

# A problem on the union of Helson sets

E. GALANIS

Institut Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, Sweden

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and let  $\hat{G}$  be its dual group.

*Definition 1.* A compact subset  $E \subset G$  is called *Kronecker* if for every continuous function  $f$  on  $E$  of modulus identically one ( $|f(x)| \equiv 1, \forall x \in E$ ) and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\chi \in \hat{G}$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in E} |f(x) - \chi(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad (\text{cf. [1] ch. 5, § 1}).$$

We shall denote by  $M(G)$  the set of all bounded complex valued Radon measures on  $G$  and by  $M(E)$  the elements of  $M(G)$  with support in a compact subset  $E$  of  $G$ .

We shall denote by  $C(E)$  the set of all continuous complex valued functions on  $E$ .

*Definition 2.* A compact subset  $E$  of  $G$  is called a *Helson  $\alpha$ -set* ( $H_\alpha$ -set) if there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty = \sup_{\chi \in \hat{G}} |\hat{\mu}(\chi)| \geq \alpha \|\mu\|$$

for every  $\mu \in M(E)$ , (observe that then  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ).

If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $G$  we shall write  $\text{Gp}(K)$  for the group generated by  $K$  in  $G$ .

In this paper we shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K$  be a totally disconnected Kronecker subset of  $G$  and  $D$  a countable compact  $H_\alpha$ -subset of  $G$  such that*

$$\text{Gp}(K) \cap \text{Gp}(D) = \{0\}.$$

*Then  $K \cup D$  is an  $H_\alpha$ -set.*

*Remarks.* Varopoulos [3] has proved that if  $K$  is any totally disconnected compact  $H_1$ -subset of  $G$  and  $D$  is any  $H_\alpha$ -subset of  $G$  then  $K \cup D$  is  $H_{\beta(\alpha)}$  with  $\beta(\alpha) > 0$ .

The interest of our theorem lies in the fact that in this special case we have  $\beta(\alpha) = \alpha$ .

However we must point out that the conclusion of our theorem fails if we replace the condition «Kronecker» by « $H_1$ » for the set  $K$ . To see this observe that the set  $\{(x, 0), (-x, 0), (0, -y), (0, y)\} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  ( $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ) is not an  $H_1$ -set of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  despite the fact that it is the union of two  $H_1$ -sets

$$E_1 = \{(x, 0), (-x, 0)\}, \quad E_2 = \{(0, -y), (0, y)\}$$

that satisfy  $\text{Gp}(E_1) \cap \text{Gp}(E_2) = \{0\}$ .

We shall prove first:

LEMMA. Let  $K$  and  $D$  be as in the theorem with  $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r\}$  a finite set. Then for every  $\chi \in \hat{G}$  there exists  $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \chi_n \in \hat{G}$  such that

$$\chi_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ uniformly on } K \text{ and } \chi_n(x_j) \rightarrow \chi(x_j)$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be the set of points  $t \in \mathbf{T}^r$  for which there exists a sequence  $\{\chi_n \in \hat{G}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\chi_n|_K \rightarrow 1$  uniformly, and  $(\chi_n(x_1), \chi_n(x_2), \dots, \chi_n(x_r)) \rightarrow t$  in  $\mathbf{T}^r$ .

Let us also denote by  $H = \overline{\{\chi(x_1), \chi(x_2), \dots, \chi(x_r)\}}$ . We observe that both  $L$  and  $H$  are closed subgroups of  $\mathbf{T}^r$  and that  $L \subset H$ . We shall prove that  $L = H$ .

Towards that let us suppose by contradiction that  $L \neq H$ . There exists then a character  $\theta \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}^r$  of  $\mathbf{T}^r$  such that

$$\theta(L) = 1 \text{ and } \theta(H) \neq 1. \tag{1}$$

Since  $\theta \in \hat{\mathbf{T}}^r$  and  $\theta(H) \neq 1$ , there exist  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\theta(\chi(x_1), \chi(x_2), \dots, \chi(x_r)) = \chi(n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_r x_r) = \chi(y) \forall \chi \in \hat{G}$  where  $y = n_1x_1 + n_2x_2 + \dots + n_r x_r \neq 0$ .

Let now  $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of characters such that the sequence of points  $\{(\chi_n(x_1), \dots, \chi_n(x_r)) \in \mathbf{T}^r\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges towards a point of  $L$ , (1) implies then that we have:

$$\theta(\lim_n (\chi_n(x_1), \dots, \chi_n(x_r))) = \lim_n \theta(\chi_n(x_1), \dots, \chi_n(x_r)) = \lim_n \chi_n(y) = 1.$$

But this implies that if  $\{\psi_n \in \hat{G}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of characters such that

$$\psi_n|_K \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \text{ uniformly on } K \text{ then } \psi_n(y) \rightarrow 1$$

and from that using Corollary 1 of [2] we deduce the required result that  $y \in \text{Gp}(K)$ .

*Proof of the theorem.*

*Case 1. D is finite.*

Let  $\mu \in M(K \cup D)$ ; we can write  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  where  $\mu_1 \in M(K)$  and  $\mu_2 \in M(D)$ . Then

$$\|\hat{\mu}_1\|_\infty = \|\mu_1\| \text{ and } \|\hat{\mu}_2\|_\infty \geq \alpha\|\mu_2\|.$$

We first observe that there exists  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \hat{G}$  such that

$$e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_2(\chi_2) \geq 0, \quad e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_2(\chi_2) \geq \alpha\|\mu_2\| - \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

$$\text{Re } e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_1(\chi_1) \geq \|\mu_1\| - \varepsilon. \tag{3}$$

Indeed choose  $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi_2 \in \hat{G}$  such that (2) holds. Let us show that we can find  $\chi_1 \in \hat{G}$  satisfying (3).

We can choose  $\psi \in C(K)$ ,  $|\psi| = 1$  such that

$$e^{i\varphi} \int \psi d\mu_1 \geq \|\mu_1\| - \varepsilon/2$$

and then since  $K$  is Kronecker we can approximate  $\psi$  uniformly by  $\chi_1 \in \hat{G}$  as close as we like. This shows that  $\chi_1$  can be chosen to satisfy (3).

Now from the lemma we can find a sequence  $\psi_n \in \hat{G}$  such that  $\psi_n \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $K$  and

$$\psi_n|_D \rightarrow \chi_1^{-1}\chi_2|_D.$$

We have then

$$\text{Re } e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_1(\chi_1\psi_n) \rightarrow \text{Re } e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_1(\chi_1)$$

and

$$\text{Re } e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_2(\chi_1\psi_n) \rightarrow \text{Re } e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}_2(\chi_2).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty &\geq \sup_n |\hat{\mu}(\chi_1\psi_n)| \geq \sup_n \text{Re } \{e^{i\varphi}\hat{\mu}(\chi_1\psi_n)\} \geq \|\mu_1\| + \alpha\|\mu_2\| - 2\varepsilon \\ &\geq \alpha(\|\mu_1\| + \|\mu_2\|) - 2\varepsilon \geq \alpha\|\mu\| - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary the conclusion of the theorem follows.

*Case 2. D is countable.*

Let  $\mu \in M(K \cup D)$  we can write

$$\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3, \quad \mu_1 \in M(K), \quad \mu_2, \mu_3 \in M(D)$$

with the support of  $\mu_2$  lying in a finite subset of  $D$  and  $\|\mu_3\| \leq \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ).

Now by case 1

$$\varepsilon + \|\hat{\mu}\|_{\infty} \geq \|\widehat{\mu_1 + \mu_2}\|_{\infty} \geq \alpha\|\mu_1 + \mu_2\| \geq \alpha(\|\mu\| - \varepsilon) \geq \alpha\|\mu\| - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\|\hat{\mu}\|_{\infty} \geq \alpha\|\mu\| - 2\varepsilon.$$

And since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary this completes the proof of the theorem.

It is my pleasure to express my gratitude to Dr. N. Th. Varopoulos for his advice and criticisms and the Mittag-Leffler institute for its stimulating hospitality.

### References

1. RUDIN, W., *Fourier analysis on groups*. Interscience, No 12, 1962.
2. VAROPOULOS, N. TH., Sur les ensembles de Kronecker. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 268 (1969), 954–957.
3. —»— Groups of continuous functions in harmonic analysis. *Acta Math.* 125 (1970), 109–154.

*Received May, 1970*

E. Galanis  
 Department of Pure Mathematics  
 16, Mill Lane  
 Cambridge  
 England