1)(b-a)}{b} \geq 0$ and $3a + b - 1 - (4k - 3) = 2 \geq 0$, we have $n \geq 3a + b - 1 \geq 2a + b + \frac{a^2 - a}{b}$ and $n \geq 3a + b - 1 \geq 4k - 3$. So, by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, there are two nonadjacent vertices

u and w such that $\max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \leq \lceil \frac{an}{a+b} \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1$. Let $t = \lceil \frac{an}{a+b} \rceil - 1$. Then $t \geq \max\{d_G(u), d_G(w)\} \geq \delta(G) \geq a \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2t + 1$ (since $t = \lceil \frac{an}{a+b} \rceil - 1 \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - 1 < (\frac{n}{2} + 1) - 1$). Thus, one can deduce that $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$ from Lemma 4.3.

In order to prove $\rho_\alpha(K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})) < \rho_\alpha(H_{n,a})$, we now discuss two subcases as follows.

Subcase 2.1: $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3/4$ and $b \geq a$ or $3/4 < \alpha < 1$ and $b > a$.

We first give a claim, which can be used in subsequent proof.

Claim 4.6. If $t \geq a \geq 3$ and $n \geq f_1(\alpha)$, then $(n - t - 2)(n - 2 - \alpha(a - 1))(1 - \alpha)t - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)a) > 0$.

Proof. If $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3/4$ and $b \geq a$, then $(n - t - 2) - (t - a + 1) = n - 2t - 1 + a - 2 \geq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & n - 2 - \alpha(a - 1) - 2\alpha - (1 - \alpha)(t + 2) \\ &= n - t - 4 + \alpha(t - a) + \alpha \geq 2t + 1 - t - 4 + \alpha(t - a) + \alpha \geq \alpha \end{aligned}$$

by $n \geq 2t + 1$ and $t \geq a \geq 3$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (n - t - 2)(n - 2 - \alpha(a - 1))(1 - \alpha)t - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)a) \\ & \geq (t - a + 1 + 1)(\alpha + 2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(1 - \alpha)t \\ & \quad - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(\alpha + (1 - \alpha)a) \\ & = (t - a + 1 + 1)\alpha(1 - \alpha)t + (t - a + 1 + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(1 - \alpha)t \\ & \quad - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))\alpha - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(1 - \alpha)a \\ & \geq (t - a + 1 + 1)\alpha(1 - \alpha)t + (2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(1 - \alpha)t \\ & \quad - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Set $h(\alpha) = (t - a + 1 + 1)\alpha(1 - \alpha)t + (2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))(1 - \alpha)t - (t - a + 1)(2\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(t + 2))\alpha$, i.e., $h(\alpha) = (t^2 - t)\alpha^2 + (-2t^2 - 3t + 2a - 2)\alpha + t^2 + 2t$. Through a simple calculation, one can see that $h(\alpha)$ is opening up, and its symmetric axis is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{2t^2 + t + 2(t - a + 1)}{2(t^2 - t)} \geq \frac{2t^2 + t + 2}{2(t^2 - t)} \quad (\text{since } t \geq a) \\ &= \frac{2t^2 - 2t + 3t + 2}{2(t^2 - t)} = 1 + \frac{3t + 2}{2(t^2 - t)} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $h(\alpha)$ is monotonically decreasing in $[0, 1)$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) &= (t^2 - t)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 + (-2t^2 - 3t + 2a - 2)\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) + t^2 + 2t \\ &= \frac{1}{16}t^2 - \frac{13}{16}t + \frac{3}{2}(a - 1) \geq \frac{1}{16}\left(t - \frac{13}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{16}\frac{13^2}{4} + 3 \quad (\text{since } a \geq 3) \end{aligned}$$

> 0 .

Thus, we can deduce that if $\alpha \in [0, 3/4]$, then $(n-t-2)(n-2-\alpha(a-1))(1-\alpha)t - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(\alpha+(1-\alpha)a) > 0$.

If $3/4 < \alpha < 1$ and $b > a$, then $(n-t-2) - (t-a+1) = n-2t-1+a-2 \geq 1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & n-2-\alpha(a-1)-2\alpha-(1-\alpha)(t+2) \\ &= n-t-4+\alpha(t-a)+\alpha \geq 2t+1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}-t-4+\alpha(t-a)+\alpha \\ &\geq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}+\alpha > \alpha \end{aligned}$$

by $n \geq 2t+1 + \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and $t \geq a \geq 3$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-t-2)(n-2-\alpha(a-1))(1-\alpha)t - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(\alpha+(1-\alpha)a) \\ &\geq \left(t-a+1+1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(\alpha+2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t \\ &\quad - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(\alpha+(1-\alpha)a) \\ &= \left(t-a+1+1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\alpha(1-\alpha)t \\ &\quad + \left(t-a+1+1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t \\ &\quad - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))\alpha - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)a \\ &\geq \left(t-a+1+1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\alpha(1-\alpha)t + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t \\ &\quad - (t-a+1)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))\alpha \\ &= (t-a+1)\alpha((1-\alpha)t - (2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))) + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\alpha(1-\alpha)t \\ &\quad + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t \\ &= -2\alpha(t-a+1) + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)\alpha(1-\alpha)t + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t \\ &= -2\alpha(t-a+1) + 2\alpha t + \left(1+\frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right)(2\alpha+(1-\alpha)(t+2))(1-\alpha)t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the claim holds. \square

Let $G_1 \cong K_t \nabla (2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$ and $G_2 \cong H_{n,a}$. Then $V(G_1)$ can be partitioned as $V(G_1) = V(2K_1) \cup V(K_t) \cup V(K_{n-t-2})$, where $V(2K_1) = \{u, w\}$, $V(K_t) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t\}$ and $V(K_{n-t-2}) = \{v_{t+1}, \dots, v_{n-2}\}$. Obviously, $G_2 \cong G_1 - \{uv_i \mid a \leq i \leq t\} + \{wv_j \mid t+1 \leq$

$j \leq n - 2$ }. Let \mathbf{x} (resp. \mathbf{y}) be the positive unit eigenvectors of $A_\alpha(G_1)$ (resp. $A_\alpha(G_2)$) corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G_1)$ (resp. $\rho_\alpha(G_2)$). By symmetry, \mathbf{x} takes the same components on the vertices of $V(2K_1)$, $V(K_t)$ and $V(K_{n-t-2})$ respectively, say x_1, x_2 and x_3 . Similarly, \mathbf{y} takes the same components on the vertices of $V(K_1)$, $V(K_{a-1})$ and $V(K_{n-a})$ respectively, say y_1, y_2 and y_3 . Then, from $A_\alpha(G_1)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G_1)\mathbf{x}$ and $A_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y} = \rho_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y}$, one can obtain that

$$(4.1) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_1)x_3 = \alpha(n - 3)x_3 + (1 - \alpha)(tx_2 + (n - t - 3)x_3),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_2)y_1 = \alpha(a - 1)y_1 + (1 - \alpha)(a - 1)y_2,$$

$$(4.3) \quad \rho_\alpha(G_2)y_3 = \alpha(n - 2)y_3 + (1 - \alpha)((a - 1)y_2 + (n - a - 1)y_3).$$

From (4.1) we have

$$(4.4) \quad x_2 = \frac{\rho_\alpha(G_1) - \alpha(n - 3) - (1 - \alpha)(n - t - 3)}{(1 - \alpha)t}x_3.$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$(4.5) \quad (\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a - 1))y_1 = (\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1))y_3.$$

Combining $\rho_\alpha(G_2) \geq n - 2 = \alpha(n - 2) + (1 - \alpha)(n - 2)$, $n \geq 3a + b - 1$ and $b \geq a \geq 3$, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a - 1) &\geq \alpha(n - 2) + (1 - \alpha)(n - 2) - \alpha(a - 1) \\ &= \alpha(n - a - 1) + (1 - \alpha)(n - 2) \\ &\geq \alpha(2a + b - 2) + (1 - \alpha)(3a + b - 3) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1) \\ &\geq \alpha(n - 2) + (1 - \alpha)(n - 2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1) = (1 - \alpha)(a - 1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a - 1) - (\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1)) \\ &= \alpha(n - 2 - a + 1) + (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1) = n - a - 1 \geq 2a + b - 2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a - 1) > \rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1)$.

Hence, it follows from (4.5) that $y_1 < y_3$ and

$$(4.6) \quad y_3 = \frac{\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a - 1)}{\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n - 2) - (1 - \alpha)(n - a - 1)}y_1.$$

Together with $A_\alpha(G_1)\mathbf{x} = \rho_\alpha(G_1)\mathbf{x}$ and $A_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y} = \rho_\alpha(G_2)\mathbf{y}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbf{y}^T(\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \rho_\alpha(G_1))\mathbf{x} \\
 &= \mathbf{y}^T(A_\alpha(G_2) - A_\alpha(G_1))\mathbf{x} \\
 &= \alpha((n-t-2)(x_1y_3 + x_3y_3) - (t-a+1)(x_1y_1 + x_2y_3)) \\
 & \quad + (1-\alpha)\left(\sum_{j=t+1}^{n-2} (x_wy_{v_j} + x_{v_j}y_w) - \sum_{i=a}^t (x_u y_{v_i} + x_{v_i}y_u)\right) \\
 &= \alpha((n-t-2)(x_1y_3 + x_3y_3) - (t-a+1)(x_1y_1 + x_2y_3)) \\
 & \quad + (1-\alpha)((n-t-2)(x_1y_3 + x_3y_3) - (t-a+1)(x_1y_3 + x_2y_1)) \\
 &= \alpha((n-t-2)x_1y_3 - (t-a+1)x_1y_1 + (n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_3) \\
 & \quad + (1-\alpha)((n-t-2) - (t-a+1))x_1y_3 + (n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_1) \\
 &> \alpha(((n-t-2) - (t-a+1))x_1y_3 + (n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_3) \\
 & \quad + (1-\alpha)((n-t-2) - (t-a+1))x_1y_3 + (n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_1) \quad (\text{since } y_1 < y_3) \\
 &\geq \alpha((n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_3) \\
 & \quad + (1-\alpha)((n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_1) \quad (\text{since } n \geq 2t+1) \\
 &> (n-t-2)x_3y_3 - (t-a+1)x_2y_1 \quad (\text{since } y_1 < y_3) \\
 &= x_3y_1\left((n-t-2)\frac{\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(a-1)}{\rho_\alpha(G_2) - \alpha(n-2) - (1-\alpha)(n-a-1)}\right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (t-a+1)\frac{\rho_\alpha(G_1) - \alpha(n-3) - (1-\alpha)(n-t-3)}{(1-\alpha)t}\right) \quad (\text{from (4.4) and (4.6)}) \\
 &> x_3y_1\left(\frac{(n-t-2)(n-2-\alpha(a-1))}{\alpha + (1-\alpha)a} - \frac{(t-a+1)(2\alpha + (1-\alpha)(t+2))}{(1-\alpha)t}\right) \\
 & \quad (\text{since } \rho_\alpha(G_1) < n-1 \text{ and } n-2 \leq \rho_\alpha(G_2) < n-1) \\
 &= x_3y_1\frac{(n-t-2)(n-2-\alpha(a-1))(1-\alpha)t - (t-a+1)(2\alpha + (1-\alpha)(t+2))(\alpha + (1-\alpha)a)}{(\alpha + (1-\alpha)a)(1-\alpha)t} \\
 &> 0 \quad (\text{by Claim 4.6}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\rho_\alpha(G_1) < \rho_\alpha(G_2)$, i.e., $\rho_\alpha(K_t\nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})) < \rho_\alpha(H_{n,a})$. Together with $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t\nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$, one can obtain $\rho_\alpha(G) < \rho_\alpha(H_{n,a})$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2: $3/4 < \alpha < 1$ and $b = a$.

Note that $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t\nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2}))$ and $K_t\nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})$ is a spanning graph of $K_t\nabla(K_1 \cup K_{n-t-1})$. According to Lemma 2.3, we get $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t\nabla(2K_1 \cup K_{n-t-2})) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_t\nabla(K_1 \cup K_{n-t-1}))$, also a contradiction.

Therefore, the proof is completed. □

References

[1] A. Amahashi, *On factors with all degrees odd*, Graphs Combin. **1** (1985), no. 2, 111–114.

- [2] C. P. Chen, *Binding number and minimum degree for $[a, b]$ -factors*, Systems Sci. Math. Sci. **6** (1993), no. 2, 179–185.
- [3] E.-K. Cho, J. Hyun and S. O. and J. R. Park, *Sharp conditions for the existence of an even $[a, b]$ -factor in a graph*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **58** (2021), no. 1, 31–46.
- [4] D. Fan, H. Lin and H. Lu, *Spectral radius and $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs*, Discrete Math. **345** (2022), no. 7, Paper No. 112892, 9 pp.
- [5] M. Fiedler and V. Nikiforov, *Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **432** (2010), no. 9, 2170–2173.
- [6] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. **207**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [7] A. Kotzig, *On the theory of finite graphs with a linear factor I*, Mat.-Fyz. Časopis. Sloven. Akad. Vied. **9** (1959), 73–91.
- [8] M. Kouider and Z. Lonc, *Stability number and $[a, b]$ -factors in graphs*, J. Graph Theory **46** (2004), no. 4, 254–264.
- [9] D. Li, Y. Chen and J. Meng, *The A_α -spectral radius of trees and unicyclic graphs with given degree sequence*, Appl. Math. Comput. **363** (2019), 124622, 9 pp.
- [10] J. Li, *On neighborhood condition for graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors*, Discrete Math. **260** (2003), no. 1-3, 217–221.
- [11] Y. Li and M.-c. Cai, *A degree condition for a graph to have $[a, b]$ -factors*, J. Graph Theory **27** (1998), no. 1, 1–6.
- [12] V. Nikiforov, *Merging the A - and Q -spectral theories*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **11** (2017), no. 1, 81–107.
- [13] V. Nikiforov and O. Rojo, *On the α -index of graphs with pendent paths*, Linear Algebra Appl. **550** (2018), 87–104.
- [14] T. Nishimura, *A degree condition for the existence of k -factors*, J. Graph Theory **16** (1992), no. 2, 141–151.
- [15] J. Wei and S. Zhang, *Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius condition for $[a, b]$ -factors*, Discrete Math. **346** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 113269, 7 pp.
- [16] Y. Zhao, X. Huang and Z. Wang, *The A_α -spectral radius and perfect matchings of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **631** (2021), 143–155.

Yonglei Chen, Fei Wen and Jing Ha

Institute of Applied Mathematics, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, China

E-mail addresses: c2202840320@163.com, wenfei@lzjtu.edu.cn, hajing0224@163.com