

On the L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators with non-regular symbols

Naohito Tomita

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the continuity property of pseudo-differential operators with symbols whose Fourier transforms have compact support. As applications, we obtain the L^p -boundedness for symbols in Besov spaces and in modulation spaces.

1. Introduction

The continuity property of pseudo-differential operators has been well investigated. Among many works, Calderón–Vaillancourt [3] first treated the boundedness for the class $S_{0,0}^0$, which means that the boundedness of all the derivatives of a symbol assures the L^2 -boundedness of the corresponding operator. It should be mentioned that the boundedness of all the derivatives of a symbol is not necessary in their proof.

Authors such as Coifman–Meyer [4], Cordes [5], Miyachi [11] and Muramatu [12], improved the result of [3] along this line, that is, investigated the minimal assumption on the regularity of a symbol. In fact, they proved that the boundedness of the derivatives of a symbol up to a certain order, which exceeds $n/2$, assures the boundedness on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the corresponding operator. In particular, Sugimoto [16] showed that symbols $\sigma(x, \xi)$ in the Besov space $B_{(n/2, n/2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ imply the L^2 -boundedness. This assumption means that $\sigma(x, \xi)$ belongs to $B_{n/2}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to both x and ξ . [16, Theorem 2.1.2] also stated that the class $B_{(n/2, n/2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ can be replaced by a wider one $B_{(1/2, \dots, 1/2)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})$, which means that $\sigma(x, \xi) = \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ belongs to $B_{1/2}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to x_j and ξ_k for all $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. This is equivalent to the result of Boulkhemair [1, Corollary 6].

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \leq C(R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{L^\infty_{x,\xi}} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\sigma(x, \xi)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$, $R_j \geq 1$, $j=1, \dots, 2n$, and all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $\hat{\sigma} = \mathcal{F}_{1,2}\sigma$ and $C > 0$ is independent of $R_j \geq 1$, $j=1, \dots, 2n$.

The objective of this paper is to induce a similar estimate for the L^p -boundedness, $p \neq 2$. In this direction, Miyachi [11] proved that symbols $\sigma(x, \xi)$ in the weighted Besov space $B_{(s_1, s_2), m(p)}^{\infty, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $s_1 > \min\{n/p, n/2\}$, $s_2 > \max\{n/p, n/2\}$, $m(p) = n|1/p - 1/2|$ imply the L^p -boundedness, $1 < p < \infty$. This assumption means that fractional derivatives of $\sigma(x, \xi)$ in x (resp. ξ) higher than $\min\{n/p, n/2\}$ (resp. $\max\{n/p, n/2\}$) are bounded by $C\langle \xi \rangle^{-m(p)}$. Sugimoto [17] treated the critical case $s_1 = n/2$ and $s_2 = n/p$ as in the argument for the L^2 -boundedness, and showed that symbols $\sigma(x, \xi)$ in the weighted Besov space $B_{(n/2, n/p), m(p)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ imply the L^p -boundedness for $1 < p \leq 2$. The following main theorem of this paper extends this result to the case $p > 2$. Furthermore, in the case $p < 2$, it replaces the symbol class $B_{(n/2, n/p), m(p)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ by the wider one $B_{(1/2, \dots, 1/2, 1/p, \dots, 1/p), m(p)}^{\infty, 1}(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})$ as in the case of L^2 -boundedness.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p} \leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{\min\{1/p, 1/2\}} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{\max\{1/p, 1/2\}} \times \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^{n|1/p - 1/2|}\|_{L^\infty_{x,\xi}} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

for all $\sigma(x, \xi)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$, $R_j \geq 1$, $j=1, \dots, 2n$, and all $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Hardy space and $C > 0$ is independent of $R_j \geq 1$, $j=1, \dots, 2n$.

The statement for symbols in the class $B_{(1/2, \dots, 1/2, 1/p, \dots, 1/p), m(p)}^{\infty, 1}$ with $p < 2$ (resp. $B_{(1/p, \dots, 1/p, 1/2, \dots, 1/2), m(p)}^{\infty, 1}$ with $p > 2$) can be obtained from Theorem 1.2 as a corollary (see Corollary 5.2(2)). We remark that symbols in the weighted modulation space $M_{m(p)}^{\infty, 1}$ also induce the L^p -boundedness. Such a result is just a corollary of Theorem 1.2 again (see Corollary 5.2(3)). The L^2 -boundedness with symbols in the modulation space $M_0^{\infty, 1}$ was studied by Sjöstrand [13], Boukhemair [1], Gröchenig–Heil [8] and Toft [18].

Finally, we explain the organization of this paper. Section 2 is for the preliminaries, including the notation and definitions of function spaces. Sections 3

and 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 with $p \leq 2$ and $p \geq 2$, respectively. In Section 5, we state several results induced from Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz spaces of all rapidly decreasing smooth functions and tempered distributions, respectively. We define the *Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}f$ and the *inverse Fourier transform* $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let $\sigma(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, where $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_1\sigma(y, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2\sigma(x, \eta)$ the partial Fourier transforms of σ with respect to the first variable and the second variable, respectively. That is, $\mathcal{F}_1\sigma(y, \xi) = \mathcal{F}[\sigma(\cdot, \xi)](y)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2\sigma(x, \eta) = \mathcal{F}[\sigma(x, \cdot)](\eta)$. We also denote by $\mathcal{F}_1^{-1}\sigma$ and $\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\sigma$ the partial inverse Fourier transforms of σ with respect to the first variable and the second variable, respectively. We write $\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_1^{-1}\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}$, and note that $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}^{-1}$ are the usual Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, the pseudo-differential operator $\sigma(X, D)$ is defined by

$$\sigma(X, D)f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We use the notation $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$, where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, p' is the conjugate exponent of p (that is, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$).

We introduce Hardy spaces based on Stein [14, Chapter 3]. Let $0 < p < \infty$, and let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) dx \neq 0$. Then the *Hardy space* $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \left\| \sup_{0 < t < \infty} |\Phi_t * f| \right\|_{L^p} < \infty,$$

where $\Phi_t(x) = t^{-n} \Phi(x/t)$. It is known that $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1 < p < \infty$ ([14, Chapter 3, Section 1.2.1]), and the definition of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x) dx \neq 0$ ([14, Chapter 3, Theorem 1]). Let $0 < p \leq 1$. We say that a is an *H^p -atom* if a satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \text{supp } a \subset B, \quad \|a\|_{L^\infty} \leq |B|^{-1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\beta a(x) dx = 0$$

for all $|\beta| \leq [n/p - n]$, where B is an open ball and $[n/p - n]$ stands for the largest integer $\leq n/p - n$. It is also known that every $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be written as a sum of H^p -atoms:

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\{a_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a collection of H^p -atoms and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ is a sequence of complex numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$. Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{C} \inf \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|f\|_{H^p} \leq C \inf \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of f ([14, Chapter 3, Theorem 2]). By virtue of atomic decomposition of H^p , if an L^2 -bounded operator T satisfies $\|Ta\|_{L^p} \leq C$ for all H^p -atoms a , then T is bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $0 < p \leq 1$.

We recall the definitions of Besov and modulation spaces. Assume that $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\psi_0, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{supp } \psi_0 \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : |\xi| \leq 2\}, \\ &\text{supp } \psi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : 2^{-1} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}, \\ &\psi_0(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

and set $\psi_j(\xi) = \psi(2^{-j}\xi)$ if $j \geq 1$. The *weighted Besov space* $B_{(s_1, s_2), \rho}^{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{B_{(s_1, s_2)}^{\infty, q}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{js_1} 2^{ks_2} \|\psi_j(D_x) \psi_k(D_\xi) \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\rho\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty})^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{\psi_j\}_{j \geq 0}, \{\psi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ are as in (2.2) with $N = n$,

$$\psi_j(D_x) \psi_k(D_\xi) \sigma(x, \xi) = \mathcal{F}_{(y, \eta) \rightarrow (x, \xi)}^{-1} [\psi_j(y) \psi_k(\eta) \hat{\sigma}(y, \eta)]$$

and $x, y, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, $B_{(s_1, \dots, s_{2n}), \rho}^{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})$ is defined by the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma\|_{B_{(s_1, \dots, s_{2n}), \rho}^{\infty, q}} &= \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} (2^{j_1 s_1 + \dots + j_n s_n} 2^{k_1 s_{n+1} + \dots + k_n s_{2n}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \|\psi_{j_1}(D_{x_1}) \dots \psi_{j_n}(D_{x_n}) \psi_{k_1}(D_{\xi_1}) \dots \psi_{k_n}(D_{\xi_n}) \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^\rho\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1, \dots, s_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{\psi_{j_1}\}_{j_1 \geq 0}, \dots, \{\psi_{k_n}\}_{k_n \geq 0}$ are as in (2.2) with $N=1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{j_1}(D_{x_1}) \dots \psi_{j_n}(D_{x_n}) \psi_{k_1}(D_{\xi_1}) \dots \psi_{k_n}(D_{\xi_n}) \sigma(x, \xi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{(y, \eta) \rightarrow (x, \xi)}^{-1} [\psi_{j_1}(y_1) \dots \psi_{j_n}(y_n) \psi_{k_1}(\eta_1) \dots \psi_{k_n}(\eta_n) \hat{\sigma}(y, \eta)], \end{aligned}$$

$y=(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{supp } \varphi \subset [-1, 1]^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then the *weighted modulation space* $M_p^{\infty, q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{M_p^{\infty, q}} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D_x - k) \varphi(D_\xi - l) \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^p\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where

$$\varphi(D_x - k) \varphi(D_\xi - l) \sigma(x, \xi) = \mathcal{F}_{(y, \eta) \rightarrow (x, \xi)}^{-1} [\varphi(y - k) \varphi(\eta - l) \hat{\sigma}(y, \eta)].$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 with $p \leq 2$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 with $p \leq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\sigma(X, D) \langle D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2} \leq C (R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $\sigma(x, \xi)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{k=1}^{2n} [-R_k, R_k]$, $R_k \geq 1$, $k=1, \dots, 2n$, and all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $C > 0$ is independent of $R_k \geq 1$, $k=1, \dots, 2n$.

Proof. Let $\omega_s(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^s$, and let $\{\psi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be as in (2.2) with $N=n$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma(x, \xi) \psi_j(D) \omega_s(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_j(x, \xi).$$

Since $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{k=1}^{2n} [-R_k, R_k]$ and $\text{supp } \widehat{\psi_j(D) \omega_s} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$, we have

$$\text{supp } \hat{\sigma}_j \subset \left(\prod_{k=1}^n [-R_k, R_k] \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} [-(R_k + 2^{j+1}), R_k + 2^{j+1}] \right) \quad \text{for all } j \geq 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.1,

$$(3.2) \quad \|\sigma_j(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} ((R_{n+1} + 2^j) \dots (R_{2n} + 2^j))^{1/2} \|\sigma_j(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $j \geq 0$. If $j=0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_0(x, \xi)| &= \left| \sigma(x, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_0(\eta) \langle \xi - \eta \rangle^s d\eta \right| \\ &\leq C |\sigma(x, \xi)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi_0(\eta)| \langle \xi \rangle^s \langle \eta \rangle^{|s|} d\eta = C |\sigma(x, \xi)| \langle \xi \rangle^s, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi_0$, and consequently

$$(3.3) \quad \|\sigma_0(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \leq C \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}.$$

We consider the case $j \geq 1$, and note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta^\beta \Psi(\eta) d\eta = i^{|\beta|} \partial^\beta \psi(0) = 0$ for all β , where $\Psi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi$. Let $N = [n/2]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(D)\omega_s(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{jn} \Psi(2^j(\xi - \eta)) \left(\omega_s(\eta) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \frac{(\eta - \xi)^\beta}{\beta!} \partial^\beta \omega_s(\xi) \right) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{jn} \Psi(2^j(\xi - \eta)) \\ &\quad \times \left((N+1) \sum_{|\beta| = N+1} \frac{(\eta - \xi)^\beta}{\beta!} \int_0^1 (1-t)^N \partial^\beta \omega_s(\xi + t(\eta - \xi)) dt \right) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

and $\omega_s \in S_{1,0}^s$ (that is, $|\partial^\beta \omega_s(\xi)| \leq C_\beta \langle \xi \rangle^{s-|\beta|}$), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_j(D)\omega_s(\xi)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi(\eta)| |2^{-j}\eta|^{N+1} \left(\int_0^1 \langle \xi - 2^{-j}t\eta \rangle^{s-(N+1)} dt \right) d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi(\eta)| |2^{-j}\eta|^{N+1} \left(\int_0^1 \langle \xi \rangle^{s-(N+1)} \langle 2^{-j}t\eta \rangle^{|s-(N+1)|} dt \right) d\eta \\ &\leq C 2^{-j(N+1)} \langle \xi \rangle^{s-(N+1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi(\eta)| |\eta|^{N+1} \langle \eta \rangle^{|s-(N+1)|} d\eta \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. This gives

$$(3.4) \quad \|\sigma_j(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} = \|\sigma(x, \xi) \psi_j(D)\omega_s(\xi)\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \leq C 2^{-j(N+1)} \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Note that if $a, b \geq 1$ then $a + b \leq 2ab$. Therefore, since $2^j \geq 1, j \geq 0, R_k \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq 2n$, and $N + 1 > n/2$, we have by (3.1)–(3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(X, D)\langle D \rangle^s f\|_{L^2} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\sigma_j(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} ((R_{n+1} + 2^j) \dots (R_{2n} + 2^j))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\sigma_j(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} ((2^j R_{n+1}) \dots (2^j R_{2n}))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \|\sigma_j(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C (R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j((N+1)-n/2)} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ &= C (R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^s\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 with $p \leq 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 with $p \leq 2$. Let $\sigma(x, \xi)$ be such that

$$\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j], \quad R_j \geq 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n.$$

We first consider the case $0 < p < 1$. By virtue of atomic decomposition of H^p , it is enough to prove that

$$(3.5) \quad \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p} \leq C (R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/p} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}$$

for all $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad \text{supp } f \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\}, \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq r^{-n/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\beta f(x) dx = 0$$

for all $|\beta| \leq [n/p - n]$, where $C > 0$ is independent of r . In fact, since $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^p}$ are translation invariant, $\sigma(X, D)(f(\cdot - x_0))(x) = \sigma(X + x_0, D)f(x - x_0)$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_{(x,\xi) \rightarrow (y,\eta)}[\sigma(x + x_0, \xi)] \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$, if (3.5) holds for all f satisfying (3.6)

then (3.5) holds for all f satisfying (2.1) (see Remark 5.1). Note that if f satisfies (3.6) then $\|f\|_{L^2} \leq Cr^{-n(1/p-1/2)}$.

Let f be as in (3.6) with $r > 1$, and split $\|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p}^p$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sigma(X, D)\|_{L^p}^p \\ &= \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^n [-2rR_{n+j}, 2rR_{n+j}]} + \int_c \left(\prod_{j=1}^n [-2rR_{n+j}, 2rR_{n+j}] \right) \right) |\sigma(X, D)f(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\sigma(x, \cdot) \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-R_{n+j}, R_{n+j}]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{supp } f \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad \sigma(X, D)f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) d\xi \right) f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}\sigma(x, x-y)f(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\text{supp } \sigma(X, D)f \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-R_{n+j}, R_{n+j}] + \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\} \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-(R_{n+j}+r), R_{n+j}+r].$$

By Hölder's inequality and Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad & \left(\int_{\prod_{j=1}^n [-2rR_{n+j}, 2rR_{n+j}]} |\sigma(X, D)f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^n 4rR_{n+j} \right)^{1/p-1/2} \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq Cr^{n(1/p-1/2)} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/p-1/2} (R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi)\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/p} \|\sigma(x, \xi)(\xi)^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $1/p-1/2 > 0$. On the other hand,

$$(3.9) \quad \int_c \left(\prod_{j=1}^n [-2rR_{n+j}, 2rR_{n+j}] \right) |\sigma(X, D)f(x)|^p dx = 0.$$

In fact, since $r > 1$ and $R_{n+j} \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\text{supp } \sigma(X, D)f \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-(R_{n+j}+r), R_{n+j}+r] \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-2rR_{n+j}, 2rR_{n+j}].$$

Hence, (3.8) and (3.9) give (3.5) for f satisfying (3.6) with $r > 1$.

Let f be as in (3.6) with $r \leq 1$. In this case, our proof is similar to that of [17], but we give it for the reader's convenience. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \sigma(X, D)f &\subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-(R_{n+j}+r), R_{n+j}+r] \\ &\subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-(R_{n+j}+1), R_{n+j}+1] \subset \prod_{j=1}^n [-2R_{n+j}, 2R_{n+j}], \end{aligned}$$

we have by Hölder's inequality

$$(3.10) \quad \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p} \leq C(R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/p-1/2} \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\phi = 1$ on $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 1\}$ and $\text{supp } \phi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\}$, and split $\sigma(x, \xi)$ as

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \sigma(x, \xi)\phi(r\xi) + \sigma(x, \xi)(1 - \phi(r\xi)) = \sigma^{(1)}(x, \xi) + \sigma^{(2)}(x, \xi).$$

Set $K^{(l)}(x, y) = \mathcal{F}_2^{-1} \sigma^{(l)}(x, y)$, $l = 1, 2$, and $N = [n(1/p - 1)]$. By (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^{(1)}(X, D)f(x) &= \int_{|y| \leq r} \left(K^{(1)}(x, x-y) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} \frac{(-y)^\beta}{\beta!} \partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, x) \right) f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{|y| \leq r} \left((N+1) \sum_{|\beta| = N+1} \frac{(-y)^\beta}{\beta!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_0^1 (1-t)^N \partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, x-ty) dt \right) f(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma^{(2)}(X, D)f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq r} K^{(2)}(x, x-y) f(y) dy,$$

where $\partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, y) = \partial_y^\beta K^{(1)}(x, y)$. Then, by Minkowski's inequality for integrals,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\sigma^{(1)}(X, D)f\|_{L^2} &\leq Cr^{N+1-n(1/p-1)} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ |y| \leq r \\ |\beta| = N+1}} \|\partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, x-ty)\|_{L_x^2}, \\ \|\sigma^{(2)}(X, D)f\|_{L^2} &\leq Cr^{-n(1/p-1)} \sup_{|y| \leq r} \|K^{(2)}(x, x-y)\|_{L_x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\mathcal{F}g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}(\xi) = \phi(r\xi)(i\xi)^\beta e^{-ity \cdot \xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n(1/p-1/2)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, x-ty) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-ty)\cdot\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \phi(r\xi) (i\xi)^\beta d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot\xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)} \\
&\quad \times \phi(r\xi) (i\xi)^\beta e^{-ity\cdot\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n(1/p-1/2)} d\xi \\
&= \sigma(X, D) \langle D \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)} g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$K^{(2)}(x, x-y) = \sigma(X, D) \langle D \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)} g_{t,y}^{(2)}(x),$$

where $\mathcal{F}g_{y,r}^{(2)}(\xi) = (1-\phi(r\xi))e^{-iy\cdot\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n(1/p-1/2)}$. Then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad \|\partial_2^\beta K^{(1)}(x, x-ty)\|_{L_x^2} &= \|\sigma(X, D) \langle D \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)} g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad \|K^{(2)}(x, x-y)\|_{L_x^2} &= \|\sigma(X, D) \langle D \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)} g_{y,r}^{(2)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(R_1 \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^\infty} \|g_{y,r}^{(2)}\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $N+1-n(1/p-1/2) > -n/2$ and $-n(1/p-1/2) < -n/2$, by Plancherel's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ |y| \leq r \\ |\beta|=N+1}} \|g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}\|_{L^2} &= \sup_{\substack{0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ |y| \leq r \\ |\beta|=N+1}} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|\mathcal{F}g_{t,y,\beta,r}^{(1)}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|\phi(r\xi) |\xi|^{N+1-n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_\xi^2} \\
&= Cr^{-(N+1-n(1/p-1))}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad \sup_{|y| \leq r} \|g_{y,r}^{(2)}\|_{L_x^2} \leq (2\pi)^{-n/2} \|(1-\phi(r\xi)) |\xi|^{-n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_\xi^2} = Cr^{n(1/p-1)}.$$

Combining (3.10)–(3.15), we have (3.5) for f satisfying (3.6) with $r \leq 1$. Thus, we obtain Theorem 1.2 with $0 < p < 1$.

We next consider the case $1 \leq p < 2$ (since we already have the case $p=2$ as Theorem 1.1). We use the interpolation theorem for analytic families of operators (see Stein–Weiss [15] and Calderón–Torchinsky [2]). Since our proof is very similar to that of Sugimoto [17, Section 5] (or Miyachi [11, p. 150]), we shall only indicate the necessary modifications.

Let $0 < p_0 < 1$ and $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$. For $1 \leq p < 2$, we take $0 < \theta < 1$ such that $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/2$. Set

$$\sigma_z(x, \xi) = e^{(z-\theta)^2} \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p_0-1/2)(z-\theta)} \quad \text{for } z \in S,$$

and note that

$$(3.16) \quad \sigma_\theta(X, D) = \sigma(X, D).$$

Let $\omega_{n(1/p_0-1/2)}(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p_0-1/2)}$, and let $\{\psi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be as in (2.2) with $N = n$. Then

$$\sigma_z(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{(z-\theta)^2} \sigma(x, \xi) \psi_j(D) \omega_{n(1/p_0-1/2)}^{z-\theta}(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{z,j}(x, \xi).$$

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can prove that

$$|\psi_j(D) \omega_{n(1/p_0-1/2)}^{it-\theta}(\xi)| \leq C \max\{1, t\}^{N+1} 2^{-j(N+1)} \langle \xi \rangle^{-n\theta(1/p_0-1/2)}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \geq 0$, where $N = [n/p_0]$. Hence, since

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\sigma}_{it,j} \subset \left(\prod_{k=1}^n [-R_k, R_k] \right) \times \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{2n} [-(R_k + 2^{j+1}), R_k + 2^{j+1}] \right)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \geq 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1), we have by Theorem 1.2 with $0 < p_0 < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_{it}(X, D)f\|_{L^{p_0}}^{p_0} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|\sigma_{it,j}(X, D)f\|_{L^{p_0}}^{p_0} \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (R_1 \dots R_n)^{p_0/2} ((R_{n+1} + 2^j) \dots (R_{2n} + 2^j))^{p_0/p_0} \\ &\quad \times \|\sigma_{it,j}(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p_0-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^{p_0}}^{p_0} \|f\|_{H^{p_0}}^{p_0} \\ &\leq C (R_1 \dots R_n)^{p_0/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{p_0/p_0} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (e^{-t^2 + \theta^2} \max\{1, t\}^{N+1} 2^{-j(N+1-n/p_0)})^{p_0} \\ &\quad \times \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-n\theta(1/p_0-1/2)} \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p_0-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^{p_0}}^{p_0} \|f\|_{H^{p_0}}^{p_0} \\ &\leq C (R_1 \dots R_n)^{p_0/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{p_0/p_0} \\ &\quad \times \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L_{x,\xi}^{p_0}}^{p_0} \|f\|_{H^{p_0}}^{p_0}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.17) \quad \|\sigma_{it}(X, D)f\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/p_0} \\ \times \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L^\infty_{x,\xi}} \|f\|_{H^{p_0}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly,

$$(3.18) \quad \|\sigma_{1+it}(X, D)f\|_{L^2} \leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/2} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \\ \times \|\sigma(x, \xi)\langle \xi \rangle^{n(1/p-1/2)}\|_{L^\infty_{x,\xi}} \|f\|_{L^2}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by the interpolation theorem for analytic families of operators with (3.16)–(3.18), we obtain Theorem 1.2 with $1 \leq p < 2$. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 with $p \geq 2$

Hwang–Lee [9] essentially proved the following lemma, and obtained Miyachi’s result (see the introduction) as a corollary. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 with $p \geq 2$, we modify their estimate as follows:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $m = -n(1/2 - 1/p)$. For $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set*

$$\varphi(y) = [\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n](y),$$

$$\psi(\eta) = [\psi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_n](\eta),$$

$$V(f, g)(y, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iy \cdot t} f(\eta + t) \overline{g(t)} dt,$$

$$W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-i(x \cdot y + \xi \cdot \eta)} \varphi(y) \psi(\eta) V(f, g)(y, \eta) dy d\eta,$$

where $[\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n](y) = \varphi_1(y_1) \dots \varphi_n(y_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^m |W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\ \leq C \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\|\widehat{\varphi}_j\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(1)}\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(2)}\|_{L^{p'}} \right) \right) \\ \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \left(\|\widehat{\psi}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1} + \|\widehat{(\psi_k^{(1)})^{(1)}}\|_{L^1} \right) \right) \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}},$$

where $\varphi_j^{(1)}(y_j) = d\varphi_j(y_j)/dy_j$, $\varphi_j^{(2)}(y_j) = d^2\varphi_j(y_j)/dy_j^2$ and $C > 0$ is independent of $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To prove Lemma 4.1, we use the following result.

Lemma 4.2. [9, Lemma 2.4] *Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $p' \leq q \leq p$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^q |\xi|^{-n(1-q/p)} d\xi \right)^{1/q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. By the Fourier inversion formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi) &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-i(x \cdot y + \xi \cdot \eta)} \varphi(y) \psi(\eta) V(f, g)(y, \eta) dy d\eta \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-i(x \cdot y + \xi \cdot \eta)} \varphi(y) \psi(\eta) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{iy \cdot t} f(\eta + t) \overline{\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{it \cdot \zeta} \hat{g}(\zeta) d\zeta \right)} dt \right) dy d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} e^{-i(x \cdot y + \xi \cdot \eta)} \varphi(y) \psi(\eta) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(y - \zeta) \cdot (t - \eta)} f(t) dt \right) dy d\eta d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^{3n}} e^{-ix \cdot y} e^{i(y - \zeta) \cdot t} \varphi(y) f(t) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i(y + \xi - \zeta) \cdot \eta} \psi(\eta) d\eta \right) dt dy d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{-i\zeta \cdot t} f(t) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(t - x) \cdot y} \varphi(y) \hat{\psi}(y + \xi - \zeta) dy \right) dt d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{ix \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} e^{-it \cdot \xi} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(t - x) \cdot y} \varphi(y + \zeta - \xi) \hat{\psi}(y) dy \right) f(t) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} dt d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we only consider the case $n=2$ (the case $n \neq 2$ can be proved in the same way). This means that $\varphi(y) = \varphi_1(y_1)\varphi_2(y_2)$ and $\psi(\eta) =$

$\psi_1(\eta_1)\psi_2(\eta_2)$, where $y=(y_1, y_2), \eta=(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. By Taylor's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y+\zeta-\xi) &= \varphi_1(y_1+\zeta_1-\xi_1)\varphi_2(y_2+\zeta_2-\xi_2) \\ &= \left(\varphi_1(\zeta_1-\xi_1) + y_1 \int_0^1 \varphi_1^{(1)}(\zeta_1-\xi_1+s_1y_1) ds_1 \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\varphi_2(\zeta_2-\xi_2) + y_2 \int_0^1 \varphi_2^{(1)}(\zeta_2-\xi_2+s_2y_2) ds_2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi) = \sum_{l_1=0}^1 \sum_{l_2=0}^1 H_{(l_1, l_2)}(x, \xi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(0,0)}(x, \xi) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(t-x)\cdot\xi} \psi(t-x) f(t) dt \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix\cdot\zeta} \varphi(\zeta-\xi) \widehat{g}(\zeta) d\zeta \right), \\ H_{(1,0)}(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix\cdot(\xi-\zeta)} e^{-it\cdot\xi} \varphi_2(\zeta_2-\xi_2) \psi_2(t_2-x_2) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t_1-x_1)y_1} \varphi_1^{(1)}(\zeta_1-\xi_1+s_1y_1) \widehat{\psi}_1^{(1)}(y_1) dy_1 \right) f(t) \widehat{g}(\zeta) dt d\zeta ds_1, \\ H_{(0,1)}(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix\cdot(\xi-\zeta)} e^{-it\cdot\xi} \varphi_1(\zeta_1-\xi_1) \psi_1(t_1-x_1) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(t_2-x_2)y_2} \varphi_2^{(1)}(\zeta_2-\xi_2+s_2y_2) \widehat{\psi}_2^{(1)}(y_2) dy_2 \right) f(t) \widehat{g}(\zeta) dt d\zeta ds_2, \\ H_{(1,1)}(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{[0,1]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix\cdot(\xi-\zeta)} e^{-it\cdot\xi} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t-x)\cdot y} [\varphi_1^{(1)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(1)}](\zeta-\xi+sy) [\widehat{\psi}_1^{(1)} \otimes \widehat{\psi}_2^{(1)}](y) dy \right) \\ &\quad \times f(t) \widehat{g}(\zeta) dt d\zeta ds, \end{aligned}$$

$s=(s_1, s_2)$ and $sy=(s_1y_1, s_2y_2)$.

Let us estimate $H_{(0,0)}$, and recall that $2 \leq p < \infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(0,0)}(x, \xi) &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-it\cdot\xi} \psi(t) f(t+x) dt \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-iz\cdot\xi} \widehat{\varphi}(z) \overline{g(x-z)} dz \right) \\ &= \mathcal{F}[\psi f(\cdot+x)](\xi) \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\varphi} \overline{g(x-\cdot)}](\xi), \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Hölder's inequality, Plancherel's theorem, Lemma 4.2 with $q=2$ and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.1) \quad & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle \xi \rangle^m |H_{(0,0)}(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}[\psi f(\cdot + x)](\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\varphi}g(x - \cdot)](\xi)|^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{2m} d\xi \right)^{1/2} dx \\
 & \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi(t)f(t+x)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\varphi}(z)g(x-z)|^{p'} dz \right)^{1/p'} dx \\
 & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi(t)f(t+x)|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widehat{\varphi}(z)g(x-z)|^{p'} dz \right)^{p'/p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} \\
 & \leq C \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^{p'}} \|\psi\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \\
 & = C \|\widehat{\varphi}_1\|_{L^{p'}} \|\widehat{\varphi}_2\|_{L^{p'}} \|\widehat{\psi}_1\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{\psi}_2\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We next consider $H_{(1,0)}$ and $H_{(0,1)}$. Using

$$\frac{1}{1+i(t_1-x_1)} (1+\partial_{y_1}) e^{i(t_1-x_1)y_1} = e^{i(t_1-x_1)y_1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{(1,0)}(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 (-1)^{j+k} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} e^{-it \cdot \xi} \varphi_2(\zeta_2 - \xi_2) \psi_2(t_2 - x_2) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{i(t_1-x_1)y_1}}{1+i(t_1-x_1)} s_1^j \varphi_1^{(1+j)}(\zeta_1 - \xi_1 + s_1 y_1) \left(\widehat{\psi}_1^{(1)} \right)^{(k)}(y_1) dy_1 \right) \\
 & \quad \times f(t) \widehat{g}(\zeta) dt d\zeta ds_1 \\
 &= \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi}}{2\pi i} \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 (-1)^{j+k} \int_0^1 s_1^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix_1 y_1} \left(\widehat{\psi}_1^{(1)} \right)^{(k)}(y_1) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-it \cdot (\xi - y_1 e_1)} \frac{\psi_2(t_2 - x_2)}{1+i(t_1-x_1)} f(t) dt \right) \\
 & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} \varphi_1^{(1+j)}(\zeta_1 - \xi_1 + s_1 y_1) \varphi_2(\zeta_2 - \xi_2) \overline{\widehat{g}(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) dy_1 ds_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $y_1 e_1 = (y_1, 0)$. Hence, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} \varphi_1^{(1+j)}(\zeta_1 - \xi_1 + s_1 y_1) \varphi_2(\zeta_2 - \xi_2) \overline{\widehat{g}(\zeta)} d\zeta \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-iz \cdot \xi} e^{is_1 y_1 z_1} \widehat{\varphi_1^{(1+j)}}(z_1) \widehat{\varphi_2}(z_2) \overline{g(x-z)} dz, \end{aligned}$$

we have by the same argument as in (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle \xi \rangle^m |H_{(1,0)}(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}} \right)^{(k)}(y_1) \right| \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-it \cdot (\xi - y_1 e_1)} \frac{\psi_2(t_2 - x_2)}{1 + i(t_1 - x_1)} f(t) dt \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} \varphi_1^{(1+j)}(\zeta_1 - \xi_1 + s_1 y_1) \varphi_2(\zeta_2 - \xi_2) \overline{\widehat{g}(\zeta)} d\zeta \right| \langle \xi \rangle^m dx d\xi \right) dy_1 ds_1 \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \left(\widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}} \right)^{(k)}(y_1) \right| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-it \cdot \xi} \frac{\psi_2(t_2 - x_2)}{1 + i(t_1 - x_1)} f(t) dt \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-iz \cdot \xi} e^{is_1 y_1 z_1} \widehat{\varphi_1^{(1+j)}}(z_1) \widehat{\varphi_2}(z_2) \overline{g(x-z)} dz \right|^2 \langle \xi \rangle^{2m} d\xi \right)^{1/2} dx) dy_1 ds_1 \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 \left\| \widehat{\varphi_1^{(1+j)}} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \|\widehat{\varphi_2}\|_{L^{p'}} \left\| \left(\widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}} \right)^{(k)} \right\|_{L^1} \|\widehat{\psi_2}\|_{L^2} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle \xi \rangle^m |H_{(0,1)}(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\ & \leq C \sum_{\substack{j,k=0 \\ (j,k) \neq (1,1)}}^1 \|\widehat{\varphi_1}\|_{L^{p'}} \left\| \widehat{\varphi_2^{(1+j)}} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \|\widehat{\psi_1}\|_{L^2} \left\| \left(\widehat{\psi_2^{(1)}} \right)^{(k)} \right\|_{L^1} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider $H_{(1,1)}$. By using

$$\frac{1}{(1+i(t_1-x_1))(1+i(t_2-x_2))} (1+\partial_{y_1})(1+\partial_{y_2}) e^{i(t-x) \cdot y} = e^{i(t-x) \cdot y},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{(1,1)}(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2=0 \\ (j_1, k_1) \neq (1,1) \\ (j_2, k_2) \neq (1,1)}}^1 (-1)^{j_1+j_2+k_1+k_2} \int_{[0,1]^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{ix \cdot (\xi - \zeta)} e^{-it \cdot \xi} f(t) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{i(t-x) \cdot y}}{(1+i(t_1-x_1))(1+i(t_2-x_2))} s_1^{j_1} s_2^{j_2} \right. \\
 &\quad \times \left. [\varphi_1^{(1+j_1)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(1+j_2)}](\zeta - \xi + sy) \left[\widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}}^{(k_1)} \otimes \widehat{\psi_2^{(1)}}^{(k_2)} \right](y) dy \right) dt d\zeta ds \\
 &= \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi}}{(2\pi i)^2} \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2=0 \\ (j_1, k_1) \neq (1,1) \\ (j_2, k_2) \neq (1,1)}}^1 (-1)^{j_1+j_2+k_1+k_2} \int_{[0,1]^2} s_1^{j_1} s_2^{j_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot y} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}}^{(k_1)} \otimes \widehat{\psi_2^{(1)}}^{(k_2)} \right](y) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-it \cdot (\xi - y)} \frac{f(t)}{(1+i(t_1-x_1))(1+i(t_2-x_2))} dt \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} [\varphi_1^{(1+j_1)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(1+j_2)}](\zeta - \xi + sy) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} d\zeta \right) dy ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} [\varphi_1^{(1+j_1)} \otimes \varphi_2^{(1+j_2)}](\zeta - \xi + sy) \overline{\hat{g}(\zeta)} d\zeta \\
 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-iz \cdot \xi} e^{isy \cdot z} \left[\widehat{\varphi_1^{(1+j_1)}} \otimes \widehat{\varphi_2^{(1+j_2)}} \right](z) \overline{g(x-z)} dz,
 \end{aligned}$$

by the same argument as in (4.1) and (4.2), we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle \xi \rangle^m |H_{(1,1)}(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\
 &\leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2, k_1, k_2=0 \\ (j_1, k_1) \neq (1,1) \\ (j_2, k_2) \neq (1,1)}}^1 \left\| \widehat{\varphi_1^{(1+j_1)}} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \left\| \widehat{\varphi_2^{(1+j_2)}} \right\|_{L^{p'}} \left\| \widehat{\psi_1^{(1)}}^{(k_1)} \right\|_{L^1} \left\| \widehat{\psi_2^{(1)}}^{(k_2)} \right\|_{L^1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (4.1)–(4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\mathbb{R}^4} \langle \xi \rangle^m |W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\
& \leq C \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \left(\|\widehat{\varphi}_j\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(1)}\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(2)}\|_{L^{p'}} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^2 \left(\|\widehat{\psi}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1} + \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1}^{(1)} \right) \right) \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}},
\end{aligned}$$

and we obtain Lemma 4.1 with $n=2$. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2 with $p \geq 2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 with $p \geq 2$. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$, $m = -n(1/2 - 1/p)$, and let $\sigma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$, $\varphi = 1$ on $[-2, 2]$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-4, 4]$. We set $\varphi_j(y_j) = \varphi(y_j/R_j)$, $\psi_k(\eta_k) = \varphi(\eta_k/R_{n+k})$, $j, k = 1, \dots, n$, $\varphi(y) = [\varphi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_n](y)$ and $\psi(\eta) = [\psi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \psi_n](\eta)$, and note that we have $\hat{\sigma}(y, \eta) = \varphi(y)\psi(\eta)\hat{\sigma}(y, \eta)$. Then, for $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(X, D) f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sigma(x, \xi) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(x)} dx d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{\sigma}(y, \eta) \mathcal{F}_{(x, \xi) \rightarrow (y, \eta)}^{-1} [e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(x)}] dy d\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \hat{\sigma}(y, \eta) \varphi(y) \psi(\eta) V(f, g)(y, \eta) dy d\eta \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \sigma(x, \xi) W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi) dx d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where $V(f, g)$ and $W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)$ are as in Lemma 4.1. Hence, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(X, D) f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \right| \\
& \leq \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-m}\|_{L^\infty_{x, \xi}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^m |W(\varphi, \psi, f, g)(x, \xi)| dx d\xi \\
& \leq C \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-m}\|_{L^\infty_{x, \xi}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \left(\|\widehat{\varphi}_j\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(1)}\|_{L^{p'}} + \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(2)}\|_{L^{p'}} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \left(\|\widehat{\psi}_k\|_{L^2} + \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1} + \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1}^{(1)} \right) \right) \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\varphi}_j\|_{L^{p'}} &= R_j^{1/p} \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^{p'}}, & \|\widehat{\psi}_k\|_{L^2} &= R_{n+k}^{1/2} \|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^2}, \\ \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(1)}\|_{L^{p'}} &= R_j^{-1/p'} \|\widehat{\varphi}^{(1)}\|_{L^{p'}}, & \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1} &= R_{n+k}^{-1} \|\widehat{\varphi}^{(1)}\|_{L^1}, \\ \|\widehat{\varphi}_j^{(2)}\|_{L^{p'}} &= R_j^{-(1+1/p')} \|\widehat{\varphi}^{(2)}\|_{L^{p'}}, & \|\widehat{\psi}_k^{(1)}\|_{L^1} &= \|(\widehat{\varphi}^{(1)})^{(1)}\|_{L^1} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$. Therefore, noting that $R_j \geq 1, j=1, \dots, 2n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(X, D) f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \right| \\ \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} (R_1 \dots R_n)^{1/p} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{1/2} \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{-m}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This completes the proof. \square

5. Application of Theorem 1.2 to function spaces

We first give the following remark.

Remark 5.1. It follows from Theorem 1.2 that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \|\sigma(X, D) f\|_{L^p} \leq C (R_1 \dots R_n)^{\min\{1/p, 1/2\}} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{\max\{1/p, 1/2\}} \times \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n|1/p-1/2|}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

for all $\sigma(x, \xi)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset (y_0, \eta_0) + \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j], R_j \geq 1, j=1, \dots, 2n$, and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C > 0$ is independent of $(y_0, \eta_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R_j \geq 1, j=1, \dots, 2n$. This can be proved as follows: Let

$$T_{(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma(x, \xi) = \sigma(x - y_0, \xi - \eta_0) \quad \text{and} \quad M_{(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma(x, \xi) = e^{i(y_0 \cdot x + \eta_0 \cdot \xi)} \sigma(x, \xi).$$

Since

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,2}[T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma](y, \eta) = e^{-i\eta_0 \cdot y} \hat{\sigma}(y + y_0, \eta + \eta_0),$$

if $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset (y_0, \eta_0) + \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$ then $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}[T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma] \subset \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X, D) f(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{i(y_0 \cdot x + \eta_0 \cdot \xi)} (M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{e^{iy_0 \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x+\eta_0) \cdot \xi} (M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(x+\eta_0-\eta_0, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \frac{e^{iy_0 \cdot x}}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x+\eta_0) \cdot \xi} (T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(x+\eta_0, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
&= e^{iy_0 \cdot x} (T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(X, D) f(x+\eta_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sigma(X, D) f\|_{L^p} &= \|(T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(X, D) f\|_{L^p} \\
&\leq C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{\min\{1/p, 1/2\}} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{\max\{1/p, 1/2\}} \\
&\quad \times \|(T_{(\eta_0, 0)} M_{-(y_0, \eta_0)} \sigma)(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n|1/p-1/2|}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{H^p} \\
&= C(R_1 \dots R_n)^{\min\{1/p, 1/2\}} (R_{n+1} \dots R_{2n})^{\max\{1/p, 1/2\}} \\
&\quad \times \|\sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{n|1/p-1/2|}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{H^p}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\sigma(x, \xi)$ with $\text{supp } \hat{\sigma} \subset (y_0, \eta_0) + \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [-R_j, R_j]$, $R_j \geq 1$, $j=1, \dots, 2n$, and $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $m(p) = n|1/p - 1/2|$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ satisfies one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $\sigma \in B_{(\min\{1/p, 1/2\}n, \max\{1/p, 1/2\}n), m(p)}^{\infty, \min\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (2) $\sigma \in B_{(s_1, \dots, s_n, s_{n+1}, \dots, s_{2n}), m(p)}^{\infty, \min\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})$, $s_1, \dots, s_n = \min\{1/p, 1/2\}$, $s_{n+1}, \dots, s_{2n} = \max\{1/p, 1/2\}$,
- (3) $\sigma \in M_{m(p)}^{\infty, \min\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$,

then $\sigma(X, D)$ is bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. It is enough to prove Corollary 5.2 under the assumption (2) or (3), since

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{(\min\{1/p, 1/2\}n, \max\{1/p, 1/2\}n), m(p)}^{\infty, \min\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \\
&\quad \subset B_{(\min\{1/p, 1/2\}, \dots, \min\{1/p, 1/2\}, \max\{1/p, 1/2\}, \dots, \max\{1/p, 1/2\}), m(p)}^{\infty, \min\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}$$

(see [1, Appendix A4(ii)] and [16, Theorem 1.3.9]).

(2) We consider the case $p \leq 1$. Let $\{\psi_{j_1}\}_{j_1 \geq 0}, \dots, \{\psi_{k_n}\}_{k_n \geq 0}$ be as in (2.2) with $N=1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp } \mathcal{F} [\psi_{j_1}(D_{x_1}) \dots \psi_{j_n}(D_{x_n}) \psi_{k_1}(D_{\xi_1}) \dots \psi_{k_n}(D_{\xi_n}) \sigma] \\ \subset \left(\prod_{l=1}^n [-2^{j_l+1}, 2^{j_l+1}] \right) \times \left(\prod_{l=1}^n [-2^{k_l+1}, 2^{k_l+1}] \right), \end{aligned}$$

we have by the partition of unity and Theorem 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \|\psi_{j_1}(D_{x_1}) \dots \psi_{j_n}(D_{x_n}) \psi_{k_1}(D_{\xi_1}) \dots \psi_{k_n}(D_{\xi_n}) \sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} (2^{j_1+1} \dots 2^{j_n+1})^{p/2} (2^{k_1+1} \dots 2^{k_n+1})^{p/p} \\ &\quad \times \|\psi_{j_1}(D_{x_1}) \dots \psi_{j_n}(D_{x_n}) \psi_{k_1}(D_{\xi_1}) \dots \psi_{k_n}(D_{\xi_n}) \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{m(p)}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty}^p \|f\|_{H^p}^p \\ &= C \|\sigma\|_{B_{(1/2, \dots, 1/2, 1/p, \dots, 1/p), m(p)}^\infty}^p \|f\|_{H^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can give a proof for the case $p > 1$.

(3) We consider the case $p > 1$. Let $\{\varphi(\cdot - k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}, \{\varphi(\cdot - l)\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ be as in (2.3). Since

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F} [\varphi(D_x - k) \varphi(D_\xi - l) \sigma] \subset (k, l) + [-1, 1]^n,$$

we have by the partition of unity and Remark 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D_x - k) \varphi(D_\xi - l) \sigma(X, D)f\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\varphi(D_x - k) \varphi(D_\xi - l) \sigma(x, \xi) \langle \xi \rangle^{m(p)}\|_{L_{x, \xi}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^p} \\ &= C \|\sigma\|_{M_{m(p)}^\infty} \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can prove the case $p \leq 1$. \square

We end this paper by giving the following remark on α -modulation spaces.

Remark 5.3. The α -modulation spaces $M_{s, \alpha}^{p, q}$, a parameterized family of function spaces, were introduced by Gröbner [7] (see also Feichtinger–Gröbner [6]). It is known that they include Besov spaces $B_s^{p, q}$ and modulation spaces $M_s^{p, q}$ as special

cases corresponding to $\alpha=1$ and $\alpha=0$. As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we can obtain a more general result in terms of α -modulation spaces which is an extension of the result of L^2 -boundedness in Kobayashi–Sugimoto–Tomita [10].

Acknowledgement. The author gratefully acknowledges helpful discussions with Professor Mitsuru Sugimoto. He also thanks Professor Masaharu Kobayashi for kind comments.

References

1. BOULKHEMIR, A., L^2 estimates for pseudodifferential operators, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **22** (1995), 155–183.
2. CALDERÓN, A. P. and TORCHINSKY, A., Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution II, *Adv. Math.* **24** (1977), 101–171.
3. CALDERÓN, A. P. and VAILLANCOURT, R., On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23** (1971), 374–378.
4. COIFMAN, R. R. and MEYER, Y., Au delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Astérisque* **57** (1978), 1–185.
5. CORDES, H. O., On compactness of commutators of multiplications and convolutions, and boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **18** (1975), 115–131.
6. FEICHTINGER, H. G. and GRÖBNER, P., Banach spaces of distributions defined by decomposition methods, I, *Math. Nachr.* **123** (1985), 97–120.
7. GRÖBNER, P., *Banachräume glatter Funktionen und Zerlegungsmethoden*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Vienna, 1992.
8. GRÖCHENIG, K. and HEIL, C., Modulation spaces and pseudodifferential operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **34** (1999), 439–457.
9. HWANG, I. L. and LEE, R. B., L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators of class $S_{0,0}$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **346** (1994), 489–510.
10. KOBAYASHI, M., SUGIMOTO, M. and TOMITA, N., On the L^2 -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators and their commutators with symbols in α -modulation spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **350** (2009), 157–169.
11. MIYACHI, A., Estimates for pseudo-differential operators of class $S_{0,0}$, *Math. Nachr.* **133** (1987), 135–154.
12. MURAMATU, T., Estimates for the norm of pseudo-differential operators by means of Besov spaces, in *Pseudodifferential Operators (Oberwolfach, 1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1256**, pp. 330–349, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1987.
13. SJÖSTRAND, J., An algebra of pseudodifferential operators, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** (1994), 185–192.
14. STEIN, E. M., *Harmonic Analysis, Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
15. STEIN, E. M. and WEISS, G., On the interpolation of analytic families of operators acting on H^p -spaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **9** (1957), 318–339.
16. SUGIMOTO, M., L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **40** (1988), 105–122.

17. SUGIMOTO, M., L^p -boundedness of pseudo-differential operators satisfying Besov estimates II, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **35** (1988), 149–162.
18. TOFT, J., Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus, I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **207** (2004), 399–429.

Naohito Tomita
Department of Mathematics
Graduate School of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043
Japan
tomita@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received March 26, 2009
published online December 17, 2009