

An analytic algebra without analytic structure in the spectrum

H. Goldmann

Introduction

Let A be a uniform algebra. Denote by \hat{A} the algebra of all Gelfand transforms of A and by $M(A)$ the spectrum of A . A is called an analytic algebra provided any function $f \in \hat{A}$ which vanishes on a nonvoid open subset of $M(A)$ is identically zero. In [1] Wermer constructed a new "Stolzenberg example", i.e. he constructed a compact set $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ such that X — the polynomially convex hull of Y — contains no analytic disc and $X \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$. In the present note we want to show that $P(X)$ (X the set in Wermer's example) is an analytic algebra, where $P(X)$ denotes the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on X which can be approximated uniformly on X by polynomials.

This seems to be interesting for two reasons. Firstly we get more information about Wermer's example.

Secondly, we learn that the identity theorem is a phenomenon in the theory of uniform algebras which occurs not only in connection with analytic structure. To be more precise we give two definitions.

We say that A has analytic structure in a point $x \in M(A)$ if there is a neighbourhood U of x in $M(A)$, an analytic set V in a polycylinder $P \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and a homeomorphism $\Phi: V \rightarrow U$ such that $f \circ \Phi$ is an analytic function on V for all $f \in \hat{A}$.

A satisfies the weak identity theorem in $x \in M(A)$ if there is a (fixed) neighbourhood U of x in $M(A)$ such that $f|_U \equiv 0$ for each $f \in \hat{A}$ which vanishes in an arbitrary neighbourhood of x .

It follows from the theory of several complex variables that A satisfies the weak identity theorem in x if A has analytic structure in x . Since we can naturally identify $P(X)$ with $\widehat{P(X)}$ and X with $M(P(X))$, we have an example where the identity theorem does not depend on analytic structure in the spectrum.

The example

We shall show that $P(X) - X$ the polynomially convex compact set of Wermer's example (cf. [1]) — is an analytic algebra.

First we recall shortly the construction of X . We denote by a_1, a_2, \dots the points in the disc $\{|z| < 1/2\}$ with rational real and imaginary part. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by B_j the algebraic function

$$B_j(z) = (z - a_1)(z - a_2) \dots (z - a_{j-1}) \sqrt{z - a_j}$$

and set

$$g_j(z) = \sum_{k=1}^j c_k B_k(z)$$

where c_1, \dots, c_j are positive constants. Denote by $\Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ the subset of the Riemann surface of g_n which lies in $\{|x| \leq 1/2\}$, i.e.

$$\Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \{(z, w) : |z| \leq 1/2, w = w_j^{(n)}(z), j = 1, \dots, 2^n\},$$

where $w_j^{(n)}(z)$, $j = 1, \dots, 2^n$ are the values of g_n at z .

(1) For a sequence of positive constants (c_n) define $X_{(c_n)}$ to be the set of all points $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

i) $|z| \leq 1/2$, and

ii) there is a sequence $(z, w_n) \in \Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ with $w_n \rightarrow w$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) There exists a sequence of positive constants (c_n) with $c_1 = 1/10$ and $c_{j+1} \leq 1/10c_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $X = X_{(c_n)}$ is a polynomially convex compact set in \mathbb{C}^2 which contains no analytic disc. (cf. [1], Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and p. 132).

(3) X is the polynomially convex hull of the compact set

$$Y = X \cap \{|z| = 1/2\} \quad ([1], \text{Lemma 3}).$$

(4) In the following we set $\Sigma_n = \Sigma(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ and remark that g_{n+1} has at z the values

$$w_j^{(n)}(z) \pm c_{n+1} B_{n+1}(z), \quad j = 1, \dots, 2^n.$$

(5) As a direct consequence of (4) or (1) we see that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $X \cap \{z = a_i\}$ contains exactly 2^{i-1} points which we denote by $(a_i, b_1), \dots, (a_i, b_{2^{i-1}})$.

It is proved in [1] (cf. Assertion 4. (12) resp. Assertion 2.) that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(6) $|w_j^{(n)}(z) - w_l^{(n)}(z)| \geq 3/2c_n |B_n(z)| \quad (|z| \leq 1/2, j \neq l).$

(Note that this inequality remains true if $z = a_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$.) If $(z, w) \in X$ is an arbitrary point, there is $j \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$ such that

(7) $|w_j^{(n)}(z) - w| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(z)|$

(cf. proof of [1] Assertion 4. and note again that this inequality remains true if $z = a_i$).

Let $(z, w_j^{(n)}(z))$ be an arbitrary point of Σ_n . For $k > n$ set

$$w_k = w_j^{(n)}(z) + \sum_{l=n+1}^k c_l B_l(z),$$

where for each l $B_l(z)$ denotes one of the values of B_l at z , chosen arbitrarily. Then $(z, w_k) \in \Sigma_k$ and it follows that (z, w_k) converges to a point (z, w) — hence $(z, w) \in X$ by (1) — with

$$|w - w_j^{(n)}(z)| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(z)|.$$

To prove this use

$$|w_k - w_j^{(n)}(z)| \leq (\sum_{l=n+1}^k c_l) |B_n(z)|$$

and $c_{l+1} \leq (1/10) c_l$ by (2).

Thus, for each $(x, y) \in \Sigma_n$ there is $(x, w) \in X$ with

$$(8) \quad |w - y| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(x)|.$$

Denote by Π the projection

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (z, w) \rightarrow z.$$

It follows from (8) that

$$(9) \quad \Pi(X) = \{|z| \leq 1/2\}.$$

(10) Finally we claim that the set

$$L = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{(a_i, b_1), \dots, (a_i, b_{2^i-1})\}$$

is dense in X .

To prove this choose an arbitrary point $(x, y) \in X \setminus L$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By (1) we can choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there is a point $(x, w) \in \Sigma_n$ with $|w - y| < \varepsilon/4$ and $c_n < \varepsilon/4$. Choose a positive constant $\delta < \varepsilon$ such that $g_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_n B_n(z)$ has 2^n single-valued continuous branches defined on $\{|z - x| < \delta\}$. Denote by $w_1^{(n)}(z)$ the branch with $w_1^{(n)}(x) = w$. Choose $j > n$ such that $|a_j - x| < \delta$ and

$$|w_1^{(n)}(a_j) - w_1^{(n)}(x)| < \varepsilon/4.$$

By (8) there is a point $(a_j, b) \in X$ — this implies $(a_j, b) \in L$ by (5) — with

$$|w_1^{(n)}(a_j) - b| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(a_j)| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Hence $|b - y| < \varepsilon$.

(11) We recall the notion of a maximum modulus algebra (m.m.a.) from [3].

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and A be an algebra of continuous complex-valued functions defined on X , let Ω be a region in \mathbb{C} and f an element of A with $f(X) \subset \Omega$. We call (A, X) a m.m.a. over Ω with projection function f provided

- i) A separates the points on X and contains the constants.
- ii) For each compact set $K \subset \Omega$

$$f^{-1}(K) = \{x \in X: f(x) \in K\} \text{ is compact.}$$

iii) If N is a compact subset of X , $x \in N$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$|g(x)| \leq \max_{\partial N} |g|,$$

where ∂N denotes the boundary of N .

Claim 1. If $g \in P(X)$ vanishes in an open neighbourhood U of $(a_1, b_1) = (a_1, 0)$ then $g \equiv 0$.

We first note that there is an open neighbourhood W of a_1 in $\{|z| < 1/2\}$ such that $\Pi^{-1}(W) \cap X$ is contained in U . (Assume W does not exist. Then there is a sequence (d_n, y_n) in $X \setminus U$ with $d_n \rightarrow a_1$. By compactness a subsequence converges to a point $(a_1, y) \in X \setminus U$. This is a contradiction to

$$X \cap \{z = a_1\} = \{(a_1, b_1)\}.$$

Since X is polynomially convex we can identify the spectrum of $P(X)$ with X . By (2) the Shilov boundary of $P(X)$ is contained in Y , hence $(P(X)|_{X \setminus Y}, X \setminus Y)$ is a m.m.a. with projection function $\Pi|_{X \setminus Y}$ over $\{|z| < 1/2\}$ by Rossi's maximum modulus principle ([2], Theorem 9.3) and (9). (Clearly $\Pi|_{X \setminus Y} \in P(X)|_{X \setminus Y}$ and $\Pi^{-1}|_{X \setminus Y}(N)$ is a compact subset of $X \setminus Y$ for each compact subset $N \subset \{|z| < 1/2\}$.)

By Wermer's subharmonicity theorem ([3], Lemma 1)

$$\log Z_g: \{|z| < 1/2\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \quad z \rightarrow \log \max_{\Pi^{-1}|_{X \setminus Y}(z)} |g|$$

is a subharmonic function. Since $\log Z_g \equiv -\infty$, on W , g vanishes on $X \setminus Y$. Hence — by the continuity of g and (10) — $g \equiv 0$.

Now we proceed by induction. We assume that $g \equiv 0$ if $g \in P(X)$ vanishes in an open neighbourhood of one of the points $(a_n, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_{2^n-1})$.

Let $g \in P(X)$ vanish in an open neighbourhood U of one of the points $(a_{n+1}, b_1), \dots, (a_{n+1}, b_{2^n})$. For abbreviation denote this point by (a, b) . In the following we have to make slight modifications in the case $n > 1$.

Let γ be a simple closed curve in $\{|z| < 1/2\}$ such that a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} lie outside and a, a_n lie inside γ (if $n > 1$). Then $g_{n-1}(z)$ has 2^{n-1} single-valued continuous branches on the domain G which contains a, a_n and is bounded by γ . By (4) there is one branch of $g_{n-1}(z)$ on G , which we denote by $w_1^{(n-1)}(z)$, such that

$$b = w_1^{(n-1)}(a) + c_n B_n(a),$$

or

$$b = w_1^{(n-1)}(a) - c_n B_n(a).$$

Claim 2. g vanishes in an open neighbourhood of $(a_n, w_1^{(n-1)}(a_n))$, hence $g \equiv 0$ by the induction hypothesis (here set $w_1^{(0)}(z) = 0$ for $|z| \leq 1/2$).

(12) We first note that no point (z, w) of $X \cap \{|z| < 1/2\}$ is an isolated point of X , since otherwise the function

$$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \rightarrow \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (x, y) = (z, w) \\ 0 & \text{for } (x, y) \neq (z, w) \end{cases}$$

would be an element of $P(X)$ by Shilov's idempotent theorem ([2], Theorem 8.6), contradicting the fact that (z, w) is a point of the polynomially convex hull of Y (cf. (3)).

If $n=1$ choose an arbitrary point $(x_0, y_0) \in X \setminus Y$ with $x_0 \neq a_1$. If $n > 1$ set

$$W = \{(z, w) \in X: z \in G \text{ and } |w - w_1^{(n-1)}(z)| < 2/3c_{n-1}|B_{n-1}(z)|\}.$$

W is an open neighbourhood of $(a_n, w_1^{(n-1)}(a_n))$.

Let $(x_0, y_0) \in W$, $x_0 \neq a_n$, be an arbitrary point. We shall show in both cases that $g(x_0, y_0) = 0$. This proves claim 2 by (12).

Now let S be a simple closed curve in $\{|z| < 1/2\}$ (if $n=1$), resp. in G (if $n > 1$), such that a_n lies inside and x_0 and a_{n+1} lie on S . Set $S' = \{(z, w_1^{(n-1)}(z) \pm c_n B_n(z)): z \in S\}$. Here $\pm c_n B_n(z)$ denotes both values of $c_n B_n(z)$ at z , i.e. $(z, w_1^{(n-1)}(z) \pm c_n B_n(z))$ denotes two points. S' is a closed curve in Σ_n which contains (a, b) . Set

$S'' = \{(z, w) \in S': \text{There is an open neighbourhood } V \text{ of } (z, w) \text{ in } \Sigma_n \text{ such that}$

g vanishes in all points $(x, y) \in X$ with

i) there is $w' \in \mathbb{C}$ with $(x, w') \in V$ and

ii) $|y - w'| < 3/4c_n |B_n(x)|\}$.

We want to show that $S'' = S'$.

i) S'' is an open subset of S' by definition.

ii) $S'' \neq \emptyset$.

Let (d_k, y_k) be a sequence of points in Σ_n converging to (a, b) and assume there is a sequence of points (d_k, y'_k) in X such that $g(d_k, y'_k) \neq 0$ and

$$|y'_k - y_k| < 4/5c_n |B_n(d_k)|.$$

By compactness and since g vanishes on U by induction hypothesis a subsequence of (d_k, y'_k) converges to a point $(a, y) \in X \setminus U$ with

$$|y - b| \cong 4/5c_n |B_n(a)|.$$

But this implies that $y = b$ by (5) and (6), a contradiction to $(a, y) \in X \setminus U$.

iii) S'' is a closed subset of S' .

Let (x_1, y_1) be a boundary point of S'' in S' . Choose $\delta > 0$ (in particular $\delta < 1/2(1/2 - |x_1|)$) such that $g_n(z)$ has 2^n single-valued branches on $\{|z - x_1| < \delta\}$. Denote by $w_1^{(n)}(z)$ the branch with $w_1^{(n)}(x_1) = y_1$.

Set

$$W' = \{(z, w) \in X : |z - x_1| < \delta \text{ and } |w - w_1^{(n)}(z)| < 2/3c_n |B_n(z)|\}.$$

W' is an open set in X and

$$\{(z, w_1^{(n)}(z)) : |z - x_1| < \delta\}$$

is a neighbourhood of (x_1, y_1) in Σ_n .

It follows from (8) that

$$\Pi(W') = \{|z - x_1| < \delta\}$$

and from (6) and (7) that

$$\partial W' \subset \{|z - x_1| = \delta\}$$

hence $\Pi^{-1}|_{W'}(L)$ is a compact subset of W' for each compact subset $L \subset \{|z - x_1| < \delta\}$. Let $(x_2, w_1^{(n)}(x_2))$ be a point of S'' with $|x_2 - x_1| < \delta$. Choose a neighbourhood V of $(x_2, w_1^{(n)}(x_2))$ in $\{(z, w_1^{(n)}(z)) : |z - x_1| < \delta\}$ according to the definition of S'' . $\Pi(V)$ is an open subset of $\{|z - x_1| < \delta\}$ and $g(z, w) = 0$ for all points $(z, w) \in W'$ with $\Pi(z) \in \Pi(V)$ by the definition of S'' and W' .

Now we can apply Wermer's subharmonicity theorem as in the proof of claim 1 to $(P(X)|_{W'}, W')$, $\{|z - x_1| < \delta\}$, $\Pi|_{W'}$ and g to show that $g|_{W'} \equiv 0$, hence $(x_1, y_1) \in S''$ by (6) and (7).

If $n=1$ we have

$$|y_0 - w_i^{(1)}(x_0)| \leq 1/2c_1 |B_1(x_0)| \text{ for } i = 1 \text{ or } i = 2$$

by (7), hence $g(x_0, y_0) = 0$ by the definition of S'' (recall that $x_0 \in S$).

If $n > 1$

$$|y_0 - w_j^{(n-1)}(x_0)| > 3/4c_{n-1} |B_{n-1}(x_0)| \text{ for } j > 1$$

by (6), (7) and the definition of W . Hence

$$|y_0 - w_j^{(n-1)}(x_0) \pm c_n B_n(x_0)| > 3/4c_{n-1} |B_{n-1}(x_0)| - c_n |B_n(x_0)| > 6c_n |B_n(x_0)| \text{ by (2).}$$

It follows again from (7) and (4) that

$$|y_0 - w_1^{(n-1)}(x_0) + c_n B_n(x_0)| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(x_0)|$$

or

$$|y_0 - w_1^{(n-1)}(x_0) - c_n B_n(x_0)| \leq 1/2c_n |B_n(x_0)|$$

and $g(x_0, y_0) = 0$ by the definition of S'' .

If $g \in P(X)$ vanishes in an arbitrary open set $U \subset X$ then g must vanish in a neighbourhood of a point (a_n, b_i) for suitable $n, i \in \mathbb{N}$ by (10), hence $g \equiv 0$.

Remark 1. Originally I used in the proof a lemma of Glicksberg (cf. [2] Lemma 10.4) instead of Wermer's subharmonicity theorem. The use of this theorem was pointed out to me by Tom Ransford and Bernard Aupetit.

Remark 2. Let $X \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ be a compact set and denote by \hat{X} the polynomially convex hull of X . There is no general principle which implies that $P(\hat{X})$ satisfies the local identity theorem in a point $x \in \hat{X} \setminus X$. Take for instance

$$X = [0, 1] \times \partial E \subset \mathbf{C}^2$$

then

$$\hat{X} = [0, 1] \times \bar{E}.$$

(\bar{E} denotes the closed unit disc, $[0, 1]$ the closed unit interval.) Let $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times E$. Choose for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ a continuous function f_n on $[0, 1]$ such that $f_n(x') = 0$ if $|x' - x| \leq 1/n$ and $f_n(x') \neq 0$ if $|x' - x| > 1/n$. Then

$$g_n: \hat{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, \quad (z, w) \rightarrow f_n(z)$$

defines an element of $P(\hat{X})$ by the Stone—Weierstraß theorem for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $P(\hat{X})$ does not satisfy the local identity theorem in (x, y) .

References

1. WERMER, J., Polynomially convex hulls and analyticity, *Ark. Mat.* **20** (1982), 129—135.
2. WERMER, J., *Banach algebras and several complex variables*, 2nd edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 35, Springer-Verlag (1976).
3. WERMER, J., Potential theory and function algebras, *Texas Tech. U. Math. Series* **14** (1981), 113—125.

Received Sept. 4, 1987

Helmut Goldmann
 Mathematisches Institut
 der Universität Bayreuth
 Postfach 10 12 51
 D—8580 Bayreuth, Germany