

Zbigniew Grande\*, Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University, plac  
Weyssenhoffa 11, 85-072, Bydgoszcz, Poland  
e-mail: grande@wsp.bydgoszcz.pl

## ON CONTINUITY AND GENERALIZED CONTINUITY WITH RESPECT TO TWO TOPOLOGIES

### Abstract

Let  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  be topologies in  $X$  and let  $\tau = \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ . Some conditions concerning the topologies  $\tau$ ,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  and describing the relations between the  $\tau$ -continuity (quasicontinuity) [cliquishness] and the  $\tau_i$ -continuity (quasicontinuity) [cliquishness],  $i = 1, 2$ , of functions defined on  $X$  are considered.

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the set of all reals and let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space.

A function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is called  $\tau$ -quasicontinuous ( $\tau$ -cliquish) at a point  $x \in X$  ([3] if for every positive real  $\eta$  and for every set  $U \in \tau$  containing  $x$  there is a nonempty set  $V \in \tau$  such that  $V \subset U$  and  $|f(v) - f(x)| < \eta$  for all points  $v \in V$  ( $\text{osc}_V f < \eta$ , where  $\text{osc}_V f$  denotes the diameter of the set  $f(V)$ ).

We will consider two topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  in  $X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2.$$

### 1 $\mathcal{T}$ - and $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuity

Obviously, if a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  then it is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . The converse implication need not be valid.

**Example 1.** Let

$$U = \bigcup_n \left[ \frac{1}{4n+1}, \frac{1}{4n} \right] \cup \{0\}$$

---

Key Words: bitopological continuity, quasicontinuity, cliquishness

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 54C08, 54C30, 54C05

Received by the editors September 17, 1997

\*Supported by Bydgoszcz Pedagogical University grant 1997

and

$$V = \bigcup_n \left[ \frac{1}{4n+3}, \frac{1}{4n+2} \right] \cup \{0\}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_1$  the topology of subsets of  $X = \mathcal{R}$  generated by the family

$$\{(-r, r) \cap U; r > 0\}$$

and by  $\mathcal{T}_2$  the topology of subsets of  $X$  generated by the family

$$\{(-r, r) \cap V : r > 0\}.$$

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$I_n = \left[ \frac{1}{4n+2}, \frac{1}{4n+1} \right]$$

and let  $f_n : I_n \mapsto [0, 1]$ , be a continuous function (with respect to the Euclidean topology  $\mathcal{T}_e$ ) such that

$$f_n(I_n) = [0, 1] \quad \wedge \quad f_n\left(\frac{1}{4n+2}\right) = f_n\left(\frac{1}{4n+1}\right) = 0.$$

Put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_n(x) & \text{for } x \in I_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \bigcup_n I_n \end{cases}$$

and observe that the function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at 0 for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\mathcal{R}, \emptyset\},$$

the function  $f$  is not even  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at 0.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  satisfy to the following condition*

$$(1) \quad \forall_{x \in A \in \mathcal{T}_1} \forall_{x \in B \in \mathcal{T}_2} \exists_{x \in E \in \mathcal{T}} E \subset A \cup B.$$

*If a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at the point  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  then  $f$  is also  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous at  $x$ .*

PROOF. Fix a positive real  $\eta$ . From  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuity of the function  $f$  at  $x$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , follows that there are sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$  such that  $x \in A_i$  and for each point  $t \in A_i$  the inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

holds. By the condition (1) there is a set  $E \in \mathcal{T}$  with

$$x \in E \subset A_1 \cup A_2.$$

Evidently,

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

for all points  $t \in E$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

We will show the necessity of condition (1) for Theorem 1 to hold.

**Remark 1.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. If there are sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$  and

$$\forall_{x \in A \in \mathcal{T}} A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2) \neq \emptyset,$$

then there is a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and which is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous at  $x$ .

PROOF. Put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in A_1 \cup A_2 \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2) \end{cases}$$

and observe that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since

$$\forall_{\emptyset \neq A \in \mathcal{T}} \text{osc}_{A \cup \{x\}} f = 1,$$

the function  $f$  is not even  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2 Quasicontinuity

**Example 2.** Let  $X = \mathcal{R}$  and let the sets  $U, V$  be the same as these from Example 1. Denote by  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  the topologies generated by family

$$\{U \cap (0, r); r > 0\}$$

and respectively by the family

$$\{V \cap (0, r); r > 0\}.$$

Let the function  $f$  be the same as that from Example 1. Then the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  satisfy to the condition (1) from Theorem 1, the function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at the point 0 (even everywhere) for  $i = 1, 2$ , but  $f$  is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at 0 (it is not even  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at 0).

So, Theorem 1 is not true for quasicontinuity.

For the case of quasicontinuity we recall the following notion:

A set  $A \subset X$  is said  $\tau$ -semiopen if

$$A \subset \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(A)),$$

where  $\text{cl}_\tau$  and  $\text{int}_\tau$  respectively denote the operations of the closure and the interior with respect to the topology  $\tau$ .

Let  $S(\tau)$  be the family of all  $\tau$ -semiopen sets  $A \subset X$ .

It is well known ([3]) that a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\tau$ -quasicontinuous at a point  $x$  if and only if for every positive real  $\eta$  there is a  $\tau$ -semiopen set  $A \subset X$  containing  $x$  such that for each point  $t \in A$  the inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

is true.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  satisfy to the following condition*

$$(2) \quad \forall_{x \in A \in S(\mathcal{T}_1)} \forall_{x \in B \in S(\mathcal{T}_2)} \exists_{\emptyset \neq E \in \mathcal{T}} E \subset A \cup B.$$

*If a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $f$  is also  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$ .*

PROOF. Fix a positive real  $\eta$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  containing  $x$ . By the  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuity of the function  $f$  at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , there are nonempty sets

$$A_i \in S(\mathcal{T}_i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

containing  $x$  and such that for all points  $t \in A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the inequality

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

holds. Observe that

$$A \cap A_i \in S(\mathcal{T}_i)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . By the condition (2) there is a nonempty  $\mathcal{T}$ -open set

$$B \subset A \cap (A_1 \cup A_2).$$

Evidently,

$$\forall_{t \in B} |f(t) - f(x)| < \eta.$$

So, the proof is completed. □

**Remark 2.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that there are nonempty sets  $A_i \in S(\mathcal{T}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , containing  $x$  and such that

$$\forall_{\emptyset \neq A \in \mathcal{T}} A \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then there is a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at the point  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and which is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at the point  $x$ .

PROOF. The construction of such a function is the same as the construction of the function  $f$  in the proof of Remark 1.  $\square$

Observe that the case of quasicontinuity is different from the case of continuity. If a function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -continuous at a point  $x$  then it is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . For quasicontinuity the situation is different.

**Example 3.** Let  $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_e^+$  and respectively  $\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{T}_e^-$  be the topologies generated by the families

$$\{[x, x + r); x \in \mathcal{R}, r > 0\}$$

and

$$\{(x - r, x]; x \in \mathcal{R}, r > 0\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{T}_e$$

and the function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at 0 and is not  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -quasicontinuous at 0. Analogously, the function

$$g(t) = f(-t), \quad t \in \mathcal{R},$$

is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at 0 and is not  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -quasicontinuous at 0.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (2') every function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$  is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (2'') every  $\mathcal{T}$ -semiopen set containing  $x$  contains also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -semiopen sets  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , containing  $x$ .

PROOF.  $(2') \Rightarrow (2'')$ . If  $(2'')$  does not hold then there are a  $\mathcal{T}$ -semiopen set  $U$  containing  $x$  and an index  $i \leq 2$  such that for every  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -semiopen set  $V$  containing  $x$  the relation

$$V \setminus U \neq \emptyset$$

holds. Let

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \in U \\ 1 & \text{for } t \in X \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$ , but it is not  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$ . So, we obtain a contradiction with  $(2')$ .

$(2'') \Rightarrow (2')$ . Suppose that a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$ . Fix a positive real  $\eta$  and an index  $i \leq 2$ . From the  $\mathcal{T}$ -quasicontinuity of  $f$  at  $x$  follows the existence of an  $\mathcal{T}$ -semiopen set  $U$  containing  $x$  and such that

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

for each point  $t \in U$ . By  $(2'')$  there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -semiopen set  $V \subset U$  containing  $x$ . Since

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \eta$$

for all points  $t \in V$ , the function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3 Cliquishness

**Remark 3.** Assume all hypotheses of Remark 2. Moreover suppose that for each nonempty set  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  there are sets  $B, D$  which are dense (with respect to the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ) in  $A$  and such that

$$B \cap D = \emptyset \quad \wedge \quad B \cup D = A.$$

Then there is a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at the point  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and which is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ .

PROOF. If

$$E = \text{int}_{\mathcal{T}}(X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) \neq \emptyset$$

then let

$$E = B \cup D,$$

where the sets  $B, D$  are disjoint and dense (with respect to the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ ) in  $E$ . If  $E = \emptyset$  we put

$$B = X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2).$$

Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in B \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in X \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , but  $f$  is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that all hypotheses of Remark 3 are essential.

**Example 4.** Let

$$X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\emptyset, \{0, 1\}, \{3\}, \{0, 1, 3\}, X\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, \{0, 2\}, \{3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, X\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \{3\}, X\}.$$

Let  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  be a function which is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -quasicontinuous at the point 0 for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then the function  $f$  is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -continuous at 0 for  $i = 1, 2$  and

$$f(0) = f(1) = f(2).$$

If  $0 \in A \in \mathcal{T}$ , then

$$A = X \wedge \{3\} \subset A$$

and  $\text{osc}_{\{3\}} f = 0$ . So  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at the point 0.

Moreover,

$$0 \in U = \{0, 1\} \in \mathcal{T}_1,$$

$$0 \in W = \{0, 2\} \in \mathcal{T}_2, \text{ and } \forall_{\emptyset \neq A \in \mathcal{T}} A \setminus (U \cup W) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that

- (3) there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  containing  $x$  and such that for all nonempty sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , contained in  $A$  there exists a nonempty set  $D \in \mathcal{T}$  contained in  $A_1 \cup A_2$  and for all nonempty disjoint sets  $B_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , there are an index  $i \leq 2$  and a nonempty set  $E \in \mathcal{T}$  with

$$E \subset B_1 \vee E \subset B_2.$$

If a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at the point  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $f$  is also  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ .

PROOF. Fix a positive real  $\eta$  and a set  $U$  with

$$x \in U \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Let a set  $A$  satisfies to the condition (3). The  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquishness of  $f$  at  $x$  implies the existence of a nonempty sets  $B_i \subset U \cap A$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with

$$\text{osc}_{B_i} f < \frac{\eta}{2} \quad \wedge \quad B_i \in \mathcal{T}_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Observe that

$$B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{osc}_{B_1 \cup B_2} f < \eta.$$

By (3) there is a nonempty set  $E \in \mathcal{T}$  such that:

$$B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists_{i \leq 2} E \subset B_i$$

or

$$B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow E \subset B_1 \cup B_2.$$

Since in both cases  $\text{osc}_E f < \eta$ , the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that the topologies  $\mathcal{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  are such that for each point  $t \neq x$  there are sets  $U_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ ,  $U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$  and  $U_3 \in \mathcal{T}$  for which  $U_1 \cap U_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $U_2 \cap U_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $x \in U_3$  and  $t \in U_1 \cap U_2$ . Moreover we assume that there is a countable base of neighborhoods of  $x$  for the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  and that for which set  $A \in \mathcal{T}$  containing  $x \in X$  there are nonempty sets  $A_i(A) \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that:

$$A_1(A) \cap A_2(A) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \forall_{\emptyset \neq B \in \mathcal{T}} B \setminus (A_1(A) \cup A_2(A)) \neq \emptyset,$$

$$A_1(A) \cap A_2(A) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \forall_{\emptyset \neq B \in \mathcal{T}} \forall_{i \leq 2} B \setminus A_i(A) \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$G = X \setminus \bigcup \{A_1(A) \cup A_2(A); x \in A \in \mathcal{T}\} \setminus \{x\} = H \cup K,$$

where  $H \cap K = \emptyset$  and  $H$ ,  $K$  are dense in  $G$  with respect to the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then there is a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at the point  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and which is not  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ .

PROOF. At the beginning we assume that  $\{x\}$  is not in  $\mathcal{T}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let

$$W_1, \dots, W_n, \dots$$

be an enumeration of all elements of some basis of neighborhoods of  $x$  in the topology  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let

$$A_i(W_1) \in \mathcal{T}_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$



be nonempty sets satisfying our hypothesis for  $A = W_1$ . Since in this case there are points  $t_i \in A_i(W_1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we can find nonempty sets  $V_{1,i} \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i \leq 2$ , and  $V_{1,3} \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $t_i \in V_{1,i}$ ,  $i \leq 2$ ,  $x \in V_{1,3}$ , and

$$V_{1,3} \cap (V_{1,1} \cup V_{1,2}) = \emptyset.$$

If  $V_{1,1} \cap V_{1,2} = \emptyset$  we put

$$f(t) = (-1)^i, \quad t \in V_{1,i}, \quad i \leq 2.$$

In the contrary case, where  $V_{1,1} \cap V_{1,2} \neq \emptyset$ , we put

$$f(t) = 1, \quad t \in V_{1,1} \cup V_{1,2}.$$

Analogously, for  $n > 1$  we find nonempty sets  $V_{n,i} \in \mathcal{T}_i$ ,  $i \leq 2$ , and  $V_{n,3} \in \mathcal{T}$  such that:

$$x \in V_{n,3} \subset X \setminus (V_{n,1} \cup V_{n,2}),$$

$$V_{n,1} \cup V_{n,2} \subset W_n \cap V_{1,3} \cap \dots \cap V_{n-1,3},$$

$$V_{n,1} \cap V_{n,2} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \forall_{\emptyset \neq B \in \mathcal{T}} B \setminus (V_{n,1} \cup V_{n,2}) \neq \emptyset,$$

and

$$V_{n,1} \cap V_{n,2} = \emptyset \Rightarrow \forall_{\emptyset \neq B \in \mathcal{T}} \forall_{i \leq 2} B \setminus V_{n,i} \neq \emptyset.$$

If  $V_{n,1} \cap V_{n,2} = \emptyset$  then we put

$$f(t) = (-1)^i n, \quad t \in V_{n,i}, \quad i \leq 2.$$

In the contrary case, where  $V_{n,1} \cap V_{n,2} \neq \emptyset$  we put

$$f(t) = n, \quad t \in V_{n,1} \cup V_{n,2}.$$

Moreover, let

$$f(x) = 0,$$

$$f(t) = 0, \quad t \in H, \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2}$$

at all other points of the space  $X$ .

Observe that the function  $f$  satisfies all requirements. Evidently,

$$\neg(\{x\} \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cap \mathcal{T}_2).$$

In the case, where  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{T}_i$  for  $i = 1$  or  $i = 2$ , the construction of such a function  $f$  is simpler, since starting from some index  $n$  we find only one set  $V_{k,i_0}$  instead a pair  $(V_{k,1}, V_{k,2})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that*

(a) *all sets  $A$  with*

$$x \in A \in \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$$

*contains some sets  $B \in \mathcal{T}$  with  $x \in B$ .*

*Then every function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$  is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

PROOF. Fix an index  $i \leq 2$ , a positive real  $\eta$  and a set  $U \in \mathcal{T}_i$  with  $x \in U$ . By our hypothesis there is a set  $B \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$x \in B \subset U.$$

Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ , there is a nonempty set  $V \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$V \subset B \quad \wedge \quad \text{osc}_V f < \eta.$$

So,

$$V \subset U \quad \wedge \quad V \in \mathcal{T}_i \quad \wedge \quad \text{osc}_V f < \eta,$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

The next example shows that hypothesis (a) from the last theorem is not necessary.

**Example 5.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_1 &= \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{2\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, X\},$$

every function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$  is constant and the condition (a) is not satisfied.

The proof of the next theorem gives a characterization of the cliquishness.

**Remark 5.** *Let  $\tau$  be a topology of subsets of  $X$  and let  $x \in X$  be a point. A function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\tau$ -cliquish at  $x$  if and only if for each positive real  $\eta$  there are  $\tau$ -open sets  $A_s$ ,  $s \in S$ , where  $S$  is a set of indexes, such that  $x$  belongs to the  $\tau$ -closure  $\text{cl}_\tau(\bigcup_{s \in S} A_s)$  of the set  $\bigcup_{s \in S} A_s$  and for every  $s \in S$  the inequality  $\text{osc}_{A_s} f < \eta$  is true.*

PROOF. Fix a positive real  $\eta$ . If  $f$  is  $\tau$ -cliquish at  $x$  then for every set  $U \in \tau$  containing  $x$  there is a nonempty  $\tau$ -open set  $B(U) \subset U$  with  $\text{osc}_{B(U)} f < \eta$ . If

$$\{U_s; s \in S\}$$

is a directed family of all  $\tau$ -open sets contained the point  $x$ , then the family

$$\{A_s = B(U_s); s \in S\}$$

satisfies all the required conditions.

The proof of the second implication is evident.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  satisfy the following condition*

(3') *for each  $\mathcal{T}$ -open set  $A$  such that  $x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}}(A)$  and for each index  $i \leq 2$  the point  $x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(A)$ .*

*If a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$  then it is also  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at  $x$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

PROOF. Fix a positive integer  $i \leq 2$  and a positive real  $\eta$ . Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ , by the last Remark there are nonempty sets  $A_s \in \mathcal{T}$ , where  $S$  is a set of indexes, such that

$$x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\bigcup_{s \in S} A_s\right)$$

and for every  $s \in S$  the inequality  $\text{osc}_{A_s} f < \eta$  is true.

From (3') follows the existence of a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -open set  $D \subset \bigcup_{s \in S} A_s$  with

$$x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(D).$$

For  $s \in S$  the sets

$$D_s = D \cap A_s \in \mathcal{T}_i.$$

Let

$$S' = \{s \in S; D_s \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Since for  $s \in S'$  the inequality

$$\text{osc}_{D_s} f < \eta$$

is true and since

$$x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}\left(\bigcup_{s \in S'} D_s\right),$$

by the last Remark  $f$  is  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at  $x$ . So, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** Let  $x \in X$  be a point. Suppose that there are an index  $i \leq 2$ , a set  $V \in \mathcal{T}_i$ , disjoint sets  $Y, Z \subset V$  and a set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  such that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset \quad \wedge \quad x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}}(U) \cap V,$$

and

$$x \in Y \quad \wedge \quad \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(Y) = \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(Z) = \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}_i}(V).$$

Then there is a function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$  and which is not  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at  $x$ .

PROOF. The function

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in Z \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in X \setminus Z \end{cases}$$

is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at  $x$ , but it is not  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -cliquish at  $x$ . So, the proof is completed.  $\square$

The following example shows the importance of all hypothesis of the last remark.

**Example 6.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{0, 1, 2\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_1 &= \{\emptyset, X, \{1\}\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{T}_2 = 2^X. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1$  and for

$$x = 0 \quad \wedge \quad A = \{1\} \quad \wedge \quad B = \{0\}$$

we have

$$A \in \mathcal{T} \quad \wedge \quad B \in \mathcal{T}_2 \quad \wedge \quad A \cap B = \emptyset$$

and

$$x \in B \quad \wedge \quad x \in \text{cl}_{\mathcal{T}}(A).$$

Moreover, each function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -continuous at the point 0, because  $\{0\} \in \mathcal{T}_2$ . So, every function  $f : X \mapsto \mathcal{R}$  which is  $\mathcal{T}$ -cliquish at 0 is also  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -cliquish at 0.

## References

- [1] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Warsaw PWN, 1976.
- [2] J. L. Kelly, *General Topology*, New York 1955.
- [3] T. Neubrunn, *Quasi-continuity*, Real Anal. Exch. **14** (1988-89), 259–306.