

BEST PROXIMITY POINTS OF CYCLIC φ -CONTRACTIONS IN ORDERED METRIC SPACES

MAJID DERAFAHPOUR — SHAHRAM REZAPOUR — NASEER SHAHZAD

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall give some results about best proximity points of cyclic φ -contractions in ordered metric spaces. These results generalize some known results.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. The well-known Banach contraction theorem assures us a unique fixed point of a contraction $T: X \rightarrow X$. As a generalization of the Banach contraction principle, W. A. Kirk et al. proved the following fixed point result in 2003 ([5]).

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . Suppose that $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a map satisfying $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then, T has a unique fixed point in $A \cap B$.*

Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . We say that a map $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is cyclic whenever $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. The map T is called a cyclic contraction whenever T is a cyclic map and there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)d(A, B)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H04, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Best proximity point, cyclic φ -contraction, orbitally continuous, ordered metric space.

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ ([5]). If $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing map, then we say that the map T is a cyclic φ -contraction map whenever T is a cyclic map and

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ ([1]). Also, $x \in A \cup B$ is called a best proximity point if $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$. Note that, a best proximity point x is a fixed point of T whenever $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it generalizes the notion of fixed point in case when $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Recently, J. Anuradha and P. Veeramani provided the notion of proximal pointwise contraction maps ([2]). They gave a result about best proximity points of proximal pointwise contraction maps whenever (A, B) is a nonempty weakly compact convex pair in a Banach space.

In 2005, G. Petruşel proved some results about periodic points of cyclic contraction maps ([6]). Then, A. A. Eldered and P. Veeramani proved some results about best proximity points of cyclic contraction maps in 2006 ([3]). They raised a question about the existence of a best proximity point for a cyclic contraction map in a reflexive Banach space. In 2009, M. A. Al-Thagafi and N. Shahzad gave a positive answer to the question ([1]).

In this paper, we shall give some results about best proximity points of cyclic φ -contractions in ordered metric spaces. Note that a mapping on an ordered (cone) metric space can be a contraction but it is not a contraction in classical sense ([4]).

Let X be a nonempty set and T a selfmap on X . We denote the set of all nonempty subsets of X by 2^X and the set of all invariant nonempty subsets of X by $I(T)$, that is

$$I(T) = \{Y \in 2^X : T(Y) \subseteq Y\}.$$

For each pair of sets X and Y and selfmaps $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $S: Y \rightarrow Y$, we define the selfmap $T \times S: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ by $T \times S(x, y) = (Tx, Sy)$. If (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set, then we define

$$X_{\leq} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \leq y \text{ or } y \leq x\}.$$

Let (X, d, \leq) be an ordered metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a selfmap on X . For each nonempty subset C of X and $x^* \in X$, we define

$$E_{T,C}(x^*) = \left\{ x \in C : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{2n}x = x^* \right\}.$$

The space X is called regular whenever every bounded monotone sequence in X is convergent. We say that a selfmap $T: X \rightarrow X$ is orbitally continuous whenever for each $x \in X$ and sequence $\{n(i)\}_{i \geq 1}$ with $T^{n(i)}x \rightarrow a$ for some $a \in X$, we have $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$. Here, $T^{m+1} = T(T^m)$.

2. Main results

Now, we are ready to state and prove our results.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let (X, d, \leq) be an ordered metric space, $A, B \in 2^X$ and T a decreasing selfmap on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in A$ such that $x_0 \leq T^2x_0 \leq Tx_0$ and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $x \leq y$, where $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing map. If $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ and $d_n = d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $d_n \rightarrow d(A, B)$.

PROOF. First note that we have

$$x_0 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{2n} \leq x_{2n+1} \leq \dots \leq x_3 \leq x_1$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we obtain

$$0 \leq d_{n+1} \leq d_n - \varphi(d_n) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, the sequence $\{d_n\}$ is decreasing and bounded from below. If $d_{n_0} = 0$ for some n_0 , then $d_n \rightarrow d(A, B) = 0$. Suppose that $d_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $d_n \rightarrow t_0$ for some $t_0 \geq d(A, B)$. Since

$$\varphi(d(A, B)) \leq \varphi(d_n) \leq d_n - d_{n+1} + \varphi(d(A, B)),$$

we have $\varphi(d_n) \rightarrow \varphi(d(A, B))$. This implies that $\varphi(t_0) = \varphi(d(A, B))$. So, $t_0 = d(A, B)$ because φ is strictly increasing. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let (X, d, \leq) be a regular ordered metric space, $B \in 2^X$, A a closed nonempty subset of X and T a decreasing selfmap on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$ and $T(B) \subseteq A$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in A$ such that $x_0 \leq T^2x_0 \leq Tx_0$ and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $x \leq y$, where $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing map. If T is orbitally continuous, then there exists $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$.

PROOF. Again, note that $x_0 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{2n} \leq x_1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since X is regular and A is closed, there exists $x \in A$ such that $x_{2n} \rightarrow x$. Also, note that

$$d(A, B) \leq d(x_{2n}, Tx) = d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx) \leq d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. If T is orbitally continuous, then $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $d(x, Tx) = d(A, B)$ because $d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) \rightarrow d(A, B)$ by Theorem 2.1. \square

We note that T is not a cyclic φ -contraction in [1, Example 3]. To see this, let $x = -1/2$ and $y = 1/2$. Then $2/3 = d(Tx, Ty) > d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B)) = 1/2$. For improvement it is sufficient that we change the function φ by $\varphi(t) = t^2/(2(1+t))$. The following is another example for a cyclic φ -contraction.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Consider the Euclidian ordered metric space $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual norm. Suppose that $A = [-1, 0]$, $B = [0, 1]$ and $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is defined by $Tx = -x/3$ for all $x \in A \cup B$. If $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\varphi(t) = t/2$, then φ is strictly increasing and T is a cyclic φ -contraction map.

The following example shows that Theorem 2.2 may be applied in situations where [1, Theorem 8] does not work.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Consider the regular ordered metric space $X = L^1([0, 1])$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ and the order $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(t) \leq g(t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$. Suppose that $A = \{f \in X : -1 \leq f \leq 0\}$, $B = \{g \in X : 0 \leq g \leq 1\}$ and $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is defined by $Tf = -f/3$ for all $f \in A \cup B$. If $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by $\varphi(t) = t/2$, then φ is strictly increasing and T is a decreasing cyclic φ -contraction map. Note that A is closed and convex, T is orbitally continuous and $T0 = 0$. But, X is not a reflexive Banach space.

THEOREM 2.5. Let (X, d, \leq) be an ordered metric space, $A, B \in 2^X$ and T a selfmap on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) \subseteq B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and $((A \times B) \cup (B \times A)) \cap X_{\leq} \in I(T \times T)$. Suppose that there exists $x_0 \in A$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in X_{\leq}$ and

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$, where $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing map. If $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ and $d_n = d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, then

$$d_n \rightarrow d(A, B).$$

PROOF. First note that we have

$$d(T^{2n+1}x_0, T^{2n}x_0) \leq d(T^{2n}x_0, T^{2n-1}x_0) - \varphi(d(T^{2n}x_0, T^{2n-1}x_0)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, we obtain

$$0 \leq d_{n+1} \leq d_n - \varphi(d_n) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, the sequence $\{d_n\}$ is decreasing and bounded from below. If $d_{n_0} = 0$ for some n_0 , then $d_n \rightarrow d(A, B) = 0$. Suppose that $d_n > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $d_n \rightarrow t_0$ for some $t_0 \geq d(A, B)$. Since

$$\varphi(d(A, B)) \leq \varphi(d_n) \leq d_n - d_{n+1} + \varphi(d(A, B)),$$

we have $\varphi(d_n) \rightarrow \varphi(d(A, B))$. This implies that $\varphi(t_0) = \varphi(d(A, B))$. So, $t_0 = d(A, B)$ because φ is strictly increasing. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let (X, d, \leq) be an ordered metric space, $A, B \in 2^X$ and T a selfmap on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) = B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and $((A \times B) \cup (B \times A)) \cap X_{\leq} \in I(T \times T)$. Suppose that for each $x, y \in A$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $(x, z), (y, z) \in X_{\leq}$. Also, suppose that there exist $x_0, x^* \in A$ such that $x_0 \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$, $(x_0, Tx_0) \in X_{\leq}$ and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$, where $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing map. Also, suppose that $y \in A$, $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$ and $x \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$ imply that $y \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Then, $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and the following statement holds:

$$E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B \quad \text{and} \quad d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B) \Leftrightarrow T \text{ is orbitally continuous.}$$

PROOF. Let $x \in A$. If $(x_0, x) \in X_{\leq}$, then $x \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. If $(x_0, x) \notin X_{\leq}$, then there exists $z \in A$ such that $(x_0, z) \in X_{\leq}$ and $(x, z) \in X_{\leq}$. Hence, $x \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Thus, $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$.

Now, suppose that T is orbitally continuous and $y \in B$. Choose $x' \in A$ such that $Tx' = y$. Since $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$, $T^{2n}x' \rightarrow x^*$ and so $T^{2n+1}x' \rightarrow Tx^*$. Hence, we have $T^{2n}y \rightarrow Tx^*$. Thus, $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$. If $d(x^*, Tx^*) \neq d(A, B)$, then $\{d(T^{2n+1}x_0, T^{2n}x_0)\}$ is a decreasing sequence because $(x_0, Tx_0) \in X_{\leq}$. By Theorem 2.5, $d(T^{2n+1}x_0, T^{2n}x_0) \downarrow d(A, B)$. Choose a natural number n such that

$$d(A, B) \leq d(T^{2n+1}x_0, T^{2n}x_0) < d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Put $x = T^{2n}x_0$ and $y = T^{2n+1}x_0$. Since $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$, $(Tx, Ty) \in X_{\leq}$ and so $\{d(T^{2n}x, T^{2n}y)\}$ is a decreasing sequence and $d(T^{2n}x, T^{2n}y) \downarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)$. Hence, $d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq d(T^{2n+1}x_0, T^{2n}x_0) < d(x^*, Tx^*)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$.

Now, suppose that $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$, $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$, $x \in A \cup B$ and $T^{n(i)}x \rightarrow a$ for some $a \in A \cup B$. We shall show that $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$. Put $A' = A \cap \{T^{n(i)}x\}$ and $B' = B \cap \{T^{n(i)}x\}$.

Case 1. Let $d(A, B) = 0$. First suppose that $A' = \{T^{n_1(i)}x\}$ and $B' = \{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ are subsequences of $\{T^{n(i)}x\}$. Since $\{T^{n_1(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{2n}x\}$, $T^{n_1(i)}x \rightarrow x^*$. Also, we have $T^{n_1(i)+1}x \rightarrow Tx^*$ because $Tx \in B$ and $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$. Since $\{T^{n_1(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{n(i)}x\}$ and $T^{n(i)}x \rightarrow a$, $T^{n_1(i)}x \rightarrow a$. Thus, $a = x^*$ and so $a = x^* = Ta = Tx^*$. Since $\{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{2n+1}x\} = \{T^{2n}(Tx)\}$, $Tx \in B$ and $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$, $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow Tx^*$. Also, we have $T^{n_2(i)+1}x \rightarrow x^*$ because $T^2x \in A$, $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and $\{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{2n+2}x\} = \{T^{2n}(T^2x)\}$. Hence, $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$.

Now, suppose that $B' = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ is finite. By using a similar argument, we have $T^{n_1(i)}x \rightarrow x^*$, $T^{n_1(i)+1}x \rightarrow Tx^*$ and $a = x^* = Ta = Tx^*$. Since $\{T^{n(i)+1}x\} = \{T^{n_1(i)+1}x\} \cup \{Tt_1, \dots, Tt_k\}$, $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$. If $A' = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ is finite, then $B' = \{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{n(i)}x\}$ and so $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow a$. By using a similar argument, we have $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow Tx^*$ and $T^{n_2(i)+1}x \rightarrow x^*$. Thus, $a = x^* = Ta = Tx^*$. Since $\{T^{n(i)+1}x\} = \{T^{n_2(i)+1}x\} \cup \{Ts_1, \dots, Ts_m\}$, we have $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$.

Case 2. Let $d(A, B) > 0$. We claim that A' or B' is finite.

In fact, if A' and B' are infinite, then similar to the above case we have $T^{n_1(i)}x \rightarrow x^*$ and $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow Tx^*$.

Since $\{T^{n_1(i)}x\}$ and $\{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ are subsequences of $\{T^{n(i)}x\}$ and $T^{n(i)}x \rightarrow a$, we obtain $a = x^* = Tx^*$. So, $d(A, B) = d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Now, suppose that $B' = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ is finite. By using a similar argument as in Case 1, we have $T^{n_1(i)}x \rightarrow x^*$, $T^{n_1(i)+1}x \rightarrow Tx^*$ and $a = x^*$. Since $\{T^{n(i)+1}x\} = \{T^{n_1(i)+1}x\} \cup \{Tt_1, \dots, Tt_k\}$, $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$.

If $A' = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ is finite, then $B' = \{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{n(i)}x\}$ and so $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow a$. By using a similar argument as in Case 1, we have $T^{n_2(i)}x \rightarrow Tx^*$. Thus, $a = Tx^*$. Also, we have $T^{n_2(i)+1}x \rightarrow x^*$ because $T^2x \in A$, $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and $\{T^{n_2(i)}x\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^{2n+2}x\} = \{T^{2n}(T^2x)\}$.

Now, we show that $Ta = x^*$. In fact, $(x^*, x^*) \in X_{\leq}$ and

$$d(x^*, T^2x^*) \leq d(T^{2n}x^*, x^*) + d(T^{2n}x^*, T^2x^*).$$

Hence, by using the assumptions we have $d(T^{2n}x^*, T^2x^*) \leq d(T^{2n-2}x^*, x^*)$. Thus $d(x^*, T^2x^*) \leq d(T^{2n}x^*, x^*) + d(T^{2n-2}x^*, x^*)$.

Since $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and $x^* \in A$, $T^{2n}x^* \rightarrow x^*$ and $T^{2n-2}x^* \rightarrow x^*$. Hence, $x^* = T^2x^*$. Since $a = Tx^*$, $Ta = x^*$. Thus, $T^{n_2(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$.

Since $\{T^{n(i)+1}x\} = \{T^{n_2(i)+1}x\} \cup \{Ts_1, \dots, Ts_m\}$, we have $T^{n(i)+1}x \rightarrow Ta$. \square

The following example shows that the assumption

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B))$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$, does not imply the following assumption:

$$y \in A, (x, y) \in X_{\leq}, x \in E_{T,A}(x^*) \Rightarrow y \in E_{T,A}(x^*).$$

EXAMPLE 2.7. Consider the subsets

$$A = \{x_1 = (6, 3), x_2 = (1, 3)\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{y_1 = (2, 0), y_2 = (0, 4)\}$$

of \mathbb{R}^2 via the following order:

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d.$$

Define $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ by $Tx_1 = y_2, Tx_2 = y_1, Ty_1 = x_2, Ty_2 = x_1$. Note that, $x_2 \leq x_1$ and $y_1 \leq x_1$ and other elements are not comparable. Also, we have $d(Tx_1, Tx_2) = d(x_2, y_2) = d(A, B) = \sqrt{2}$ and $d(x_1, y_1) = \sqrt{25}$. Consider the map $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(x) = x/2$. Then, we have

$$d(Tx_1, Ty_1) \leq d(x_1, y_1) - \varphi(d(x_1, y_1)) + \varphi(d(A, B)),$$

while $T^{2n}x_1 \rightarrow x_1$ and $T^{2n}x_2 \rightarrow x_2$.

The following example shows that the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 do not imply orbital continuity of T .

EXAMPLE 2.8. Define $S: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Sx = -x/3$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Put $a_0 = -1$ and define the sequences $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ by $b_n = Sa_{n-1}$ and $a_n = Sb_n$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now, define the sequences $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ as follows:

$$c_n = a_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n = a_{2n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0.$$

Now, consider the subsets

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(c_n, 0)\}_{n \geq 0} \cup \{(d_n, 0)\}_{n \geq 0} \cup \{(0, 0)\}, \\ B &= \{(b_{2n}, -1)\}_{n \geq 0} \cup \{(b_{2n+1}, -2)\}_{n \geq 1} \cup \{(0, -1)\} \end{aligned}$$

of \mathbb{R}^2 via the following order:

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d.$$

Define $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T(c_n, 0) &= (b_{2n}, -1), & T(d_n, 0) &= (b_{2n+1}, -2), \\ T(b_{2n}, -1) &= (d_{n+1}, 0), & T(b_{2n+1}, -2) &= (c_{n+1}, 0), \\ T(0, 0) &= (0, -1), & T(0, -1) &= (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

If we define $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\varphi(t) = t/2$, then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} T(A) &= B, \quad T(B) \subseteq A, \quad ((A \times B) \cup (B \times A)) \cap X_{\leq} \in I(T \times T), \\ d(Tx, Ty) &\leq d(x, y) - \varphi(d(x, y)) + \varphi(d(A, B)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ with $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$ and for each $x, y \in A$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $(x, z), (y, z) \in X_{\leq}$. If we put $x_0 = x^* = (0, 0)$, then

$$(x_0, Tx_0) = ((0, 0), (0, -1)) \in X_{\leq},$$

and $y \in A, (x, y) \in X_{\leq}$ and $x \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$ imply that $y \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Finally, note that $T^{2n}x \rightarrow (0, 0)$ for all $x \in A, T^{2n}x_0 \rightarrow x^*, E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$ and $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$ while $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{2n+1}(c_n, 0) = (0, -2) \neq Tx^* = (0, -1)$ for all $m \geq 1$. This implies that T is not orbitally continuous.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let (X, d, \leq) be an ordered metric space, $A, B \in 2^X$ and T a selfmap on $A \cup B$ such that $T(A) = B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$ and $((A \times B) \cup (B \times A)) \cap X_{\leq} \in I(T \times T)$. Suppose that for each $x, y \in A$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $(x, z), (y, z) \in X_{\leq}$. Also, suppose that there exist $x_0, x^* \in A$ such that $x_0 \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$ and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

Also, suppose that $y \in A$, $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$ and $x \in E_{T,A}(x^)$ imply that $y \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Then, $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and the following statement holds:*

$$E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B \text{ and } d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B) \Leftrightarrow T \text{ is orbitally continuous.}$$

PROOF. Similar as in the proof of Theorem 2.6 we can show that $E_{T,A}(x^*) = A$ and $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$ whenever T is orbitally continuous. If $d(x^*, Tx^*) \neq d(A, B)$, then there exists $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ such that

$$d(A, B) \leq d(x, y) < d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Note that $\{d(T^{2n}x, T^{2n}y)\}$ is a decreasing sequence and

$$d(T^{2n}x, T^{2n}y) \downarrow d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Hence, $d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq d(x, y) < d(x^*, Tx^*)$ which is a contradiction. Thus,

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B).$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6 we can show that T is orbitally continuous whenever $E_{T,B}(Tx^*) = B$ and $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$. \square

The following example shows that the assumption

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$, does not imply the following assumption in Theorem 2.9:

$$y \in A, (x, y) \in X_{\leq}, x \in E_{T,A}(x^*) \Rightarrow y \in E_{T,A}(x^*).$$

EXAMPLE 2.10. Consider the subsets

$$A = \{x_1 = (0, 0), x_2 = (0, 1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{y_1 = (1, 0), y_2 = (1, 1)\}$$

of \mathbb{R}^2 via the following order:

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d.$$

Define $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ by $Tx_1 = y_1$, $Tx_2 = y_2$, $Ty_1 = x_1$, $Ty_2 = x_2$. Note that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B,$$

$T^{2n}x_1 \rightarrow x_1$ and $T^{2n}x_2 \rightarrow x_2$. Thus, the following assumption does not hold:

$$y \in A, (x, y) \in X_{\leq}, x \in E_{T,A}(x^*) \Rightarrow y \in E_{T,A}(x^*).$$

The following example shows that the following assumption is necessary in Theorem 2.9:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B.$$

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, 1]$ and $B = [2, 3]$. Define $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ by $Tx = x + 2$ for all $x \in A$ and $Tx = \frac{x-2}{2}$ for all $x \in B$. Note that, T is orbitally continuous and we have $T^{2n}x_0 = x_0/2^n$ and $T^{2n+1}x_0 = x_0/2^n + 2$ for all $x_0 \in A$ and $n \geq 0$. Thus, $T^{2n}x_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $T^{2n+1}x_0 \rightarrow 2$ for all $x_0 \in A$. But, note that the assumption doesn't hold because $d(T1, T2) \not\leq d(1, 2)$.

The following example shows that the assumption

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B$$

can not be replaced by the following assumption in Theorem 2.9:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and } y \in B \text{ with } (x, y) \in X_{\leq}.$$

EXAMPLE 2.12. Consider the subsets

$$A = \{x_1 = (1, 2), x_2 = (2, 2)\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{y_1 = (3, 1), y_2 = (4, 1)\}$$

of \mathbb{R}^2 via the following order:

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \Leftrightarrow a \leq c \text{ and } b \leq d.$$

Define $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ by $Tx_1 = y_1$, $Tx_2 = y_2$, $Ty_1 = Ty_2 = x_2$. Note that, $x_1 \leq x_2$ and $y_1 \leq y_2$ and other elements are not comparable. It is easy to check that $T(A) = B$, $T(B) \subseteq A$, $((A \times B) \cup (B \times A)) \cap X_{\leq} \in I(T \times T)$ and for each $x, y \in A$ there exists $z \in A$ such that $(x, z), (y, z) \in X_{\leq}$. Also, there exist $x_0, x^* \in A$ such that $x_0 \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Finally, $y \in A$, $(x, y) \in X_{\leq}$ and $x \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$ imply that $y \in E_{T,A}(x^*)$. Note that $T^{2n}x_i \rightarrow x_2$, $T^{2n+1}x_i \rightarrow y_2$, $T^{2n}y_i \rightarrow y_2$ and $T^{2n+1}y_i \rightarrow x_2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, T is orbitally continuous while $d(x_2, Tx_2) \neq d(A, B)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and for several valuable comments. The research of the third author was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, under project no. 3-021/430.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. AL-THAGAFI AND N. SHAHZAD, *Convergence and existence for best proximity points*, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), 3665–3671.
- [2] J. ANURADHA AND P. VEERAMANI, *Proximal pointwise contraction*, *Topology Appl.* **156** (2009), 2942–2948.
- [3] A. A. ELDERED AND P. VEERAMANI, *Existence and convergence of best proximity points*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323** (2006), 1001–1006.
- [4] Z. KADELBURG, M. PAVLOVIĆ AND S. RADENOVIĆ, *Common fixed point theorems for ordered contractions and quasi-contractions in ordered cone metric spaces*, *Computer Math. Appl.* **59** (2010), 3148–3159.
- [5] W. A. KIRK, P. S. SRINAVASAN AND P. VEERAMANI, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, *Fixed Point Theory* **4** (2003), 79–89.
- [6] G. PETRUŞEL, *Cyclic representations and periodic points*, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai. Math.* **50** (2005), 107–112.

Manuscript received December 17, 2009

MAJID DERAFSHPUR AND SHAHRAM REZAPOUR
Department of Mathematics
Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem
Azarshahr, Tabriz, IRAN

NASEER SHAHZAD
Department of Mathematics
King AbdulAziz University
P.O. Box 80203
Jeddah 21859, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: nshahzad@kau.edu.sa