

Research Article

Positive Interpolation Operators with Exponential-Type Weights

Hee Sun Jung¹ and Ryozi Sakai²

¹ Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul 110-745, Republic of Korea

² Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Hee Sun Jung; hsun90@skku.edu

Received 26 December 2012; Accepted 7 March 2013

Academic Editor: Roberto Barrio

Copyright © 2013 H. S. Jung and R. Sakai. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider positive operators on the real line \mathbb{R} with property of interpolation, and we show the weighted L_p -convergence of the operators. We will construct an analogical operator of one which is studied by Knopfmacher (1986). Furthermore, we treat the Shepard-type interpolatory operator (cf. Xie et al. (1998)).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider two interpolatory positive operators. For $\gamma > 1$ and $-\infty < x_{n,n} < \dots < x_{1,n} < \infty$, we construct an operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} f(x_{k,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma}{\sum_{k=1}^n h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The details will be stated later, and the result is written in Section 2. Knopfmacher [1] studied the positive operator

$$F_{n,\gamma}[f](x) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kn} f(x_{k,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma}{\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma}, \quad (2)$$

and for $1 < \gamma \leq 2$, he obtained a certain weighted-convergence theorem on the compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$. The operators (1) and (2) have the property of Hermite-Fejér interpolation, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} H_n[f](x_{k,n}) &= f(x_{k,n}), \\ H_n[f]'(x_{k,n}) &= 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We also treat the interpolatory positive operator of Shepard-type. Let us define $S_{n,\lambda}(f; x)$ for $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$S_{n,\lambda}(f; x) := \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n f(x_{j,n}) \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}, \quad (4)$$

$\lambda \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}.$

The operator $S_{n,\lambda}(f; x)$ is linear and positive, furthermore it interpolates $f(x)$ at the zeros $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^n$. In fact, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\lambda}(f; x_{k,n}) &= \lim_{\substack{x \neq x_{k,n}, \\ x \rightarrow x_{k,n}}} \left(f(x_{k,n}) \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{k,n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \neq k} f(x_{j,n}) \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda} |x - x_{k,n}|^\lambda \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left(\Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{k,n}) + \sum_{j \neq k} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) \right. \\ & \left. \times |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda} |x - x_{k,n}|^{\lambda} \right)^{-1} \\ & = f(x_{k,n}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The related theorem is written in Section 4.

First we need the following definition from [2]. We say that $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is quasi-increasing (quasi-decreasing) if there exists $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq Cf(y)$ ($f(x) \geq Cf(y)$), $0 < x < y$.

Definition 1. Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an even function and satisfying the following properties.

- (a) $Q'(x)$ is continuous in \mathbb{R} , with $Q(0) = 0$.
- (b) $Q''(x)$ exists and is positive in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
- (c) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Q(x) = \infty$.
- (d) The function

$$T(x) := \frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad x \neq 0 \tag{6}$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, \infty)$, with

$$T(x) \geq \Lambda > 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}. \tag{7}$$

- (e) There exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \leq C_1 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{8}$$

Then, we write $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2)$. If there also exist a compact subinterval $J(\ni 0)$ of \mathbb{R} and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \geq C_2 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus J, \tag{9}$$

then we write $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.

Example 2. There are some typical examples of $Q(x)$ satisfying $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.

- (1) If $T(x)$ is bounded, then the weight $w = \exp(-Q)$ is the so-called the Freud-type weight. Then the typical Freud-type example would be

$$Q(x) = |x|^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 1. \tag{10}$$

- (2) If $T(x)$ is unbounded, then the weight $w = \exp(-Q)$ is called the Erdős-type weight. Erdős-type examples $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ are as follows.

- (a) (see [2, Example 1.2], [3, Theorem 3.1]) For $\alpha > 1$, $l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$Q(x) = Q_{l,\alpha}(x) = \exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \exp_l(0), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\exp_l(x) = \exp(\exp(\exp \cdots \exp x) \cdots) \quad (l\text{-times}). \tag{12}$$

More precisely, we define for $\alpha + m > 1$, $m \geq 0$, $l \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$Q_{l,\alpha,m}(x) := |x|^m (\exp_l(|x|^\alpha) - \alpha^* \exp_l(0)), \tag{13}$$

where $\alpha^* = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$, otherwise $\alpha^* = 1$ (but, note that $Q_{l,0,m}$ gives a Freud-type weight).

- (b) (see [3, Theorem 3.5]) For $\alpha > 1$, put $Q_\alpha(x) := (1 + |x|)^{|x|^\alpha} - 1$, $\alpha > 1$.

We construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(x) = p_n(w^2, x)$ of degree n for $w^2(x)$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(w^2, x) p_m(w^2, x) w^2(x) dx \\ & = \delta_{mn} \quad (\text{Kronecker delta}). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let $f w \in L_p(\mathbb{R})$. The Fourier-type series of f is defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(w^2, f) p_k(w^2, x), \tag{15}$$

$$a_k(w^2, f) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) p_k(w^2, t) w^2(t) dt.$$

We denote the partial sum of $\tilde{f}(x)$ by

$$s_n(f, x) := s_n(w^2, f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k(w^2, f) p_k(w^2, x). \tag{16}$$

If we use the Christoffel-Darboux formula, then we obtain

$$s_n(f, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_n(x, t) f(t) w^2(t) dt. \tag{17}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(x, t) & := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k(x) p_k(t) \\ & = \frac{\gamma_{n-1} p_n(x) p_{n-1}(t) - p_n(t) p_{n-1}(x)}{\gamma_n x - t}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $p_n(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$. The polynomials of degree $\leq n$ are denoted by \mathcal{P}_n . We define the Christoffel numbers $\lambda_n(w; x)$ by

$$\lambda_n(w; x) := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|Pw|^2(t) dt}{|P(x)|^2}, \quad (19)$$

then we have

$$\lambda_n(w; x) = \frac{1}{K_n(x, x)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^2(w^2, x)}. \quad (20)$$

We denote the zeros of the orthonormal polynomial $p_n(w^2, x)$ by $x_{n,n} < x_{n-1,n} < \dots < x_{1,n}$. Then we define the Christoffel numbers $\lambda_{k,n}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such as $\lambda_{k,n} := \lambda_n(w, x_{k,n})$.

2. Preliminaries and Theorems

We need the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff number a_x ;

$$x = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{a_x t Q'(a_x t)}{(1-t^2)^{1/2}} dt, \quad x > 0. \quad (21)$$

We define

$$\varphi_u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_u}{u} \frac{1 - (|x|/a_{2u})}{\sqrt{1 - (|x|/a_u) + \delta_u}}, & |x| \leq a_u; \\ \varphi_u(a_u), & a_u < |x|, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

$$\Phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n} + \delta_n, & |x| \leq a_n; \\ \delta_n, & a_n < |x|, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\delta_u = \{uT(a_u)\}^{-2/3} \quad u > 0. \quad (24)$$

Moreover, we define a function $\psi_n(x)$ for $\gamma > 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} a_n^{2-\gamma} \varphi_n^{\gamma-1}(x), & 1 < \gamma < 2; \\ \varphi_n(x) \log a_n, & \gamma = 2; \\ \varphi_n(x), & \gamma > 2, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$\psi_n^* := \begin{cases} a_n^{2-\gamma} \phi_n^{\gamma-1}, & 1 < \gamma < 2; \\ \phi_n \log n, & \gamma = 2; \\ \phi_n, & 2 < \gamma, \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where $\phi_n := \max\{a_n/n, a_n \delta_n\}$. For the Freud-type weight w we suppose to hold $\psi_n^* \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ is the Erdős-type weight, then it always holds. So for the Freud-type weight we need to limit slightly the weights.

To state our main result, we assume some conditions for $h(x)$ as follows.

- (1) $h(x)$ is even, positive, and quasi-decreasing on $[0, \infty)$.
- (2) $h(x_{k,n}) \sim h(x_{k+1,n})$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- (3) $h(x)\Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R} for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Let $\{x_{j,n}\}_{j=1}^n$ be the zeros of the orthonormal polynomial $p_n(w^2, x)$. Then we define the operator $\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x)$ by (1) with $\gamma > 1, h(x)$, and for each $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ we define a pointwise modulus of continuity $\omega_x(f; t) = \sup_{\{y: |x-y| \leq t, y \in \mathbb{R}\}} |f(x) - f(y)|$. When $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} , we set

$$\Omega(f; t) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \omega_x(f; t). \quad (27)$$

Then our first theorem is as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $w \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, and let $\psi_n^* \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\gamma > 1$. Then we have the following.

- (a) For $x_{n,n} \leq x \leq x_{1,n}$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x), \quad (28)$$

and for $|x| > x_{1,n}$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) |x| \psi_n^{-1}(x). \quad (29)$$

- (b) Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ and w^* be an integrable function satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| w^*(x) h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \right\|_{L_p([x_{n,n}, x_{1,n}])} \\ & + \left\| x \psi_n^{-1}(x) w^*(x) \right\|_{L_p(|x| \geq x_{1,n})} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then one has for $f(x)$ being uniformly continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R}

$$\left\| w^* \{ \mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f] - f \} \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} = O(1) \Omega(f; \psi_n^*), \quad (31)$$

where ψ_n^* are defined in (26).

We prepare some lemmas for the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2)$.

- (1) (see [2, Lemma 3.5 (3.27)–(3.29)]) For fixed $L > 0$ and uniformly for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{Lt} & \sim a_t, & T(a_{Lt}) & \sim T(a_t), \\ Q^{(j)}(a_{Lt}) & \sim Q^{(j)}(a_t), & j & = 0, 1. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Moreover,

$$T(a_{Lt}) \sim T(a_t). \quad (33)$$

- (2) (see [2, Lemma 3.4 (3.18), (3.17), Lemma 3.8 (3.42)])

$$Q(a_t) \sim \frac{t}{\sqrt{T(a_t)}}, \quad Q'(a_t) \sim \frac{t\sqrt{T(a_t)}}{a_t}, \quad (34)$$

and for $x \in [0, a_n/2]$,

$$Q'(x) \sim \frac{n}{a_n} \left(\frac{x}{a_n}\right)^{\Lambda-1}, \tag{35}$$

where $\Lambda > 1$ is defined in Definition 1(d).

(3) (see [2, Lemma 3.11 (a), (b)]) Given fixed $0 < \alpha$, one has uniformly for $t > 0$,

$$\left|1 - \frac{a_{\alpha t}}{a_t}\right| \sim \frac{1}{T(a_t)}. \tag{36}$$

(4) (see [2, Lemma 3.7 (3.38)]) For some $0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$, and for large enough t ,

$$T(a_t) \leq t^{2-\varepsilon}. \tag{37}$$

(5) (see [2, Lemma 3.8 (a)]) For $x \in [0, a_t]$,

$$Q'(x) \leq C \frac{t}{a_t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (x/a_t)}}. \tag{38}$$

Lemma 5 ([4, Theorem 2.7]). *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |p_n(x) w(x) \Phi_n^{1/4}(x)| \leq C a_n^{-1/2}. \tag{39}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$.*

(1) *Let $x_{j,n}$ be the zero of $p_n(x)$. Then for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,*

$$x_{j,n} - x_{j+1,n} \sim \varphi_n(x_{j,n}), \tag{40}$$

$$\varphi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim \varphi_n(x_{j+1,n}). \tag{41}$$

(2) *For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,*

$$\Phi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim \Phi_n(x_{j+1,n}). \tag{42}$$

Proof. (1) This follows from [2, Corollary 13.4, Theorem 5.7 (b)].

(2) Recall the definition of $\Phi_n(x)$ in (21). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(x_{j,n}) &= 1 - \frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n \\ &= 1 - \frac{|x_{j+1,n}|}{a_n} \\ &\quad + \delta_n - \frac{x_{j,n} - x_{j+1,n}}{a_n} \\ &\sim 1 - \frac{|x_{j+1,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n - \frac{\varphi_n(x_{j,n})}{a_n} \\ &= 1 - \frac{|x_{j+1,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n} \frac{1 - |x_{j,n}/a_{2n}|}{\sqrt{1 - |x_{j,n}|/a_n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Hence, if $|x_{k,n}|, |x_{k+1,n}| \leq a_n/2$, then we see

$$\Phi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim 1 - \frac{|x_{j+1,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n - \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\frac{1 - |x_{j,n}|}{a_n}} + \delta_n. \tag{44}$$

Here we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(x_{j,n}) + \frac{C}{n} \sqrt{\Phi_n(x_{j,n})} \\ = \sqrt{\Phi_n(x_{j,n})} \left\{ \sqrt{\Phi_n(x_{j,n})} + \frac{C}{n} \right\} \sim \Phi_n(x_{j,n}), \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

because of $\sqrt{\Phi_n(x_{j,n})} \geq C/\sqrt{T(a_n)} > 1/n^{1-\varepsilon} > (1/n)(\varepsilon > 0)$. (see Lemma 4 (3), (4)). Therefore, we have

$$\Phi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim \Phi_n(x_{j+1,n}). \tag{46}$$

Let $a_n/2 < |x_{j,n}|$. Then we see

$$\frac{1}{n} \frac{1 - |x_{j,n}/a_{2n}|}{\sqrt{1 - |x_{j,n}|/a_n} + \delta_n} \leq C \frac{1}{n} \frac{(nT(a_n))^{1/3}}{T(a_n)} \sim \delta_n. \tag{47}$$

Therefore we see

$$\Phi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim 1 - \frac{|x_{j+1,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n = \Phi_n(x_{j+1,n}). \tag{48}$$

□

Lemma 7 ([2, Theorem 13.3 (13.9)]). *If $x \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]$, then*

$$(l_{k,n}w)(x) w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) + (l_{k+1,n}w)(x) w^{-1}(x_{k+1,n}) \sim 1. \tag{49}$$

Lemma 8. *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Then the following results hold.*

(a) For $|x| \leq a_n(1 + \delta_n)$,

$$K_n(x, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_k^2(w^2, x) = \lambda_{n,2}^{-1}(w; x) \sim \varphi_n^{-1}(x) w^{-2}(x). \tag{50}$$

(b) For $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$K_n(x, x) \leq C \varphi_n^{-1}(x) w^{-2}(x). \tag{51}$$

Proof. From [2, Theorem 9.3], we have the following.

(1) Uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $|x| \leq a_n(1 + \eta_n)$, we have

$$\lambda_n(w; x) \sim \varphi_n(x) w^2(x). \tag{52}$$

(2) Moreover, uniformly for $n \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lambda_n(w; x) \geq C \varphi_n(x) w^2(x). \tag{53}$$

Since $K_n(x, x) = 1/\lambda_n(w; x)$, we have the following results. □

3. Proof of Theorem 3

To estimate the difference $|\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) - f(x)|$, we split $\sum_{k=1}^n$ into two parts.

To prove the theorem we start the estimation of the denominator for the operator $\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}$. We will need it in Step 4.

Step 1. Let

$$H_{n,\gamma}(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{k,n} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma. \tag{54}$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 9. *There exists $C > 0$ such that uniformly, for $x \in [x_{n,n}, x_{1,n}]$,*

$$H_{n,\gamma}(x) \geq Ch(x) \varphi_n^{1-\gamma}(x) w^{-\gamma}(x). \tag{55}$$

Proof. By Lemma 7, if $x \in [x_{k+1,n}, x_{k,n}]$, $x_{k+1,n} \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$, then

$$(l_{k,n}w)(x) w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) + (l_{k+1,n}w)(x) w^{-1}(x_{k+1,n}) \sim 1. \tag{56}$$

Since $l_{k,n}(x) = \lambda_{k,n}K_n(x, x_{k,n})$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{k,n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x_{k,n})} K_n(x, x_{k,n}) \\ & + \lambda_{k+1,n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x_{k+1,n})} K_n(x, x_{k+1,n}) \sim 1, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < C & \leq \left(\lambda_{k,n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x_{k,n})} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})| \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda_{k+1,n} \frac{w(x)}{w(x_{k+1,n})} |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n})| \right) \\ & = \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,n} w(x)}{w^2(x_{k,n})} w(x_{k,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k,n})| \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda_{k+1,n} w(x)}{w^2(x_{k+1,n})} w(x_{k+1,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n})| \right) \\ & \sim \frac{\lambda_{k,n} w(x)}{w^2(x_{k,n})} (w(x_{k,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k,n})| \\ & \quad + w(x_{k+1,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n})|) \\ & \leq C \frac{\lambda_{k,n} w(x)}{w^2(x_{k,n})} (|K_n(x, x_{k,n}) w(x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ & \quad + |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n}) w(x_{k+1,n})|^\gamma)^{1/\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Therefore, from (41) and (52) we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_n^{1-\gamma}(x) w^{-\gamma}(x) \\ & \sim \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,n}}{w^2(x_{k,n})} \right)^{1-\gamma} w^{-\gamma}(x) \\ & \leq C \frac{\lambda_{k,n}}{w^2(x_{k,n})} (|K_n(x, x_{k,n}) w(x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ & \quad + |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n}) w(x_{k+1,n})|^\gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Using the fact $h(x_{k,n}) \sim h(x) \sim h(x_{k+1,n})$ (see the definition of $h(x)$), we have by (41) and (52)

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{n,\gamma}(x) \\ & \geq h(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{k,n} w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ & \quad + h(x_{k+1,n}) \lambda_{k+1,n} w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k+1,n}) |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n})|^\gamma \\ & \geq Ch(x) \frac{\lambda_{k,n}}{w^2(x_{k,n})} (|K_n(x, x_{k,n}) w(x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ & \quad + |K_n(x, x_{k+1,n}) w(x_{k+1,n})|^\gamma) \\ & \geq Ch(x) \varphi_n^{1-\gamma}(x) w^{-\gamma}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

In another case, that is, when $x_{k+1,n} < 0$, we also have the same result. □

Step 2. Let $|x - x_{k,n}| \leq \varphi_n(x)$. Let $f(x)$ be uniformly continuous and bounded on \mathbb{R} , and let $\gamma > 1$. Then we have

$$|f(x) - f(x_{k,n})| \leq \omega_x(f; \varphi_n(x)). \tag{61}$$

Now, let

$$\sum_1 := \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| \leq \varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} \times |f(x) - f(x_{k,n})| |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma. \quad (62)$$

We have the following estimation.

Lemma 10. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_1 \leq \omega_x(f; \varphi_n(x)). \quad (63)$$

Proof. By (61),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &\leq \omega_x(f; \varphi_n(x)) \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| \leq \varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ &\leq \omega_x(f; \varphi_n(x)), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

because we know from the definition of $H_{n,\gamma}(x)$ in (54) that

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| \leq \varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \leq H_{n,\gamma}(x). \quad (65)$$

□

Step 3. Next, we estimate $\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)}$. Let $|x - x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)$ and let $|x - x_{m,n}| = \min\{|x - x_{k,n}|, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. To do so, we prepare the following. By Lemma 6,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})| &\leq C a_n \frac{|p_n(x) p_{n-1}(x_{k,n})|}{|x - x_{k,n}|} \\ &\leq C w^{-1}(x) w^{-1}(x_{k,n}) \Phi_n^{-1/4}(x) \\ &\quad \times \Phi_n^{-1/4}(x_{k,n}) \frac{1}{|x - x_{k,n}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

From the property of the modulus of continuity we have, for $|x - x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x_{k,n})$,

$$|f(x) - f(x_{k,n})| \leq C (|x - x_{k,n}| \psi_n^{-1}(x) + 1) \omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)), \quad (67)$$

where $\psi_n(x)$ is defined in (25) as $\psi_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in \mathbb{R} as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We have the following estimate.

Lemma 11. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$B_{n,k}(x) := \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma. \quad (68)$$

Then

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} B_{n,k}(x) \leq 1. \quad (69)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} B_{n,k}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} \\ &\quad \times |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

because we know from the definition of $H_{n,\gamma}(x)$ in (54) that

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \leq H_{n,\gamma}(x). \quad (71)$$

□

Step 4. Let $|x - x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)$. Using the result of Step 1, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 12. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one sets

$$C_{n,k}(x) := \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} h(x_{k,n}) w^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{kn} \times |x - x_{k,n}| |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma. \quad (72)$$

Then for $x \in [x_{n,n}, x_{1,n}]$,

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \leq Ch^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \psi_n(x), \quad (73)$$

and for $|x| > x_{1,n}$,

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}| > \varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \leq 2|x|. \quad (74)$$

Proof. First, let $x \in [x_{n,n}, x_{1,n}]$. Then using (52), (66), and Lemma 9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,k}(x) &\leq Ch(x_{k,n}) \varphi_n(x_{k,n}) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4} \\ &\quad \times (x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x_{k,n}) \frac{1}{|x - x_{k,n}|^{\gamma-1}} \\ &\quad \times h^{-1}(x) \varphi_n^{\gamma-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

From the fact that $h(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x)$ is bounded (recall the definition of $h(x)$), we can continue as

$$C_{n,k}(x) \leq Ch^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \varphi_n^{\gamma-1}(x) \frac{\varphi_n(x_{k,n})}{|x - x_{k,n}|^{\gamma-1}}. \quad (76)$$

Then by (25) and (40),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \\ & \leq C \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} \frac{\varphi_n(x_{k,n})}{|x-x_{k,n}|^{\gamma-1}} h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \varphi_n^{\gamma-1}(x) \\ & \leq Ch^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \varphi_n^{\gamma-1}(x) \\ & \quad \times \begin{cases} a_n^{2-\gamma}, & 1 < \gamma < 2; \\ \log a_n, & \gamma = 2; \\ \varphi_n^{2-\gamma}(x), & \gamma > 2, \end{cases} \\ & \leq Ch^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \psi_n(x). \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Next, suppose $x > x_{1,n}$. Then since

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,k}(x) & \leq 2|x| \frac{1}{H_{n,\gamma}(x)} h(x_{k,n}) \omega^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{k,n} \\ & \quad \times |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

we have from Lemma 11,

$$\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \leq 2|x|. \tag{79}$$

□

Step 5. Using (67) and Lemmas 11 and 12, we can estimate the part $\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 & := \left(\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} h(x_{k,n}) \omega^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{k,n} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times |f(x) - f(x_{k,n})| |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^n h(x_{k,n}) \omega^{\gamma-2}(x_{k,n}) \lambda_{k,n} |K_n(x, x_{k,n})|^\gamma \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

Then for $x \in [x_{n,n}, x_{1,n}]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} B_{n,k}(x) + \psi_n^{-1}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \right) \\ & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) (1 + h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x)) \\ & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and for $|x| \geq x_{1,n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_2 & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} B_{n,k}(x) + \psi_n^{-1}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{|x-x_{k,n}|>\varphi_n(x)} C_{n,k}(x) \right) \\ & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) (1 + |x| \psi_n^{-1}(x)) \\ & \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) |x| \psi_n^{-1}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Therefore, with Lemma 10 we have the following result.

Lemma 13. For $x_{n,n} \leq x \leq x_{1,n}$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) h^{-1}(x) \Phi_n^{-\gamma/4}(x) \tag{83}$$

and for $|x| > x_{1,n}$

$$|\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f](x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_x(f; \psi_n(x)) |x| \psi_n^{-1}(x). \tag{84}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. (a) follows from Lemma 13. We will show (b). Let $0 < p \leq \infty$. Then since we know that $\varphi(x) \leq C\phi_n$ and so $\psi_n(x) \leq C\psi_n^*$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^*(\mathcal{F}_{n,\gamma}[f] - f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} & = O(1) \left\| w^* \left(\sum_1 + \sum_2 \right) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ & = O(1) \Omega(f; \psi_n^*). \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

□

Example 14. Let $h(x) = \Phi^{\gamma/4}(x)$ and

$$w^*(x) = \frac{\Phi^{\gamma/2}(x)}{(1 + |x|)^{\beta+1}}, \quad \beta p > 1, \tag{86}$$

where

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{(1 + Q(x))^{2/3} T(x)}. \tag{87}$$

Then the condition (30) is satisfied.

4. Shepard-Type Operator

Let us define the positive interpolatory operator (4) for $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and the zeros $\{x_{j,n}\}_{j=1}^n$ of the orthonormal polynomial $p_n(w^2, x)$.

Let

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{(1 + Q(x))^{2/3} T(x)}. \tag{88}$$

Lemma 15 ([5, Lemma 3.3]). For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\Phi(x) \leq C\Phi_n(x), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{89}$$

Assumption 1. We suppose that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$T(a_n) \leq C(\varepsilon)n^\varepsilon, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \tag{90}$$

where $C(\varepsilon)$ is a constant depending only on ε .

Remark 16. Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, and let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &:= \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q''(x)/Q'(x)}{Q'(x)/Q(x)}, \\ \mu &:= \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q''(x)/Q'(x)}{Q'(x)/Q(x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

If $\nu = \mu$, then we say that the weight w is regular. The regular weights satisfy the condition (90) (see [6, Corollry 5.5]). All weights in Example 2 are regular weights.

Lemma 17 ([3, Theorem 1.6]). Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, and let a_n be defined by (21). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $n > 0$

$$a_n \leq Cn^{1/\Lambda}, \tag{92}$$

where $\Lambda > 1$ is defined in Definition 1 (d). In particular, for the weight w_α one has $\Lambda = \alpha$. Furthermore, if w is an Erdős-type, then for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $C(\eta) > 0$ such that, for every $n > 0$,

$$a_n \leq C(\eta)n^\eta. \tag{93}$$

For each $3/2 < \lambda < 3$ let us set

$$\mu_n = \begin{cases} \frac{a_n T^{\lambda/3}(a_n)}{n^{1-\lambda/3}}, & 2 < \lambda < 3; \\ \frac{a_n T^{\lambda/3}(a_n) \log n}{n^{1-\lambda/3}}, & \lambda = 2; \\ \frac{a_n T^{\lambda/3}(a_n)}{n^{(2\lambda-3)/3}}, & \frac{3}{2} < \lambda < 2. \end{cases} \tag{94}$$

Our second theorem is as follows.

Theorem 18. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} and let $3/2 < \lambda < 3$. Assume $U(x)$ is a nonnegative and decreasing function with $U(x) \leq C\Phi^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x)$. Then one has for the Erdős-type weights,

$$\|U(x)(S_{n,\lambda}(f;x) - f(x))\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\Omega(f; \mu_n), \tag{95}$$

where μ_n is defined in (94).

For the Freud weights we have the following. For $\Lambda > 3$, let us set $(3/2)(1 + (1/\Lambda)) < \lambda < 3(1 - (1/\Lambda))$ and

$$\mu_{n,\Lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n^{1-\lambda/3-1/\Lambda}}, & 2 < \lambda < 3\left(1 - \frac{1}{\Lambda}\right); \\ \frac{1}{n^{1/3-1/\Lambda}}, & \lambda = 2; \\ \frac{1}{n^{(2\lambda-3)/3-1/\Lambda}}, & \frac{3}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda}\right) < \lambda < 2 \end{cases} \tag{96}$$

(note (92) and (94)).

Corollary 19. Let $\Lambda > 3$, where Λ is defined in Definition 1 (d), and let $(3/2)(1 + (1/\Lambda)) < \lambda < 3(1 - (1/\Lambda))$. Then, for the Freud-type weights, (95) holds with $\mu_{n,\Lambda}$. In particular, when $w(x) = \exp(-|x|^\alpha)$, one can take $\Lambda = \alpha$.

Remark 20. For the Freud-type weights we see $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n,\Lambda} = 0$. If we assume (90), then for the Erdős-type weights, from Lemma 17 (93), we also have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 18. Let $3/2 < \lambda < 3$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n,\lambda}(f;x) - f(x) &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \{f(x_{j,n}) - f(x)\} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

Let $(x_{m+1,n} + x_{m,n})/2 < x \leq x_{m,n}$ or $(x_{m,n} + x_{m-1,n})/2 < x \leq x_{m,n}$. Then, we see

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_{m,n}) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_x(f; |x_{m,n} - x|) \\ &\leq C\omega_x(f; \varphi_n(x)) \leq C\omega(f; \mu_n), \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

where μ_n is defined in (94). If $j \neq m$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_{j,n}) - f(x)| &\leq \omega_x(f; |x - x_{j,n}|) \\ &\leq (|x - x_{j,n}| \mu_n^{-1} + 1) \Omega(f; \mu_n). \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &:= \frac{\sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}, \\ \sum_2 &:= \frac{\sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-(\lambda-1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Then we see that $0 < \sum_1 \leq 1$. Now, we will estimate \sum_2 . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|x - x_{j,n}|} &\sim \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} \varphi_n(x_{s,n}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\sim \frac{n}{a_n} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} \frac{1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_{2n}}{(1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_n + \delta_n)^{1/2}} \right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{n}{a_n} (nT(a_n))^{-1/3} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} (1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_{2n}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \frac{n^{2/3}}{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)} \frac{1}{|m - j|}. \end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n^{2/3}}{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)} \right)^\lambda \sum_{j \neq m} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) \frac{1}{|m - j|^\lambda} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{n^{2/3}}{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)} \right)^\lambda \sum_{\substack{|x_{j,n}| \leq a_n/2 \\ j \neq m}} \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) \frac{1}{|m - j|^\lambda} \tag{102} \\ &\geq C \left(\frac{n^{2/3}}{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)} \right)^\lambda \sum_{\substack{|x_{j,n}| \leq a_n/2 \\ j \neq m}} \frac{1}{|m - j|^\lambda} \\ &\geq C \left(\frac{n^{2/3}}{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)} \right)^\lambda \begin{cases} 1, & \lambda > 1; \\ \log n, & \lambda = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using for $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$1 - \frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_{2n}} \geq C \left(1 - \frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_n} + \delta_n \right), \tag{103}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|x - x_{j,n}|} &\sim \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} \varphi_n(x_{s,n}) \right)^{-1} \\ &\sim \frac{n}{a_n} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} \frac{1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_{2n}}{(1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_n + \delta_n)^{1/2}} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq C \frac{n}{a_n} \left(\sum_{\substack{j \leq s \leq m+1 \\ m-1 \leq s \leq j}} (1 - |x_{s,n}|/a_n + \delta_n)^{1/2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq C \frac{n}{a_n} (\Phi_n^{-1/2}(x) + \Phi_n^{-1/2}(x_{j,n})) \frac{1}{|m - j|}. \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \neq m} U(x) \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-(\lambda-1)} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{n}{a_n} \right)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{j \neq m} (U(x) \Phi_n^{-(\lambda-1)/2}(x) \\ &\quad \times \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) + U(x)) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{|m - j|^{\lambda-1}} \tag{105} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{n}{a_n} \right)^{\lambda-1} \sum_{j \neq m} \frac{1}{|m - j|^{\lambda-1}} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{n}{a_n} \right)^{\lambda-1} \begin{cases} 1, & 2 < \lambda; \\ \log n, & \lambda = 2; \\ n^{2-\lambda}, & 1 \leq \lambda < 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, with (102) we see

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| U(x) \sum_2(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{j \neq m} U(x) \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-(\lambda-1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_n^{(\lambda-1)/2}(x_{j,n}) |x - x_{j,n}|^{-\lambda}} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{a_n T^{1/3}(a_n)}{n^{2/3}} \right)^\lambda \left(\frac{n}{a_n} \right)^{\lambda-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \begin{cases} 1, & 2 < \lambda; \\ \log n, & \lambda = 2; \\ n^{2-\lambda}, & 1 < \lambda < 2; \\ \frac{n^{2-\lambda}}{\log n}, & \lambda = 1, \end{cases} \\ & \leq C \frac{a_n T^{\lambda/3}(a_n)}{n^{1-\lambda/3}} \begin{cases} 1, & 2 < \lambda; \\ \log n, & \lambda = 2; \\ n^{2-\lambda}, & 1 < \lambda < 2; \\ \frac{n^{2-\lambda}}{\log n}, & \lambda = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Hence, using μ_n in (94), we have that, for $3/2 < \lambda < 3$,

$$\left| U(x) \sum_2(x) \right| \leq C \mu_n. \tag{107}$$

Consequently, with $0 < \sum_1 \leq 1$ we have

$$U(x) |S_{n,\lambda}(f; x) - f(x)| \leq C \Omega(f; \mu_n). \tag{108}$$

□

References

- [1] A. Knopfmacher, “Positive convergent approximation operators associated with orthogonal polynomials for weights on the whole real line,” *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 182–203, 1986.
- [2] E. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, *Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- [3] H. Jung and R. Sakai, “Specific examples of exponential weights,” *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 303–319, 2009.
- [4] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, “Orthonormal polynomials with exponential-type weights,” *Journal of Approximation Theory*, vol. 152, no. 2, pp. 215–238, 2008.
- [5] H. S. Jung, G. Nakamura, R. Sakai, and N. Suzuki, “Convergence and divergence of higher-order Hermite or Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials with exponential-type weights,” *ISRN Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 904169, 31 pages, 2012.
- [6] R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, “Mollification of exponential-type weights and its application to Markov-Bernstein inequality,” *Pioneer Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 83–101, 2013.