

Research Article

Normal Criteria of Function Families Concerning Shared Values

Wenjun Yuan,¹ Bing Zhu,² and Jianming Lin³

¹ School of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China

² College of Computer Engineering Technology, Guangdong Institute of Science and Technology, Zhuhai 519090, China

³ School of Economic and Management, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou 510006, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jianming Lin, ljmguanli@21cn.com

Received 10 July 2011; Accepted 7 September 2011

Academic Editor: Hui-Shen Shen

Copyright © 2011 Wenjun Yuan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the normality of families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values. We consider whether a family of meromorphic functions \mathcal{F} is normal in D , if, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^{-n}$ and $g' - ag^{-n}$ share the value b , where a and b are two finite complex numbers such that $a \neq 0$, n is a positive integer. Some examples show that the conditions in our results are best possible.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, and let a be a finite complex value. We say that f and g share a CM (or IM) in D provided that $f - a$ and $g - a$ have the same zeros counting (or ignoring) multiplicity in D . When $a = \infty$, the zeros of $f - a$ mean the poles of f (see [1]). It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and the basic results of Nevanlinna's value-distribution theory ([2-4] or [1]).

Bloch's principle [5] states that every condition which reduces a meromorphic function in the plane \mathbb{C} to be a constant forces a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D to be normal. Although the principle is false in general (see [6]), many authors proved normality criterion for families of meromorphic functions corresponding to Liouville-Picard type theorem (see [7] or [4]).

It is also more interesting to find normality criteria from the point of view of shared values. In this area, Schwick [8] first proved an interesting result that a family of

meromorphic functions in a domain is normal if every function shares three distinct finite complex numbers with its first derivative. And later, more results about normality criteria concerning shared values can be found, for instance, in [9–11] and so on. In recent years, this subject has attracted the attention of many researchers worldwide.

We now first introduce a normality criterion related to a Hayman normal conjecture [12].

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of holomorphic (meromorphic) functions defined in a domain D , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f'(z) + af^n(z) - b \neq 0$ for each function $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $n \geq 2$ ($n \geq 3$), then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

The results for the holomorphic case are due to Drasin [7] for $n \geq 3$, Pang [13] for $n = 3$, Chen and Fang [14] for $n = 2$, Ye [15] for $n = 2$, and Chen and Gu [16] for the generalized result with a and b replaced by meromorphic functions. The results for the meromorphic case are due to Li [17], Li [18] and Langley [19] for $n \geq 5$, Pang [13] for $n = 4$, Chen and Fang [14] for $n = 3$, and Zalcman [20] for $n = 3$, obtained independently.

When $n = 2$ and \mathcal{F} is meromorphic, Theorem 1.1 is not valid in general. Fang and Yuan [21] gave an example to this, and moreover a result added other conditions below.

Example 1.2. The family of meromorphic functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j(z) = jz/(\sqrt{j}z - 1)^2 : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is not normal in $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. This is deduced by $f_j^\#(0) = j \rightarrow \infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and Marty's criterion [2], although, for any $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, $f_j' + f_j^2 = j(\sqrt{j}z - 1)^{-4} \neq 0$.

Here $f^\#(\xi)$ denotes the spherical derivative

$$f^\#(\xi) = \frac{|f'(\xi)|}{1 + |f(\xi)|^2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain D , and $a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f'(z) + a(f(z))^2 - b \neq 0$ and the poles of $f(z)$ are of multiplicity ≥ 3 for each $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

In 2008, by the ideas of shared values, Zhang [11] proved the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic (holomorphic) functions in D , n a positive integer, and a, b two finite complex numbers such that $a \neq 0$. If $n \geq 4$ ($n \geq 2$) and, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^n$ and $g' - ag^n$ share the value b , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

Example 1.5 (see [11]). The family of meromorphic functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j(z) = 1/(\sqrt{j}(z - (1/j))) : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is not normal in $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Obviously $f_j' - f_j^3 = -z/(\sqrt{j}(z - (1/j))^3)$. So for each pair m, j , $f_j' - f_j^3$ and $f_m' - f_m^3$ share the value 0 in D , but \mathcal{F} is not normal at the point $z = 0$, since $f_j^\#(0) = 2(\sqrt{j})^3/(1 + j) \rightarrow \infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.6. Example 1.5 shows that Theorem 1.4 is not valid when $n = 3$, and the condition $n = 4$ is best possible for meromorphic case.

In this paper, we will consider the similar relations and prove the following results.

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in D , n a positive integer, and a, b two finite complex numbers such that $a \neq 0$. If $n \geq 2$ and, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^{-n}$ and $g' - ag^{-n}$ share the value b , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

Example 1.8. The family of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_j(z) = \sqrt{j}(z - (1/j)) : j = 1, 2, \dots, \}$ is not normal in $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. This is deduced by $f_j^{\#}(0) = j\sqrt{j}/(j+1) \rightarrow \infty$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and Marty's criterion [2], although, for any $f_j(z) \in \mathcal{F}$, $f_j' + f_j^{-1} = j\sqrt{j}z/(jz - 1)$.

Remark 1.9. Example 1.8 shows that the condition that added $n \geq 2$ in Theorem 1.7 is best possible. In Theorem 1.7 taking $b = 0$ we get Corollary 1.10 obtained by Zhang [22].

Corollary 1.10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in D , $n \geq 2$, and let a be a nonzero finite complex number. If, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value a , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

A natural problem is what conditions are added such that Theorem 1.7 holds when $n = 1$. Next we give an answer.

Theorem 1.11. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in D , and let a and b be two finite complex numbers such that $a \neq 0$. Suppose that all of zeros are multiple for each $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$. If, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^{-1}$ and $g' - ag^{-1}$ share the value b , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

Remark 1.12. Example 1.8 shows that the condition that all of zeros are multiple for each $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$ added in Theorem 1.7 is best possible. In Theorem 1.11 taking $b = 0$ we get Corollary 1.13.

Corollary 1.13. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in D , and let a be a nonzero finite complex number. Suppose that all of zeros are multiple for each $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}$. If, for every pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , ff' and gg' share the value a , then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

From the proof of Theorem 1.7 we know that the following corollary holds.

Corollary 1.14. *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions in D , n be a positive integer and a, b be two finite complex numbers such that $a \neq 0$. If for each function f in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^{-n} \neq b$, then \mathcal{F} is normal in D .*

2. Preliminary Lemmas

In order to prove our result, we need the following lemmas. The first one extends a famous result by Zalcman [23] concerning normal families.

Lemma 2.1 (see [24]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a family of meromorphic functions on the unit disc satisfying all zeros of functions in \mathcal{F} that have multiplicity $\geq p$ and all poles of functions in \mathcal{F} that have multiplicity $\geq q$. Let α be a real number satisfying $-q < \alpha < p$. Then \mathcal{F} is not normal at 0 if and only if there exist*

- (a) a number $0 < r < 1$;
- (b) points z_n with $|z_n| < r$;

- (c) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$;
 (d) positive numbers $\rho_n \rightarrow 0$

such that $g_n(\zeta) := \rho^{-\alpha} f_n(z_n + \rho_n \zeta)$ converges spherically uniformly on each compact subset of \mathbb{C} to a nonconstant meromorphic function $g(\zeta)$, whose all zeros have multiplicity $\geq p$ and all poles have multiplicity $\geq q$ and order is at most 2.

Remark 2.2. If \mathcal{F} is a family of holomorphic functions on the unit disc in Lemma 2.1, then $g(\zeta)$ is a nonconstant entire function whose order is at most 1.

The order of g is defined by using Nevanlinna's characteristic function $T(r, g)$:

$$\rho(g) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, g)}{\log r}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [25] or [26]). *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function and $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $f(z)$ has neither simple zero nor simple pole, and $f'(z) \neq c$, then $f(z)$ is constant.*

Lemma 2.4 (see [27]). *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order in \mathbb{C} and have no simple zero, then $f'(z)$ assumes every nonzero finite value infinitely often.*

3. Proof of the Results

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Suppose that \mathcal{F} is not normal in D . Then there exists at least one point z_0 such that \mathcal{F} is not normal at the point z_0 . Without loss of generality we assume that $z_0 = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, there exist points $z_j \rightarrow 0$, positive numbers $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$, and functions $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$g_j(\xi) = \rho_j^{-1/(n+1)} f_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \implies g(\xi) \quad (3.1)$$

locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where g is a nonconstant meromorphic function in \mathbb{C} . Moreover, the order of g is ≤ 2 .

From (3.1) we know

$$\begin{aligned} g'_j(\xi) &= \rho_j^{n/(n+1)} f'_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi) \implies g'(\xi), \\ \rho_j^{n/(n+1)} (f'_j(z_j + \rho_j \xi) - a f_j^{-n}(z_j + \rho_j \xi) - b) &= g'_j(\xi) - a g_j^{-n}(\xi) - \rho_j^{n/(n+1)} b \implies g'(\xi) - a g^{-n}(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{S}$ locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where \mathbf{S} is the set of all poles of $g(\xi)$.

If $g'g^n - a \equiv 0$, then $-1/(n+1)g^{n+1} \equiv a\xi + c$, where c is a constant. This contradicts with g being a meromorphic function. So $g'g^n - a \neq 0$.

If $g'g^n - a \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.3, then g is also a constant which is a contradiction with g being a nonconstant. Hence, $g'g^n - a$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function and has at least one zero.

Next we prove that $g'g^n - a$ has just a unique zero. On the contrary, let ξ_0 and ξ_0^* be two distinct zeros of $g'g^n - a$, and choose $\delta(> 0)$ small enough such that $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$, where $D(\xi_0, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0| < \delta\}$ and $D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \{\xi : |\xi - \xi_0^*| < \delta\}$. From (3.2), by Hurwitz's theorem, there exist points $\xi_j \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_j^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$ such that for sufficiently large j

$$\begin{aligned} f_j'(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - a f_j^{-n}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - b &= 0, \\ f_j'(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - a f_j^{-n}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - b &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By the hypothesis that, for each pair of functions f and g in \mathcal{F} , $f' - af^{-n}$ and $g' - ag^{-n}$ share b in D , we know that for any positive integer m

$$\begin{aligned} f_m'(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - a f_m^{-n}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j) - b &= 0, \\ f_m'(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - a f_m^{-n}(z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^*) - b &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Fix m , take $j \rightarrow \infty$, and note $z_j + \rho_j \xi_j \rightarrow 0$, $z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^* \rightarrow 0$, then $f_m'(0) - a f_m^{-n}(0) - b = 0$. Since the zeros of $f_m' - a f_m^{-n} - b$ have no accumulation point, so

$$z_j + \rho_j \xi_j = 0, \quad z_j + \rho_j \xi_j^* = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, $\xi_j = -z_j/\rho_j$, $\xi_j^* = -z_j/\rho_j$. This contradicts with $\xi_j \in D(\xi_0, \delta)$, $\xi_j^* \in D(\xi_0^*, \delta)$, and $D(\xi_0, \delta) \cap D(\xi_0^*, \delta) = \emptyset$. So $g'g^n - a$ has just a unique zero, which can be denoted by ξ_0 . By Lemma 2.4, g is not any transcendental function.

If g is a nonconstant polynomial, then $g'g^n - a = A(\xi - \xi_0)^l$, where A is a nonzero constant, l is a positive integer, because $l \geq n \geq 3$. Set $\phi = (1/(n+1))g^{n+1}$, then $\phi' = A(\xi - \xi_0)^l + a$ and $\phi'' = Al(\xi - \xi_0)^{l-1}$. Note that the zeros of ϕ are of multiplicity ≥ 4 . But ϕ'' has only one zero ξ_0 , so ϕ has only the same zero ξ_0 too. Hence, $\phi'(\xi_0) = 0$ which contradicts with $\phi'(\xi_0) = a \neq 0$. Therefore, g and ϕ are rational functions which are not polynomials, and $\phi' - a$ has just a unique zero ξ_0 .

Next we prove that there exists no rational function such as ϕ . Noting that $\phi = (1/(n+1))g^{n+1}$, we can set

$$\phi(\xi) = A \frac{(\xi - \xi_1)^{m_1} (\xi - \xi_2)^{m_2} \cdots (\xi - \xi_s)^{m_s}}{(\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1} (\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where A is a nonzero constant, $s \geq 1$, $t \geq 1$, $m_i \geq n+1 \geq 3$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s$), $n_j \geq n+1 \geq 3$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, t$). For stating briefly, denote

$$m = m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_s \geq 3s, \quad N = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t \geq 3t. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6),

$$\phi'(\xi) = \frac{A(\xi - \xi_1)^{m_1-1}(\xi - \xi_2)^{m_2-1} \cdots (\xi - \xi_s)^{m_s-1} h(\xi)}{(\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1+1}(\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2+1} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t+1}} = \frac{p_1(\xi)}{q_1(\xi)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi) &= (m - N - t)\xi^{s+t-1} + a_{s+t-2}\xi^{s+t-2} + \cdots + a_0, \\ p_1(\xi) &= A(\xi - \xi_1)^{m_1-1}(\xi - \xi_2)^{m_2-1} \cdots (\xi - \xi_s)^{m_s-1} h(\xi), \\ q_1(\xi) &= (\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1+1}(\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2+1} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t+1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

are polynomials. Since $\phi'(\xi) + a$ has only a unique zero ξ_0 , set

$$\phi'(\xi) + a = \frac{B(\xi - \xi_0)^l}{(\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1+1}(\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2+1} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t+1}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where B is a nonzero constant, so

$$\phi''(\xi) = \frac{(\xi - \xi_0)^{l-1} p_2(\xi)}{(\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1+2}(\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2+2} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t+2}}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $p_2(\xi) = B(l - N - 2t)\xi^t + b_{t-1}\xi^{t-1} + \cdots + b_0$ is a polynomial. From (3.8) we also have

$$\phi''(\xi) = \frac{(\xi - \xi_1)^{m_1-2}(\xi - \xi_2)^{m_2-2} \cdots (\xi - \xi_s)^{m_s-2} p_3(\xi)}{(\xi - \eta_1)^{n_1+2}(\xi - \eta_2)^{n_2+2} \cdots (\xi - \eta_t)^{n_t+2}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where $p_3(\xi)$ is also a polynomial.

Let $\deg(p)$ denote the degree of a polynomial $p(\xi)$.

From (3.8) and (3.9),

$$\deg(h) \leq s + t - 1, \quad \deg(p_1) \leq m + t - 1, \quad \deg(q_1) = N + t. \quad (3.13)$$

Similarly from (3.11), (3.12) and noting (3.13),

$$\deg(p_2) \leq t, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\deg(p_3) \leq \deg(p_1) + t - 1 - (m - 2s) \leq 2t + 2s - 2. \quad (3.15)$$

Note that $m_i \geq 3$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s$), it follows from (3.8) and (3.10) that $\phi'(\xi_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s$) and $\phi'(\xi_0) = a \neq 0$. Thus, $\xi_0 \neq \xi_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, s$), and then $(\xi - \xi_0)^{l-1}$ is a factor of

$p_3(\xi)$. Hence, we get that $l - 1 \leq \deg(p_3)$. Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we also have $m - 2s = \deg(p_2) + l - 1 - \deg(p_3) \leq \deg(p_2)$. By (3.14) we obtain

$$m - 2s \leq \deg(p_2) \leq t. \quad (3.16)$$

Since $m \geq 3s$, we know by (3.16) that

$$s \leq t. \quad (3.17)$$

If $l \geq N + t$, by (3.15), then

$$4t - 1 \leq N + t - 1 \leq l - 1 \leq \deg(p_3) \leq 2t + 2s - 2. \quad (3.18)$$

Noting (3.17), we obtain $1 \leq 0$; a contradiction.

If $l < N + t$, from (3.8) and (3.10), then $\deg(p_1) = \deg(q_1)$. Noting that $\deg(h) \leq s + t - 1$, $\deg(p_1) \leq m + t - 1$, and $\deg(q_1) = N + t$, hence $m \geq N + 1 \geq 3t + 1$. By (3.16), $2t + 1 \leq 2s$. From (3.17), we obtain $1 \leq 0$; a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 1.7 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.11. The proof of this theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.7, some different places are stated as follows.

The zeros of g are multiple;

$$l \geq 2n + 1 = 3. \quad (3.19)$$

The zeros of ϕ are of multiplicity ≥ 4 :

$$m_i \geq 2(n + 1) = 4 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, s), \quad n_j \geq n + 1 = 2 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, t); \quad (3.20)$$

$$m = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_s \geq 4s, \quad N = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t \geq 2t. \quad (3.7)'$$

Noting $m \geq 4s$, by (3.16) we have

$$2s \leq t. \quad (3.17)'$$

If $l \geq N + t$, by (3.15), then

$$3t - 1 \leq N + t - 1 \leq l - 1 \leq \deg(p_3) \leq 2t + 2s - 2. \quad (3.21)$$

Noting (3.17), we obtain $1 \leq 0$; a contradiction.

If $l < N + t$, from (3.8) and (3.10), then $\deg(p_1) = \deg(q_1)$. Noting that $\deg(h) \leq s + t - 1$, $\deg(p_1) \leq m + t - 1$, and $\deg(q_1) = N + t$, hence $m \geq N + 1 \geq 2t + 1$. By (3.16), $2t + 1 \leq 2s + t$. From (3.17)', we obtain $1 \leq 0$; a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem 1.11 is complete. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to Professor Qingcai Zhang for supplying them his helpful reprint. They wish to thank the managing editor and referees for their very helpful comments and useful suggestions. This work was completed of the support with the NSF of China (10771220) and Doctorial Point Fund of National Education Ministry of China (200810780002).

References

- [1] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, vol. 557, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherland, 2003.
- [2] Y. X. Gu, X. C. Pang, and M. L. Fang, *Theory of Normal Family and Its Applications*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2007.
- [3] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1964.
- [4] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1993.
- [5] W. Bergweiler, "Bloch's principle," *Computational Methods and Function Theory*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 77–108, 2006.
- [6] L. A. Rubel, "Four counterexamples to Bloch's principle," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 257–260, 1986.
- [7] D. Drasin, "Normal families and the Nevanlinna theory," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 122, pp. 231–263, 1969.
- [8] W. Schwick, "Normality criteria for families of meromorphic functions," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 52, pp. 241–289, 1989.
- [9] X. Pang and L. Zalcman, "Normal families and shared values," *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 325–331, 2000.
- [10] X. Pang and L. Zalcman, "Normality and shared values," *Arkiv för Matematik*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 171–182, 2000.
- [11] Q. Zhang, "Normal families of meromorphic functions concerning shared values," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 338, no. 1, pp. 545–551, 2008.
- [12] W. K. Hayman, *Research Problems in Function Theory*, The Athlone Press University of London, London, UK, 1967.
- [13] X. C. Pang, "On normal criterion of meromorphic functions," *Science in China Series A*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 521–527, 1990.
- [14] H. H. Chen and M. L. Fang, "On a theorem of Drasin," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 20, p. 504, 1991 (Chinese).
- [15] Y. S. Ye, "A new normality criterion and its application," *Chinese Annals of Mathematics Series A*, vol. 12, pp. 44–49, 1991.
- [16] H. H. Chen and Y. X. Gu, "Improvement of Marty's criterion and its application," *Science in China Series A*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 674–681, 1993.
- [17] S. Y. Li, "On normality criterion of a class of the functions," *Journal of Fujian Normal University*, vol. 2, pp. 156–158, 1984.
- [18] X. J. Li, "Proof of Hayman's conjecture on normal families," *Scientia Sinica Series A*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 596–603, 1985.
- [19] J. K. Langley, "On normal families and a result of Drasin," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A*, vol. 98, no. 3-4, pp. 385–393, 1984.
- [20] L. Zalcman, "On some questions of Hayman," reprint.
- [21] M. Fang and W. Yuan, "On the normality for families of meromorphic functions," *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 341–351, 2001.
- [22] Q. Zhang, "Some normality criteria of meromorphic functions," *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 791–795, 2008.
- [23] L. Zalcman, "A heuristic principle in complex function theory," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 82, no. 8, pp. 813–817, 1975.
- [24] L. Zalcman, "Normal families: new perspectives," *American Mathematical Society Bulletin*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 215–230, 1998.

- [25] W. Bergweiler and X. Pang, "On the derivative of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 278, no. 2, pp. 285–292, 2003.
- [26] Y. Wang and M. Fang, "Picard values and normal families of meromorphic functions with multiple zeros," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 17–26, 1998.
- [27] W. Bergweiler and A. Eremenko, "On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order," *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 355–373, 1995.