

# Respectful quasiconformal extension from dimension $n-1$ to $n$

Jouni Luukkainen

## 1. Introduction

Tukia and Väisälä proved in [20] that every quasiconformal self-homeomorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  with  $n \geq 2$  can be extended to a quasiconformal self-homeomorphism  $F$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n = \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times [0, \infty)$  which, in addition, in the hyperbolic metric of  $H^n = \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times (0, \infty)$  is bi-Lipschitz and uniformly approximates arbitrarily closely a natural homeomorphic extension  $F_f$  of  $f$ . The main result of this paper, Theorem 3.1, is that if  $X_0$  is the subset  $\mathbf{R}^p$  ( $0 \leq p < n$ ) or  $\mathbf{R}_+^p$  ( $1 \leq p < n$ ) of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  and if  $f$  respects  $X_0$ , i.e., maps it onto itself, then  $F$  can be chosen to respect  $X = X_0 \times [0, \infty)$ . Following Siebenmann, we call this extension theorem respectful (to  $X$ ). An easy consequence, Theorem 4.1, is that if we forgo the properties of  $F$  involving the hyperbolic metric,  $F$  can be prescribed on  $X$ . The respectful quasiconformal Schoenflies extension theorem allows us to use Theorem 3.1 in Section 5 to show that every locally quasiconformal (LQC) self-homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  respecting  $X_0$  can be extended to an LQC self-homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  respecting  $X$ . Moreover, the extension can be prescribed on  $X$ . This result, which generalizes the non-respectful version of it proved by the author in [10], is needed in [13] when proving that a self-homeomorphism of an LQC manifold  $M$  which respects a closed locally LQC flat LQC submanifold  $Q$  of  $M$  can be respectfully approximated by LQC homeomorphisms, i.e., by ones respecting  $Q$ , also in the case where  $Q$  meets the boundary of  $M$  (in this approximation theorem dimension four must necessarily be excluded).

In the proof of our main result we follow the simplified version of the proof of [20] as indicated in [19; 7.1]. For their part, Tukia and Väisälä were inspired by Carleson's [4] quasiconformal extension method in the case  $n \leq 4$ . We first decompose  $H^n$  into similar pieces (parallelotopes) and give each piece an index in  $\{1, \dots, 2^n\}$  such that pieces of the same index are disjoint. From the quasiconformality of  $f$  it follows that the restrictions of the homeomorphism  $F_f$  to slightly larger paral-

lelotopes, when suitably normalized, belong to a compact family of embeddings. This makes it possible to construct the approximation  $F$  of  $F_f$  on a neighbourhood of the union of the pieces of index  $\leq i$  inductively with respect to  $i$ . In the  $i$ th stage local bi-Lipschitz approximations of  $F_f$  are provided by a result on respectful Lipschitz approximation of homeomorphisms which follows from known results in piecewise-linear topology and differential topology. This result is known to be false if dimension four is present in it, but fortunately the formula of  $F_f$ , recalled in 2.1, allows us to apply the result in such a way that no dimensional restrictions follow. We glue the local approximations to the approximation produced by the  $(i-1)$ th stage by using a respectful deformation theorem for Lipschitz embeddings due to Siebenmann and Sullivan or rather the version of it, proved by the author in [11], where bi-Lipschitz constants are under control. This deformation theorem is the substitute for Sullivan’s Lipschitz deformation theorem used in [20].

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Notation and terminology

For integers  $0 \leq p \leq n$ , we identify  $\mathbf{R}^p$  with  $\mathbf{R}^p \times 0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , and writing  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for a point  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , we let  $\mathbf{R}_+^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$  and  $H^n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_n > 0\}$  if  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^{n,p} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid x_i = 0 \text{ if } i \leq n-p\}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n,p} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n,p} \mid x_n \geq 0\}$  if  $p \geq 1$ , and  $\mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p} \mid x_{n-1} \geq 0\}$  if  $p \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathbf{R}_+^n = \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \cup H^n$ .

For  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$  we let  $\mathcal{X}(n, p) = \{\mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}, \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p}\}$  if  $p \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{X}(n, 1) = \{\mathbf{R}_+^{n,1}\}$  if  $p=1$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$  we set  $X_0 = X \cap \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Then  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}^{n-1,p-1}$  or  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}_+^{n-1,p-1}$ , and  $(\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) = (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \times \mathbf{R}_+^1$ .

For a homeomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  with  $n \geq 2$  we define, as in [20], a homeomorphism  $F_f: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  extending  $f$  by  $F_f(x, t) = (f(x), \tau_f(x, t))$  where  $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $\tau_f(x, t) = \max\{|f(x) - f(y)| \mid y \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}, |x - y| = t\}$ . If  $X$  is as above, then  $fX_0 = X_0$  implies  $F_fX = X$ .

We say that a function  $f: S \rightarrow T$  respects a set  $Y$  if  $f^{-1}[Y \cap T] = Y \cap S$ . If  $f$  is bijective, this is equivalent to  $f[Y \cap S] = Y \cap T$ ; then we also write  $f: (S, Y \cap S) \rightarrow (T, Y \cap T)$ . By  $\text{id}$  we denote various inclusion maps.

The boundary of a manifold  $Y$  is denoted by  $\partial Y$ . We set  $I^n(r) = [-r, r]^n$ ,  $J^n(r) = (-r, r)^n$ ,  $B^n(r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| < r\}$ ,  $\bar{B}^n(r) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| \leq r\}$ ,  $B_+^n(r) = B^n(r) \cap \mathbf{R}_+^n$ , and  $C^n(r) = \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n \mid |x| + t < r\}$  for  $r > 0$ , and  $B^n = B^n(1)$ ,  $\bar{B}^n = \bar{B}^n(1)$ , and  $S^{n-1} = \partial \bar{B}^n$ . The standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is written as  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . We let  $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^n = \mathbf{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and for  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  we set  $\hat{A} = A \cup \{\infty\} \subset \hat{\mathbf{R}}^n$ .

We let  $d$  denote the Euclidean metric. On the domains  $H^n$ ,  $\mathbf{R}^{n+k} \setminus \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  with  $k \geq 1$ , and  $B^n$  we also use the hyperbolic metric  $\sigma$ , defined by the element of length  $|dx|/d(x, \mathbf{R}^{n-1})$  in the first two cases and  $2|dx|/(1-|x|^2)$  in the third case. Then every Möbius homeomorphism  $(H^n, \sigma) \rightarrow (B^n, \sigma)$  is isometric. If  $f: S \rightarrow T$  and  $f': S' \rightarrow T'$  are maps to a metric space  $(T, \rho)$  and  $A \subset S \cap S'$ , we write  $\rho(f, f'; A) = \sup\{\rho(f(x), f'(x)) \mid x \in A\}$ , with  $\rho(f, f') = \rho(f, f'; A)$  whenever  $A = S = S'$ .

Let  $(S, \rho)$  and  $(T, \rho')$  be metric spaces and  $f: S \rightarrow T$  an embedding. If there is  $L \geq 1$  such that  $\rho(x, y)/L \leq \rho'(f(x), f(y)) \leq L\rho(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in S$ , we say that  $f$  is bi-Lipschitz (abbreviated BL) or also  $L$ -BL. If  $f$  is only a map satisfying the right-hand inequality for some  $L \geq 0$ , we say that  $f$  is  $(L)$ -Lipschitz. If there is a homeomorphism  $\eta: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  such that  $t' \leq \eta(t)$  whenever  $a, b, x \in S$ ,  $b \neq x$ ,  $t = \rho(a, x)/\rho(b, x)$ , and  $t' = \rho'(f(a), f(x))/\rho'(f(b), f(x))$ , we say that  $f$  is quasisymmetric (abbreviated QS) or also  $\eta$ -QS. The basic theory of quasisymmetric embeddings is given in [18] and [24]. We say that  $f$  is LIP, locally  $L$ -BL, or LQS if each point of  $S$  has a neighbourhood on which  $f$  is, respectively, BL,  $L$ -BL, or QS. Locally Lipschitz maps are defined similarly. If  $f$  is QS, if  $s > 0$ , and if  $t \leq 1/s$  implies  $t' \leq t + s$  whenever  $t$  and  $t'$  are as above, we say as in [26] that  $f$  is  $s$ -QS. We let 0-QS mean id-QS.

Let  $n \geq 1$ , let  $A \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be a set with  $A \subset \text{cl int } A$ , and let  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be an embedding. If there is  $K \geq 1$  such that for each component  $D$  of  $\text{int } A$  the homeomorphism  $D \rightarrow fD$  defined by  $f$  is  $K$ -quasiconformal in the sense of [23] whenever  $n \geq 2$  or  $K$ -quasisymmetric in the sense of [9] (though possibly decreasing) whenever  $n = 1$ , we say that  $f$  is quasiconformal (abbreviated QC) or also  $K$ -QC. We say that  $f$  is LQC if each point of  $A$  has an open neighbourhood in  $A$  on which  $f$  is QC. For the proofs of the following two facts, see [24; Section 2] and [23; 35.2] if  $n \geq 2$  and [18; 2.16] if  $n = 1$ . A self-homeomorphism  $f$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  is  $K$ -QC if and only if  $f$  is  $\eta$ -QS, with  $K$  and  $\eta$  depending only on each other and  $n$ . If  $A$  is open in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or in  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  and if in the latter case  $f$  respects  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  and  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ , then  $f$  is LQC if and only if  $f$  is LQS. By [21; 2.6] (which is valid also if  $n = 1$ ), a homeomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is  $K$ -QC if and only if  $f$  is  $s$ -QS, with  $K$  and  $s$  depending only on each other and  $n$  and such that  $K \rightarrow 1$  if and only if  $s \rightarrow 0$ .

Suppose that  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^m$  is a domain for which we have defined the hyperbolic metric  $\sigma$ , that  $A \subset D$  is open, and that  $f: A \rightarrow D$  is an embedding. If  $f$  is BL,  $L$ -BL, or locally  $L$ -BL with respect to  $\sigma$ , we say that  $f$  is BLH,  $L$ -BLH, or locally  $L$ -BLH, respectively. From now on assume  $D \neq B^m$ . We let  $L_\sigma(x, f)$  and  $l_\sigma(x, f)$  denote the upper and lower limit, respectively, of the quotient  $\sigma(f(x), f(y))/\sigma(x, y)$  as  $y \rightarrow x$  in  $A$ . Note that  $L_\sigma(f(x), f^{-1}) = l_\sigma(x, f)^{-1}$ . For the expression of these quantities in terms of the corresponding quantities  $L_d(x, f)$  and  $l_d(x, f)$  in the Euclidean metric, see [28; 4.5]. If  $f: D \rightarrow D$  is a homeomorphism and if each point  $x \in \bar{D}$  has an open

neighbourhood  $U$  such that  $f|U \cap D$  is BLH, we say that  $f$  is LIPH. If  $g: \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}$  is a homeomorphism which defines a LIPH homeomorphism  $D \rightarrow D$  and if  $m \geq 2$ , then  $g$  is LQS by [23; 34.2 and 35.1]. The following fact is needed in 5.5. Suppose that  $A = B^m(r) \cap D$ . Then, since every two points  $x, y \in A$  can be joined by an arc in  $A$  of hyperbolic length  $\sigma(x, y)$ , we have by [28; 4.4] that  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz with respect to  $\sigma$  if and only if  $L_\sigma(x, f) \leq L$  for each  $x \in A$ . From this it also easily follows that if a homeomorphism  $f: D \rightarrow D$  extends to a LIP homeomorphism  $\bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}$ , then  $f$  is LIPH.

### 2.2. Solidity

The rest of Section 2 is needed only for the proof of Theorem 3.1. First we recall two terms introduced and observed to be related in [19].

For an open set  $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  we let  $E(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$  denote the set of all embeddings of  $U$  into  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , equipped with the compact-open topology, and  $H(U)$  denote the group of self-homeomorphisms of  $U$ . If  $Y \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is closed,  $E_Y(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$  denotes the closed subset of  $E(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$  consisting of the embeddings respecting  $Y$ . As in [19; 3.8], a set  $\mathcal{F} \subset E(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$  is said to be solid if its closure in  $E(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$ , denoted by  $\text{cl}_E \mathcal{F}$ , is compact.

Let  $f: H^n \rightarrow H^n$  be a homeomorphism. If there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\sigma(x, y)) \leq \sigma(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(\sigma(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in H^n$ , we say as in [19; 6.10] that  $f$  is  $\varphi$ -solid. By [8; Theorem 3], this is the case if  $f$  is  $K$ -QC and  $n \geq 2$ , with  $\varphi$  depending only on  $n$  and  $K$ . The following lemma gives the important fact that although  $F_f|H^n$  with  $f$  QC is possibly not QC itself, it is solid, however. The converse is also known to hold; see [29; 7.1 and 7.9].

**2.3. Lemma.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $K \geq 1$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  such that  $F_f|H^n$  is  $\varphi$ -solid for every  $K$ -QC homeomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ .*

This fact was claimed in [19; 7.1] without proof. We give in 2.4–2.6 a proof, based on solid sets of homeomorphisms, whose idea is mentioned in [27; p. 162]. Especially, Lemma 2.5 is an analogue of [20; 2.13]. In [29; 7.26] Lemma 2.3 is given an elementary but lengthy direct proof.

**2.4. Notation.** Fix  $n \geq 2$  and  $K \geq 1$ . If  $z = (\bar{z}, z_n) \in H^n$ , let  $\alpha_z: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  be the similarity homeomorphism  $x \mapsto \bar{z} + z_n x$ ; then  $\alpha_z(e_n) = z$ . If  $f: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is a homeomorphism,  $z \in H^n$ , and  $z' = F_f(z)$ , let  $\beta_z^f = \alpha_z^{-1}$ . Define homeomorphisms  $f_z = \beta_z^f f \alpha_z: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  and  $F_z^f = \beta_z^f F_f \alpha_z: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$ . Then  $F_z^f = F_{f_z}$  [20; (2.5)]. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{f \in H(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}) \mid f \text{ is } K\text{-QC}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_f|H^n \mid f \in \mathcal{G}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{f_z \mid f \in \mathcal{G}, z \in H^n\} \subset \mathcal{G}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{F_z^f|H^n \mid f \in \mathcal{G}, z \in H^n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**2.5. Lemma.** *The set  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is solid.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $z \in H^n$ . Then  $f_z \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $f_z(0) = 0$ . Since  $f$  is  $\eta$ -QS with  $\eta = \eta_{\mathcal{G}}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta(1)} \leq |f_z(e_1)| = \frac{|f(\bar{z} + z_n e_1) - f(\bar{z})|}{\tau_f(\bar{z}, z_n)} \leq 1.$$

Hence,  $\text{cl}_E \mathcal{G}_0$  is a compact set in  $\mathcal{G}$  by [23; 19.4(1), 20.5, 21.7, and 37.3] if  $n \geq 3$  and by, e.g., [18; 3.4, 3.6, and 3.7] if  $n = 2$ . Since the map  $H(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow H(H^n)$ ,  $f \mapsto F_f|H^n$ , is continuous [20; (2.6)] and since it maps  $\mathcal{G}_0$  onto  $\mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  onto  $\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that  $\text{cl}_E \mathcal{F}_0$  is a compact set in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

2.6. *Completion of the proof of 2.3.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then find by 2.5 a number  $\delta = \delta_\varepsilon = \delta_\varepsilon(n, K) > 0$  such that if  $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $z \in H^n$ , then  $\sigma(e_n, z) \leq \delta$  implies  $\sigma(F_0(e_n), F_0(z)) \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $\sigma(F_0(e_n), F_0(z)) \leq \delta$  implies  $\sigma(e_n, z) \leq \varepsilon$ . Given  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x, y \in H^n$ , choose  $f \in \mathcal{G}$  with  $F = F_f|H^n$  and let  $z = \alpha_x^{-1}(y)$ ; then  $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(e_n, z)$  and  $\sigma(F(x), F(y)) = \sigma(F_x^f(e_n), F_x^f(z))$ . Thus,  $\sigma(x, y) \leq \delta$  implies  $\sigma(F(x), F(y)) \leq \varepsilon$ , and  $\sigma(F(x), F(y)) \leq \delta$  implies  $\sigma(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Let  $\omega(t) = \sup\{\sigma(F(x), F(y)) \mid F \in \mathcal{F}, x, y \in H^n, \sigma(x, y) \leq t\}$  for  $t \geq 0$ . Since  $\omega(\delta_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a homeomorphism  $\psi: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  such that  $\psi(t) \geq \omega(t)$  if  $t \leq \delta_1$  and  $\psi(t) = 2t/\delta_1$  if  $t \geq \delta_1$ . Consider  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x, y \in H^n$ ,  $t = \sigma(x, y)$ , and  $t' = \sigma(F(x), F(y))$ . We show that  $t' \leq \psi(t)$ . This is obvious if  $t \leq \delta_1$ . Suppose  $t > \delta_1$ . Choose successive points  $x = z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k = y$  in the hyperbolic geodesic joining  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $\sigma(z_{j-1}, z_j) = \delta_1$  if  $j < k$  and  $\sigma(z_{k-1}, z_k) \leq \delta_1$ . Then  $t' \leq k = (\sigma(z_0, z_{k-1}) + \delta_1) / \delta_1 \leq \psi(t)$ . Find in a similar way a homeomorphism  $\psi': \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  depending only on  $(n, K)$  such that  $t \leq \psi'(t')$ . Then  $\varphi = \max(\psi, \psi')$  satisfies 2.3.  $\square$

In the next two lemmas the cases  $Y = \emptyset$  and  $Y = \mathbf{R}^n$  are in fact the same. The first of these results deals with extension of locally  $L$ -BL approximations. It is a respectful version of a part of [19; 3.9].

**2.7. Lemma.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ , let  $U, U', V, W$  be open sets in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $W \subset V \subset U$ ,  $\bar{W} \cap U \subset V$ ,  $\bar{U}' \subset U$ , and  $\bar{U}'$  is compact, let either  $Y = \emptyset$  or  $Y = \mathbf{R}^p$  with  $0 \leq p \leq n$  or  $Y = \mathbf{R}_+^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a solid subset of  $E_Y(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$  whose members are approximable by LIP embeddings in  $E_Y(U, \mathbf{R}^n)$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for every  $L \geq 1$  there is  $L' \geq 1$  with the following property: If  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  and if  $h \in E_Y(V, \mathbf{R}^n)$  is locally  $L$ -BL such that  $d(h, g; V) \leq \delta$ , then there is an  $L'$ -BL embedding  $h' \in E_Y(U', \mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $d(h', g; U') \leq \varepsilon$  and  $h' = h$  on  $W \cap U'$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is otherwise the same as that of [19; 3.9] but in place of [19; 3.6], the quantitative version of a result due to Sullivan, we refer to [11; 5.7].  $\square$

To be able to apply 2.7 we need the following respectful LIP approximation result, Lemma 2.9 on extension of homeomorphic approximations, and the elementary LIP approximation result 2.10.

**2.8. Lemma.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ , let either  $Y = \emptyset$  or  $Y = \mathbf{R}^p$  with  $0 \leq p \leq n$  or  $Y = \mathbf{R}_+^p$  with  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , let  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a homeomorphism respecting  $Y$ , and let  $\varepsilon: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be continuous. Suppose that  $n \neq 4$  and that at least one of the following conditions holds: (a)  $f|Y$  is LIP, (b)  $p \neq 4$  and  $f|\partial Y$  is LIP, (c)  $p \neq 4, 5$ . Then there is a LIP homeomorphism  $g: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  respecting  $Y$  such that  $|g(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and such that  $g|Z = f|Z$  whenever  $Z \in \{Y, \partial Y\}$  and  $f|Z$  is LIP.*

Lemma 2.8 reduces to the special case where we have in (a) that  $f|Y = \text{id}$  and in (b) that  $p \neq 4$  and  $f|\partial Y = \text{id}$ . In fact, if  $Z \in \{Y, \partial Y\}$  and  $f|Z$  is LIP, extending  $f|Z$  to a LIP homeomorphism  $f_1: (\mathbf{R}^n, Y) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n, Y)$  and replacing  $f$  by  $f_1^{-1}f$  we may assume that  $f|Z = \text{id}$ . This special case of the lemma then follows from various known piecewise-linear and smooth approximation results. For details we refer to [13].

By [5; Corollary on p. 183], the dimensional restrictions in 2.8 cannot be omitted. The proof of 2.8 makes use of the deep stable homeomorphism theorem due to Kirby unless we know that the homeomorphisms  $f$  and either  $f|Y$  if  $\partial Y = \emptyset$  or  $f|\partial Y$  if  $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$ , whenever arranged to be sense-preserving, are stable.

**2.9. Lemma.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , let  $Y = \mathbf{R}^p$  or  $Y = \mathbf{R}_+^p$ , let  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a homeomorphism respecting  $Y$ , and let  $\varepsilon: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be continuous. Then there is a continuous  $\delta: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  with the following property: If  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism with  $|g(x) - f(x)| < \delta(x)$  for each  $x \in Y$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $g^*: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  extending  $g$  such that  $|g^*(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and such that, if, moreover,  $Y = \mathbf{R}^p$ ,  $p < n$ , and  $f$  respects  $\mathbf{R}_+^{p+1}$ , then  $g^*$  respects  $\mathbf{R}_+^{p+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is continuous, by a well-known fact (cf. [17]) there is a continuous  $\eta: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon(x)$  if  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $|x - y| < \eta(x)$ . Writing  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^p \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$  define a continuous  $\omega: \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by  $\omega(x) = \min\{\eta(x, y) \mid |y| \leq 1\}$ . By [15; Theorem 5.6.4] there is a continuous  $\varrho: \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that if  $h: \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  is a homeomorphism with  $|h(x) - x| < \varrho(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^p$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $h^+: \mathbf{R}^p \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p \times [0, 1]$  of the form  $h^+(x, t) = (h_t(x), t)$  such that  $h_0 = h$ ,  $h_1 = \text{id}$ , and  $|h_t(x) - x| < \omega(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^p$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We may assume that  $\varrho$  is invariant with respect to the orthogonal reflection of  $\mathbf{R}^p$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{p-1}$ . Choose a continuous  $\delta_0: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $|f^{-1}(x) - f^{-1}(y)| < \varrho(f^{-1}(x))$  if  $x, y \in Y$  and  $|x - y| < \delta_0(x)$ . We show that  $\delta: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $x \mapsto \delta_0(f(x))$ , is the desired continuous function.

Thus, let  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  be a homeomorphism with  $|g(x) - f(x)| < \delta(x)$  for each  $x \in Y$ . Define a homeomorphism  $h: \mathbf{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^p$  by letting  $h = f^{-1}g$  if  $Y = \mathbf{R}^p$  or by letting  $h$

be the extension of  $f^{-1}g$  by reflection if  $Y = \mathbf{R}_+^p$ . Then  $|h(x) - x| < \varrho(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^p$ . Now let  $h^+$  be as above. Writing again  $\mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^p \times \mathbf{R}^{n-p}$  define a homeomorphism  $H: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $H(x, y) = (h_t(x), y)$  where  $t = \min(|y|, 1)$ . Then  $H|_{\mathbf{R}^p} = h$ ,  $|H(z) - z| < \eta(z)$  for each  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $H$  respects  $\mathbf{R}_+^{p+1}$  if  $p < n$ . It follows that  $g^* = fH$  satisfies the lemma.  $\square$

**2.10. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space,  $Y = X \times \mathbf{R}_+^1$ ,  $f: Y \rightarrow Y$  a homeomorphism of the form  $f(x, t) = (x, f_x(t))$ , and  $\varepsilon: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  continuous. Metrize  $Y$  by a metric  $\varrho$  defined in a standard way. Then there is a LIP homeomorphism  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  of the form  $g(x, t) = (x, g_x(t))$  such that  $\varrho(g(y), f(y)) < \varepsilon(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* Define a continuous  $\eta: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

$$\eta(x, t) = \min\{\varepsilon(x, s)/2 \mid 0 \leq s \leq t+1\}.$$

Choose a continuous  $\delta: Y \rightarrow (0, 1)$  such that  $\varrho(f(y), f(y')) < \eta(y)$  if  $y, y' \in Y$  and  $\varrho(y, y') \leq \delta(y)$ . By [14; 5.4] we may choose  $\delta$  to be locally Lipschitz. Define inductively a sequence  $\alpha_i: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , of locally Lipschitz functions by  $\alpha_0(x) = 0$  and  $\alpha_{i+1}(x) = \alpha_i(x) + \delta(x, \alpha_i(x))$ ; then  $\alpha_{i+1}(x) > \alpha_i(x)$ , and  $\alpha_i(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Define a sequence  $\beta_i: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , of continuous functions by the condition  $f(x, \alpha_i(x)) = (x, \beta_i(x))$ . Then  $0 = \beta_0(x) < \beta_1(x) < \dots$ ,  $\beta_i(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\beta_{i+1}(x) - \beta_i(x) < \eta_i(x) = \eta(x, \alpha_i(x))$ . Define  $\gamma_0 = \beta_0$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , by [14; 5.4] choose a locally Lipschitz function  $\gamma_i: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  such that  $\max\{\beta_{i-1}(x), \beta_i(x) - \eta_i(x)\} < \gamma_i(x) < \beta_i(x)$ . Then  $0 = \gamma_0(x) < \gamma_1(x) < \dots$ , and  $\gamma_i(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let  $g: Y \rightarrow Y$  be the bijection of the form  $g(x, t) = (x, g_x(t))$  where  $g_x$  maps  $[\alpha_i(x), \alpha_{i+1}(x)]$  affinely onto  $[\gamma_i(x), \gamma_{i+1}(x)]$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $g$  is a LIP homeomorphism by [14; 2.40]. Finally, if  $\alpha_i(x) \leq t \leq \alpha_{i+1}(x)$ , then  $t \leq \alpha_i(x) + 1$  and, hence,  $\varrho(g(x, t), f(x, t)) = |g_x(t) - f_x(t)| < 2\eta_i(x) \leq \varepsilon(x, t)$ .  $\square$

### 3. The main result

In this section we establish the following basic theorem on respectful quasiconformal extension with properties involving the hyperbolic metric.

**3.1. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ , let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , let  $K \geq 1$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $L = L(n, K, \varepsilon) \geq 1$  with the following property: Let  $f: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  be a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism respecting  $X_0$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $F: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  respecting  $X$  such that*

- (1)  $\sigma(F, F_f; H^n) \leq \varepsilon$ ,
- (2)  $F|_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} = f$ ,
- (3)  $F|_{H^n}$  is  $L$ -BLH,
- (4)  $F$  is  $L^{2n-2}$ -QC.

3.2. *Remark.* The absolute case,  $X = \emptyset$  or equivalently  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,n} = \mathbf{R}_+^n$ , of 3.1 is due to Tukia and Väisälä [20; 3.11]. In the proof of their result [19; 7.4] on quasiconformal approximation of solid homeomorphisms they used a variation of their method, and the proof of Theorem 3.1, to be completed in 3.21, is a modification of this proof. The absolute case of 3.1, with (1) omitted, was proved for  $n=2$  by Beurling and Ahlfors [3], for  $n=3$  by Ahlfors [1], for  $n \geq 3$  with small  $K$ , using Ahlfors’s method, by Sedo and Syčev [16], and for  $n \leq 4$  by Carleson [4] (see also [6; 3.12]; the condition (3) is claimed in [20; Introduction]).

From now on, we assume that  $n, p, X, K, \varepsilon$ , and  $f$  are given as in Theorem 3.1.

3.3. Case J

Consider the case of 3.1 where  $X = \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p}$  with  $2 \leq p < n$ . We call it Case J. We choose a homeomorphism  $\eta: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  depending only on  $(n, K)$  such that  $f^{-1}$  is  $\eta$ -QS and  $\eta(1) > 1$ . Then we define numbers  $\chi = (\eta(1)^2 - 1)^{1/2}$  and  $\varkappa = \min\{1, 1/3\chi\sqrt{n}\}$  and let  $C_J \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  be the set of the points  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \times \mathbf{R}^{p-2} \times \mathbf{R}_+^1$  with  $|x| \leq z/\chi$ .

3.4. **Lemma.** *In Case J,  $f|_{C_J}$  respects  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1,p-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 = \mathbf{R}^{n-1,p-1}$ . Since  $X_1 \cap C_J = X_0$  and since  $f$  respects  $X_0$ , it suffices to show that  $fC_J \cap (X_1 \setminus X_0) = \emptyset$ . Thus, suppose, on the contrary, that there is  $u \in X_1 \setminus X_0$  with  $f^{-1}(u) = (x, y, z) \in C_J$ . Then  $x \neq 0$ . Let  $z_1 = z + |x|/\chi$ ,  $v = (0, y, z_1) \in X_0$ , and  $w = f(v) \in X_0$ . Choose  $w_0 \in \partial X_0$  with  $|w - w_0| = d(w, \partial X_0)$ ; then  $|w - w_0| < |w - u|$  and  $v_0 = f^{-1}(w_0) \in \partial X_0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(1) > \eta \left( \frac{|w - w_0|}{|w - u|} \right) &\geq \frac{|v - v_0|}{|v - f^{-1}(u)|} \geq \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + (z_1 - z)^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\chi + 1/\chi}{\sqrt{1 + 1/\chi^2}} = \eta(1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

3.5. Constructions

We define a decomposition  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_+$  or  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{++}$  of  $H^n$  into closed  $n$ -dimensional rectangular parallelotopes whenever  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$  or  $X = \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p}$ , respectively, as follows. In Case J, let  $\varkappa$  be as in 3.3; otherwise, let  $\varkappa = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the natural decomposition of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times [1, 2]$  into the closed rectangular parallelotopes which are the translates of

the parallelotope  $[0, \varkappa]^{n-p} \times [0, 1]^p$  with vertices in  $(\varkappa\mathbf{Z})^{n-p} \times \mathbf{Z}^{p-1} \times \{1, 2\}$ . Then let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_+ &= \{2^j(Q - \frac{1}{2}\varkappa(e_1 + \dots + e_{n-p})) \mid Q \in \mathcal{L}, j \in \mathbf{Z}\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{++} &= \{2^j(Q - \frac{1}{2}\varkappa(e_1 + \dots + e_{n-p}) - \frac{1}{2}e_{n-1}) \mid Q \in \mathcal{L}, j \in \mathbf{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, except possibly in Case J, the members of  $\mathcal{K}$  are cubes. We express  $\mathcal{K}$  as a finite disjoint union  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{K}_N$  where each family  $\mathcal{K}_i$  is disjoint. In fact, this can be done with  $N = 2^n$ . We set  $\mathcal{K}_i^* = \mathcal{K}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{K}_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ .

We define an open parallelotope  $P(t) = J^{n-p}(\varkappa t) \times J^p(t)$  and a closed parallelotope  $\bar{P}(t) = \text{cl } P(t)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  for  $t > 0$ . Suppose that  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ . We let  $z_Q$  denote the centre and  $2\lambda_Q$  the greatest side length of  $Q$ . We let  $\alpha_Q: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  denote the similarity map  $\alpha_Q(x) = z_Q + \lambda_Q x$ . For  $t > 0$  we let  $Q(t) = \alpha_Q P(t)$  and  $\bar{Q}(t) = \alpha_Q \bar{P}(t)$ ; then  $Q = \bar{Q}(1)$ . We define a set  $X_Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $X_Q = \emptyset$  if  $Q \cap X = \emptyset$ , by  $X_Q = \mathbf{R}_+^{n-1, p-1} \times \mathbf{R}^1$  if  $Q \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$ , and by  $X_Q = \mathbf{R}^{n,p}$  otherwise.

In Case J we let  $\mathcal{K}_J = \{Q \in \mathcal{K} \mid Q \cap X \neq \emptyset, Q \cap \partial X = \emptyset\}$ .

The following two lemmas are obvious.

**3.6. Lemma.** (1) If  $Q, R \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ , and  $Q \neq R$ , then  $\text{int } Q \cap \text{int } R = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda_Q / \lambda_R \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, 2\}$ .

(2) If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $0 < t \leq 3$ , then  $2\varkappa t \lambda_Q \sqrt{n} \leq d(\bar{Q}(t)) \leq 2t \lambda_Q \sqrt{n}$  and  $d(\bar{Q}(t), \mathbf{R}^{n-1}) = (3-t)\lambda_Q$ .

(3) If  $Q, R \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $Q \cap R = \emptyset$ , then  $Q(\frac{4}{3}) \cap R(\frac{4}{3}) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**3.7. Lemma.** (1) For  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ , if  $Q \cap X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $z_Q \in X$ , and if  $Q \cap X = \emptyset$ , then  $Q(2) \cap X = \emptyset$ . For  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{++}$ , if  $Q \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $z_Q \in \partial X$ , and if  $Q \cap \partial X = \emptyset$ , then  $Q(2) \cap \partial X = \emptyset$ .

(2) If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $Q(2) \cap X = Q(2) \cap X_Q = \alpha_Q [P(2) \cap X_Q]$ .  $\square$

**3.8. Lemma.** In Case J,  $Q(\frac{3}{2}) \subset C_J \times \mathbf{R}^1$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_J$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_J$ . Consider a point  $a = (x, y, z, u) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-p} \times \mathbf{R}^{p-2} \times \mathbf{R}^1 \times \mathbf{R}^1$  of  $Q(\frac{3}{2})$ . Since  $|x| \leq \frac{3}{2}\varkappa \lambda_Q \sqrt{n-p} \leq \lambda_Q / 2\chi \leq z / \chi$ , we have  $a \in C_J \times \mathbf{R}^1$ .  $\square$

**3.9. Lemma.** If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $F_f|_{Q(\frac{3}{2})}$  respects  $X_Q$  and

$$F_f Q(\frac{3}{2}) \cap X = F_f Q(\frac{3}{2}) \cap X_Q.$$

*Proof.* For  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_J$  in Case J, the first claim follows from 3.4 and 3.8; otherwise the claim is implied by the fact that  $F_f$  respects  $X$ . Since  $Q(\frac{3}{2}) \cap X = Q(\frac{3}{2}) \cap X_Q$  by 3.7(2), the second claim follows.  $\square$

**3.10. Lemma.** *There is  $c=c(n) \geq 1$  such that  $\alpha_Q|_{\bar{P}(\frac{4}{3})}: (\bar{P}(\frac{4}{3}), d) \rightarrow (H^n, \sigma)$  is  $c$ -BL for each  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof.* As for [19; 6.15] by the aid of 3.6(2).  $\square$

**3.11. Constructions**

For  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $z \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we set

$$d_Q^f = d(F_f Q, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}), \quad \beta_Q^f(z) = \frac{z - F_f(z_Q)}{d_Q^f}, \quad F_Q^f = \beta_Q^f F_f \alpha_Q.$$

Thus,  $\beta_Q^f$  is a similarity map  $\mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $F_Q^f$  is an embedding of  $\alpha_Q^{-1} \mathbf{R}_+^n \supset \bar{P}(3)$  into  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Obviously,  $\beta_Q^f$  respects  $X_Q$ . By 3.7(2) and 3.9 it then follows that  $F_Q^f|_{P(\frac{3}{2})}$ , too, respects  $X_Q$ .

For  $Y \in \{X_Q | Q \in \mathcal{K}\}$  we let  $\mathcal{G}_Y \subset E_Y(P(\frac{3}{2}), \mathbf{R}^n)$  be the set of all embeddings  $F_Q^g|_{P(\frac{3}{2})}$  where  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $X_Q = Y$ , and  $g: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  is a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism.

**3.12. Lemma.** *The sets  $\mathcal{G}_Y$  are solid.*

*Proof.* This can be proved as the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) of [19; 6.17] is proved (cf. also [19; 6.20]) by the aid of 2.3, 3.6(2), and 3.10.  $\square$

**3.13. Lemma.** *Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  and let  $\varepsilon' > 0$ . Then there exists a LIP embedding  $\psi: P(\frac{4}{3}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  respecting  $X_Q$  such that  $d(\psi, F_Q^f; P(\frac{4}{3})) < \varepsilon'$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \leq \varepsilon' d_Q^f$  and  $\delta \leq d(F_f Q(\frac{4}{3}), H^n \setminus F_f Q(\frac{3}{2}))$ . We show that there is a LIP homeomorphism  $\mu: H^n \rightarrow H^n$  respecting  $X$  such that  $d(\mu, F_f; H^n) < \delta$ . Then the LIP embedding  $\psi = \beta_Q^f \mu \alpha_Q|_{P(\frac{4}{3})}$  satisfies the lemma. In fact,  $d(\psi, F_Q^f; P(\frac{4}{3})) < \delta/d_Q^f \leq \varepsilon'$  and, since  $\mu$  respects  $X$  and  $\mu Q(\frac{4}{3}) \subset F_f Q(\frac{3}{2})$ , it follows by 3.7(2) and 3.9 that  $\psi$  respects  $X_Q$ .

Suppose first that  $n \neq 4$ , that  $p \neq 4$  if  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ , and that  $p \neq 4, 5$  if  $X = \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p}$ . Then the existence of  $\mu$  follows from 2.8.

Suppose now that  $n \neq 5$ , that  $p \neq 5$  if  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ , and that  $p \neq 5, 6$  if  $X = \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,p}$ . Then by 2.8 there is a LIP homeomorphism  $g: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  such that  $d(g, f) < \delta/3$ . From [20; 2.16] (in whose proof the value of  $r_0 > 0$  plays no role) it follows that the homeomorphism  $F_g: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  is LIP. Since  $d(F_g, F_f) \leq 3d(g, f)$ , we can take  $\mu = F_g|_{H^n}$ .

Only the cases (a)  $(n, p) = (5, 4)$  and (b)  $X = \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n,5}$  remain. In these cases, by 2.8 and 2.9 there is a homeomorphism  $g: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  such that

$d(g, f) < \delta/9$  and such that (a)  $g|X_0$  or (b)  $g|\partial X_0$ , respectively, is LIP. Now  $d(F_g, F_f) < \delta/3$ . Since the homeomorphism  $\varphi = F_g(g^{-1} \times \text{id}): \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  has the form  $\varphi(x, t) = (x, \tau_g(g^{-1}(x), t))$ , by 2.10 there is a LIP homeomorphism  $\varphi': (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  such that  $d(\varphi', \varphi) < \delta/3$ . Then  $h = \varphi'(g \times \text{id}): \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  is a homeomorphism respecting  $X$  such that  $d(h, F_g) < \delta/3$  and such that (a)  $h|X$  or (b)  $h|\partial X_0 \times \mathbf{R}_+^1$ , respectively, is LIP. Hence, by 2.8 there is a LIP homeomorphism  $\mu: H^n \rightarrow H^n$  respecting  $X$  such that  $d(\mu, h; H^n) < \delta/3$  implying  $d(\mu, F_f; H^n) < \delta$ .  $\square$

3.14. *Remark.* The application of 2.8 in the proof of 3.13 does not lead to a dependence on the stable homeomorphism theorem. For consider, e.g., the case  $\partial X_0 \neq \emptyset$ . We may assume that the LQS homeomorphisms  $f$  and  $f|\partial X_0$  are sense-preserving. Then by the proof of [19; 3.12] there are isotopies  $f \cong \text{id}$  and  $f|\partial X_0 \cong \text{id}$ , and these can be extended to isotopies  $F_f \cong \text{id}$  and  $F_f| \text{cl}(\partial X \cap H^n) \cong \text{id}$ . Thus, the homeomorphisms  $f$ ,  $f|\partial X_0$ ,  $F_f|H^n$ , and  $F_f|\partial X \cap H^n$  are stable as needed if  $(n, p) \neq (5, 4)$  and  $p \neq 5$ . On the other hand, if  $(n, p) = (5, 4)$  or  $p = 5$ , it is easy to see that  $h$  is stable as needed.

3.15. **Lemma.** *There is  $M = M(n, K) \geq 1$  such that*

$$1/M \leq d_Q^f/d_R^f \leq M, \quad d_Q^f \leq M d(F_f \bar{Q}(\frac{4}{3}), \mathbf{R}^{n-1})$$

whenever  $Q, R \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* The former assertion can be proved as that in [19; 7.5] by the aid of 3.6(2) and 2.3. The latter assertion then follows from the fact that

$$\bar{Q}(\frac{4}{3}) \subset \bigcup \{R \in \mathcal{K} \mid Q \cap R \neq \emptyset\}$$

by 3.6(3).  $\square$

3.16. **Lemma.** *There is  $c' = c'(n, K) \geq 1$  such that*

$$\beta_Q^f|F_f \bar{Q}(\frac{4}{3}): (F_f \bar{Q}(\frac{4}{3}), \sigma) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^n, d)$$

is  $c'$ -BL for each  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ .

*Proof.* As for [19; 7.6] by the aid of 3.6(2), 2.3, and 3.15.  $\square$

3.17. **Constructions**

For  $0 \leq i \leq N$  we set

$$V_i = \bigcup \{Q(1+2^{-i-1}) \mid Q \in \mathcal{K}_i^*\}, \quad W_i = \bigcup \{Q(1+2^{-i-2}) \mid Q \in \mathcal{K}_i^*\};$$

then  $V_0=W_0=\emptyset$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_i$  and  $1 < t \leq \frac{4}{3}$ , we set

$$V_Q(t) = P(t) \cap \alpha_Q^{-1} V_{i-1}, \quad W_Q(t) = P(t) \cap \alpha_Q^{-1} W_{i-1};$$

then, by 3.6(3) if  $i \geq 2$  or trivially if  $i=1$ ,  $\alpha_Q V_Q(\frac{4}{3})$  is the union of the sets  $Q_R = Q(\frac{4}{3}) \cap R(1+2^{-i})$  where  $R \in \mathcal{K}_{i-1}^*$  and  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly, the set

$$S = \{V_Q(\frac{4}{3}) \mid Q \in \mathcal{K}\} \cup \{W_Q(\frac{4}{3}) \mid Q \in \mathcal{K}\}$$

is finite.

We apply 2.7 for  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $U = P(\frac{4}{3})$ ,  $U' = P(\frac{5}{4})$ ,  $V = V_Q(\frac{4}{3})$ ,  $W = W_Q(\frac{4}{3})$ ,  $Y = X_Q$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{X_Q} = \{g \mid P(\frac{4}{3}) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_Q}\}$ ; this is possible by 3.12 and 3.13. Since  $S$  and  $\{X_Q \mid Q \in \mathcal{K}\}$  are finite, we obtain:

**3.18. Lemma.** *Let  $\varepsilon' > 0$  and  $L \geq 1$ . Then there are positive numbers  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon', n, K) \leq \varepsilon'$  and  $L' = L'(\varepsilon', n, K, L) \geq L$  with the following property:*

*Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ , let  $g \in \mathcal{F}_{X_Q}$ , and let  $h: V_Q(\frac{4}{3}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a locally  $L$ -BL embedding respecting  $X_Q$  such that  $d(h, g; V_Q(\frac{4}{3})) \leq \delta$ . Then there is an  $L'$ -BL embedding  $h': P(\frac{5}{4}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  respecting  $X_Q$  such that  $d(h', g; P(\frac{5}{4})) \leq \varepsilon'$  and  $h' = h$  on  $W_Q(\frac{5}{4})$ .  $\square$*

**3.19. Constructions**

First note that  $\frac{5}{4}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{17}{12}, \frac{3}{2}$  is an arithmetic progression with difference  $\frac{1}{12}$ . By 3.12, there is a number  $q = q(n, K) > 0$  such that  $|g(x) - g(y)| \geq q$  whenever  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{G}_{X_Q}$ , and  $x, y \in \bar{P}(\frac{17}{12})$  with  $|x - y| \geq \varkappa/12$ . Let  $c, c'$ , and  $M$  be as in 3.10, 3.16, and 3.15, respectively. Define numbers  $\delta_N \geq \delta_{N-1} \geq \dots \geq \delta_0 > 0$  by  $\delta_N = \min(q/(M+2), \varepsilon/c')$  and  $\delta_{j-1} = \delta(\delta_j, n, K)/M$ , where  $\delta(\ )$  is as in 3.18. We also define numbers  $L_0 \leq \dots \leq L_N$  by  $L_0 = 1$  and  $L_j = cc' L'(\delta_j, n, K, \lambda_j)$ , where  $L'(\ )$  is as in 3.18, where  $j \geq 1$ , and where  $\lambda_j = cc' M L_{j-1}$  (this  $M$  is erroneously missing in [19; 7.9]). Observe that the sequences  $(\delta_0, \dots, \delta_N)$  and  $(L_0, \dots, L_N)$  depend only on  $(n, K, \varepsilon)$ . We show by induction that the following lemma is true for every integer  $j \in [0, N]$ :

**3.20<sub>j</sub>. Lemma.** *There is an embedding  $F_j: V_j \rightarrow H^n$  respecting  $X$  with the following properties:*

- (1)  $d(F_j, F_f; Q(1+2^{-j-1})) \leq \delta_j d_Q^f$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$ .
- (2)  $F_j Q(1+2^{-j-1}) \subset F_f Q(\frac{4}{3})$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$ .
- (3)  $F_j$  is locally  $L_j$ -BLH.

*Proof.* Since  $V_0 = \emptyset$ , 3.20<sub>0</sub> is true. Suppose that 3.20 <sub>$j-1$</sub>  is true. Thus we have an embedding  $F_{j-1}: V_{j-1} \rightarrow H^n$ . We define  $F_j(x) = F_{j-1}(x)$  for  $x \in W_{j-1}$ . Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ .

Then  $F_Q^f|P(\frac{3}{2}) \in \mathcal{G}_{X_Q}$ . Define an embedding  $h_Q = \beta_Q^f F_{j-1} \alpha_Q|V_Q(\frac{4}{3})$ . Consider  $R \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$  with  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . By 3.20 $_{j-1}$ (1) and 3.15 we obtain

$$d(h_Q, F_Q^f; \alpha_Q^{-1}Q_R) = d(F_{j-1}, F_f; Q_R)/d_Q^f \leq M\delta_{j-1} = \delta(\delta_j, n, K).$$

Hence,  $d(h_Q, F_Q^f; V_Q(\frac{4}{3})) \leq \delta(\delta_j, n, K)$ . For  $R$  as above we have

$$h_Q|\alpha_Q^{-1}Q_R = (\beta_Q^f(\beta_R^f)^{-1})(\beta_R^f F_{j-1}|Q_R)(\alpha_Q|\alpha_Q^{-1}Q_R).$$

Here  $F_{j-1}Q_R \subset F_f R(\frac{4}{3})$  by 3.20 $_{j-1}$ (2). Hence,  $\beta_R^f|F_{j-1}Q_R$  is  $c'$ -BL between  $\sigma$  and  $d$  by 3.16. Moreover,  $\beta_Q^f(\beta_R^f)^{-1}$  is  $M$ -BL in  $d$  by 3.15. Thus,  $h_Q|\alpha_Q^{-1}Q_R$  is locally  $\lambda_j$ -BL in  $d$  by 3.20 $_{j-1}$ (3) and 3.10. Hence,  $h_Q$  is locally  $\lambda_j$ -BL. We show  $h_Q$  to respect  $X_Q$ . Suppose that  $R$  is still as above. Let  $x \in Q_R$  and  $y \in \partial\bar{Q}(\frac{17}{12})$ . Since  $|\alpha_Q^{-1}(x) - \alpha_Q^{-1}(y)| \geq \pi/12$ , the choice of  $q$  implies  $|F_Q^f(\alpha_Q^{-1}(x)) - F_Q^f(\alpha_Q^{-1}(y))| \geq q$  yielding  $|F_f(x) - F_f(y)| \geq qd_Q^f$ . Since

$$|F_{j-1}(x) - F_f(x)| \leq M\delta_{j-1}d_Q^f \leq M\delta_N d_Q^f < qd_Q^f,$$

we conclude that  $F_{j-1}Q_R \subset F_f Q(\frac{17}{12})$ . Since  $F_{j-1}$  respects  $X$ , it follows by 3.7(2) and 3.9 that  $h_Q|\alpha_Q^{-1}Q_R$  respects  $X_Q$ . Thus, indeed,  $h_Q$  respects  $X_Q$ . Hence, we can apply 3.18 with  $\varepsilon' = \delta_j$ ,  $g = F_Q^f|P(\frac{4}{3})$ ,  $h = h_Q$ . We obtain an  $(L_j/cc')$ -BL embedding  $h'_Q: P(\frac{5}{4}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  respecting  $X_Q$  such that  $d(h'_Q, F_Q^f; P(\frac{5}{4})) \leq \delta_j$  and  $h'_Q = h_Q$  on  $W_Q(\frac{5}{4})$ . Setting  $F_j = (\beta_Q^f)^{-1}h'_Q\alpha_Q^{-1}$  on  $Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  we obtain a well-defined map  $F_j: V_j \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . We show that  $F_j$  satisfies the conditions (1), (2), and (3), that  $F_j$  is injective, and that  $F_j$  respects  $X$ .

To prove (1), let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$ , (1) follows from 3.20 $_{j-1}$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ , we obtain

$$d(F_j, F_f; Q(1+2^{-j-1})) = d_Q^f d(h'_Q, F_Q^f; P(1+2^{-j-1})) \leq \delta_j d_Q^f.$$

To prove (2), let again  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$ , (2) follows from 3.20 $_{j-1}$ . Suppose  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ . Then  $d(h'_Q, F_Q^f; P(\frac{5}{4})) \leq \delta_j \leq \delta_N < q$  implying  $h'_Q P(1+2^{-j-1}) \subset F_Q^f P(\frac{4}{3})$ . Hence (2) is true. Observe that (2) implies  $F_j V_j \subset H^n$ .

If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$ , then  $F_j$  is locally  $L_j$ -BLH on  $Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  by 3.20 $_{j-1}$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ , then 3.10, 3.16, and (2) imply that  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  is  $L_j$ -BLH. Hence,  $F_j$  is a locally  $L_j$ -BLH immersion.

We now show that  $F_j$  is injective. First,  $F_j|W_{j-1}$  and  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$  are injective. Moreover, if  $Q, R \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$  and  $Q \cap R = \emptyset$ , then (2) and 3.6(3) imply that  $F_j Q(1+2^{-j-1}) \cap F_j R(1+2^{-j-1}) = \emptyset$ . Hence, it suffices to show that  $F_j(x) \neq F_j(y)$  whenever  $j \geq 2$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,  $x \in Q(1+2^{-j-1})$ , and  $y \in R(1+2^{-j-1})$  where  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ ,

$R \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$ , and  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . The equality  $F_j = (\beta_Q^f)^{-1} h'_Q \alpha_Q^{-1}$  is valid on  $Q(\frac{5}{4}) \cap V_j$ . Hence we may assume that  $y \notin Q(\frac{5}{4})$ . Since  $d(F_Q^f(\alpha_Q^{-1}(x)), F_Q^f \partial \bar{P}(\frac{5}{4})) \geq q$ , we then have  $|F_f(x) - F_f(y)| \geq qd_Q^f$ . By (1) and 3.15 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F_j(x) - F_j(y)| &\geq |F_f(x) - F_f(y)| - |F_j(x) - F_f(x)| - |F_j(y) - F_f(y)| \\ &\geq qd_Q^f - \delta_j d_Q^f - \delta_j d_R^f \geq (q - (M+1)\delta_N) d_Q^f \geq \delta_N d_Q^f > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $F_j: V_j \rightarrow H^n$  is an embedding.

We finally show  $F_j$  to respect  $X$ . First,  $F_j|W_{j-1}$  respects  $X$ . Consider  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ . Then  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  respects  $X_Q$ . Hence, it follows from (2), 3.7(2), and 3.9 that  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1})$  respects  $X$ . Thus,  $F_j$  respects  $X$ .  $\square$

**3.21. Completion of the proof of 3.1.** We show that 3.1 is true with  $L=L_N$  defined in 3.19. Let  $F_N: H^n \rightarrow H^n$  be the map of 3.20<sub>N</sub>. We show that the map  $F = F_N \cup f: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  satisfies 3.1. First,  $F_N$  is an embedding, and  $F_N$  is locally  $L_N$ -BLH. Clearly  $F$  respects  $X$ . To prove the condition (1) of 3.1, let  $x \in H^n$ . Choose  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  containing  $x$ . Then 3.20<sub>N</sub>(1) yields  $|F_N(x) - F_f(x)| \leq \delta_N d_Q^f \leq \varepsilon d_Q^f / c'$ . By 3.20<sub>N</sub>(2) and 3.16 this implies  $\sigma(F_N(x), F_f(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ . Hence (1) is true. Since  $F_f H^n = H^n$ , it follows from (1) that  $F_N H^n = H^n$ . From (1) it also follows that  $F_N(x) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Thus,  $F$  is a homeomorphism. Hence, (3) obtains by [19; 6.21]. Finally, (4) follows from (3) and from the analytic definition of quasiconformality [23; 34.2].  $\square$

The following lemma will be needed in the last section.

**3.22. Lemma.** *Suppose that  $f|B^{n-1}(r) = \text{id}$  for some  $r > 0$  in Theorem 3.1. Then there is  $\omega_n \in (0, 1)$  depending only on  $n$  such that  $F$  can be chosen so as to satisfy  $F|C^n(\omega_n r) = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof.* We check that the above construction for  $F$  works if just one choice is made more carefully. First note that  $F_f|C^n(r) = \text{id}$ . Thus, if  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $Q(2) \subset C^n(r)$ , then  $d_Q^f = 2\lambda_Q$  by 3.6(2), and therefore  $F_Q^f|P(2)$  is a restriction of the map  $\theta: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $x \mapsto x/2$ . Let  $\omega = (1 + 2\sqrt{2n})^{-1}$ . Then  $Q \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $Q \cap C^n(\omega r) \neq \emptyset$  imply  $Q(2) \subset C^n(r)$ ; this follows by 3.6(2) from the facts  $d(Q, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}) < \omega r$  and  $d(C^n(\omega r), \mathbf{R}_+^n \setminus C^n(r)) = (1 - \omega)r/\sqrt{2}$ . Let  $\omega_n = \omega^N$ .

We show that we can add to 3.20<sub>j</sub> the following condition:

(4)  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1}) = \text{id}$  for every  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$  with  $Q \cap C^n(\omega^j r) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j^*$  with  $Q \cap C^n(\omega^j r) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$ , then (4) follows from 3.20<sub>j-1</sub>(4). Let  $Q \in \mathcal{K}_j$ . Consider  $R \in \mathcal{K}_{j-1}^*$  with  $Q \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Q(2) \subset C^n(\omega^{j-1} r)$ , we conclude that  $F_{j-1}|Q_R = \text{id}$ . Hence,  $h_Q = \theta$  on  $\alpha_Q^{-1} Q_R$ . Thus,  $h_Q = \theta|V_Q(\frac{4}{3})$ . Now note that  $\theta$

is 2-BL with  $2 \leq M \leq \lambda_j \leq L_j/cc'$  and that  $\theta$  respects  $X_Q$ . Therefore we can define  $h'_Q = \theta|P(\frac{5}{4})$ . It follows that  $F_j|Q(1+2^{-j-1}) = \text{id}$ .

We now have  $F|C^n(\omega_{nr}) = \text{id}$ .  $\square$

### 4. Complementary results

In this section we first apply Theorem 3.1 to show in 4.1 that  $F|X$  in 3.1 can be prescribed if  $F$  is claimed to be quasiconformal only. In 4.3 we consider Euclidean Lipschitz properties that  $F$  inherits from  $f$  in 3.1. Theorem 4.4 uses another extension method at the limit  $K \rightarrow 1$ . Higher codimensional extension is the topic of 4.5. Theorems 4.6 and 4.8 are corollaries for quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of sphere pairs.

**4.1. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ , let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , and let*

$$f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \quad \text{and} \quad g: (X, X_0) \rightarrow (X, X_0)$$

*be homeomorphisms with  $f|X_0 = g|X_0$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be  $K$ -QC and  $\tau = (n, K)$  whenever  $p \geq 2$ ; let  $f \cup g: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \cup X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \cup X$  be  $\eta$ -QS and  $\tau = (n, \eta)$  whenever  $p = 1$ . Then there is a  $K^*$ -QC homeomorphism  $F: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  with  $F|\mathbf{R}^{n-1} = f$  and  $F|X = g$  where  $K^*$  depends only on  $\tau$ .*

*Proof.* By 3.1 there is a  $K_0$ -QC homeomorphism  $F_0: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  extending  $f$  where  $K_0 = K_0(\tau)$ . By replacing  $(f, g)$  by  $(F_0^{-1}f, F_0^{-1}g)$  we may assume  $f = \text{id}$ . Then  $g|X_0 = \text{id}$ . If  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ , let  $X_1 = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ ; then we can extend  $g$  by reflection to a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism  $g_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_1$  with  $g_1|\partial X_1 = \text{id}$ . Thus, we may assume  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ . Then, proceeding by induction on  $n - p$ , we may assume  $p = n - 1$ . Let  $Y = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^n | x_1 \geq 0\}$  and  $Z = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_+^n | x_1 \leq 0\}$ ; then  $\mathbf{R}_+^n = Y \cup Z$  and  $X = Y \cap Z$ . Let  $\varphi_Y = (f \cup g)|\partial Y$  and  $\varphi_Z = (f \cup g)|\partial Z$ . There are  $L$ -BL homeomorphisms  $\alpha: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  and  $\beta: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  with  $L$  an absolute constant such that  $\alpha|Y \cap \mathbf{R}^{n-1} = \text{id}$  and  $\beta|Z \cap \mathbf{R}^{n-1} = \text{id}$  and such that  $\alpha|X$  and  $\beta|X$  are isometric. Then the self-homeomorphisms  $g_Y = \alpha\varphi_Y\alpha^{-1}$  and  $g_Z = \beta\varphi_Z\beta^{-1}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  are  $K$ -QC if  $p \geq 2$  by [23; 35.1] and  $\eta_1$ -QS with  $\eta_1(t) = L^2\eta(L^2t)$  if  $p = 1$ . By 3.1 there are  $K_1$ -QC self-homeomorphisms  $G_Y$  and  $G_Z$  of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  extending  $g_Y$  and  $g_Z$ , respectively, with  $K_1 = K_1(\tau)$ . Let  $K^* = L^{4n-4}K_1$ . Then  $F_Y = \alpha^{-1}G_Y\alpha: Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $F_Z = \beta^{-1}G_Z\beta: Z \rightarrow Z$  are  $K^*$ -QC homeomorphisms extending  $\varphi_Y$  and  $\varphi_Z$ , respectively. Thus,  $F = F_Y \cup F_Z: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  is the desired  $K^*$ -QC homeomorphism.  $\square$

**4.2. Remark.** The assumptions in 4.1 are necessary. For consider first a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism  $F: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $F$  is  $\eta$ -QS with  $\eta$  depending only on  $K$  by [2; 5.23]. Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $F|\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is  $K$ -QC by [7; Corollary] as is also

$F|X$  by [7; Theorem 2] if  $2 \leq p \leq n$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , and  $F$  respects  $X$ . Moreover, the case  $p=1$  of 4.1 really differs from the case  $p \geq 2$ . For example, the homeomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $x \mapsto |x|x$ , is QS (by [23; 16.2] if  $n \geq 3$ ) as is also  $g = \text{id}|_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n,1}}$ , but  $f \cup g$  is not LQS at 0.

### 4.3. Preservation of Lipschitz properties in 3.1

Consider the behaviour in the Euclidean metric of the homeomorphism  $F$  as given in Theorem 3.1. We show that  $F$  inherits various Lipschitz properties from  $f$ . Of course,  $F|H^n$  is LIP. For  $z = (x, t) \in H^n$  write  $\delta(z) = d(z, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}) = t$ . Then  $L_d(z, F) = L_\sigma(z, F)\delta(F(z))/\delta(z)$  and  $L_d(F(z), F^{-1}) = L_\sigma(F(z), F^{-1})\delta(z)/\delta(F(z))$ . Here  $L_\sigma(z, F) \leq L$  and  $L_\sigma(F(z), F^{-1}) \leq L$  by 3.1(3),  $e^{-\varepsilon} \leq \delta(F(z))/\delta(F_f(z)) \leq e^\varepsilon$  by 3.1(1), and  $\delta(F_f(z)) = \tau_f(x, t)$ . Suppose that  $f|B^{n-1}(r)$  is  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz. If  $z \in C^n(r)$ , then  $\tau_f(x, t) \leq \lambda t$  and thus  $L_d(z, F) \leq \lambda^* = e^\varepsilon L \lambda$ . Hence,  $F|C^n(r)$  is  $\lambda^*$ -Lipschitz. If  $f^{-1}|fB^{n-1}(r)$  is  $\lambda$ -Lipschitz and  $z \in C^n(r)$ , then  $\tau_f(x, t) \geq t/\lambda$  and thus  $L_d(F(z), F^{-1}) \leq \lambda^*$ . It follows, e.g., that if  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -BL, then  $F$  is  $\lambda^*$ -BL, and that if  $f$  is LIP, then  $F$  is LIP. Assume now  $p \geq 2$ . Choose a homeomorphism  $\eta: \mathbf{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^1$  depending only on  $(n, K)$  such that  $f$  is  $\eta$ -QS. If  $z \in X$ , define  $\tau_f^0(z) = \max\{|f(x) - f(y)| \mid y \in X_0, |x - y| = t\}$ . Then  $\tau_f^0(z) \leq \tau_f(z) \leq \eta(1)\tau_f^0(z)$ . It follows that if  $f|X_0$  is  $\lambda$ -BL, then  $F|X$  is  $\eta(1)e^\varepsilon L \lambda$ -BL, and that if  $f|X_0$  is LIP, then  $F|X$  is LIP. In the case  $p=1$ , note that if  $f$  is as in 4.2, then  $|F(0, t)| \leq e^\varepsilon \tau_f(0, t) = e^\varepsilon t^2$  for  $t > 0$ , and therefore  $F|X$  is not LIP.

For  $K$ -QC homeomorphisms with  $K$  sufficiently close to 1 there is an elementary and explicit extension method due to Tukia and Väisälä [21; 5.4], who considered more generally  $s$ -QS embeddings  $f: \mathbf{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $k < n$ , with small  $s$ . In the next theorem we check that in our special case this method is respectful. The BLH condition of the theorem was known to Tukia and Väisälä [26; 4.5].

**4.4. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$  and  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ . Then there is  $K_0 = K_0(n) > 1$  with the following property: Let  $f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  be a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism with  $K \leq K_0$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $F: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  which satisfies the conditions (2)–(4) of 3.1 with  $L = L(n, K) \rightarrow 1$  as  $K \rightarrow 1$  and for which  $F|H^n$  is piecewise-affine.*

*Proof.* Replace  $p$  by  $m$  and let  $X' = \mathbf{R}_+^{n,m}$ . It suffices to modify the proof of [21; 5.4] in the special case  $f\mathbf{R}^p = \mathbf{R}^p$  with  $p = n-1$  as follows. First, make sure that the vertices of the cubes in  $\mathcal{J}(n-1)$  of side length 1 are in  $\mathbf{Z}^{n-1}$  and that each of  $X' \cap H^n$  and  $\partial X \cap H^n$  is the underlying space of a subcomplex of the triangulation  $W$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . Let each  $(n-1)$ -frame  $w_Q$  be ordered as  $(w_Q^{n-m+1}, \dots, w_Q^{n-1}, w_Q^1, \dots, w_Q^{n-m})$  when forming the orthonormal  $(n-1)$ -frame  $v_Q = G(w_Q)$ . Observe

that in [21] no use has been made of the stated sense-preserving nature of various embeddings. Thus, it is not necessary to assume the  $n$ -frames  $u_Q$  to be positively oriented, and we can define  $u_Q^n = e_n$  for each  $Q \in \mathcal{J}(n-1)$ . It follows that  $h_Q \mathbf{R}_+^n = \mathbf{R}_+^n$  for each  $Q$ , that  $h_Q X = X$  if  $a_Q \in \partial X_0$ , and that  $h_Q X' = X'$  if  $a_Q \in X_0$ . Then  $g \mathbf{R}_+^n = \mathbf{R}_+^n$ . For each vertex  $b$  of  $W$  in  $X$  we choose the cube  $Q = Q(b)$  in such a way that  $a_Q \in X_0$ , with  $a_Q \in \partial X_0$  whenever  $b \in \partial X$ . If  $X = X'$ , it follows that  $gX_0 = X_0$  and  $gX \subset X$ , which imply that  $gX = X$ . In the case  $X \neq X'$  we have that  $g[\partial X] \subset \partial X$ , yielding  $g[\partial X] = \partial X$ , and  $gX \subset X'$ , from which we conclude that  $gX = X$ .

Finally, from [21; (5.7), (5.8), and 3.5] it easily follows that  $L_\sigma(x, g) \leq L_1$  and  $l_\sigma(x, g) \geq 1/L_1$  for each  $x \in H^n$ , where

$$L_1 = L_1(n, q) = (1 + 18n^2(n+1)M(n)q) / (1 - 9n^2q) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $q \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $g|H^n$  is  $L_1$ -BLH. Thus,  $F = g|\mathbf{R}_+^n$  satisfies the theorem.  $\square$

**4.5. Extension from dimension  $n - 1$  to  $n + k$**

Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ . For simplicity we consider only the case  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}^{n-1, p-1}$ ; cf. 5.5 for the case  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}_+^{n-1, p-1}$ . Suppose that  $f$  is a  $K$ -QC self-homeomorphism of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \mathbf{R}^{n-1, p-1})$ . Let  $F$  be the  $K_0^*$ -QC self-homeomorphism of  $(\mathbf{R}_+^n, \mathbf{R}_+^{n, p})$  extending  $f$  with  $K_0^* = K_0^*(n, K)$  whose existence is guaranteed by 3.1. Then we can extend  $F$  by reflection to a  $K_0^*$ -QC self-homeomorphism  $F_0$  of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^{n, p})$ . Repeating this process, we can extend  $f$  to a  $K_k^*$ -QC self-homeomorphism  $F_k$  of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n+k}, \mathbf{R}^{n+k, p+k})$  with  $K_k^* = K_k^*(n+k, K)$ . By 4.4 we may assume that  $K_k^* \rightarrow 1$  as  $K \rightarrow 1$ . By 3.1 and 4.4 we can choose  $F|H^n$  to be  $L$ -BLH with  $L = L(n, K) \rightarrow 1$  as  $K \rightarrow 1$ . Then  $F_k$  can also be obtained by rotating  $F$  around  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ . More precisely, let  $z \in \mathbf{R}^{n+k}$  and write  $z = (x, te)$  where  $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $e \in S^k$ , and  $t \geq 0$ . Then define  $F_k(x, te) = (x', t'e)$  where  $(x', t') = F(x, t)$ . Now it is easy to show that  $F_k|\mathbf{R}^{n+k} \setminus \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is  $L$ -BLH; cf. [20; 3.13]. Then  $F_k$  is  $L^{2(n+k-1)}$ -QC. Still further, the same method of [21; 5.4] we used for 4.4 gives directly a number  $K_k = K_k(n+k) > 1$  and in the case  $K \leq K_k$  an extension  $F_k$  such that  $F_k|\mathbf{R}^{n+k} \setminus \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  is piecewise-affine and  $L_k$ -BLH with  $L_k = L_k(n+k, K) \rightarrow 1$  as  $K \rightarrow 1$ .

The previous theorems imply analogous results, Theorems 4.6 and 4.8, on extending QS self-homeomorphisms to ball pairs from the bounding sphere pairs. The absolute case  $p = n$  of the first theorem and a generalization of the absolute case of the second theorem to embeddings  $f: S^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $k < n$ , are due to Tukia and Väisälä ([20; 3.15.4], [22; 2.18] and, respectively, [21; 5.23], [26; 4.6]).

**4.6. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$  and let  $f: (S^{n-1}, S^{p-1}) \rightarrow (S^{n-1}, S^{p-1})$  be an  $\eta$ -QS homeomorphism. Then  $f$  can be extended to an  $\eta^*$ -QS homeomorphism  $F: (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p) \rightarrow (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p)$  where  $\eta^*$  depends only on  $n$  and  $\eta$ . Moreover, one of the following two conditions can be added:*

- (1) *We can choose  $F|B^n$  to be  $L$ -BLH with  $L=L(n, \eta)$ .*
- (2) *If  $g: \bar{B}^p \rightarrow \bar{B}^p$  is an  $\eta$ -QS homeomorphism with  $f|S^{p-1}=g|S^{p-1}$  and if  $f \cup g$  is  $\eta$ -QS whenever  $p=1$ , then we can choose  $F|\bar{B}^p=g$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $f(e_1)=e_1$ . Let  $X=\mathbf{R}_+^{n,p}$ . Choose a Möbius homeomorphism  $\varphi: \dot{\mathbf{R}}^n \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{R}}^n$  such that  $\varphi H^n=B^n$ , that  $\varphi(\infty)=e_1$ , and that  $\varphi \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{n,p}=\dot{\mathbf{R}}^p$ . Then  $\varphi X=\bar{B}^p \setminus \{e_1\}$ . By [25; 3.2, (1.8), and 3.10], the homeomorphism  $f_1: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  defined by  $\varphi^{-1}f\varphi$  is  $\theta$ -QS with  $\theta$  depending only on  $\eta$  as also in (2) are the homeomorphism  $g_1: X \rightarrow X$  defined by  $\varphi^{-1}g\varphi$  and  $f_1 \cup g_1$  whenever  $p=1$ . By 3.1 we can extend  $f_1$  to a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism  $F_1: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  with  $K=K(n, \eta)$ . Moreover, we may assume in (1) by 3.1 that  $F_1|H^n$  is  $L$ -BLH with  $L=L(n, \eta)$  and in (2) by 4.1 that  $F_1|X=g_1$ . Extend  $F_1$  to a homeomorphism  $F_1: \dot{\mathbf{R}}_+^n \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{R}}_+^n$ . Then  $F=\varphi F_1 \varphi^{-1}: (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p) \rightarrow (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p)$  is a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism extending  $f$  such that  $F|B^n$  is  $L$ -BLH in (1) and that  $F|\bar{B}^p=g$  in (2). Now  $|F(0)| \leq a(n, \eta) < 1$  by [22; 2.17]. Hence, there is a  $K_0$ -QC homeomorphism  $h: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that  $h(F(0))=0$ ,  $h|\mathbf{R}^n \setminus B^n = \text{id}$ , and  $K_0=K_0(n, \eta)$ . Let  $F_2: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be the  $K_0 K$ -QC homeomorphism obtained from  $hF$  by reflection; then  $F_3=h^{-1}F_2: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is  $K_0^2 K$ -QC and  $F=F_3|\bar{B}^n$ . Hence,  $F$  is  $\eta^*$ -QS with  $\eta^*$  depending only on  $(n, \eta)$ .  $\square$

**4.7. Lemma.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $f: \bar{B}^n \rightarrow \bar{B}^n$  be a  $K$ -QC homeomorphism such that  $f|S^{n-1}$  is  $s$ -QS with  $s \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Then  $|f(0)| \leq a=a(n, K, s) < 1$  with  $a \rightarrow 0$  as  $K \rightarrow 1$  and  $s \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* By [26; 2.3],  $f|S^{n-1}$  is  $\eta$ -QS with a universal homeomorphism  $\eta$ . Hence,  $|f(0)| \leq b(n, K) < 1$  by [22; 2.17]. Suppose that the second assertion of the lemma is not true. Then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are  $K_j$ -QC homeomorphisms  $f_j: \bar{B}^n \rightarrow \bar{B}^n$  with  $f_j|S^{n-1}$  being  $s_j$ -QS such that  $K_j \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{4} \geq s_j \rightarrow 0$ , and  $|f_j(0)| \geq \varepsilon$ . Applying [18; 3.5–3.7] and passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $(f_j|S^{n-1})$  converges uniformly to a homeomorphism  $h: S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ , which must be an isometry. Extending each  $f_j$  by reflection to a  $K_j$ -QC homeomorphism  $g_j: \dot{\mathbf{R}}^n \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{R}}^n$ , applying [23; 19.4(2), 20.5, 21.5, and 37.3], and passing again to a subsequence, we may assume that  $(f_j)$  converges uniformly to a Möbius homeomorphism  $g: \bar{B}^n \rightarrow \bar{B}^n$ . Since  $g|S^{n-1}=h$ , it follows that  $g$  is an isometry, which contradicts the inequality  $|g(0)| \geq \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

**4.8. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ . Then there is  $s_0 = s_0(n) > 0$  with the following property: Let  $f: (S^{n-1}, S^{p-1}) \rightarrow (S^{n-1}, S^{p-1})$  be an  $s$ -QS homeomorphism with  $s \leq s_0$ . Then  $f$  can be extended to an  $s^*$ -QS homeomorphism  $F: (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p) \rightarrow (\bar{B}^n, \bar{B}^p)$  such that  $F|B^n$  is  $L$ -BLH where  $s^* = s^*(n, s) \rightarrow 0$  and  $L = L(n, s) \rightarrow 1$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $f(e_1) = e_1$ . Define  $X, \varphi, f_1$  as in the proof of 4.6. From [25; 3.8 and 3.10] we see that there is an absolute constant  $s_1 > 0$  such that if  $s \leq s_1$ , then  $f_1$  is  $K$ -QC with  $K = K(n, s) \rightarrow 1$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, choosing  $s_0 = s_0(n) > 0$  with  $s_0 \leq \min(s_1, \frac{1}{4})$  small enough and assuming  $s \leq s_0$ , by 4.4 we can extend  $f_1$  to a homeomorphism  $F_1: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  such that  $F_1|H^n$  is  $L$ -BLH with  $L = L(n, s) \rightarrow 1$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Define  $F$  and choose  $h$  as in the proof of 4.6 but now by 4.7 with  $K_0 = K_0(n, s) \rightarrow 1$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $F$  satisfies the theorem.  $\square$

**5. Extension of locally quasisymmetric homeomorphisms**

In this section we use mainly the term LQS rather than the term LQC. We prove LQS versions of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1 and of the higher codimensional extension 4.5.

In the following lemma  $\omega_n$  is the number of 3.22.

**5.1. Lemma.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ , let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , let  $f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  be an LQS homeomorphism, and let  $A \subset \mathbf{R}_+^n$  be compact. Then there is  $r_0 > 0$  with the following property: For every  $r \geq r_0$  there is a QS homeomorphism  $\varphi: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  such that  $\varphi = f$  on  $B^{n-1}(r)$ , that  $\varphi|H^n$  is BLH, and that  $\varphi B_+^n(r_0) \supset A$ . Moreover, if  $f|B^{n-1}(s) = \text{id}$  for some  $s > 0$  and if  $r \geq s$ , then  $\varphi$  can be chosen so as to satisfy  $\varphi|C^n(\omega_n s) = \text{id}$ .*

*Proof.* We may replace  $f$  by  $f - f(0)$  and  $A$  by  $A - f(0)$  arriving thus always at the situation  $f(0) = 0$ . Choose  $r_0 > 0$  with  $F_f B_+^n(r_0) \supset A$ . Consider  $r \geq r_0$ . If it is assumed  $f|B^{n-1}(s) = \text{id}$ , assume  $r \geq s$ . Let  $C = C^n(2r)$ . Then  $B_+^n(r_0) \subset C$ .

We wish first to extend  $f_1 = f|B^{n-1}(2r)$  to a QC self-homeomorphism  $g$  of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$ . By [23; 34.7] or [9; Theorem 4],  $f_1$  is QC. If  $n = 2$ , the existence of  $g$  follows from [9; Theorem 5]. Suppose that  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $\alpha: \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{n-1} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{R}}^{n-1}$  be the inversion in  $S^{n-2}(2r)$ ; then  $\alpha \dot{X}_0 = \dot{X}_0$ . The LQC embedding  $h_0 = \alpha f \alpha: \bar{B}^{n-1}(2r) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  respects  $X_0$ . Thus, by the relative (or respectful) Schoenflies theorem [6; 2.4] (for a slightly corrected and completed proof of which see [12]), there is a QC embedding  $h: \bar{B}^{n-1}(2r) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  extending  $h_0|S^{n-2}(2r)$  and, if  $p \neq 1$ , respecting  $X_0$ . By composing  $h$  with a suitable QC homeomorphism  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  which respects  $X_0$  if  $p \neq 1$  we may assume that  $h(0) = 0$  always. Then  $g = f_1 \cup (\alpha h \alpha| \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \setminus B^{n-1}(2r))$  is the desired homeomorphism.

We have that  $F_g = F_f$  on  $C$ . Consider  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By 3.1 and 3.22 there is a QS homeomorphism  $\varphi: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  extending  $g$  such that  $\sigma(\varphi, F_g; H^n) < \varepsilon$ , such that  $\varphi|_{H^n}$  is BLH, and that  $\varphi|_{C^n(\omega_n s)} = \text{id}$  if  $f|_{B^{n-1}(s)} = \text{id}$ . Then  $\sigma(\varphi, F_f; C \cap H^n) < \varepsilon$ . By choosing  $\varepsilon$  small enough we have that  $d(\varphi, F_f; B_+^n(r_0))$  is so small that  $\varphi B_+^n(r_0) \supset A$ . Then  $\varphi$  satisfies the lemma.  $\square$

**5.2. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ , let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , and let  $f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  be an LQS homeomorphism. Then  $f$  can be extended to an LQS homeomorphism  $F: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  which is LIPH on  $H^n$ .*

*Proof.* We construct inductively numbers  $r_j \geq j$  and QS self-homeomorphisms  $\varphi_j$  of  $(\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  for  $j \geq 1$  such that, setting  $s_j = 2r_j/\omega_n (> r_j)$ , we have that  $\varphi_j = f$  on  $B^{n-1}(s_j)$ , that  $\varphi_j|_{H^n}$  is BLH, that  $\varphi_j B_+^n(r_j) \supset B_+^n(j)$ , that  $r_{j+1} > r_j$ , and that  $\varphi_{j+1} = \varphi_j$  on  $C_j = C^n(\omega_n s_j) \supset B_+^n(r_j)$ . We obtain  $r_1$  and  $\varphi_1$  from 5.1.

Suppose that we have constructed  $r_j$  and  $\varphi_j$ . Define an LQS homeomorphism  $g = \varphi_j^{-1} f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$ . Then  $g|_{B^{n-1}(s_j)} = \text{id}$ . Thus, by 5.1 there are a number  $r_{j+1} \geq \max(j+1, s_j)$  and a QS homeomorphism  $\varphi: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  such that  $\varphi = g$  on  $B^{n-1}(s_{j+1})$ , that  $\varphi|_{H^n}$  is BLH, that  $\varphi B_+^n(r_{j+1}) \supset \varphi_j^{-1} B_+^n(j+1)$ , and that  $\varphi|_{C_j} = \text{id}$ . Then  $\varphi_{j+1} = \varphi_j \varphi: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  is the desired homeomorphism.

By setting  $F = \varphi_j$  on  $B_+^n(r_j)$  for each  $j$  we obtain the desired LQS homeomorphism  $F: (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}_+^n, X)$  extending  $f$  and LIPH on  $H^n$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Remarks.** 1. The absolute case  $X = \mathbf{R}_+^n$  of 5.2 without the LIPH property was proved in [10; 9.2]. The above proof for it is a simplification of that in [10].

2. In 5.2, if  $p \geq 2$  and  $f|_{X_0}$  is LIP, then  $F$  can be chosen such that  $F|_X$  is LIP. This follows from the construction of  $F$ , where in the proof of 5.1 note that [12; Theorem 3] produces  $h$  with  $h|h^{-1}X_0$  LIP whenever  $h_0|h_0^{-1}X_0$  is LIP and that  $\varphi|_X$  is LIP by 4.3 whenever  $g|_{X_0}$  is LIP.

**5.4. Theorem.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq n \geq 2$ , let  $X \in \mathcal{X}(n, p)$ , and let  $f: (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, X_0)$  and  $g: (X, X_0) \rightarrow (X, X_0)$  be LQS homeomorphisms with  $f|_{X_0} = g|_{X_0}$  and such that  $f \cup g$  is LQS at 0 if  $p = 1$ . Then there is an LQS homeomorphism  $F: \mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^n$  extending  $f$  and  $g$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of 4.1; only resort to 5.2.  $\square$

**5.5. Extension from dimension  $n - 1$  to  $n + k$**

We consider only the case  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}_+^{n-1, p-1}$ ; cf. 4.5 for the case  $X_0 = \mathbf{R}^{n-1, p-1}$ . However, for notational reasons we change  $X_0$ . Thus, define

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n,p} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n,p} \mid x_{n-p+1} \geq 0\}$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq n$  as then  $(\mathbf{R}^{n+k}, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n+k, p+k}) = (\mathbf{R}^n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n, p}) \times \mathbf{R}^k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Now suppose that  $f$  is an LQS self-homeomorphism of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n-1, p-1})$  with  $2 \leq p \leq n$ . Let  $F$  be the LQS self-homeomorphism of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n-1, p-1}) \times \mathbf{R}_+^1$  extending  $f$  which is given by 5.2. Then we can extend  $F$  by reflection to an LQS self-homeomorphism  $F_0$  of  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n, p})$ . Repeating this process, we can extend  $f$  to an LQS self-homeomorphism  $F_k$  of  $(\mathbf{R}^{n+k}, \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_+^{n+k, p+k})$  for each  $k \geq 0$ . Alternatively, as  $F|H^n$  can be chosen LIPH, we can also obtain  $F_k$  for each  $k \geq 1$  by rotating  $F$  around  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  as in 4.5; now  $F_k|(\mathbf{R}^{n+k} \setminus \mathbf{R}^{n-1})$  is LIPH and  $F_k$  thus LQS.

*Acknowledgement.* I wish to thank Juha Partanen for pointing out an error in the original proof of 3.1, now corrected by the special attention to Case J.

## References

1. AHLFORS, L. V., Extension of quasiconformal mappings from two to three dimensions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **51** (1964), 768–771.
2. ANDERSON, G. D., VAMANAMURTHY, M. K., and VUORINEN, M., Dimension-free quasiconformal distortion in  $n$ -space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **297** (1986), 687–706.
3. BEURLING, A., and AHLFORS, L., The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings, *Acta Math.* **96** (1956), 125–142.
4. CARLESON, L., The extension problem for quasiconformal mappings, in *Contributions to Analysis* (L. V. Ahlfors, I. Kra, B. Maskit and L. Nirenberg, eds.), pp. 39–47, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
5. DONALDSON, S. K., and SULLIVAN, D. P., Quasiconformal 4-manifolds, *Acta Math.* **163** (1989), 181–252.
6. GAULD, D. B., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Lipschitz and quasiconformal flattening of spheres and cells, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **4** (1978/1979), 371–382.
7. GEHRING, F. W., Dilatations of quasiconformal boundary correspondences, *Duke Math. J.* **39** (1972), 89–95.
8. GEHRING, F. W., and OSGOOD, B. G., Uniform domains and the quasi-hyperbolic metric, *J. Analyse Math.* **36** (1979), 50–74.
9. KELINGOS, J. A., Boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966), 235–249.
10. LUUKKAINEN, J., Topologically, quasiconformally or Lipschitz locally flat embeddings in codimension one, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **8** (1983), 107–138.
11. LUUKKAINEN, J., Respectful deformation of bi-Lipschitz and quasisymmetric embeddings, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **13** (1988), 137–177.
12. LUUKKAINEN, J., On the relative Schoenflies theorem, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **18** (1993), 31–44.
13. LUUKKAINEN, J., Lipschitz and quasiconformal approximation of homeomorphism pairs, in preparation.

14. LUUKKAINEN, J., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Elements of Lipschitz topology, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **3** (1977), 85–122.
15. RUSHING, T. B., *Topological Embeddings*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
16. SEDO, R. I., and SYČEV, A. V., On extension of quasi-conformal mappings to multi-dimensional spaces of greater dimension, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **198** (1971), 1278–1279 (Russian); English transl. in *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **12** (1971), 984–985.
17. SEIDMAN, S. B., and CHILDRESS, J. A., A continuous modulus of continuity, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 253–254.
18. TUKIA, P., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **5** (1980), 97–114.
19. TUKIA, P., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Lipschitz and quasiconformal approximation and extension, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **6** (1981), 303–342.
20. TUKIA, P., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Quasiconformal extension from dimension  $n$  to  $n+1$ , *Ann. of Math. (2)* **115** (1982), 331–348.
21. TUKIA, P., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Extension of embeddings close to isometries or similarities, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **9** (1984), 153–175.
22. TUKIA, P., and VÄISÄLÄ, J., Bilipschitz extensions of maps having quasiconformal extensions, *Math. Ann.* **269** (1984), 561–572.
23. VÄISÄLÄ, J., *Lectures on  $n$ -Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math. **229**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1971.
24. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Quasi-symmetric embeddings in Euclidean spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **264** (1981), 191–204.
25. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Quasimöbius maps, *J. Analyse Math.* **44** (1984/85), 218–234.
26. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Bilipschitz and quasisymmetric extension properties, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **11** (1986), 239–274.
27. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Quasiconformal concordance, *Monatsh. Math.* **107** (1989), 155–168.
28. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces I, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **15** (1990), 355–379.
29. VÄISÄLÄ, J., Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces II, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **16** (1991), 255–310.

Received August 7, 1991,  
in revised form April 4, 1992

Jouni Luukkainen  
University of Helsinki  
Department of Mathematics  
P.O. Box 4 (Hallituskatu 15)  
FIN-00014 University of Helsinki  
Finland  
email: luukkainen@cc.helsinki.fi