

Research Article

A-Sequence Spaces in 2-Normed Space Defined by Ideal Convergence and an Orlicz Function

E. Savaş

Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Commerce University, Üsküdar, Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to E. Savaş, ekremsavas@yahoo.com

Received 2 March 2011; Revised 17 April 2011; Accepted 20 April 2011

Academic Editor: Ondřej Došlý

Copyright © 2011 E. Savaş. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study some new A -sequence spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function in 2-normed space and we give some relations related to these sequence spaces.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be two nonempty subsets of the space w of complex sequences. Let $A = (a_{nk})$, $(n, k = 1, 2, \dots)$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers. We write $Ax = (A_n(x))$ if $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$ converges for each n . If $x = (x_k) \in X \Rightarrow Ax = (A_n(x)) \in Y$ we say that A defines a (matrix) transformation from X to Y , and we denote it by $A : X \rightarrow Y$.

The notion of ideal convergence was introduced first by Kostyrko et al. [1] as a generalization of statistical convergence. More applications of ideals can be seen in [2–5].

The concept of 2-normed space was initially introduced by Gähler [6] as an interesting nonlinear generalization of a normed linear space which was subsequently studied by many authors (see, [7, 8]). Recently a lot of activities have started to study summability, sequence spaces, and related topics in these nonlinear spaces (see, [9–12]).

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Recall that a sequence (x_n) of elements of X is called statistically convergent to $x \in X$ if the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

A family $\mathcal{O} \subset 2^Y$ of subsets a nonempty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if

- (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{O}$;
- (ii) $A \in \mathcal{O}$, $B \subset A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{O}$, while an admissible ideal \mathcal{O} of Y further satisfies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{O}$ for each $x \in Y$, (see [7, 13]).

Given $\mathcal{O} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{O} -convergent to $x \in X$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{O} , (see, [1, 3]).

Let X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $2 \leq d < \infty$. A 2-norm on X is a function $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

- (i) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent;
- (ii) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$;
- (iii) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iv) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is then called a 2-normed space [7]. As an example of a 2-normed space we may take $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ being equipped with the 2-norm $\|x, y\| :=$ the area of the parallelogram spanned by the vectors x and y , which may be given explicitly by the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2\|_E = \text{abs} \left(\begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{vmatrix} \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Recall that $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to some x in X .

Recall in [14] that an Orlicz function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous, convex, nondecreasing function such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Subsequently Orlicz function was used to define sequence spaces by Parashar and Choudhary [15] and others [16, 17].

If convexity of Orlicz function M is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then this function is called modulus function, which was presented and discussed by Ruckle [18] and Maddox [19]. It should be mentioned that notable works involving Orlicz function and modulus function were done in [16, 18–23].

In this article, we define some new sequence spaces in 2-normed spaces by using Orlicz function, infinite matrix, generalized difference sequences, and ideals. We introduce and examine certain new sequence spaces using the above tools as also the 2-norm.

2. Main Results

Let I be an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , M be an Orlicz function, $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-normed space, and $A = (a_{n,k})$ be a nonnegative matrix method. Further, let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence

of positive real numbers. By $S(2 - X)$, we denote the space of all sequences defined over $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Now we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &W^I(M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
 &= \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\}, \\
 &W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
 &= \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right\}, \\
 &W_{\infty}(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \tag{2.1} \\
 &= \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq K \right\}, \\
 &W_{\infty}^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
 &= \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \exists K > 0, \text{ s.t. } \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \in I \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and each } z \in X \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta^m x_k = \Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}$.

Let us consider a few special cases of the above sets.

- (1) If $M(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, then the above classes of sequences are denoted by $W^I(A, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(A, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_{\infty}(A, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_{\infty}^I(A, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, respectively.
- (2) If $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we denote the above classes of sequences by $W^I(A, M, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_{\infty}(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_{\infty}^I(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, respectively.
- (3) If $M(x) = x$, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, and $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we denote the above spaces by $W^I(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_{\infty}(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_{\infty}^I(A, \Delta^m, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, respectively.
- (4) If we take $A = (a_{nk})$ as

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

then the above classes of sequences are denoted by $W^I(C, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(C, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty(C, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_\infty^I(C, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ respectively, which were defined and studied by Savaş [24]

(5) If we take $A = (a_{nk})$ is a de la Vallée poussin mean, that is,

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_n}, & \text{if } k \in I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where (λ_n) is a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ and $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$, then the above classes of sequences are denoted by $W^I(M, \Delta^m, \lambda, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(M, \Delta^m, \lambda, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty(M, \Delta^m, \lambda, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_\infty^I(M, \Delta^m, \lambda, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

(6) By a lacunary $\theta = (k_r)$; $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ where $k_0 = 0$, we will mean an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. As a final illustration let

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h_r}, & \text{if } k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Then we denote the above classes of sequences by $W^I(M, \Delta^m, \theta, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(M, \Delta^m, \theta, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty(M, \Delta^m, \theta, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_\infty^I(M, \Delta^m, \theta, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

The following well-known inequality (see [25, p. 190]) will be used in the study.

If

$$0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H, \quad D = \max(1, 2^{H-1}), \quad (2.5)$$

then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\}, \quad (2.6)$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.1. $W^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_\infty^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We will prove the assertion for $W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ only, and the others can be proved similarly. Assume that $x, y \in W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. In order to prove the result we need to find some ρ_3 such that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\alpha \Delta^m x_k + \beta \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_3}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho_3 > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $x, y \in W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, there exist some positive ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho_1 > 0, \\ & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since M is nondecreasing and convex and also $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is a 2-norm, Δ^m is linear

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\alpha \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_3}, z \right\| + \left\| \frac{\beta \Delta^m x_k}{\rho_3}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| + \left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| + \left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. From the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{\rho_3}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m y_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Two sets on the right-hand side belong to I , and this completes the proof. \square

It is also easy to verify that the space $W_{\infty}(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is also a linear space and moreover we have the following.

Theorem 2.2. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $W_\infty(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$g_n(x) = \inf_{z \in X} \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to the proof of the Theorem 2 in [24] and so is omitted. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $X(A, \Delta^{m-1})$ stand for $W_0^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, or $W_\infty^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and $m \geq 1$. Then the inclusion $X(A, \Delta^{m-1}) \subset X(A, \Delta^m)$ is strict. In general $X(A, \Delta^i) \subset X(A, \Delta^m)$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m-1$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. We shall give the proof for $W_0^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ only. It can be proved in a similar way for $W_\infty^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Let $x = (x_k) \in W_0^I(A, \Delta^{m-1}, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Since M is nondecreasing and convex it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{2\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1} - \Delta^{m-1} x_k}{2\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ &\leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[\frac{1}{2} M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) \\ &\leq D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{2\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1}}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : D \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^{m-1} x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Since the set on the right hand side belongs to I , so does the left hand side. The inclusion is strict as the sequence $x = (k^r)$, for example, belongs to $W_0^I(\Delta^m, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ but does not belong to $W_0^I(\Delta^{m-1}, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ for $M(x) = x$, $A = (a_{nk}) = (C, 1)$ Cesàro matrix and $p_k = 1$ for all k . \square

Theorem 2.4. (i) Let $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$. Then $W^I(A, \Delta^m, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subset W^I(A, \Delta^m, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.
 (ii) $1 < p_k \leq \sup p_k \leq \infty$. Then $W^I(A, \Delta^m, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subset W^I(A, \Delta^m, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

Proof. (i) Let $(x_k) \in W^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Since $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k \leq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right] \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}. \quad (2.15)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right] \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

(ii) Let $p_k \geq 1$ for each k , and $\sup p_k \leq \infty$. Let $(x_k) \in W^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Then for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right] \leq \varepsilon < 1, \quad (2.17)$$

for all $n \geq N$. This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[\left(M \left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right] \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following corollary follows immediately from the above theorem.

Corollary 2.5. Let $A = (C, 1)$ Cesàro matrix and let M be an Orlicz function.

- (1) If $0 < \inf p_k \leq p_k < 1$, then $W^I(\Delta^m, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subset W^I(\Delta^m, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.
- (2) If $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$, then $W^I(\Delta^m, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subset W^I(\Delta^m, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a sequence space. Then X is called solid if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$ whenever $(x_k) \in X$ for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.7. The sequence spaces $W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ are solid.

Proof. We give the proof for $W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ only. Let $(x_k) \in W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and let (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\Delta^m(\alpha_k x_k)}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left[\left(M \left\| \frac{\Delta^m x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $C = \max_k \{1, |\alpha_k|^H\}$. Hence $(\alpha_k x_k) \in W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ for all sequences of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $(x_k) \in W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. \square

Remark 2.8. In general it is difficult to predict the solidity of $W_0^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and $W_\infty^I(A, M, \Delta^m, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ when $m > 0$. For this, consider the following example.

Example 2.9. Let $m = 2$, $p_k = 1$ for all k , $A = (C, 1)$ Cesàro matrix and $M(x) = x$. Then $(x_k) = (k) \in W_0^I(M, \Delta^2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ but $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin W_0^I(M, \Delta^2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ when $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $W_0^I(M, \Delta^2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is not solid.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, and W. Wilczyński, "I-convergence," *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 669–685, 2000.
- [2] B. K. Lahiri and P. Das, "I and I*-convergence in topological spaces," *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 153–160, 2005.
- [3] P. Kostyrko, M. Mačaj, T. Šalát, and M. Szeziak, "I-convergence and extremal I-limit points," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 443–464, 2005.
- [4] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, and P. Malik, "I and I*-convergence of double sequences," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 605–620, 2008.
- [5] P. Das and P. Malik, "On the statistical and I-variation of double sequences," *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 351–363, 2008.
- [6] S. Gähler, "2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 26, pp. 115–148, 1963.
- [7] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, "On finite-dimensional 2-normed spaces," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 321–329, 2001.
- [8] R. W. Freese and Y. J. Cho, *Geometry of Linear 2-Normed Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, USA, 2001.
- [9] A. Şahiner, M. Gürdal, S. Saltan, and H. Gunawan, "Ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1477–1484, 2007.

- [10] E. Savaş, "On some new sequence spaces in n -normed spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 482392, 8 pages, 2010.
- [11] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, " I -convergent sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 543–548, 2008.
- [12] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, "Paranorm I -convergent sequence spaces," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 485–494, 2009.
- [13] M. Gürdal, A. Şahiner, and I. Açıık, "Approximation theory in 2-Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 1654–1661, 2009.
- [14] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. B. Rutitsky, *Convex Function and Orlicz Spaces*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1961.
- [15] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, "Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 419–428, 1994.
- [16] B. C. Tripathy, M. ET, and Y. Altin, "Generalized difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function in a locally convex space," *Journal of Analysis and Applications*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 175–192, 2003.
- [17] A. Sahiner and M. Gurdal, "New sequence spaces in n -spaces with respect to an Orlicz function," *The Aligarh Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 53–58, 2008.
- [18] W. H. Ruckle, "FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded," *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 25, pp. 973–978, 1973.
- [19] I. J. Maddox, "Sequence spaces defined by a modulus," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 161–166, 1986.
- [20] R. Çolak, M. Et, and E. Malkowsky, "Strongly almost (w, λ) -summable sequences defined by Orlicz functions," *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 265–276, 2005.
- [21] E. Savaş and R. F. Patterson, "An Orlicz extension of some new sequence spaces," *Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Università di Trieste*, vol. 37, no. 1-2, pp. 145–154, 2005.
- [22] B. C. Tripathy and P. Chandra, "On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequence defined by modulus function," *Analysis in Theory and Applications*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 21–27, 2011.
- [23] B. C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, "On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions," *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 231–238, 2004.
- [24] E. Savaş, " Δ^m -strongly summable sequences spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by ideal convergence and an Orlicz function," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 1, pp. 271–276, 2010.
- [25] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, London, UK, 1970.