

Research Article

Degree of Approximation by Hybrid Operators

Naokant Deo,¹ Hee Sun Jung,² and Ryozi Sakai³

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University (Formerly Delhi College of Engineering), Bawana Road, Delhi 110042, India

² Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul 110-745, Republic of Korea

³ Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Hee Sun Jung; hsun90@skku.edu

Received 8 May 2013; Accepted 12 July 2013

Academic Editor: Irena Rachůnková

Copyright © 2013 Naokant Deo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider hybrid (Szász-beta) operators, which are a general sequence of integral type operators including beta function, and we give the degree of approximation by these Szász-beta-Durrmeyer operators.

1. Introduction

The Lupaş-Durrmeyer operators were introduced by Sahai and Prasad [1] who studied the asymptotic formula for simultaneous approximation, and many mathematicians have given different results for the Durrmeyer operators (see [2–6]). Now we consider here a sequence of linear positive operators, which was introduced by Gupta et al. [7] as follows. Let n and β be positive integers. For $f \in C[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\int_0^\infty f(t)/(1+t)^{n+\beta+1} dt < \infty$,

$$B_{n,\beta}[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\beta,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1)$$

$$x \in [0, \infty), \quad n + \beta + 1 > 0,$$

where β is a positive integer,

$$s_{n,k}(x) = e^{-nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}, \quad b_{n,k}(t) = \frac{1}{B(k+1, n)} \frac{t^k}{(1+t)^{n+k+1}},$$

$$B(k+1, n) = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(k+n+1)}. \quad (2)$$

Let $0 < p \leq \infty$. For a function f on $[0, \infty)$, we define the norm by

$$\|f\|_{L_p([0, \infty))} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_{[0, \infty)} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, & 0 < p < \infty, \\ \sup_{[0, \infty)} |f(t)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Recently Jung and Sakai [8] investigated the Lupaş-Durrmeyer operators and studied the circumstances of convergence. Motivated with the idea of Jung and Sakai [8], we give the degree of approximation by Szász-Beta-Durrmeyer operators in this paper.

2. Basic Results

Lemma 1 (cf. [7]). *Let α, m, n , and r be integers with $m \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$, and $n + \alpha > m$:*

$$R_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy. \quad (4)$$

Then one has

$$(i) \quad R_{n,0,r}(\alpha; x) = 1 \text{ and } R_{n,1,r}(\alpha; x) = ((-\alpha + 1)x + r + 1)/(n + \alpha - 1),$$

(ii) for $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \alpha - m - 1) R_{n,m+1,r}(\alpha; x) &= x R'_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x) \\ &+ ((2m - \alpha + 1)x + m + r + 1) R_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x) \quad (5) \\ &+ mx(x + 2) R_{n,m-1,r}(x), \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$R_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}}\right) g_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x), \quad (6)$$

where $g_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$ such that the coefficients of $g_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x)$ are bounded independently of n .

Proof. Let $R_{n,m,r}(x) := R_{n,m,r}(\alpha; x)$. Then (i)

$$R_{n,0,r}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) dy = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) = 1. \quad (7)$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} x b_{n,k}(x) dx &= \frac{k+1}{n-1}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k s_{n,k}(x) &= nx, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n,1,r}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x) dy \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \frac{k+r+1}{n+\alpha-1} - x = \frac{(-\alpha+1)x+r+1}{n+\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(ii) Using $x s'_{n,k}(x) = (k-nx)s_{n,k}(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(R'_{n,m,r}(x) + mR_{n,m-1,r}(x)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x s'_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} (k-nx) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since we know that

$$\begin{aligned} y(1+y) b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) &= (k+r-(n+\alpha+1)y) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y), \\ k-nx &= (k+r-(n+\alpha+1)y) \\ &- (r-(\alpha+1)x) + (n+\alpha+1)(y-x), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (k-nx) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) &= y(1+y) b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) - (r-(\alpha+1)x) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) \\ &+ (n+\alpha+1) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then substituting (12) into (10), we consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x(R'_{n,m,r}(x) + mR_{n,m-1,r}(x)) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} (y(1+y) b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) \\ &- (r-(\alpha+1)x) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) \\ &+ (n+\alpha+1) b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)) \\ &\times (y-x)^m dy \\ &:= A_1 + A_2 + A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Then since we see

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} y(1+y) b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy &= \int_0^{\infty} ((y-x)^2 + (1+2x)(y-x) + x(1+x)) \\ &\times b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^{m+2} dy \\ &+ (1+2x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^{m+1} dy \\ &+ x(1+x) \int_0^{\infty} b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy \\ &= -(m+2) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^{m+1} dy \\ &- (1+2x)(m+1) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy \\ &- x(1+x)m \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^{m-1} dy, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} y(1+y) b'_{n+\alpha,k+r}(y) (y-x)^m dy \\ &= -(m+2) R_{n,m+1,r}(x) - (1+2x)(m+1) R_{n,m,r}(x) \\ &- x(1+x) m R_{n,m-1,r}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here the last equation follows from integration by parts. Furthermore, we easily see

$$A_2 + A_3 = -(r - (\alpha + 1)x)R_{n,m,r}(x) + (n + \alpha + 1)R_{n,m+1,r}(x). \tag{16}$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} x(R'_{n,m,r}(x) + mR_{n,m-1,r}(x)) &= -(m + 2)R_{n,m+1,r}(x) - (1 + 2x)(m + 1)R_{n,m,r}(x) \\ &\quad - x(1 + x)mR_{n,m-1,r}(x) \\ &\quad - (r - (\alpha + 1)x)R_{n,m,r}(x) + (n + \alpha + 1)R_{n,m+1,r}(x) \\ &= (n + \alpha - m - 1)R_{n,m+1,r}(x) \\ &\quad - ((2m - \alpha + 1)x + m + r + 1)R_{n,m,r}(x) \\ &\quad - x(1 + x)mR_{n,m-1,r}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Consequently, (ii) is proved.

(iii) For $m = 1$, (6) holds. Let us assume (6) for $m \geq 1$. We note

$$R'_{n,m,r}(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}}\right)g'_{n,m,r}(x), \tag{18}$$

$$g'_{n,m,r}(x) \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}.$$

So, we have, by the assumption of induction,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \alpha - m - 1)R_{n,m+1,r}(\alpha; x) &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}}\right)xg'_{n,m,r}(x) \\ &\quad + ((2m - \alpha + 1)x + m + r + 1)O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor(m+1)/2\rfloor}}\right) \\ &\quad \times g_{n,m,r}(x) \\ &\quad + mx(x + 2)O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor m/2\rfloor}}\right)g_{n,m-1,r}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here, if m is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right] + 1 &= \frac{m}{2} + 1 = \frac{m+2}{2} = \left[\frac{m+2}{2}\right], \\ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 &= \frac{m}{2} + 1 = \frac{m+2}{2} = \left[\frac{m+2}{2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and if m is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right] + 1 &= \frac{m+1}{2} + 1 = \left[\frac{m+2}{2}\right], \\ \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] + 1 &= \frac{m-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{m+1}{2} = \left[\frac{m+2}{2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Hence we have

$$R_{n,m+1,r}(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor(m+2)/2\rfloor}}\right)g_{n,m+1,r}(x), \tag{22}$$

and here we see that $g_{n,m+1,r}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq m + 1$ such that the coefficients of $g_{n,m+1,r}(x)$ are bounded independently of n . \square

Lemma 2 (cf. [7]). *Let n, β , and r be integers with $r \geq 0$. Let $f \in C^{(r)}[0, \infty)$ satisfy for a positive integer δ*

$$|f^{(r)}(x)| \leq O(1)(x + 1)^\delta. \tag{23}$$

Then one has, for $n + \beta - r > \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) f^{(r)}(y) dy, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\lambda_{n,\beta,r} := \frac{(n + \beta - 1)!}{n^r (n + \beta - r - 1)!}. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Using

$$b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}^{(r)}(y) = \frac{(n + \beta - 1)!}{(n + \beta - r - 1)!} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i b_{n+\beta,k+i}(y), \tag{26}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}^{(r)}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta,k}(y) f(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \binom{r}{i} (-1)^r (-1)^i n^r s_{n,k-i}(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta,k}(y) f(y) dy \\ &= n^r \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^r (-1)^i b_{n+\beta,k+i}(y) f(y) dy \\ &= n^r \frac{(n + \beta - r - 1)!}{(n + \beta - 1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} (-1)^r b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}^{(r)}(y) f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{n,\beta,r}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) f^{(r)}(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

\square

3. Main Results

Theorem 3. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$, and let δ and r be nonnegative integers. Let n and β be integers with $n + \beta - r > \delta$. Let $f \in C^{(r+1)}[0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(r)}(x)| &\leq O(1)(x+1)^\delta, \\ |f^{(r+1)}(x)| &\leq O(1)(x+1)^{\delta+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Then one has uniformly, for f and n ,

$$\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/3}}\right)(x+1)^{\delta+2}. \quad (29)$$

Proof. Let $|t-x| < \varepsilon$ and $x < \xi < t$. By the second inequality of (28),

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(r)}(t) - f^{(r)}(x)| &= |t-x| |f^{(r+1)}(\xi)| \\ &\leq O(1)|t-x|(x+1)^{\delta+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Let $\varepsilon = n^{-\nu}$, $0 < \nu < 1$. Then using Lemma 2 and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) f^{(r)}(x) dy = f^{(r)}(x), \quad (31)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \left(\int_{|t-x|<\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) |f^{(r)}(t) - f^{(r)}(x)| dt \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{|t-x|\geq\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) |f^{(r)}(t) - f^{(r)}(x)| dt \right) \right| \\ &= E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (30) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= O(1) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x|<\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |t-x|(x+1)^{\delta+2} dt \right| \\ &\leq O(1) \varepsilon |R_{n,0,r}(\beta-r;x)| (x+1)^{\delta+2} = O(1) \varepsilon (x+1)^{\delta+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Next, we estimate E_2 . By the use of the first inequality in (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= C \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x|\geq\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (|f^{(r)}(t)| + |f^{(r)}(x)|) dt \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x|\geq\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times ((t+1)^\delta + (x+1)^\delta) dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now using $(t+1)^\delta = ((t-x) + x+1)^\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{i} (t-x)^i (x+1)^{\delta-i}$ and the notation

$$\langle i \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i : \text{odd}, \\ 0, & i : \text{even}, \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x|\geq\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{i} |t-x|^i \left| \frac{t-x}{\varepsilon} \right|^{\langle i \rangle} (x+1)^{\delta-i} \right) dt \\ &\quad + C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_{|t-x|\geq\varepsilon} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \left(\frac{t-x}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 (x+1)^\delta dt \\ &= E_{21} + E_{22}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Then, with $\varepsilon = n^{-\nu}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{21} &\leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{i} |R_{n,i+\langle i \rangle,r}(\beta-r;x)| \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{\langle i \rangle} (x+1)^{\delta-i} \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\delta} \binom{\delta}{i} O\left(\frac{n^{\nu \langle i \rangle}}{n^{\lfloor (i+\langle i \rangle+1)/2 \rfloor}} \right) \\ &\quad \times |g_{n,i+\langle i \rangle,r}(\beta-r;x)| (x+1)^{\delta-i} \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\lfloor (i+\langle i \rangle+1)/2 \rfloor - \nu \langle i \rangle}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+1} \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-\nu}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Here for $i \geq 1$, we get

$$\left[\frac{i + \langle i \rangle + 1}{2} \right] - \nu \langle i \rangle \geq 1 - \nu, \quad (38)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{i + \langle i \rangle + 1}{2} \right] - \nu \langle i \rangle &= \begin{cases} \frac{i+1}{2} - \nu, & i : \text{odd}, \\ \frac{i}{2} - \nu, & i : \text{even}, \end{cases} \\ E_{22} &\leq C |R_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 (x+1)^\delta \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{[3/2]}} \right) |g_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 (x+1)^\delta \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{n^{2\nu}}{n^{[3/2]}} \right) |g_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| (x+1)^\delta \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-2\nu}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Finally we get

$$E_2 \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-2\nu}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+2}. \tag{40}$$

From (32),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^\nu} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1-2\nu}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

If we put $\nu = 1/3$, then we get

$$\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/3}} \right) (x+1)^{\delta+2}. \tag{42}$$

□

In the following, we let $\phi(t) = 1/(1+t)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Theorem 4. Let r and γ be nonnegative integers. Let n and β be integers with $n + \beta - r > 2\gamma + 1$. Let $f \in C^{(r+2)}[0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} < \infty, \\ &\|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Then one has uniformly, for f and n ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \\ &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \left(\|f^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Proof. For $f \in C^{(r+2)}[0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(r)}(y) &= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x)(y-x) \\ &\quad + \int_x^y (y-u) f^{(r+2)}(u) du, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_x^y (y-u) f^{(r+2)}(u) du \right| \\ &\leq C \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \\ &\quad \times \left((1+x)^{2\gamma} + (1+y)^{2\gamma} \right) (y-x)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

From (45), (46), and Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) \\ &= f^{(r)}(x) + f^{(r+1)}(x) R_{n,1,r}(\beta - r; x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^\infty s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times \int_x^t (t-u) f^{(r+2)}(u) du dt, \\ &\left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) \int_x^t (t-u) f^{(r+2)}(u) du dt \right| \\ &\leq \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \\ &\quad \times \left((1+x)^{2\gamma} |R_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^\infty s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) (1+t)^{2\gamma} (t-x)^2 dt \right). \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Using $(1+t)^{2\gamma} \leq C((t-x)^{2\gamma} + (1+x)^{2\gamma})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sum_{k=0}^\infty s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(t) (1+t)^{2\gamma} (t-x)^2 dt \right| \\ &\leq C \left(|R_{n,2\gamma+2,r}(\beta - r; x)| + (1+x)^{2\gamma} |R_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| \right). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \\ &\leq |f^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x)| |R_{n,1,r}(\beta - r; x)| \phi(x) \\ &\quad + C \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} (1+x)^{2\gamma} \\ &\quad \times |R_{n,2,r}(\beta - r; x)| \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ C \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \\
 &\times |R_{n,2(\gamma+1),r}(\beta-r;x)| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \\
 \leq &O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) |f^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x)| |g_{n,1,r}(\beta-r;x)| \phi(x) \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} (1+x)^{2\gamma} \\
 &\times |g_{n,2,r}(\beta-r;x)| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \\
 &+ O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\gamma+1}}\right) \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \\
 &\times |g_{n,2(\gamma+1),r}(\beta-r;x)| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

For $x \in [0, \infty)$, we have $|g_{n,1,r}(\beta-r;x)|\phi(x) \leq C$, $(1+x)^{2\gamma}|g_{n,2,r}(\beta-r;x)|\phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \leq C$, and $|g_{n,2(\gamma+1),r}(\beta-r;x)|\phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \leq C$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x)| \phi^{2(\gamma+1)}(x) \\
 &\leq O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) (\|f^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)} \\
 &\quad + \|f^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty[0,\infty)}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

□

Let us define the weighted modulus of smoothness by

$$\omega_k(f; \eta; t) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot) \eta(\cdot)\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))}, \quad t \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \tag{52}$$

where

$$\Delta_h^1 f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x), \tag{53}$$

$$\Delta_h^2 f(x) = f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h). \tag{54}$$

Theorem 5. *Let r and γ be nonnegative integers. Let n and β be integers with $n + \beta - r > 2\gamma + 2$. Then one has, for $f \in C^r([0, \infty))$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))} \\
 &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_1\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+1}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

To prove Theorem 5, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *Let r and γ be nonnegative integers. Let n and β be integers with $n + \beta - r > 2\gamma$. Let $f \in C^{(r)}([0, \infty))$ satisfy*

$$\|f^{(r)} \phi^{2\gamma}\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))} < \infty. \tag{56}$$

Then one has uniformly, for n, f , and $x \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\left| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x) \right| \leq C \|f^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))}. \tag{57}$$

Proof. Using $(1+y)^{2\gamma} \leq C((1+x)^{2\gamma} + (y-x)^{2\gamma})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) (1+y)^{2\gamma} dy \right| \\
 &\leq C \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times ((1+x)^{2\gamma} + (y-x)^{2\gamma}) dy \right| \\
 &\leq C (R_{n,0,r}(\beta-r;x) \phi^{-2\gamma}(x) + R_{n,2\gamma,r}(\beta-r;x)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1 (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) (1+y)^{2\gamma} dy \right| \\
 &\leq C \left(\phi^{-2\gamma}(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{n^\gamma}\right) g_{n,2\gamma,r}(\beta-r;x) \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Since $|g_{n,2\gamma,r}(\beta-r;x)|\phi^{2\gamma}(x)$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, \infty)$, we have with Lemma 2 and (59)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)| \\
 &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_{n,k}(x) \int_0^{\infty} b_{n+\beta-r,k+r}(y) (1+y)^{2\gamma} dy \phi^{2\gamma}(x) \right| \\
 &\quad \times \|f^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))} \\
 &\leq C \|f^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x)\|_{L_\infty([0,\infty))}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Therefore, we have the result. □

The Steklov function $[f]_h(x)$ for $f \in C([0, \infty))$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[f]_h(x) \\
 &:= \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{h/2} \int_0^{h/2} [2f(x+s+t) - f(x+2(s+t))] ds dt, \\
 &x \geq 0, h > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Then for the Steklov function $[f]_h(x)$ with respect to $f \in C([0, \infty))$, we have the following properties.

Lemma 7 (see [8, Lemma 2.4]). *Let $f(x) \in C([0, \infty))$, and let $\eta(x)$ be a positive and nonincreasing function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

(i) $[f]_h(x) \in C^2([0, \infty))$,

(ii)

$$\|([f]_h(x) - f(x)) \eta(x)\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \leq \omega_2\left(f; \eta; \frac{h}{2}\right), \quad (62)$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [f]'_h(x) \eta(x) \|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq \frac{4}{h} \omega_1\left(f; \eta; \frac{h}{2}\right) \frac{\eta(x)}{\eta(x+h/2)} + \frac{1}{h} \omega_1(f; \eta; h) \frac{\eta(x)}{\eta(x+h)}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [f]''_h(x) \eta(x) \|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq \frac{4}{h^2} \left[2\omega_2\left(f; \eta; \frac{h}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\omega_2(f; \eta; h) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Now, we prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. We know that, for $f(x) \in C^r([0, \infty))$,

$$\begin{aligned} [f]_h^{(r)}(x) &= [f^{(r)}]_h(x), \\ [f]_h^{(r+1)}(x) &= [f^{(r)}]'_h(x), \\ [f]_h^{(r+2)}(x) &= [f^{(r)}]''_h(x). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Then first, we split it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq \left\| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f - [f]_h])^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \quad + \left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[[f]_h])^{(r)}(x) - [f]_h^{(r)}(x) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \quad + \left\| \left([f]_h^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Then for the first term, we have, using Theorem 6, (62), and (65),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[f - [f]_h])^{(r)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq C \left\| \left([f]_h^{(r)}(x) - [f^{(r)}]_h(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \quad (67) \\ & \leq C \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x); h\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we suppose $0 < h \leq 1$, and then we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(x+h)} & \leq 2, \\ \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(x+h/2)} & \leq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For the second term, from Theorem 4, (65), (63), and (64) of Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[[f]_h])^{(r)}(x) - [f]_h^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\left\| [f]_h^{(r+1)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma+1}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\| [f]_h^{(r+2)}(x) \phi^{2\gamma}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \right) \\ & \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{h} \omega_1\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+1}; h\right) + \frac{1}{h^2} \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma}; h\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[[f]_h])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{h} \omega_1\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+1}; h\right) + \frac{1}{h^2} \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma}; h\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+2}; h\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

If we let $h = 1/\sqrt{n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\lambda_{n,\beta,r}(B_{n,\beta}[[f]_h])^{(r)}(x) - f^{(r)}(x) \right) \phi^{2\gamma+2}(x) \right\|_{L_\infty([0, \infty))} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_1\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+1}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \omega_2\left(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma}; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

because $\omega_2(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma+2}; 1/\sqrt{n}) \leq \omega_2(f^{(r)}; \phi^{2\gamma}; 1/\sqrt{n})$. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referees for many kind suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] A. Sahai and G. Prasad, "On simultaneous approximation by modified Lupas operators," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 122–128, 1985.
- [2] N. Deo, "Faster rate of convergence on Srivastava-Gupta operators," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 21, pp. 10486–10491, 2012.
- [3] N. Deo and N. Bhardwaj, "Some approximation results for Durrmeyer operators," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 12, pp. 5531–5536, 2011.

- [4] M. M. Derriennic, "Sur l'approximation de fonctions intégrables sur $[0, 1]$ par des polynômes de Bernstein modifiés," *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 325–343, 1981.
- [5] O. Duman, M. A. Özarslan, and H. Aktuğlu, "Better error estimation for Szász-Mirakjan-beta operators," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 53–59, 2008.
- [6] H. S. Kasana, G. Prasad, P. N. Agrawal, and A. Sahai, "Modified Szász operators," in *Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications (Kuwait, 1985)*, vol. 3 of *KFAS Proceedings Series*, pp. 29–41, Pergamon, Oxford, UK, 1988.
- [7] V. Gupta, G. S. Srivastava, and A. Sahai, "On simultaneous approximation by Szász-beta operators," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 1995.
- [8] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, "Approximation by Lupas-type operators and Szász-Mirakyan-type operators," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 546784, 28 pages, 2012.