

Asymptotic behavior of integrals connected with spectral functions for hypoelliptic operators

By JÖRAN FRIBERG

ABSTRACT

In the first part of this paper are considered real polynomials $P(\xi)$, $\xi \in R^n$, complete and non-degenerate in the sense that there is a set of (even) multi-indices α^j , $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that, for $|\xi| > K$, ξ real,

$$cP(\xi) \leq \sum \xi^{\alpha^j} \leq CP(\xi).$$

(See V. P. Mihailov, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 164 (1965), MR 32: 6047.)

It is then proved by an explicit computation, for every given even multi-index γ , that there are a real number $\theta > 0$ and an integer r , $0 \leq r < n$, depending only on γ and $\{\alpha^j\}$, and such that

$$\int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi = K_\gamma(P) t^{-\theta} |\log t|^r (1 + o(1))$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$. A Tauberian argument then leads to an asymptotic estimate of the integral

$$e_0^{(\beta, \beta)}(\lambda, 0) = \int_{P(\xi) \leq \lambda} \xi^{2\beta} d\xi,$$

where $e_0^{(\beta, \beta)}$ is a derivative of a certain spectral function. Less explicit results for a larger class of polynomials were given by N. Nilsson, *Ark. f. Mat.* 5 (1965). In the second part of the paper, the explicit computations are extended to the larger class considered by Nilsson but under the restriction $n = 2$.

0. Introduction

1. A polynomial $P(\xi)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n$, is called hypoelliptic if it is strictly stronger than all its derivatives $P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = (\partial/\partial \xi_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial/\partial \xi_n)^{\alpha_n} P(\xi)$, in the sense that $P^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = o(1)P(\xi)$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, ξ real. Consider now a hypoelliptic polynomial $P(\xi)$ with real coefficients. The sign of $P(\xi)$ will always be chosen so that

$$P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \xi \text{ real.} \tag{0.1}$$

(We have to exclude the case, for $n = 2$, when (0.1) cannot be made valid by a change of sign.) Let $P(D)$, $D = i^{-1}(\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)$ be the corresponding formally self-adjoint differential operator. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint realization A_0 of $P(D)$ in $L^2(R^n)$. The spectral resolution of A_0 is given by projection operators $E_0(\lambda)$, which can be expressed in terms of a kernel

$$e_0(\lambda, x - y) = \int_{P(\xi) \leq \lambda} \exp\{i \langle x - y, \xi \rangle\} d\xi,$$

the spectral function for A_0 . We shall be concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the derivatives of e_0 , in particular

$$e_0^{(\beta, \beta)}(\lambda, 0) = \int_{P(\xi) \leq \lambda} \xi^\gamma d\xi, \quad \gamma = 2\beta. \tag{0.2}$$

It was proved recently by N. Nilsson [9] that if $P(\xi)$ is a real polynomial satisfying the condition (0.1), then for every given even multi-index γ there are real numbers $\theta, c > 0$, and an integer $r > 0$, such that

$$c^{-1}\lambda^\theta (\log \lambda)^r \leq \int_{P(\xi) \leq \lambda} \xi^\gamma d\xi \leq c\lambda^\theta (\log \lambda)^r, \quad \text{for } \lambda > \lambda_0. \tag{0.3}$$

It was also shown in [9], that if $n = 2$, then there is a sharp asymptotic estimate

$$\int_{P(\xi) \leq \lambda} \xi^\gamma d\xi = c\lambda^\theta (\log \lambda)^r \{1 + o(1)\}, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{0.4}$$

with $r = 0$ or 1 .

Since the proof of (0.3) and (0.4) in [9] is non-constructive, it remains to find the exact values of the parameters θ and r for given γ and P . Of course it is well known that $r = 0, \theta = (n + |\gamma|)/m$ when $P(\xi)$ is elliptic (see L. Gårding [5], G. Bergendal [1]), and that $r = 0, \theta = \sum_1^n q_i(1 + \gamma_i)/m$, if P is quasi-elliptic of weight $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ (see for instance F. Browder [2]). Or let

$$P(\xi) = \xi_1^{2m_1} + \xi_1^{2p_1} \xi_2^{2p_2} + \xi_2^{2m_2}, \tag{0.5}$$

with $m_1 > p_1, m_2 > p_2$, and $p_1/m_1 + p_2/m_2 > 1$. Then, as was announced in the note [6] by V. N. Gorčakov, for $\gamma = 0$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} r = 0 & \text{ if } p_1 \neq p_2, & r = 1 & \text{ if } p_1 = p_2; \\ \theta = \max \{ (m_1 + p_2 - p_1)/2m_1p_2, (m_2 + p_1 - p_2)/2m_2p_1 \}. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{0.6}$$

A simple way to prove (0.6) is to compare $e_0(\lambda, 0)$, which is the volume of the set $\{\xi \in R^2; P(\xi) \leq \lambda\}$, with the volume of the set $\{\xi \in R^2; \max(\xi_1^{2m_1}, \xi_2^{2m_2}, \xi_1^{2p_1} \xi_2^{2p_2}) \leq \lambda\}$. The same idea (which I owe to a personal communication by L. Hörmander) can be used to show, for example, that if $P(\xi) = |\xi|^{2m} + (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{2p}, 1/2p < n/2m$, and if $\gamma = 0$, then $r = n - 1, \theta = 1/2p$.

2. Given a real polynomial $P(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$, satisfying the condition (0.1), set $(P) = \{\alpha; c_\alpha \neq 0\}$, and let $(P)^*$ be the convex hull of $(P) \cup \{0\}$. Then $F(P)$, the Newton polyhedron for P , is the union $\cup F^k(P)$ of those $(n - 1)$ -dimensional flat pieces of the boundary of $(P)^*$ that are not contained in any coordinate hyperplane $x_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\{\alpha^j\}_1^N$ be the vertices of $F(P)$, and let ν^k be a normal for the face $F^k(P)$, normalized so that

$$tP(t^{-\nu^k} \xi_1, \dots) = tP(t^{-\nu^k} \xi) = P_F^k(\xi) + o(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \tag{0.7}$$

where $P_F^k(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \alpha \in F^k(P)$. Then P is called complete and non-degenerate (Mihailov [8]) if

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \xi^{\alpha^j} \leq CP(\xi), \quad \text{for } \xi \in R^n, \quad |\xi| \text{ big enough.} \tag{0.8}$$

(If in addition $\nu^k > 0$ for all k , then $P(\xi)$ is a hypoelliptic polynomial, of the class called multi-quasielliptic in our previous papers [3], [4].) Using (0.1), (0.7), and (0.8), we can now show that if $P(\xi)$ is real, complete and non-degenerate, then for every even multi-index γ ,

$$\int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi = K_\gamma(P) t^{-\theta} |\log t|^r (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0. \tag{0.9}$$

Here $\theta = \max \langle \nu^k, \gamma + e \rangle$, $e = (1, \dots, 1)$, and $r = n - 1 - s$ where s is the dimension of a face of $F(P)$ defined in a unique way by γ . Since

$$\int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi = \int e^{-t\lambda} de_0^{(\beta, \beta)}(\lambda, 0), \quad \gamma = 2\beta, \tag{0.10}$$

if $e_0^{(\beta, \beta)}(\lambda, 0)$ is given by (0.2), a simple Tauberian argument is all that is needed to arrive from (0.10) to an estimate like (0.4). This means that we have found a generalization of Gorčakov's result (0.6) to all real, complete and non-degenerate polynomials. It is interesting to notice that we always get $\theta \leq n - 1$.

3. If $P(\xi)$ is an arbitrary real polynomial satisfying (0.1), then Nilsson's result can be used together with an Abelian theorem to derive an asymptotic estimate for $\int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi$ as $t \rightarrow +0$. When $n = 2$ it is again possible to find an algorithm for the actual computation of θ and r , because then we can use estimates for $P(\xi)$ based on expansions of the zeros of $P(\xi)$ in Puiseux series. (Cf. Friberg [4].)

1. The extremal case of a complete and non-degenerate polynomial

Consider a polynomial $P(\xi)$, $\xi \in R^n$, with real coefficients, and such that, say,

$$P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \xi \text{ real.} \tag{1.1}$$

If $P(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$, denote by $(P) = \{\alpha; c_\alpha \neq 0\}$ the index set of P , and let $(P)^*$ be the convex hull of $(P) \cup \{0\}$. As is well known, it follows from (1.1), that $P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty$ at least as fast as a positive power of $|\xi|$, hence trivially that $(P)^*$ must contain a full neighborhood of the origin in \overline{R}_+^n . The newton polyhedron $F(P) = \cup F^k(P)$ is then defined as the union of those $(n - 1)$ -dimensional flat faces of the boundary of $(P)^*$ that are not parts of a coordinate hyperplane. It is possible to choose the normal ν^k of each $F^k(P)$ so that $\theta^k(\alpha) = \langle \nu^k, \alpha \rangle = 1$ for $\alpha \in F^k(P)$, and so that

$$(P)^* = \{\alpha \geq 0; \theta(\alpha) = \max_k \theta^k(\alpha) \leq 1\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Then $F(P) = \{\alpha \geq 0; \theta(\alpha) = 1\}$.

Now let $\{\alpha^j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, be the vertices of $F(P)$. Then for all $\alpha \in \overline{R}_+^n$, we can find numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ such that

$$\alpha = \theta(\alpha) \sum_1^N \lambda_j \alpha^j, \quad \sum \lambda_j = 1, \quad \lambda_j \geq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

(In fact, $\alpha \in \theta(\alpha) F^k(P)$ for at least one value of k .) Since (1.1) implies that the components of each α^j are non-negative even integers, it follows from (1.3) that

J. FRIBERG, *Asymptotic behavior of integrals*

$$|\xi^\alpha| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \xi^{2j} \right)^{\theta(\alpha)}, \quad \text{for } \xi \text{ real, } \alpha \text{ arbitrary.} \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, since $\theta(\alpha) \leq 1$ for $\alpha \in (P)$, we have

$$P(\xi) \leq C(1 + \varrho_F(\xi)) \quad \text{for } \xi \text{ real, } \varrho_F(\xi) = \sum_1^N \xi^{2j}. \quad (1.5)$$

If $P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty$, and if not only $P(\xi) = O(1)\varrho_F(\xi)$, but also $\varrho_F(\xi) = O(1)P(\xi)$, when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi$ real, then P is called a *complete and non-degenerate* real polynomial (Mihailov [8]). Let $F^{s,j}(P)$ denote an arbitrary s -dimensional face of $F(P)$, $0 \leq s \leq n-1$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, and set

$$P_F^{s,j}(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in F^{s,j}(P),$$

where the c_α are the coefficients of $P(\xi)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for a real P to be complete and non-degenerate is that, for all s, j ,

$$P_F^{s,j}(\xi) \neq 0, \quad \text{for real } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \quad \text{with all } \xi_i \neq 0. \quad (1.6)$$

(Mihailov [8], see also Friberg [3]). Due to estimates like (1.4), where $\theta(\alpha) < 1$ when $\alpha \in (P)$, $\alpha \notin F(P)$, if P is complete and non-degenerate then $P_F(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \alpha \in F(P)$, is in a natural sense the principal part of $P(\xi)$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, with γ_i even non-negative integers, and suppose that the real polynomial $P(\xi)$ tends to $+\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi$ real, so that the integral*

$$I_\gamma(t) = \int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in R^n$$

is convergent. Let $e = (1, \dots, 1)$, and set $\theta = \theta(\gamma + e) = \max \theta^k(\gamma + e)$. Then there are constants c, C , and $\theta' \geq \theta$, depending on P and on γ , such that

$$ct^{-\theta} \leq I_\gamma(t) \leq Ct^{-\theta'} \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq 1. \quad (1.7)$$

If P is also complete and non-degenerate, θ' can be chosen arbitrarily close to θ .

Proof. If $\alpha \in (P)$, then $\theta^k(\alpha) = \langle \nu^k, \alpha \rangle \leq 1$. Hence

$$P(\xi) \leq A \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|^{1/\nu_i^k} \right), \quad \xi \in R^n.$$

But then trivially, for $0 < t \leq 1$, and for all k ,

$$I_\gamma(t) \geq A_1 \prod_1^n \int \xi_i^{\gamma_i} \exp\{-t|\xi_i|^{1/\nu_i^k}\} d\xi_i = c_\gamma t^{-\langle \nu^k, \gamma + e \rangle},$$

which proves the first of the estimates in (1.7). Next, choose n linearly independent points $\beta^j \in (P)^*$ such that

$$P(\xi) \geq B \sum_1^n |\xi^{\beta^j}| - B_1 \quad \text{for } \xi \text{ real, some } B > 0, \quad (1.8)$$

and such that $\gamma + e = \theta' \sum_1^n \lambda_j \beta^j, \quad \sum_1^n \lambda_j = 1, \quad \text{all } \lambda_j > 0.$

This can be done for some $\theta' \geq \theta(\gamma + e)$ when $P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, and it can be done with $\theta' \leq \theta(\gamma + e) + \varepsilon$, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, if P is complete and non-degenerate. (It can even be done with $\theta' = \theta(\gamma + e)$ if we know that $\gamma + e$ is an interior point of $\theta(\gamma + e)F^k(P)$, for some k .) Now let us introduce as new independent variables $\eta_j = \xi^{\beta^j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_j^i)$ be the inverse of the matrix (β_j^i) , and set $\lambda^i = (\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_n^i)$. Then $\xi_i = \eta^{\lambda^i}$ for $\xi \in R_+^n$, and the functional determinant is $d(\xi)/d(\eta) = \det(\xi_i \lambda_j^i / \eta^j) = \det(\Lambda)(\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) / (\eta_1 \dots \eta_n), \det(\Lambda) = 1 / \det(\beta_j^i)$. In view of (1.8), it follows that, for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$I_\gamma(t) \leq 2^n \int_{R_+^n} \xi^{\gamma+e} \exp \left\{ -t \left(B \sum_1^n \xi^{\beta^j} - B_1 \right) \right\} d\xi / (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) \leq C_1 \int_{R_+^n} (\eta^{\lambda^i})^{\theta'} \exp \left\{ -Bt \sum_1^n \eta_j \right\} d\eta / (\eta_1 \dots \eta_n) = C_2 t^{-\theta'}, \tag{1.9}$$

which proves the remaining half of (1.7).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $P(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \xi \in R^n$, be a real complete and non-degenerate polynomial with $P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi$ real. Suppose that, for a given even multi-index $\gamma \geq 0$, the point $\gamma + e$ is an interior point of $\theta F^k(P)$ for some $k, \theta = \theta(\gamma + e)$. Set $P_F^k(\xi) = \sum c_\alpha \xi^\alpha, \alpha \in F^k(P)$. Then, as $t \rightarrow +0$,*

$$I_\gamma(t) = \int \xi^\gamma \exp \{ -tP(\xi) \} d\xi = t^{-\theta} \left[\int \xi^\gamma \exp \{ -P_F^k(\xi) \} d\xi + o(1) \right]. \tag{1.10}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma + e \in \theta F^k(P), \theta = \theta(\gamma + e)$, and let ν be the normal of $F^k(P)$, so that $\langle \nu, \gamma + e \rangle = \theta$. Let $t^{-\nu} \xi = (t^{-\nu_1} \xi_1, \dots, t^{-\nu_n} \xi_n)$, and set

$$g(\xi, t) = \xi^\nu \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu} \xi) \}; \quad g(\xi) = \xi^\nu \exp \{ -P_F^k(\xi) \}.$$

Here $tP(t^{-\nu} \xi) = P_F^k(\xi) + O(1)t^\delta, \delta > 0$, as $t \rightarrow +0$, for fixed ξ . It follows that, at least formally,

$$t^\theta I_\gamma(t) = \int g(\xi, t) d\xi \rightarrow \int g(\xi) d\xi = \int \xi^\nu \exp \{ -P_F^k(\xi) \} d\xi$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$. Now choose the β^j of (1.8) as points on $F^k(P)$. Then, for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$0 \leq g(\xi, t) \leq \xi^\nu \exp \{ -B \sum_1^n |\xi^{\beta^j}| + B_1 \} \in L^1(R^n).$$

(Cf. the proof of Lemma 1.1.) Therefore (1.10) will follow from Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence.

We can also give a direct proof that $g(\xi) \in L^1(R^n)$. If P is complete and non-degenerate, then trivially (1.6) holds for all μ, j . But (1.6) can be used to prove that, for some constants $C, c > 0$,

$$c \varrho_F^k(\xi) \leq P_F^k(\xi) \leq C \varrho_F^k(\xi), \quad \text{when } \xi \in R^n,$$

J. FRIBERG, Asymptotic behavior of integrals

where $\varrho_F^k(\xi) = \sum \xi^{\alpha^j}$, summed over all j with $\alpha^j \in F^k(P)$. (Cf. the proof of Theorem 4.3, Friberg [3].) We may therefore assume that $g(\xi) = \xi^\gamma \exp \{-\varrho_F^k(\xi)\}$. Obviously $\{\alpha^j; \alpha^j \in F^k\}$ is a basis for R^n . Choose, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, another basis $\{\alpha^{i,1}, \dots, \alpha^{i,n-1}, e^i\}$, where $\{\alpha^{i,j}\}_1^{n-1}$ is subset of $\{\alpha^j; \alpha^j \in F^k\}$, and where e^i is the i th coordinate vector $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$. Then $\gamma + e = \sum_1^{n-1} q_j^i \alpha^{i,j} + q_n^i e^i$. But all the $\alpha^{i,j}, 1 \leq j \leq n$, are in a hyperplane $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 0$. Hence $q_n^i = \langle \mu, \gamma + e \rangle / \langle \mu, e^i \rangle$, and we can make $q_n^i < 0$ by choosing the points $\alpha^{i,j}$ so that $\gamma + e$ and e^i are on different sides of the hyperplane. To estimate $\int g(\xi) d\xi = \int \xi^\gamma \exp \{-\varrho_F^k(\xi)\} d\xi$, we now divide the domain of integration into subsets,

$$D_i: \{ \xi \in R^n; 1 + \sum_j |\xi^{\alpha^{i,j}}| \leq |\xi_i|^\varepsilon \}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$D_{n+1}: \{ \xi \in R^n; 1 + \sum_j |\xi^{\alpha^{i,j}}| \geq |\xi_i|^\varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } i \}.$$

Since $\varrho_F^k(\xi) \geq (\sum_1^n |\xi_i|) / n - 1$ on D_{n+1} , the convergence of the integral over D_{n+1} is obvious. But when $i = 1$, for instance,

$$\int_{D_1} g(\xi) d\xi \leq \int_{D_1} \xi^{\gamma+e} d\xi / (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) \leq \int_{D_1} |\xi_1|^\delta d\xi / (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n),$$

with $\delta = \varepsilon(\sum_1^{n-1} q_j^1) + q_n^1 < 0$ for ε small enough. Moreover, on D_1 we have every $|\xi_j|, j > 1$, bounded by a power of $|\xi_1|$. Consequently the integral over D_1 converges as $\int_1^\infty \xi_1^{\delta-1} (\log \xi_1)^{n-1} d\xi_1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $P(\xi)$ be as in Theorem 1.1, but suppose that $\gamma \geq 0$ is an even multi-index such that $\gamma + e$ is contained in $\theta F^{s,j}(P)$, $\theta = \theta(\gamma + e)$, for some s, j , with s chosen as small as possible, $0 \leq s \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$I_\gamma(t) = t^{-\theta} |\log t|^{n-1-s} [K_\gamma(P) + o(1)], \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0, \tag{1.11}$$

where the constant $K_\gamma(P)$ depends only on $F(P)$, $P_F^{s,j}(\xi)$, and γ . Also, for some constants $A_1, A_2 > 0$,

$$A_2^{\theta+1} \Gamma(\theta) \leq K_\gamma(P) \leq A_1^{\theta+1} \Gamma(\theta), \quad \theta = \theta(\gamma + e). \tag{1.12}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma + e \in \theta F^{s,j}(P)$, $\theta = \theta(\gamma + e)$, and let ν be a normal of $F^{s,j}$, such that $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle = 1$ for $\alpha \in F^{s,j}$, and consequently $\langle \nu, \gamma + e \rangle = \theta$. If $s < n - 1$, then ν is not uniquely determined, but varies over an affine manifold of dimension $r = n - 1 - s$. Let

$$v(t) = t^\theta I_\gamma(t) = \int \xi^\gamma \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu}\xi) \} d\xi.$$

Obviously, in order to prove (1.11) it is enough to show that

$$\left(-t \frac{d}{dt} \right)^r v(t) \rightarrow K_\gamma(P) \neq 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0, \quad r = n - 1 - s. \tag{1.13}$$

The case $r = 0$ was discussed in Theorem 1.1. Suppose now $r = 1$. Then, since $tP(t^{-\nu}\xi) = \sum t^{1-\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha$, we have

$$-tv'(t) = \int \xi^{\nu'} \{ \sum (1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle) t^{1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle} c_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \} \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu'} \xi) \} d\xi. \tag{1.14}$$

Let F' be one of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of $F(P)$, passing through $F^{s,j}$. Then the normal ν' of F' is such that $\langle \nu', \alpha \rangle = 1$ for $\alpha \in F'$, and $\langle \nu', \gamma + e \rangle = \langle \nu, \gamma + e \rangle = \theta$. Therefore a change of coordinates $t^{-\nu'} \xi \rightarrow t^{-\nu'} \xi$ transforms the integral in (1.14) into

$$\int \xi^{\nu'} \{ \sum' (1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle) c_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} + o(1) \} \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu'} \xi) \} d\xi, \tag{1.15}$$

where $o(1)$ stands for terms containing powers of t , while \sum' contains the terms with $\langle \nu', \alpha \rangle = 1$, $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle < 1$, i.e. with $\alpha \in F'$, $\alpha \notin F^{s,j}$. But for such α it is easy to check that $\gamma + e + \alpha$ is an interior point of $\theta(\gamma + e + \alpha)F'$. Thus, in view of Theorem 1.1, the integral

$$\int \xi^{\nu'} \{ \sum' (1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle) c_{\alpha} \xi^{\alpha} \} \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu'} \xi) \} d\xi \tag{1.16}$$

depends continuously on t in the interval $[0, 1]$.

In order to show that the value of the integral for $t=0$ is independent of the c_{α} with $\alpha \notin F^{s,j}$, let us choose n linearly independent points $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \in F'$, with $\beta^2, \dots, \beta^n \in F^{s,j}$, and such that $\gamma + e = \theta \sum_2^n \lambda_i \beta^i$ with $\sum \lambda_i = 1$, $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$. We will get $\alpha = \sum_1^n \mu_i \beta^i$ with $\sum \mu_i = 1$, $\mu_i \geq 0$, and $\mu_1 > 0$, when $\alpha \in F'$, $\alpha \notin F^{s,j}$. It follows that, for such α ,

$$1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle = \sum_1^n \mu_i (1 - \langle \nu, \beta^i \rangle) = \mu_1 (1 - \langle \nu, \beta^1 \rangle), \tag{1.17}$$

Also, we may always assume that $\langle \nu, \beta^1 \rangle < 1$, so that $1 - \langle \nu, \beta^1 \rangle \neq 0$. Now, as in the proof of Lemma 1.1, let us introduce new independent variables $\eta_i = \xi^{\beta^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since (for $\xi \in R_+^n$) $d(\xi)/d(\eta) = (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n) / \{ \det (\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n) \eta_1 \dots \eta_n \}$, we find that the limit of the integral in (1.16) as $t \rightarrow +0$ can be written as a sum of 2^n terms of the type

$$A' \int_{R_+^n} \eta^{\theta \lambda - e} \{ \sum' \mu_i c'_{\mu} \eta^{\mu} \} \exp \{ - \sum c'_{\mu} \eta^{\mu} \} d\eta, \quad \lambda = (0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n), \tag{1.18}$$

where $A' = (1 - \langle \nu, \beta^1 \rangle) / \det (\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n)$, and where the set of coefficients $\{c'_{\mu}\}$ is identical with the set $\{c_{\alpha}; \alpha \in F'\}$ of coefficients for $P'_{F'}(\xi)$ except possibly for a change of sign in some of them. Now let $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\eta_1, \eta')$, $\eta' \in R_+^{n-1}$, and set $\lambda' = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $e' = (1, \dots, 1) \in R^{n-1}$. Then the integral in (1.18) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R_+^n} (\eta')^{\theta \lambda' - e'} \{ -(\partial/\partial \eta_1) \} \exp \{ - \sum c'_{\mu} \eta^{\mu} \} d\eta_1 d\eta' \\ & = \int_{R_+^{n-1}} (\eta')^{\theta \lambda' - e'} \exp \{ - \sum c'_{\mu} \eta^{\mu} |_{\eta_1=0} \} d\eta'. \end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

The method we have used above to take care of the terms in the sum in (1.15) corresponding to points $\alpha \in F'$, can of course also be used on the terms derived from points on the other $(n-1)$ -dimensional face, call it F'' , of $F(P)$ passing through $F^{s,j}$. Thus it remains only to consider the terms in (1.14) of the type

$$\int \xi^{\nu'} (1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle) t^{1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu} \xi) \} d\xi, \tag{1.20}$$

with $\langle \nu', \alpha \rangle < 1, \langle \nu'', \alpha \rangle < 1$, hence also $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle < 1$. After a substitution $t^{-\nu} \xi \rightarrow \xi$, (1.20) takes the form

$$(1 - \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle) c_\alpha t^{\theta+1} \int \xi^{\nu'+\alpha} \exp \{ -tP(\xi) \} d\xi = Ct^{\theta+1} I_{\gamma+\alpha}(t).$$

We can now use Lemma 1.1 to obtain the estimate

$$t^{\theta+1} I_{\gamma+\alpha}(t) \leq C_1 t^{-a}, \quad a = \theta(\gamma + e + \alpha) + \varepsilon - \theta(\gamma + e) - 1,$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. But it is easy to check that $\theta(\gamma + e + \alpha) < \theta(\gamma + e) + 1$, when $\langle \nu', \alpha \rangle < 1, \langle \nu'', \alpha \rangle < 1$. It follows that a can be made negative, hence that the terms of type (1.20) do not influence the asymptotic behavior of $I_\gamma(t)$.

Consider now the case when $r > 1$ in (1.13). Let ν^1 be a normal to $F^{s,j}$, with $\langle \nu^1, \alpha \rangle = 1$ for $\alpha \in (P)$ if and only if $\alpha \in F^{s,j}$. Set $\nu = \nu^1$ in (1.14), and split the integral into a sum of terms like

$$(1 - \langle \nu^1, \alpha \rangle) c_\alpha t^{1 - \langle \nu^1, \alpha \rangle} \int \xi^{\nu'+\alpha} \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu^1} \xi) \} d\xi. \tag{1.21}$$

Obviously $\alpha \in F^{s,j}$ if we demand that $1 - \langle \nu^1, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$. Suppose that $\alpha \in F^{s',j'}$, where F is an s' -dimensional face of $F(P)$, passing through $F^{s,j}$, with $s' > s$, s' chosen as small as possible. It is easy to check that $\gamma + e + \alpha$ is an interior point of $\theta(\gamma + e + \alpha) F^{s',j'}$. Let ν' be a normal to $F^{s',j'}$, with $\langle \nu', \alpha \rangle = 1$. Then (1.21) is equal to

$$(1 - \langle \nu^1, \alpha \rangle) c_\alpha \int \xi^{\nu'+\alpha} \exp \{ -tP(t^{-\nu'} \xi) \} d\xi.$$

We can now proceed by induction to show that the term (1.21) is of relevance to the asymptotic behavior of $I_\gamma(t)$ if and only if $\alpha \in F^{s',j'}$ for some $F^{s',j'}$ through $F^{s,j}$ with $s' = s + 1$. Therefore, let us choose a nested sequence of faces of increasing dimension $F^{s,j} \subset F^{s+1,j'} \subset \dots \subset F^{s+r,j_r} = F^{n-1,j_r}$ with corresponding normals $\nu^1, \dots, \nu^r, \nu^{r+1}$. Finally, let us choose n linearly independent points β^1, \dots, β^n with $\beta^{r+1}, \dots, \beta^n \in F^{s,j}$, $\beta^r \in F^{s+1,j'}$, ..., $\beta^1 \in F^{n-1,j_r}$. Then the same kind of argument that led to (1.19) will show us that the total contribution to $K_\gamma(P)$ due to any set of r points $\alpha' \in F^{s+1,j'}$, ..., $\alpha^r \in F^{n-1,j_r}$ on the chosen sequence of faces is equal to a sum of 2^r terms of the type

$$A \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-r}} (\eta^\lambda)^\theta \exp \{ -\sum c'_\mu \eta^\mu |_{\eta_1 = \dots = \eta_r = 0} \} d\eta_1 \dots d\eta_r / (\eta_1 \dots \eta_r). \tag{1.22}$$

Here
$$A = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 - \langle \nu^i, \beta^i \rangle) / \det(\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n), \tag{1.23}$$

and $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is determined by the expansion $\gamma + e = \theta(\gamma + e) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \beta_i$, $\sum \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i > 0$. Obviously, in (1.22) only the constant A is dependent on the choice of the sequence $F^{s,j} \subset F^{s+1,j'} \subset \dots$. This means that we have in fact proved (1.11), with K_γ given by a sum of 2^r terms like (1.22), although with new constants A , equal to a sum of constants of the type (1.23).

It remains only to derive the estimate (1.12). But if $\eta_i = \xi^{\beta^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\sum c'_\mu \eta^\mu |_{\eta_1 = \dots = \eta_r = 0} = \sum_{F^{s,j}} c_\alpha \xi^\alpha = P_F^{s,j}(\xi).$$

Further, it can be proved that

$$P_F^{s,j}(\xi) \geq c \varrho_F^{s,j}(\xi) = c \sum_{r+1}^n \xi^{\beta^i} \quad \text{for } \xi \in R^n, \text{ some } c > 0$$

(see Friberg [3], the proof of Theorem 4.3). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_\gamma(P) &\leq A \prod_{r+1}^n \int \eta_i^{\lambda_i \theta - 1} \exp\{-c\eta_i\} d\eta_i \\ &= A \prod_{r+1}^n \{c^{-\lambda_i \theta} \Gamma(\lambda_i \theta)\} \leq A_1^{\theta+1} \Gamma(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

The second half of (1.12) follows in the same way from a trivial upper estimate of $P_F^{s,j}(\xi)$.

Remark. Let $P(\xi)$ be an arbitrary real polynomial with $P(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, ξ real. Let $\{\alpha^j\}_1^N$ be the vertices of $F(P)$, and set $\varrho_F(\xi) = \sum_1^N \xi^{\alpha^j}$. (The α^j are even, non-negative multi-indices.) Then $\varrho_F(\xi)$ is a complete and non-degenerate real polynomial, and $P(\xi) \leq C(1 + \varrho_F(\xi))$ for ξ real, so that

$$I_\gamma(t; P) = \int \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi \geq C_1 I_\gamma(c_2 t; \varrho_F)$$

for $0 < t \leq 1$. This means that in this general case Theorem 1.2 gives at least a lower bound for the singularity of $I_\gamma(t; P)$ as $t \rightarrow +0$.

2. The two-dimensional case

Let $P(\xi)$, $\xi \in R^2$, be a real polynomial in two variables, and write $P(\xi)$ in the form

$$P(\xi) = p_1(\xi_1) \prod_{i=1}^{m_2} (\xi_2 - \phi_i(\xi_1)), \quad \deg p_1(\xi_1) = m \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Then there is a constant A_1 such that all the zeros $\phi_i(\xi_1)$ can be represented by Puiseux expansions of the type

$$\phi(\xi_1) = \sum_0^\infty c_j \xi_1^{\delta_j}, \quad \delta_0 > \delta_1 > \dots, \quad \text{for } \xi_1 \geq A_1, \tag{2.2}$$

where either the sum is finite or $\delta_j \rightarrow -\infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose, as in the preceding paragraph, that

$$P(\xi) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \xi \text{ real.} \tag{2.3}$$

It follows that the coefficients c_i in the expansion (2.2) of a zero for $P(\xi)$ cannot all be real. Let ϕ be a fixed zero, and suppose that c_j is the first non-real coefficient in (2.2), $J = J(\phi)$. Then, if

$$v_0 = \xi_2; \quad v_{\phi,k} = \xi_2 - \sum_0^{k-1} c_j \xi_1^{\delta_j}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq J, \tag{2.4}$$

each such $v_{\phi,k}$ will be called a *real truncated factor* of length k for $P(\xi)$. Let $\phi' = \sum_0^\infty c'_j \xi_1^{\delta'_j}$ be a second zero of $P(\xi)$, with $v_{\phi',k} = v_{\phi,k}$, but with $v_{\phi',k+1} \neq v_{\phi,k+1}$ if $k+1 \leq J$. Then ϕ, ϕ' will be called *conjugate at level k* . When ϕ' varies over all zeros conjugate to ϕ at level k , we will set $c'_k = c_{ki}, \delta'_k = \delta_{ki}, i = 1, 2, \dots$. We shall also use the notations $\delta_{k,i} = \max(\delta_k, \delta_{ki})$, and $c_{k,i} = c_{ki}, c_{ki} - c_k$, or $-c_k$, depending on whether $\delta_{ki} > \delta_k, = \delta_k$, or $< \delta_k$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $P(\xi)$ is a real polynomial (2.1), satisfying the condition (2.3). Let $v_{\phi,s} = \xi_2 - \sum_0^{s-1} c_j \xi_1^{\delta_j}, s \geq 1$, be a given real truncated factor for $P(\xi)$, and set*

$$M_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v) = \xi_1^m \prod_{k < s} \prod_{c_{k,i} \neq 0} (|v| + \xi_1^{\delta_{k,i}}) \prod_i (|v| + \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}). \tag{2.5}$$

Then there are constants $A, B, B' > 0$ such that

$$B \leq P(\xi)/M_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v_{\phi,s}) \leq B', \tag{2.6}$$

when ξ varies over a certain region $V_{\phi,s}$, defined by conditions of the type

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) } \xi_1 \geq A > 0, \quad \text{(ii) } |v_{\phi,s}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_s-1}, \\ \text{(iii) } |v_{\phi,s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| \geq \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si}} \quad \text{for all } i \text{ with } c_{si} \text{ real.} \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly, if

$$M_0(\xi) = \xi_1^m \prod_i (|\xi_2| + \xi_1^{\delta_{0i}}),$$

then

$$B \leq P(\xi)/M_0(\xi) \leq B',$$

when ξ varies over a region V_0 , defined by the conditions

$$\text{(i) } \xi_1 \geq A > 0, \quad \text{(ii) } |\xi_2 - c_{0i} \xi_1^{\delta_{0i}}| \geq \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{0i}} \quad \text{for all } i \text{ with } c_{0i} \text{ real.}$$

Proof. Let ϕ' be an arbitrary zero, and let $v = v_{\phi,s}$.

Then
$$\xi_2 - \phi'(\xi_1) = v + \sum_0^{s-1} c_j \xi_1^{\delta_j} - \sum_0^\infty c'_j \xi_1^{\delta'_j}.$$

Hence if ϕ, ϕ' are conjugate at level $k < s$, then

$$\xi_2 - \phi'(\xi_1) = (v - c_{k,i} \xi_1^{\delta_{k,i}}) + o(1) \xi_1^{\delta_{k,i}}$$

for some i , as $\xi_1 \rightarrow +\infty$. If ϕ, ϕ' are conjugate at level $\geq s$, then instead

$$\xi_2 - \phi'(\xi_1) = (v - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}) + o(1) \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}$$

for some i , as $\xi_1 \rightarrow +\infty$. But obviously, for some $B_1 > 0$,

$$|v - c_{k,i} \xi_1^{\delta_{k,i}}| \geq B_1 (|v| + \xi_1^{\delta_{k,i}}),$$

$$|v - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| \geq B_1 (|v| + \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}),$$

when $v = v_{\phi,s}$ and ξ_1 satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) of the lemma (with ε small enough), i.e. when $\xi \in V_{\phi,s}$. Since $P(\xi) = p(\xi_1) \prod_1 (\xi_2 - \phi'(\xi_1)) > 0$ for ξ_1 big enough, it is now easy to complete the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P(\xi)$, $\xi \in R^2$, be a real polynomial satisfying (2.3), and define $M_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v)$ as in Lemma 2.1. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ be a given even multi-index, and set $\gamma_\phi = (\gamma_1 + \delta_0 \gamma_2, 0)$, when $\phi(\xi_1) = c_0 \xi_1^{\delta_0} + \dots$. Then, as $t \rightarrow +0$, the singularity of*

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; P) = \int_{\xi_1 > A} \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi$$

with A big enough, is of the same order of magnitude as the highest singularity of anyone of the integrals

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; M_{\phi,s}) = \int_{\xi_1 > A} \xi_1^{\gamma_\phi} \exp\{-tM_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v)\} d\xi_1 dv$$

for arbitrary ϕ , $s \geq 1$, or of

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; M_0) = \int_{\xi_1 > A} \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tM_0(\xi)\} d\xi.$$

(A corresponding statement may be proved for

$$I'_{\gamma,A}(t; P) = \int_{\xi_1 < -A} \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi.)$$

Proof. Let $V_{\phi,s}$ be the set (2.7), for arbitrary ϕ and s . In view of the definition (2.4),

$$v_{\phi,s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}} = v_{\phi',s+1},$$

for some ϕ' with ϕ, ϕ' conjugate at level s . It follows that the union of the mutually disjoint sets $V_{\phi,s}$, for arbitrary ϕ, s , and of V_0 , is the entire set $\{\xi; \xi_1 > A\}$. Hence,

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; P) = \sum_{\phi,s} \int_{V_{\phi,s}} \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi + \int_{V_0} \xi^\gamma \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi. \tag{2.8}$$

But for given ϕ , there are $c_\gamma, c'_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$c_\gamma \xi_1^{\gamma_\phi} \leq \xi^\gamma = \xi_1^{\gamma_1} \left(v_{\phi,s} + \sum_0^{s-1} c_i \xi_1^{\delta_i} \right)^{\gamma_2} \leq c'_\gamma \xi_1^{\gamma_\phi}, \quad \xi \in V_{\phi,s}.$$

Together with the lower estimate in (2.6), (2.8) therefore shows that

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; P) \leq \sum_{\phi,s} c'_\gamma I_{\gamma,A}(Bt; M_{\phi,s}) + I_{\gamma,A}(Bt; M_0).$$

On the other hand, the upper estimate in (2.6) is obviously valid not only in $V_{\phi,s}$ but for all ξ with $\xi_1 > A$. This means that

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; P) \geq \max_{\phi,s} (\max c_\gamma I_{\gamma,A}(B't; M_{\phi,s}), I_{\gamma,A}(B't; M_0)),$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.

J. FRIBERG, Asymptotic behavior of integrals

Although M_0 and all the $M_{\phi,s}$ are not necessarily polynomials, at least they tend to infinity as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, \xi_1 > A$, and it is easy to check that the results of section 1 are still valid if we give the natural meaning to $F(M_0)$, etc. Consequently each $I_{\gamma,A}(t; M_0)$ or $I_{\gamma,A}(t; M_{\phi,s})$ has a singularity of order $t^{-\theta} |\log t|^r$ as $t \rightarrow +0$, with $r=0$ or 1, and with θ defined by γ and $F(M_0)$ or by γ_ϕ and $F(M_{\phi,s})$, respectively. But then, due to Lemma 2.2, $I_{\gamma,A}(t; P)$ must have a singularity of the same type, with

$$\theta = \max(\theta(\gamma + e; M_0), \max_{\phi,s} \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})),$$

and with $r=0$ or 1.

Now suppose, for given ϕ, s , that $\theta = \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})$, and let $\chi_{\phi,s} = 1$ on $V_{\phi,s} = 0$ outside $V_{\phi,s}$. Then we can find $\nu = \nu_{\phi,s}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} t^\theta \int_{V_{\phi,s}} \xi^\nu \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi \\ = \int \chi_{\phi,s}(t^{-\nu_1} \xi_1, t^{-\nu_2} v) \xi_1^\nu (1 + o(1)) \exp\{-P_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v) (1 + o(1))\} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\phi,s}$ is made up of the constant terms in the expansion of $tP(t^{-\nu_1} \xi_1, t^{-\nu_2} v + \sum_0^{s-1} c_j (t^{-\nu_1} \xi_1)^{\delta_j})$ in powers of t . Assuming for simplicity that $r=0$, we can now use Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence to show that

$$t^\theta \int_{V_{\phi,s}} \xi^\nu \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi \rightarrow \int \chi_{\phi,s}^0(\xi_1, v) \xi_1^\nu \exp\{-P_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v)\} d\xi_1 dv \quad (2.9)$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$. Here $\chi_{\phi,s}^0(\xi, v_{\phi,s})$ is the characteristic function for the set $V_{\phi,s}^0$ defined as the limit, as $t \rightarrow +0$, of the set given by the conditions

- (i) $\xi_1 > A t^{\nu_1}$, (ii) $t^{\nu_1 \delta_{si} - 1 - \nu_2} |v_{\phi,s}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si} - 1}$,
- (iii) $|t^{\nu_1 \delta_{si} - \nu_2} v_{\phi,s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| \geq \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}$ for c_{si} real.

Let for instance $\nu_2/\nu_1 = \delta_{sj}$, for some j . We may assume without restriction that $\delta_{sj} = \delta_s$, the exponent determined by the expansion (2.2) of ϕ . Then it is easy to check that $V_{\phi,s}^0$ is given by the conditions

$$(i) \xi_1 > 0, \quad (ii) |v - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| \geq \xi_1^{\delta_{si}} \text{ if } c_{si} \text{ is real, } \delta_{si} = \delta_s. \quad (2.10)$$

(We have to assume here that $\varepsilon < \min \delta_{si}$.) Further,

$$\theta = (\gamma_\phi + 1 + \delta_s)/m_{\phi,s}, \quad (2.11)$$

where, as is easy to check,

$$m_{\phi,s} = m + \sum_{k < s} \sum_{c_{k,i} \neq 0} \delta_{k,i} + \sum_i \delta_{s,i}. \quad (2.12)$$

In other words, (2.11) means that $\theta = \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})$ in this case. If instead $\nu_2/\nu_1 = \delta_{s-1}$, then $V_{\phi,s}^0$ is given by

$$(i) \xi_1 > 0, \quad (ii) |v_{\phi,s}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{s-1}}, \quad (2.13)$$

and $\theta = (\gamma_\phi + 1 + \delta_{s-1})/m_{\phi,s-1}$, again equal to $\theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})$. Finally, if $v_2/v_1 > \delta_{s-1}$, then $V_{\phi,s}^0$ reduces to the half-line $\xi_1 > 0, v = 0$. Hence this case does not contribute a relevant term to the asymptotic behavior of $I_{\gamma,A}(t; P)$.

Let now ϕ, s be given such that θ satisfies (2.11), and denote by ϕ_i the zeros of $P(\xi)$ for which

$$v_{\phi_i, s+1}(\xi_1) = v_{\phi,s}(\xi_1) - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}, \quad c_{si} \text{ real}, \quad \delta_{si} = \delta_s. \tag{2.14}$$

Then $\theta(\gamma_{\phi_i} + e; M_{\phi_i, s+1}) = \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})$, and while $V_{\phi,s}$ is given by (2.7), $V_{\phi_i, s+1}$ is given by the conditions

$$(i) \xi_1 \geq A > 0, \quad (ii) |v_{\phi_i, s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}, \quad (iii) \dots$$

so that $V_{\phi_i, s+1}^0$ has to be the set

$$(i) \xi_1 > 0, \quad (ii) |v_{\phi_i, s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}.$$

(Cf. (2.13).) In other words, $V_{\phi,s}^0$ and all the sets $V_{\phi_i, s+1}^0$ together cover the entire set $\{\xi \in R^2; \xi_1 > 0\}$, without overlapping. We are therefore led to introduce the new set

$$W_{\phi,s}: \quad \xi_1 > A; \quad |v_{\phi,s}| < \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_s-1}; \quad |v_{\phi,s} - c_{si} \xi_1^{\delta_{si}}| \geq \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{si}} \text{ for all } i \text{ with } c_{si} \text{ real}, \quad \delta_{si} \neq \delta_s, \tag{2.15}$$

which contains $V_{\phi,s}$ and all the $V_{\phi_i, s+1}$ defined by (2.14). Recalling (2.9), it is then easy to see that, as $t \rightarrow +0$,

$$t^\theta \int_{W_{\phi,s}} \xi^\nu \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\xi_1 > 0} \xi_1^{\nu\phi} \exp\{-P_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v)\} d\xi_1 dv, \tag{2.16}$$

where
$$P_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, v) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{m_{\phi,s}} P(\lambda^{-1} \xi_1, \lambda^{-\delta_s} v + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} c_j (\lambda^{-1} \xi_1)^{\delta_j}). \tag{2.17}$$

Similarly, let
$$m_0 = m + \sum_i \delta_{0i} \tag{2.18}$$

and suppose that $\theta = (\gamma_1 + \delta_{0j} \gamma_2 + 1 + \delta_{0j})/m_0 = \langle \nu^j, \gamma + e \rangle$ for some j . Then we may introduce the set

$$W_0: \quad \xi_1 > A, \quad |\xi_2 - c_{0i} \xi_1^{\delta_{0i}}| \geq \varepsilon \xi_1^{\delta_{0i}} \text{ for } c_{0i} \text{ real}, \quad \delta_{0i} \neq \delta_{0j},$$

and prove that

$$t^\theta \int_{W_0} \xi^\nu \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\xi_1 > 0} \xi^\nu \exp\{-P'_F(\xi)\} d\xi, \tag{2.19}$$

with P'_F defined as in section 1.

We have been able to show so far that the leading term of the singularity of the integral

$$I_{\gamma,A}(t; P) = \int_{\xi_1 > A} \xi^\nu \exp\{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi$$

may be referred to the behavior of the integrand in one or more “domains of slow growth” for P , the sets $W_{\phi,s}$ and W_0 . The same arguments will work if we study the integral of $\xi^\gamma \exp \{-tP(\xi)\}$ over the set $\{\xi_1 < -A\}$. Then we have to start, of course, not with (2.1), but with a factorization

$$P(\xi) = p_1(\xi_1) \prod_{i=1}^{m_2} (\xi_2 - \psi_i(-\xi_1)).$$

In this way we are able to determine all the contributions to the leading term of $I_\gamma(t; P)$ from domains of slow growth for $P(\xi)$ corresponding to real truncated factors $\xi_2 - \sum_0^{s-1} c_j \xi_1^{\delta_j}$ or $\xi_2 - \sum_0^{s-1} c_j (-\xi_1)^{\delta_j}$ with $\delta_0 > 0$. The contributions due to the remaining domains of slow growth, which are parallel to or converging towards the ξ_2 -axis, can be determined in the same way, simply by interchanging the roles of ξ_1 and ξ_2 .

We are now ready to collect our results as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $P(\xi)$, $\xi \in R^2$, be a real polynomial satisfying (2.3), and let γ be an even multi-index. Then*

$$I_\gamma(t) = \int \xi^\gamma \exp \{-tP(\xi)\} d\xi = t^{-\theta} |\log t|^r (K_\gamma(P) + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +0,$$

where θ and r , $r=0$ or 1 , can be explicitly computed by the methods of Lemma 2.2. and where

$$A^{\theta+1} \Gamma(\theta) \leq K_\gamma(P) \leq A_1^{\theta+1} \Gamma(\theta), \tag{2.20}$$

for some constants $A, A_1 > 0$ depending only on P .

Most of the details of the proof have already been given, at least for the case $r=0$, and the case $r=1$ does not offer any additional difficulties. It remains only to recall that $K_\gamma(P)$ has been found to be a sum of integrals determined by limits such as (2.16) and (2.19), from which the estimate (2.20) easily follows.

Remark. If m_0 and $m_{\phi,s}$ are given by (2.18) and (2.12), respectively, then it follows that

$$m_{\phi,s} = m_0 - \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_i (\delta_{k-1} - \delta_{k,i}).$$

This means that $m_{\phi,s}$ is a decreasing function of s , for fixed ϕ . However, $m_{\phi,s}$ is always positive, because it is never smaller than the exponent of the highest power of ξ_1 in $M_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, 0)$, and $M_{\phi,s}(\xi_1, 0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi_1 \rightarrow \infty$. Now, let ϕ vary over all truncated factors for $P(\xi)$ of all the four types $\xi_2 - \sum_0^{s-1} c_j (\pm \xi_1)^{\delta_j}$, $\xi_1 - \sum_0^{s-1} c_j (\pm \xi_2)^{\delta_j}$, with $0 \leq s \leq J(\phi)$. Then

$$\theta = \max_{\phi,s} \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s}),$$

with an appropriate definition of γ_ϕ and $M_{\phi,s}$. But if $\theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s})$ is given by (2.11), for instance, then, at least for big values of γ ,

$$\max_s \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi,s}) = \theta(\gamma_\phi + e; M_{\phi, J(\phi)}).$$

This means that, for big values of γ ,

$$\theta(\gamma + e; P) = \max_{\phi} \theta(\gamma_{\phi} + e; M_{\phi, J(\phi)}).$$

Under all circumstances we have the estimate

$$\theta(\gamma + e; P) \leq \max_{\phi} (\gamma_{\phi} + 1 + \delta_0) / m_{\phi, J(\phi)},$$

which follows from (2.11), because $\delta_0 \geq \delta_s$ for all s . This (non-sharp) estimate could also have been obtained directly from a lower estimate for $P(\xi)$, of the type that was discussed in the paper [4] on principal parts of hypoelliptic polynomials. If we extend the definition of a principal part given in [4] to the case of a real polynomial satisfying (2.1), we get the obvious result that θ and r depend only on the principal part of $P(\xi)$.

3. Examples

Let
$$P(\xi) = |\xi|^{2m} + (\xi_1 \dots \xi_n)^{2p}, \quad \frac{1}{p} < \frac{n}{m}.$$

Then $F(P)$ has exactly n faces of dimension $n - 1$, all passing through the point $(2p, \dots, 2p)$. Using the results of section 1 it is easy to check that, for instance,

$$I_0(t) = \int \exp \{ -tP(\xi) \} d\xi = \frac{1}{p} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{n}{m} - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{n-1} t^{-(1/2p)} |\log t|^{n-1} (1 + o(1))$$

as $t \rightarrow +0$, which confirms the example given in the introduction.

As a second example, consider the real polynomial $P = |P_1|^2$, where

$$P_1(\xi) = \xi_2^3 - \xi_1^4 + i\xi_1^2 \xi_2.$$

(The polynomial P_1 , which is hypoelliptic but not multi-quasielliptic, has been studied in other connections by Pini [10] and Friberg [4].) Let us first use the factorization

$$P_1(\xi) = (\xi_2 - \xi_1^{4/3} - (i/3) \xi_1^{2/3} + \dots) (\xi_2 - \omega \xi_1^{4/3} + \dots) (\xi_2 - \omega^2 \xi_1^{4/3} + \dots),$$

for $\xi_1 > A$, with $\omega^3 = 1$, $\omega \neq 1$. Here the only real truncated factors are $v_0 = \xi_2$, and $v_{\phi,1} = \xi_2 - \xi_1^{4/3}$, with

$$M_{\phi,1}(\xi_1, v) = |v - (i/3) \xi_1^{2/3}|^2 |v - (\omega - 1) \xi_1^{4/3}|^4.$$

Hence we find, using the results of section 2, that $\theta(\gamma + e; P) = \langle \gamma_{\phi} + e, v \rangle$, with $\gamma_{\phi} = (\gamma_1 + 4/3 \gamma_2, 0)$, and $v = (1/8, 1/6)$ if $3\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 < 5$, $v = (3/20, 1/10)$ if $3\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 > 5$, i.e. for all large γ . The degenerate case $r = 1$ would appear, with $\theta = 1/2$, for $3\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 = 5$, but there is no solution to this equation because γ_1, γ_2 must be non-negative integers. Therefore $r = 0$ for all γ . Finally, the coefficient $K_{\gamma}(P)$ is, in the case $3\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 > 5$ for instance,

$$K_{\gamma}(P) = \int_{\xi_1 > 0} \xi_1^{\gamma_1 + 4/3 \gamma_2} \exp \{ -3\xi_1^{8/3} ((\xi_2 - \xi_1^{4/3})^2 + (1/9) \xi_1^{4/3}) \} d\xi.$$

In order to check the result we may use instead the factorization, for $\xi_2 > A$,

$$P_1(\xi) = (\xi_1 - i\xi_2^{3/4} + \dots)(\xi_1 + i\xi_1^{3/4} + \dots) \\ \times (\xi_1 - \xi_2^{3/4} + (i/4)\xi_2^{1/4} + \dots)(\xi_1 + \xi_2^{3/4} - (i/4)\xi_2^{1/4} + \dots),$$

with $v_0 = \xi_1$, $v_{\phi,1} = \xi_1 - \xi_2^{3/4}$, $v_{\phi',1} = \xi_1 + \xi_2^{3/4}$, and for instance

$$M_{\phi,1}(\xi_2, v) = |v - (i-1)\xi_2^{3/4}|^4 (v + 2\xi_2^{3/4})^2 (v^2 + (1/16)\xi_2^{1/2}).$$

For $\xi_2 < -A$, the corresponding factorization shows that $v_0 = \xi_1$ is the only real truncated factor. The values for θ and r computed by use of the new factorizations are easily seen to be the same as the values we already know. However, the formula for $K_\gamma(P)$ will not be the same, since it is now given by the sum of two integrals over the half-plane $\xi_2 > 0$, instead of by one integral over the half-plane $\xi_1 > 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author deeply appreciates the hospitality enjoyed by him at the University of Minnesota during the year 1965-1966.

This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. AF-AFDSR 883-65 to the University of Minnesota.

Department of Mathematics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden

(Present address of J. F. is: Dept. of Math., Univ. of Lund, Sweden.)

REFERENCES

1. BERGENDAL, G., Convergence and summability of eigenfunction expansions connected with elliptic differential operators. *Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.* 15, Lund 1959.
2. BROWDER, F., The asymptotic distribution of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues for semi-elliptic differential operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.*, 43, 270-3 (1957).
3. FRIBERG, J., Multi-quasielliptic polynomials. To appear in *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 1967.
4. FRIBERG, J., Principal parts and canonical factorizations of hypoelliptic polynomials in two variables. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 37, 112-32 (1967).
5. GÄRDING, L., On the asymptotic properties of the spectral function belonging to a self-adjoint semi-bounded extension of an elliptic differential operator. *Kungl. Fysiogr. Sällsk. Lund Förh.* 24, 1-18 (1954).
6. GORČAKOV, V. N., Asymptotic behavior of spectral functions for hypoelliptic operators of a certain class. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 4, 1328-31 (1963).
7. GRUŠIN, V. V., Connections between local and global properties for solutions of hypoelliptic equations with constant coefficients. *Mat. Sborn.* 66 (1965).
8. MIHAILOV, V. P., The behavior of certain classes of polynomials at infinity. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 164, 1256-9 (1965).
9. NILSSON, N., Asymptotic estimates for spectral functions connected with hypoelliptic differential operators. *Ark. f. Mat.* 5, 527-40 (1965).
10. PINI, B., Osservazioni sulla ipoellitticità. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* (3) 18, 420-32 (1963).

Tryckt den 6 oktober 1967

Uppsala 1967. Almqvist & Wiksells Boktryckeri AB