

Research Article

Fixed Point Theorems for Ćirić-Berinde Type Contractive Multivalued Mappings

Seong-Hoon Cho

Department of Mathematics, Hanseo University, Chungnam 356-706, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Seong-Hoon Cho; shcho@hanseo.ac.kr

Received 24 April 2014; Revised 24 September 2014; Accepted 28 October 2014

Academic Editor: Salvador Romaguera

Copyright © 2015 Seong-Hoon Cho. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We give a Ćirić-Berinde type contractive condition for multivalued mappings and analyze the existence of fixed point for these mappings.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 2012, Samet et al. [1] introduced the notions of α - ψ -contractive mapping and α -admissible mappings in metric spaces and obtained corresponding fixed point results, which are generalizations of ordered fixed point results (see [1]). Since then, by using their idea, some authors investigated fixed point results in the field. Asl et al. [2] extended some of results in [1] to multivalued mappings by introducing the notions of α_* - ψ -contractive mapping and α_* -admissible mapping.

Recently, Salimi et al. [3] modified the notions of α - ψ -contractive mapping and α -admissible mappings by introducing another function η . And then, they gave generalizations of the results of Samet et al. [1] and Karapınar and Samet [4]. Hussain et al. [5] extended these modified notions to multivalued mappings. That is, they introduced the notion of α - η -contractive multifunctions and gave fixed point results for these multifunctions.

Very recently, Ali et al. [6] generalized and extended the notion of α - ψ -contractive mapping by introducing the notion of (α, ψ, ξ) -contractive multivalued mappings and obtained fixed point theorems for these mappings in complete metric spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings and to generalize and extend the notion of α - η -contractive multifunctions and to establish fixed point theorems for Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. We denote by $CB(X)$ the class of nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X and by $CL(X)$ the class of nonempty closed subsets of X . Let $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the generalized Hausdorff distance on $CL(X)$; that is, for all $A, B \in CL(X)$,

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\}, & \text{if the maximum exists,} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $d(a, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : b \in B\}$ is the distance from point a to subset B .

For $A, B \in CL(X)$, let $D(A, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y)$. Then, we have $D(A, B) \leq H(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in CL(X)$.

From now on, we denote by

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\} \right\} \quad (2)$$

for a multivalued map $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ and $x, y \in X$.

We denote by Ξ the class of all functions $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (1) ξ is continuous;
- (2) ξ is nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$;
- (3) $\xi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$;

(4) ξ is subadditive.

Also, we denote by Ψ the family of all nondecreasing functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$ for each $t > 0$, where ψ^n is the n th iterate of ψ .

Note that if $\psi \in \Psi$, then $\psi(0) = 0$ and $0 < \psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function.

We consider the following conditions:

- (1) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, we have

$$\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}; \tag{3}$$

- (2) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a cluster point x of $\{x_n\}$, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1; \tag{4}$$

- (3) for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a cluster point x of $\{x_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq 1 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5}$$

Remark 1. (1) implies (2) and (2) implies (3).

Note that if (X, d) is a metric space and $\xi \in \Xi$, then $(X, \xi \circ d)$ is a metric space.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then, we say that

- (1) T is called α_* -admissible [2] if

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad \alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1, \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha_*(Tx, Ty) = \inf\{\alpha(a, b) : a \in Tx, b \in Ty\}$;

- (2) T is called α -admissible [7] if, for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, we have $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$.

Lemma 2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. If T is α_* -admissible, then it is α -admissible.

Proof. Suppose that T is an α_* -admissible mapping.

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ be such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Let $z \in Ty$ be given.

Since T is α_* -admissible, $\alpha(y, z) \geq \alpha_*(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 3. Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $\xi \in \Xi$ and $B \in CL(X)$.

If $a \in X$ and $\xi(d(a, B)) < c$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $\xi(d(a, b)) < c$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon = c - \xi(d(a, B))$.

Since $\xi(d(a, B)) < c$ and $\xi \circ d$ is metric on X , there exists $b \in B$ such that $\xi(d(a, b)) < \xi(d(a, B)) + \epsilon$ by definition of infimum. Hence, $\xi(d(a, b)) < c$. \square

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

A function $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called *upper semicontinuous* if, for each $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq f(x)$.

A function $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called *lower semicontinuous* if, for each $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, we have $f(x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$.

For a multivalued map $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$, let $f_T : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function defined by $f_T(x) = d(x, Tx)$.

2. Fixed Point Theorems

In this section, we establish fixed point theorems for Ćirić-Berinde type contractive multivalued mappings.

Theorem 4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is α -admissible.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \tag{7}$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that the following are satisfied:

- (1) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (2) either T is continuous or f_T is lower semicontinuous.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ be such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$. Let c be a real number with $\xi(d(x_0, x_1)) < \xi(c)$.

If $x_0 = x_1$, then x_1 is a fixed point.

Let $x_0 \neq x_1$.

If $x_1 \in Tx_1$, then x_1 is a fixed point. Let $x_1 \notin Tx_1$. Then $d(x_1, Tx_1) > 0$.

From (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq \xi(H(Tx_0, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\xi\left(\max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, Tx_0), d(x_1, Tx_1), \right.\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}\{d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, Tx_0)\}\right\}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + L\xi(d(x_1, Tx_0)) \\ &\leq \psi\left(\xi\left(\max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1), \right.\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}\{d(x_0, Tx_1) + d(x_1, x_1)\}\right\}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + L\xi(d(x_1, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, Tx_1)\} \right\} \right) \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

If $\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\} = d(x_1, Tx_1)$, then we have $0 < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_1, Tx_1))) < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1))$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, $\max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, Tx_1)\} = d(x_0, x_1)$, and hence we have

$$0 < \xi(d(x_1, Tx_1)) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_0, x_1))) < \psi(\xi(c)). \tag{9}$$

Hence, there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that

$$\xi(d(x_1, x_2)) < \psi(\xi(c)). \tag{10}$$

Since T is α -admissible, from condition (1) and $x_2 \in Tx_1$, we have

$$\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1. \tag{11}$$

If $x_2 \in Tx_2$, then x_2 is a fixed point. Let $x_2 \notin Tx_2$. Then $d(x_2, Tx_2) > 0$, and so $\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) > 0$. From (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &0 < \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \\ &\leq \xi(H(Tx_1, Tx_2)) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, Tx_1), d(x_2, Tx_2), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, Tx_1)\} \right\} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + L\xi(d(x_2, Tx_1)) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(x_1, Tx_2) + d(x_2, x_2)\} \right\} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + L\xi(d(x_2, x_2)) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, Tx_2)\} \right\} \right) \right) \\ &= \psi \left(\xi \left(\max \{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

If $\max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\} = d(x_2, Tx_2)$, then we have $\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2))) < \xi(d(x_2, Tx_2))$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, $\max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, Tx_2)\} = d(x_1, x_2)$, and hence we have

$$\xi(d(x_2, Tx_2)) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_1, x_2))) < \psi^2(\xi(c)). \tag{13}$$

Hence, there exists $x_3 \in Tx_2$ such that

$$\xi(d(x_2, x_3)) < \psi^2(\xi(c)). \tag{14}$$

Since T is α -admissible, from $x_2 \in Tx_1$ and $\alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$, we have

$$\alpha(x_2, x_3) \geq 1. \tag{15}$$

By induction, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1,$$

$$x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, \quad x_n \neq x_{n+1}, \quad \xi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) < \psi^n(\xi(c)). \tag{16}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi^n(\xi(c)) < \infty$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n \geq N} \psi^n(\xi(c)) < \xi(\epsilon). \tag{17}$$

For all $m > n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(x_n, x_m)) &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \psi^k(\xi(c)) \\ &< \sum_{n \geq N} \psi^n(\xi(c)) < \xi(\epsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

which implies $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m > n \geq N$. Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

It follows from the completeness of X that there exists

$$x_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in X. \tag{19}$$

Suppose that T is continuous.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_*, Tx_*) &\leq d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_*) \\ &\leq d(x_*, x_{n+1}) + H(x_n, Tx_*). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain $d(x_*, Tx_*) = 0$, and so $x_* \in Tx_*$.

Assume that f_T is lower semicontinuous.

Then, $f_T(x_*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_T(x_n)$. Hence, $d(x_*, Tx_*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$. Thus, $x_* \in Tx_*$. \square

Corollary 5. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is an α -admissible mapping.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \tag{21}$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 6. If we have $\xi(t) = t$ for all $t \geq 0$, $L = 0$, and T is continuous, then Corollary 5 reduces to Theorem 3.4 of [7].

Let (X, \leq) be an ordered set and $A, B \subset X$. We say that $A \leq B$ whenever, for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \leq b$.

Corollary 7. Let (X, \leq, d) be a complete ordered metric space. Suppose that a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ satisfies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)) \quad (22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $Tx \leq Ty$ (resp., $Ty \leq Tx$), where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing.

Assume that, for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $Tx \leq Ty$ (resp., $Ty \leq Tx$), we have $Ty \leq Tz$ (resp., $Tz \leq Ty$) for all $z \in Ty$.

Also, suppose that the following are satisfied:

- (1) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $Tx_0 \leq Tx_1$ (resp., $Tx_1 \leq Tx_0$);
- (2) either T is continuous or f_T is lower semicontinuous.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 8. If we have $\xi(t) = t$ for all $t \geq 0$, $L = 0$, and T is continuous, then Corollary 7 reduces to Corollary 3.6 of [7].

From Theorem 4 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 9. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is an α_* -admissible mapping.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \quad (23)$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 10. If we have $L = 0$ in Corollary 9, then Corollary 9 reduces to Theorem 2.5 of [6].

Corollary 11. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is an α_* -admissible mapping.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \quad (24)$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing.

Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 12. In Corollary 11, let $\xi(t) = t$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\psi(t) = kt$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $k \in [0, 1)$. If T is single valued map, then Corollary 11 reduces to Theorem 2.2 of [8].

Theorem 13. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is α -admissible.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \quad (25)$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function. Also, suppose that the following are satisfied:

- (1) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1$;
- (2) for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and a cluster point x of $\{x_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq 1. \quad (26)$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 4, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_* \in X$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$x_{n+1} \in Tx_n, \quad x_n \neq x_{n+1}, \quad \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1. \quad (27)$$

From (2) there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x_*) \geq 1. \quad (28)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(d(x_{n(k)+1}, Tx_*)) &= \xi(H(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_*)) \\ &\leq \psi(\xi(M(x_{n(k)}, x_*))) \\ &\quad + L\xi(d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n(k)}, x_*) &= \max \left\{ d(x_{n(k)}, x_*), d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}), d(x_*, Tx_*), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(x_{n(k)}, Tx_*) + d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})\} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n(k)}, x_*) = d(x_*, Tx_*), \quad (31)$$

and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi(M(x_{n(k)}, x_*)) = \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*)). \quad (32)$$

Suppose that $d(x_*, Tx_*) \neq 0$.

Since ψ is upper semicontinuous,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi(M(x_{n(k)}, x_*))) \leq \psi(\xi(d(x_*, Tx_*)). \quad (33)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in inequality (29) and using continuity of ξ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &< \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*)) \\
 &\leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\xi(M(x_{n(k)}, x_*))) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L\xi(d(x_*, x_{n(k)+1})) \\
 &\leq \psi(\xi(d(x_*, Tx_*))) \\
 &< \xi(d(x_*, Tx_*))
 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $d(x_*, Tx_*) = 0$, and hence x_* is a fixed point of T . \square

The following example shows that upper semicontinuity of ψ cannot be dropped in Theorem 13.

Example 14. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, and let $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \geq 0$.

Define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\} & (x = 0), \\ \left\{ \frac{3}{4}x \right\} & (0 < x \leq 1), \\ \{2x\} & (x > 1). \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

Let $\xi(t) = t$ for all $t \geq 0$, and let

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{5}t & (t \geq 1), \\ \frac{3}{4}t & (0 \leq t < 1). \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

Then, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ and ψ is a strictly increasing function.

Let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 4 & (0 \leq x, y \leq 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Obviously, condition (2) of Theorem 13 is satisfied. Condition (1) of Theorem 13 is satisfied with $x_0 = 1/4$.

We show that (7) is satisfied.

Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Then, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

If $x = y$, then obviously (7) is satisfied.

Let $x \neq y$.

If $x = 0$ and $0 < y \leq 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi(H(Tx, Ty)) &= H\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}, \frac{3}{4}y\right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \leq \psi(d(x, Tx)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))).
 \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Let $0 < x \leq 1$ and $0 < y \leq 1$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi(H(Tx, Ty)) &= d(Tx, Ty) = d\left(\frac{3}{4}x, \frac{3}{4}y\right) \\
 &= \frac{3}{4}|x - y| = \psi(d(x, y)) \\
 &\leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))).
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Thus, (7) is satisfied.

We now show that T is α -admissible.

Let $x \in X$ be given, and let $y \in Tx$ be such that $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Then, $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$.

Obviously, $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$ for all $z \in Ty$ whenever $0 < y \leq 1$.

If $y = 0$, then $Ty = \{1/2, 1\}$. Hence, for all $z \in Ty$, $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$.

Hence, T is α -admissible. Thus, all hypotheses of Theorem 13 are satisfied. However, T has no fixed points.

Note that ψ is not upper semicontinuous.

Corollary 15. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is an α -admissible mapping.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \tag{40}$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function. Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 13 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Corollary 16. Let (X, \preceq, d) be a complete ordered metric space. Suppose that a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ satisfies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)) \tag{41}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $Tx \preceq Ty$ (resp., $Ty \preceq Tx$), where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function.

Assume that, for each $x \in X$ and $y \in Tx$ with $Tx \preceq Ty$ (resp., $Ty \preceq Tx$), we have $Ty \preceq Tz$ (resp., $Tz \preceq Ty$) for all $z \in Ty$.

Also, suppose that the following are satisfied:

- (1) there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $Tx_0 \preceq Tx_1$ (resp., $Tx_1 \preceq Tx_0$);
- (2) for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ (resp., $x_{n+1} \preceq x_n$) for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and a cluster point x of $\{x_n\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$x_{n(k)} \preceq x \quad (\text{resp., } x \preceq x_{n(k)}). \tag{42}$$

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 17. Corollary 16 is a generalization and extension of the result of [9] to multivalued mappings.

Corollary 18. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is α_* -admissible.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$ implies

$$\xi(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \quad (43)$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function.

Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 13 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Remark 19. By taking $L = 0$ in Corollary 18 and by applying Remark 1, Corollary 18 reduces to Theorem 2.6 of [6].

Corollary 20. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow CL(X)$ is an α_* -admissible mapping.

Assume that, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\xi(\alpha(x, y)H(Tx, Ty)) \leq \psi(\xi(M(x, y))) + L\xi(d(y, Tx)), \quad (44)$$

where $L \geq 0$, $\xi \in \Xi$, and $\psi \in \Psi$ is strictly increasing and upper semicontinuous function.

Also, suppose that conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 13 are satisfied.

Then T has a fixed point in X .

Conflict of Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] B. Samet, C. Vetro, and P. Vetro, "Fixed point theorems for $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 2154–2165, 2012.
- [2] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 212, 2012.
- [3] P. Salimi, A. Latif, and N. Hussain, "Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 151, 2013.
- [4] E. Karapınar and B. Samet, "Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 793486, 17 pages, 2012.
- [5] N. Hussain, P. Salimi, and A. Latif, "Fixed point results for single and set-valued α - η - ψ -contractive mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 212, 2013.
- [6] M. U. Ali, T. Kamran, and E. Karapınar, " (α, ψ, ξ) -contractive multivalued mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2014, article 7, 2014.
- [7] B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "Some results on fixed points of α - ψ -Ciric generalized multifunctions," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, article 24, 2013.
- [8] V. Berinde, "Some remarks on a fixed point theorem for Ciric-type almost contractions," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 157–162, 2009.
- [9] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, and D. O'Regan, "Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 87, no. 1, pp. 109–116, 2008.