

## Research Article

# Strong Convergence Theorems for the Generalized Split Common Fixed Point Problem

**Cuijie Zhang**

*College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Cuijie Zhang, zhang\_cui.jie@126.com

Received 9 January 2012; Accepted 17 February 2012

Academic Editor: Rudong Chen

Copyright © 2012 Cuijie Zhang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce the generalized split common fixed point problem (GSCFPP) and show that the GSCFPP for nonexpansive operators is equivalent to the common fixed point problem. Moreover, we introduce a new iterative algorithm for finding a solution of the GSCFPP and obtain some strong convergence theorems under suitable assumptions.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be real Hilbert spaces and let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a bounded linear operator. Given integers  $p, r \geq 1$ , let us recall that the multiple-set split feasibility problem (MSSFP) was recently introduced [1] and is to find a point:

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p C_i, \quad Ax^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r Q_j, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^p$  and  $\{Q_j\}_{j=1}^r$  are nonempty closed convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. If  $p = r = 1$ , the MSSFP (1.1) becomes the so-called split feasibility problem (SFP) [2] which is to find a point:

$$x^* \in C, \quad Ax^* \in Q, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C$  and  $Q$  are nonempty closed convex subsets of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Recently, the SFP (1.2) and MSSFP (1.1) have been investigated by many researchers; see, [3–10].

Since every closed convex subset in a Hilbert space is looked as the fixed point set of its associating projection, the MSSFP (1.1) becomes a special case of the split common fixed point problem (SCFPP), which is to find a point:

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Fix}(U_i), \quad Ax^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^r \text{Fix}(T_j), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $U_i : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) and  $T_j : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) are nonlinear operators. If  $p = r = 1$ , the problem (1.3) reduces to the so-called two-set SCFPP, which is to find a point:

$$x^* \in \text{Fix}(U), \quad Ax^* \in \text{Fix}(T). \quad (1.4)$$

Censor and Segal in [11] firstly introduced the concept of SCFPP in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and considered the following iterative algorithm for the two-set SCFPP (1.4) for Class- $\mathfrak{S}$  operators:

$$x_{n+1} = U(x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T)Ax_n), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $x_0 \in H_1$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 2/\|A\|^2$  and  $I$  is the identity operator. They proved the convergence of the algorithm (1.5) to a solution of problem (1.4). Moreover, they introduced a parallel iterative algorithm, which converges to a solution of the SCFPP (1.3). However, the parallel iterative algorithm does not include the algorithm (1.5) as a special case.

Very recently, Wang and Xu in [12] considered the SCFPP (1.3) for Class- $\mathfrak{S}$  operators and introduced the following iterative algorithm for solving the SCFPP (1.3):

$$x_{n+1} = U_{[n]}(x_n - \gamma A^*(I - T_{[n]})Ax_n), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.6)$$

Under some mild conditions, they proved some weak and strong convergence theorems. Their iterative algorithm (1.6) includes Censor and Segal's algorithm (1.5) as a special case for the two-set SCFPP (1.4). Moreover, they prove that the SCFPP (1.3) for the Class- $\mathfrak{S}$  operators is equivalent to a common fixed point problem. This is also a classical method. Many problems eventually converted to a common fixed point problem; see [13–15].

Motivated and inspired by the aforementioned research works, we introduce a generalized split common fixed point problem (GSCFPP) which is to find a point:

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(U_i), \quad Ax^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_j). \quad (1.7)$$

Then, we show that the GSCFPP (1.7) for nonexpansive operators is equivalent to the following common fixed point problem:

$$x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(U_i), \quad x^* \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(V_j), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $V_j = I - \gamma A^*(I - T_j)A$  ( $0 < \gamma \leq 1/\|A\|^2$ ) for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we give a new iterative algorithm for solving the GSCFPP (1.7) for nonexpansive operators and obtain some strong convergence theorems.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we write  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  to indicate that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to  $x$  and converges strongly to  $x$ , respectively.

An operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is said to be nonexpansive if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . The set of fixed points of  $T$  is denoted by  $F(T)$ . It is known that  $F(T)$  is closed and convex. An operator  $f : H \rightarrow H$  is called contraction if there exists a constant  $\rho \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho\|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . For each  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_Cx$ , such that  $\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for every  $y \in C$ .  $P_C$  is called a metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ . It is known that for each  $x \in H$ ,

$$\langle x - P_Cx, y - P_Cx \rangle \leq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $y \in C$ .

Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of operators of  $H$  into itself. The set of common fixed points of  $\{T_n\}$  is denoted by  $F(\{T_n\})$ , that is,  $F(\{T_n\}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ . A sequence  $\{T_n\}$  is said to be strongly nonexpansive if each  $\{T_n\}$  is nonexpansive and

$$x_n - y_n - (T_nx_n - T_ny_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.2)$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences in  $C$  such that  $\{x_n - y_n\}$  is bounded and  $\|x_n - y_n\| - \|T_nx_n - T_ny_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ; see [16, 17]. A sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $H$  is said to be an approximate fixed point sequence of  $\{T_n\}$  if  $z_n - T_nz_n \rightarrow 0$ . The set of all bounded approximate fixed point sequences of  $\{T_n\}$  is denoted by  $\tilde{F}(\{T_n\})$ ; see [16, 17]. We know that if  $\{T_n\}$  has a common fixed point, then  $\tilde{F}(\{T_n\})$  is nonempty; that is, every bounded sequence in the common fixed point set is an approximate fixed point sequence. A sequence  $\{T_n\}$  with a common fixed point is said to satisfy the condition (Z) if every weak cluster point of  $\{x_n\}$  is a common fixed point whenever  $\{x_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{T_n\})$ . A sequence  $\{T_n\}$  of nonexpansive mappings of  $H$  into itself is said to satisfy the condition (R) if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in D} \|T_{n+1}y - T_ny\| = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

for every nonempty bounded subset  $D$  of  $H$ ; see [18].

In order to prove our main results, we collect the following lemmas in this section.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [16]). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{T_n\}$  be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings of  $C$  into  $H$ . Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n > 0$ . Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of mappings of  $C$  into  $H$  defined by  $U_n = \lambda_n I + (1 - \lambda_n)T_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $I$  is the identity mapping on  $C$ . Then  $\{U_n\}$  is a strongly nonexpansive sequence.*

**Lemma 2.2** (see [16]). Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ , and  $\{S_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  sequences of nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$ . Suppose that  $\{S_n\}$  or  $\{T_n\}$  is a strongly nonexpansive sequence and  $\tilde{F}(\{S_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{T_n\})$  is nonempty. Then  $\tilde{F}(\{S_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{T_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{S_n T_n\})$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (see [17]). Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space, and  $C$  a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Both  $\{S_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  satisfy the condition (R) and  $\{T_n \mathbf{y} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{y} \in D\}$  is bounded for any bounded subset  $D$  of  $C$ . Then  $\{S_n T_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R).

**Lemma 2.4** (see [19]). Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be bounded sequences in a Banach space  $X$  and let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ . Suppose  $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n) y_n + \beta_n x_n$  for all integers  $n \geq 0$  and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (see [20]). Assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n) a_n + \delta_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence such that

- (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n / \gamma_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

### 3. Main Results

Now we state and prove our main results of this paper.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  be a given bounded linear operator and let  $T_n : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be a sequence of nonexpansive operators. Assume

$$A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\})) = \{x \in H_1 : Ax \in \text{Fix}(\{T_n\})\} \neq \emptyset. \quad (3.1)$$

For each constant  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $V_n$  is defined by the following:

$$V_n = I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A. \quad (3.2)$$

Then  $\text{Fix}(\{V_n\}) = A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\}))$ . Moreover, for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1/\|A\|^2$ ,  $V_n$  is nonexpansive on  $H_1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Since the inclusion  $A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\})) \subseteq \text{Fix}(\{V_n\})$  is evident, now we only need to show the converse inclusion. If  $z \in \text{Fix}(\{V_n\})$ , then we have  $A^*(I - T_n)Az = 0$ . Since  $A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\})) \neq \emptyset$ , we take an arbitrary  $p \in A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\}))$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Az - T_nAz\|^2 &= \langle Az - T_nAz, Az - T_nAz \rangle \\
&= \langle Az - T_nAz, Az - Ap + Ap - T_nAz \rangle \\
&= \langle A^*(I - T_n)Az, z - p \rangle + \langle Az - T_nAz, Ap - T_nAz \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\|Az - Ap\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|Az - T_nAz\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|Ap - T_nAz\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|Az - T_nAz\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

It follows that  $(1/2)\|Az - T_nAz\|^2 \leq 0$ , then  $Az = T_nAz$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $z \in A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\}))$ . Next we turn to show that  $V_n$  is a nonexpansive operator for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T_n$  is nonexpansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I - T_n)Ax - (I - T_n)Ay\|^2 &= \|Ax - Ay\|^2 + \|T_nAx - T_nAy\|^2 - 2\langle Ax - Ay, T_nAx - T_nAy \rangle \\
&\leq 2\|Ax - Ay\|^2 - 2\langle Ax - Ay, T_nAx - T_nAy \rangle \\
&\leq 2\langle Ax - Ay, Ax - Ay - (T_nAx - T_nAy) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V_nx - V_ny\|^2 &= \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A)x - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A)y\|^2 \\
&= \|x - y\|^2 + \gamma^2\|A\|^2\|(I - T_n)Ax - (I - T_n)Ay\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\gamma\langle Ax - Ay, (I - T_n)Ax - (I - T_n)Ay \rangle \\
&\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \gamma(\gamma\|A\|^2 - 1)\|(I - T_n)Ax - (I - T_n)Ay\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

For  $0 < \gamma \leq 1/\|A\|^2$ , we can immediately obtain that  $V_n$  is a nonexpansive operator for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

From Lemma 3.1, we can obtain that the solution set of GSCFPP (1.7) is identical to the solution set of problem (1.8).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{V_n\}$  be sequences of nonexpansive operators on Hilbert space  $H_1$ . Both  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{V_n\}$  satisfy the conditions (R) and (Z). Let  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  be a contraction with coefficient*

$\rho \in [0, 1)$ . Suppose  $\Omega = \text{Fix}(U_n) \cap \text{Fix}(V_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Take an initial guess  $x_1 \in H_1$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) V_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n U_n y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_n\}$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$ ;
- (v)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0$ ,

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $w \in \Omega$  where  $w = P_{\Omega} f(w)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed with the following steps.

*Step 1.* First show that there exists  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $w = P_{\Omega} f(w)$ .

In fact, since  $f$  is a contraction with coefficient  $\rho$ , we have

$$\|P_{\Omega} f(x) - P_{\Omega} f(y)\| \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho \|x - y\| \quad (3.7)$$

for every  $x, y$ . Hence  $P_{\Omega} f$  is also a contraction. Therefore, there exists a unique  $w \in \Omega$  such that  $w = P_{\Omega} f(w)$ .

*Step 2.* Now we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

Let  $p \in \Omega$ , then  $p \in \text{Fix}(\{U_n\})$  and  $p \in \text{Fix}(\{V_n\})$ . Hence

$$\|U_n y_n - p\| \leq \|y_n - p\| \leq \lambda_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \lambda_n) \|V_n x_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \quad (3.8)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|U_n y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \rho \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - \rho)) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n(1 - \rho) \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \|f(p) - p\| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \|f(p) - p\| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By induction on  $n$ ,

$$\|V_n x_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_1 - p\|, \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \|f(p) - p\| \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This shows that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{V_n x_n\}$  are bounded, and hence,  $\{U_n y_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ , and  $\{f(x_n)\}$  are also bounded.

*Step 3.* We claim that  $\tilde{F}(\{A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$  and  $\tilde{F}(\{U_n A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$ , where  $A_n = \lambda_n I + (1 - \lambda_n)V_n$ .

We first show the former equality. Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $H_1$ . If  $\{z_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$ , then

$$\|A_n z_n - z_n\| = \|\lambda_n z_n + (1 - \lambda_n)V_n z_n - z_n\| = (1 - \lambda_n)\|V_n z_n - z_n\| \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence  $\{z_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{A_n\})$ . On the other hand, if  $\{z_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{A_n\})$ , combining (3.11) and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$ , we obtain that  $\|V_n z_n - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $\{z_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{F}(\{A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$ .

Next, we show the latter equality. Using Lemma 2.1, we know that  $\{A_n\}$  is a strongly nonexpansive sequence. Thus, since  $\tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{V_n\}) \neq \emptyset$ , from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\tilde{F}(\{U_n A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{A_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{V_n\}). \quad (3.12)$$

*Step 4.*  $\{S_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R), where  $S_n = U_n A_n$ .

Let  $D$  be a nonempty bounded subset of  $H_1$ . From the definition of  $\{A_n\}$ , we have, for all  $y \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_{n+1}y - A_n y\| &= \|\lambda_{n+1}y + (1 - \lambda_{n+1})V_{n+1}y - \lambda_n y - (1 - \lambda_n)V_n y\| \\ &\leq |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|y\| + \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\| + \|\lambda_{n+1}V_{n+1}y - \lambda_n V_n y\| \\ &\leq |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|y\| + \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\| + \|\lambda_{n+1}V_{n+1}y - \lambda_n V_{n+1}y\| \\ &\quad + \|\lambda_n V_{n+1}y - \lambda_n V_n y\| \\ &= |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|y\| + \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\| + |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \|V_{n+1}y\| \\ &\quad + \lambda_n \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\| \\ &= |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| (\|y\| + \|V_{n+1}y\|) + (1 + \lambda_n) \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{y \in D} \|A_{n+1}y - A_n y\| \leq |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| \sup_{y \in D} (\|y\| + \|V_{n+1}y\|) + (1 + \lambda_n) \sup_{y \in D} \|V_{n+1}y - V_n y\|. \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $\{V_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in D} \|A_{n+1}y - A_n y\| = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

that is,  $\{A_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R). Since  $\{A_n y : n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in D\}$  is bounded for any bounded subset  $D$  of  $H_1$ , by using Lemma 2.3, we have that  $\{V_n A_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R), that is,  $\{S_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R).

*Step 5.* We show  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

We can write (3.6) as  $x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) z_n$  where  $z_n = (\alpha_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n S_n x_n) / (1 - \beta_n)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z_{n+1} - z_n &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1} f(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1} S_{n+1} x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + \gamma_n S_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} (f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) + \left( \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right) f(x_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} (S_{n+1} x_{n+1} - S_n x_n) + \left( \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\gamma_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right) S_n x_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

From Step 2, we may assume that  $\{x_n\} \subset D'$ , where  $D'$  is a bounded set of  $H_1$ . Then from (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| &\leq \left| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| (\|f(x_n)\| + \|S_n x_n\|) + \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \rho \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|S_{n+1} x_{n+1} - S_n x_{n+1}\| + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|S_n x_{n+1} - S_n x_n\| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| (\|f(x_n)\| + \|S_n x_n\|) + \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} (1 - \rho) \right] \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in D'} \|S_{n+1} y - S_n y\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &\leq \left| \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \right| (\|f(x_n)\| + \|S_n x_n\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \sup_{y \in D'} \|S_{n+1} y - S_n y\| - \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} (1 - \rho) \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Since  $\{S_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R), combining  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Hence by Lemma 2.4, we get  $\|z_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) \|z_n - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.20)$$



Step 6. We claim that  $\{x_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{V_n\})$ .

From (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n x_n - x_n\| &\leq \|S_n x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &= \|S_n x_n - \alpha_n f(x_n) - \beta_n x_n - \gamma_n S_n x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|S_n x_n - f(x_n)\| + \beta_n \|S_n x_n - x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

and hence

$$(1 - \beta_n) \|S_n x_n - x_n\| \leq \alpha_n \|S_n x_n - f(x_n)\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|. \quad (3.22)$$

Since  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ , we derive

$$\|S_n x_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.23)$$

Thus (3.23) and Steps 2 and 3 imply that

$$\{x_n\} \in \tilde{F}(\{S_n\}) = \tilde{F}(\{U_n\}) \cap \tilde{F}(\{V_n\}). \quad (3.24)$$

Step 7. Show  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(w) - w, x_n - w \rangle \leq 0$ , where  $w = P_\Omega f(w)$ .

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exist a point  $v \in H_1$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(w) - w, x_n - w \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(w) - w, x_{n_i} - w \rangle \quad (3.25)$$

and  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow v$ . Since  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{V_n\}$  satisfy the condition (Z), from Step 6, we have  $v \in F(\{U_n\}) \cap F(\{V_n\})$ . Using (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(w) - w, x_n - w \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(w) - w, x_{n_i} - w \rangle \\ &= \langle f(w) - w, v - w \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Step 8. Show  $x_n \rightarrow w = P_\Omega f(w)$ .

Since  $w \in \Omega$ , using (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 &= \langle \alpha_n(f(x_n) - w) + \beta_n(x_n - w) + \gamma_n(U_n y_n - w), x_{n+1} - w \rangle \\
&\leq \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - f(w), x_{n+1} - w \rangle + \alpha_n \langle f(w) - w, x_{n+1} - w \rangle \\
&\quad + \beta_n \|x_n - w\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - w\| + \gamma_n \|y_n - w\| \cdot \|x_{n+1} - w\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n \rho (\|x_n - w\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2) + \alpha_n \langle f(w) - w, x_{n+1} - w \rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \beta_n (\|x_n - w\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_n (\|x_n - w\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \rho)] \|x_n - w\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 + \alpha_n \langle f(w) - w, x_{n+1} - w \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - w\|^2 \leq [1 - \alpha_n(1 - \rho)] \|x_n - w\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \rho) \frac{1}{1 - \rho} \langle f(w) - w, x_{n+1} - w \rangle, \tag{3.28}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently, according to Step 7,  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ , and Lemma 2.5, we deduce that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $w = P_\Omega(w)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the following strong convergence theorem for solving the GSCFPP (1.7).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  be sequences of nonexpansive operators on Hilbert space  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Both  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  satisfy the conditions (R) and (Z). Let  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  be a contraction with coefficient  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ . Suppose that the solution set  $\Omega$  of GSCFPP (1.7) is nonempty. Take an initial guess  $x_1 \in H_1$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following algorithm:*

$$\begin{aligned}
y_n &= x_n - \gamma(1 - \lambda_n)A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n, \\
x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n U_n y_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

where  $\gamma \in (0, 1/\|A\|^2)$ , and  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$ ;
- (v)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0$ ,

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $w \in \Omega$  where  $w = P_\Omega f(w)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $V_n = I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $V_n$  is a nonexpansive operator for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can rewrite (3.29) as

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n) V_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n U_n y_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We only need to prove that  $\{V_n\}$  satisfies the conditions (R) and (Z). Assume that  $D$  is a nonempty bounded subset of  $H_1$ . For every  $y \in D$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{n+1})A)y - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A)y\| &\leq \gamma \|A^*(I - T_{n+1})Ay - A^*(I - T_n)Ay\| \\ &\leq \gamma \|A\| \|T_{n+1}(Ay) - T_n(Ay)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Since  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R), and  $D' = \{Ay : y \in D\}$  is bounded, it follows from (3.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in D} \|(I - \gamma A^*(I - T_{n+1})A)y - (I - \gamma A^*(I - T_n)A)y\| &\leq \gamma \|A\| \sup_{y \in D} \|T_{n+1}(Ay) - T_n(Ay)\| \\ &= \gamma \|A\| \sup_{z \in D'} \|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\| \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore,  $\{V_n\}$  satisfies the condition (R).

Assume that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z$  and  $x_n - V_n x_n \rightarrow 0$ ; we next show that  $V_n z = z$ . By using  $x_n - V_n x_n \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\})) \neq \emptyset$ , we choose an arbitrary point  $p \in A^{-1}(\text{Fix}(\{T_n\}))$ ; then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax_n - T_n Ax_n\|^2 &= \langle Ax_n - T_n Ax_n, Ax_n - Ap + Ap - T_n Ax_n \rangle \\ &= \langle A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n, x_n - p \rangle + \langle Ax_n - T_n Ax_n, Ap - T_n Ax_n \rangle \\ &= \langle A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n, x_n - p \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|Ax_n - T_n Ax_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|Ap - T_n Ax_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n, x_n - p \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|Ax_n - T_n Ax_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} \|Ax_n - T_n Ax_n\|^2 \leq \langle A^*(I - T_n)Ax_n, x_n - p \rangle \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Then we get  $Ax_n \in \tilde{F}(\{T_n\})$ . Since  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the condition (Z) and  $Ax_n \rightharpoonup Az$ , we have  $Az \in F(\{T_n\})$ . From Lemma 3.1, we have  $z \in \text{Fix}(\{V_n\})$ .  $\square$

Let  $T : H \rightarrow H$  be a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point, and define  $T_n = T$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the conditions (R) and (Z). Thus, one obtains the algorithm for solving the two-set SCFPP (1.4).

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $U$  and  $T$  be nonexpansive operators on Hilbert space  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Let  $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  be a contraction with coefficient  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ . Suppose that the solution set  $\Omega$  of SCFPP (1.4) is nonempty. Take an initial guess  $x_1 \in H_1$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by the following algorithm in (3.29), where  $\gamma \in (0, 1/\|A\|^2)$ , and  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ ,  $\{\gamma_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ ;
- (iv)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n < 1$ ;
- (v)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n| = 0$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $w \in \Omega$  where  $w = P_{\Omega}f(w)$ .

*Remark 3.5.* By adding more operators to the families  $\{U_n\}$  and  $\{T_n\}$  by setting  $U_i = I$  for  $i \geq p+1$  and  $T_j = I$  for  $j \geq r+1$ , the SCFPP (1.3) can be viewed as a special case of the GSCFPP (1.7).

## Acknowledgment

This research is supported by the science research foundation program in Civil Aviation University of China (07kys09), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Program No. ZXH2011D005), and the NSFC Tianyuan Youth Foundation of Mathematics of China (No. 11126136).

## References

- [1] Y. Censor, T. Elfving, N. Kopf, and T. Bortfeld, "The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications for inverse problems," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 2071–2084, 2005.
- [2] Y. Censor and T. Elfving, "A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 8, no. 2-4, pp. 221–239, 1994.
- [3] C. Byrne, "Iterative oblique projection onto convex sets and the split feasibility problem," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 441–453, 2002.
- [4] C. Byrne, "A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 103–120, 2004.
- [5] F. Wang and H. K. Xu, "Approximating curve and strong convergence of the CQ algorithm for the split feasibility problem," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, Article ID 102085, 13 pages, 2010.
- [6] F. Wang and H. K. Xu, "Strongly convergent iterative algorithms for solving a class of variational inequalities," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 407–421, 2010.
- [7] H. K. Xu, "A variable Krasnoselskii-Mann algorithm and the multiple-set split feasibility problem," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 2021–2034, 2006.
- [8] H. K. Xu, "Iterative methods for the split feasibility problem in infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 26, no. 10, Article ID 105018, p. 17, 2010.
- [9] Y. Yao, W. Jigang, and Y.-C. Liou, "Regularized methods for the split feasibility problem," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 140679, 13 pages, 2012.
- [10] A. Moudafi, "A note on the split common fixed-point problem for quasi-nonexpansive operators," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 12, pp. 4083–4087, 2011.

- [11] Y. Censor and A. Segal, "The split common fixed point problem for directed operators," *Journal of Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 587–600, 2009.
- [12] F. Wang and H. K. Xu, "Cyclic algorithms for split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 74, no. 12, pp. 4105–4111, 2011.
- [13] Y. Yao and N. Shahzad, "Strong convergence of a proximal point algorithm with general errors," *Optimization Letters*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 621–628, 2012.
- [14] Y. Yao, R. Chen, and Y.-C. Liou, "A unified implicit algorithm for solving the triple-hierarchical constrained optimization problem," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1506–1515, 2012.
- [15] Y. Yao, Y. Je Cho, and Y.-C. Liou, "Hierarchical convergence of an implicit double-net algorithm for nonexpansive semigroups and variational inequalities," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 101, 2011.
- [16] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, and M. Toyoda, "On a strongly nonexpansive sequence in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 471–489, 2007.
- [17] K. Aoyama and Y. Kimura, "Strong convergence theorems for strongly nonexpansive sequences," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 19, pp. 7537–7545, 2011.
- [18] K. Aoyama, "An iterative method for fixed point problems for sequences of nonexpansive mappings," in *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, pp. 1–7, Yokohama Publication, Yokohama, Japan, 2010.
- [19] T. Suzuki, "Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without bochner integrals," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 305, no. 1, pp. 227–239, 2005.
- [20] H. K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.