# THE $\delta^{2}$-PROCESS AND RELATED TOPICS 

Richard R. Tucker

This paper deals with (1) acceleration of the convergence of a convergent complex series, (2) rapidity of convergence, and (3) sufficient criteria for the divergence of a complex series. Various results of Samuel Lubkin, Imanuel Marx and J. P. King which concern or are closely related to Aitkin's $\delta^{2}$-process are generalized. Some typical results are as follows:
(1) If a complex series and its $\dot{j}^{2}$-transform converge, their sums are equal.
(2) Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}, \Sigma b_{n}$ are complex series such that $h_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $A, B$ exists such that $\left|a_{n}\right| a_{n-1} \mid \leqq A<1 / 2$, $\left|b_{n}\right| b_{n-1} \mid \leqq B<1$ for all sufficiently large $n$. Then $\Sigma b_{n}$ converges more rapidly than $\Sigma a_{n}$.
(3) If the sequence $\left\{1 / a_{n}-1 / a_{n-1}\right\}$ is bounded, then the complex series $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges.

Given a convergent complex series $\Sigma a_{n}=S$, quantities $T_{n}=$ $\left(a_{n}+a_{n+1}+\cdots\right) / a_{n-1}$ are used to obtain results on accelerating the convergence of $\Sigma \alpha_{n}$ and on rapidity of convergence. The convergence of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is treated and corresponding necessary and sufficient conditions are established for the transform $\Sigma a_{\alpha n}=S$ to converge more rapidly that $\Sigma a_{n}$, where $a_{\alpha 0}=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{\alpha n}=a_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}-a_{n} \alpha_{n}$ for $n \geqq 1$, and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is any complex sequence. Divergence theorems are proven, of which Theorem 2.8 furnishes a generalization of corrected results of Marx [10] and King [7]. The appropriate corrections are indicated in Tucker [16]. These divergence theorems are used to prove that if $\Sigma a_{n}$ and its $\delta^{2}$-transform are convergent complex series, their sums are equal. This fact was first published by Lubkin [9] for real series. Theorem 2.9 gives a generalization of a theorem of Marx [10] and King [7], corrected statements of which are given in Tucker [16]. Some related theorems on rapidity of convergence are then proven. Before turning to the general analysis, we now present difinitions, notations and certain elementary facts relevant to acceleration.

Given a complex series $\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}$, we shall write $\Sigma a_{n}$ for $\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{n}, S_{n}=$ $\sum_{0}^{n} a_{k}$, and, if $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, $S=\Sigma a_{n}$. Similarly, if $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges, then $S^{\prime}=\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$. Given two convergent series $\Sigma a_{n}$ and $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$, the latter is said to converge more rapidly than the former if and only if $\left(S^{\prime}-S_{n}^{\prime}\right) /\left(S-S_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, " $M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ " will denote the class of all series $\Sigma b_{n}$ which converge more rapidly to $S$ than $\Sigma a_{n}$.

The concept of "acceleration" or "speed-up" can now be defined as the problem of finding a series $\Sigma b_{n}$ such that $\Sigma b_{n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$. We
will say that $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges with the same rapidity as $\Sigma a_{n}$ if and only if there are numbers $A$ and $B$ such $0<A<.\left|S^{\prime}-S_{n}^{\prime}\right| /\left|S-S_{n}\right|<. B$. The notation " $<$." means that $<$ holds for all sufficiently large $n$. If "*" denotes any relation, "*." will be used in the same manner, while "*:" means that * holds for infinitely many positive integers $n$.

Various methods, found in the literature, for obtaining a series $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ may be summarized as follows. A sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ is proposed, and then the partial sums $S_{n}^{\prime}$ are specified by the equation $S_{n}^{\prime}=S_{n}+b_{n+1}$ for $n \geqq 0$. It is immediate that $a_{0}^{\prime}=a_{0}+b_{1}$, and $a_{n}^{\prime}=$ $a_{n}+b_{n+1}-b_{n}$ for $n \geqq 1$.

It seems somewhat advantageous to set $b_{n}=a_{n} \alpha_{n}$ for $n \geqq 1$, and specify the "transform sequence" $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$. In doing so, we set $S_{\alpha n}=$ $S_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}$ for $n \geqq 0, a_{\alpha 0}=S_{\alpha 0}=a_{0}+a_{1} \alpha_{1}$, and $a_{\alpha n}=S_{\alpha n}-S_{\alpha(n-1)}=$ $\alpha_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}-a_{n} \alpha_{n}$ for $n \geqq 1$. If $\Sigma a_{\alpha n}$ converges, its sum will be denoted by $S_{\alpha}$.

Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges and $a_{n} \neq 0$ for $n \geqq 0$. Then with $\alpha_{n+1}=\left(S-S_{n}\right) / a_{n+1}, n \geqq 0$, we have $S_{\alpha n}=S_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}=S_{n}+$ $a_{n+1}\left(S-S_{n}\right) / a_{n+1}=S$ for $n \geqq 0$. Hence, if $M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ is nonvoid, this transform sequence is the most desirable solution to our problem of speed-up. In general we must satisfy ourselves with an approximation to this solution.

For each $n$ such that $a_{n-1} \neq 0$ we write $r_{n}=a_{n} / a_{n-1}$ and $r=\lim r_{n}$. Similarly, $r_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n}^{\prime} / \alpha_{n-1}^{\prime}$ and $r^{\prime}=\lim r_{n}^{\prime}$.

Aitken's $\delta^{2}$-process can be obtained by defining its transform sequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=1 /\left(1-r_{n}\right) \text { if } r_{n} \neq 1 \text { exists; } \delta_{n}=0 \text { otherwise. } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation in (1.1) will be adhered to throughout this paper. The transform sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}=1 /(1-r) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

being closely related to (1.1), is also considered in $\S 2$ of this paper and in § 3.

Among publications in which (1.1) is found are the following: Aitken [1, p. 301], Forsythe [3, p. 310], Hartree [4, p. 233], Householder [5, p. 117], Isakson [6, p. 443], Lubkin [9, p. 228], Marx [10], Pflanz [11, p. 27], Samuelson [12, p. 131], Schmidt [13, p. 376], Shanks [14, p. 3], Todd [15, pp. 5, 86, 115, 187, 197, 260], and Tucker [16]. We find (1.2) in Lubkin [9, p. 232] and Shanks [14, p. 39]. Todd [15, p. 5] states that the $\delta^{2}$-process dates back at least to Kummer [8].

Aitken's $\delta^{2}$-process can be formulated in various ways. In particular, assuming that division by zero is excluded, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\delta n}=S_{n}+a_{n+1} \delta_{n+1}=S_{n}+a_{n+1} /\left(1-r_{n+1}\right), n \geqq 0 . \\
& S_{\delta n}=\left(S_{n-1} S_{n+1}-S_{n}^{2}\right) /\left(S_{n-1}-2 S_{n}+S_{n+1}\right), n \geqq 1 . \\
& S_{\delta n}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta S_{n-1} & \Delta S_{n} \\
S_{n-1} & S_{n}
\end{array}\right| \div\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\Delta S_{n-1} & \Delta S_{n} \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right|, n \geqq 1 . \\
& S_{\delta n}=S_{n-1}-\left(\Delta S_{n-1}\right)^{2} / \Delta^{2} S_{n-1}, n \geqq 1 . \\
& S_{\delta n}=S_{n}-\left(\Delta S_{n-1} \Delta S_{n}\right) / \Delta^{2} S_{n-1}, n \geqq 1 . \\
& S_{\delta n}=S_{n+1}-\left(\Delta S_{n}\right)^{2} / \Delta^{2} S_{n-1}, n \geqq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning to the most desirable solution for speed-up $\alpha_{n}=$ $\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) / a_{n}, n \geqq 1$, we have $\alpha_{n}=\left(a_{n}+\left(S-S_{n}\right)\right) / a_{n}=1+\left(S-S_{n}\right) / a_{n}=$ $1+T_{n+1}$, if we set $T_{n+1}=\left(S-S_{n}\right) / a_{n}$ for $n \geqq 1$. Hence $1+T_{n+1}$, $n \geqq 1$, is the most desirable solution.

Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges and $n$ is any integer $\geqq 1$ such that $a_{n-1} \neq 0$. We then formally define $T_{n}=\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) / a_{n-1}$. Similarly, $T_{n}^{\prime}=\left(S^{\prime}-S_{n}^{\prime}\right) / a_{n}^{\prime}$. Some relations satisfied by the quantities $T_{n}$, assuming division by zero excluded, are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{n}=r_{n}\left(1+T_{n+1}\right) . \\
& \left(1-r_{n}\right)\left(1+T_{n+1}\right)=1+T_{n+1}-T_{n} \\
& {\left[\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right]\left(S-S_{n-1}\right)=1+T_{n+1}-T_{n}} \\
& T_{n+1}=r_{n} /\left(1-r_{n}\right)+\left(T_{n+1}-T_{n}\right) /\left(1-r_{n}\right) \\
& T_{n}=r_{n}+r_{n} r_{n+1}+\cdots+\left(r_{n} r_{n+1} \cdots r_{n+k}\right)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

In treating slowly convergent series $\Sigma a_{n}$, Bickley and Miller [2] saw fit to single out the quantities $M(n)$ which in our notation is $T_{n+1}$, but their considerations were directed along somewhat different lines from ours and were restricted to series with positive terms only, with the additional restriction that $\alpha_{n} / a_{n-1} \rightarrow 1$.
2. Acceleration, convergence or divergence, and the $\delta^{2}$-process. All series are assumed to be complex unless explicitly stated to the contrary.

Theorem 2.1. The conditions (1) $r_{n} \rightarrow 0$, (2) $T_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow 1$ are equivalent.

Proof. If $T_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $a_{n} \neq .0$ so that $r_{n}=. T_{n} /\left(1+T_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Conversely, assume that $r_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$. Then $\left|r_{n}\right| \leqq . \varepsilon$, so that $\left|T_{n}\right|=.\left|r_{n}+r_{n} r_{n+1}+\cdots\right| \leqq .\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|r_{n}\right|\left|r_{n+1}\right|+\cdots \leqq . \varepsilon /(1-\varepsilon)$ and thus $T_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

If $T_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $T_{n} / r_{n}=.1+T_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$. Conversely, if $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow 1$, then $T_{n+1}=. T_{n} / r_{n}-1 \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.2. If $T_{n} \rightarrow t$ for some complex number $t$, then:
(1) $r=t /(1+t),|r| \leqq 1$, and $r \neq 1$.
(2) $t=r /(1-r)$ and $-1 / 2 \leqq \operatorname{Re} t$.

If, in addition, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow \alpha_{0}$ for some complex number $\alpha_{0}$, then:
(3) $S_{\alpha}=S$.
(4) $\Sigma a_{\alpha n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ if and only if $\alpha_{0}=1 /(1-r)$.
(5) $\Sigma \alpha_{\alpha n}$ converges with the same rapidity as $\Sigma a_{n}$ if and only if $\alpha_{\nu} \neq 1 /(1-r)$.

Proof. Since $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges and $T_{n}=. r_{n}\left(1+T_{n+1}\right), T_{n} \neq .0$ and $T_{n} \neq .-1$. Consequently $t \neq-1$, since otherwise $\left|r_{n}\right|=.\left|T_{n} /\left(1+T_{n+1}\right)\right| \rightarrow$ $+\infty$, which is impossible since $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $r_{n}=. T_{n} /\left(1+T_{n+1}\right) \rightarrow$ $t /(1+t)$, i.e., $r=t /(1+t) \neq 1$. Clearly, $|r| \leqq 1$ so that (1) holds. From (1), $t=r /(1-r)$ and $|t| /|(-1)-t|=|t /(1+t)|=|r| \leqq 1$. Thus, $|t| \leqq|(-1)-t|$, which is equivalent to $-1 / 2 \leqq \operatorname{Re} t$, so that (2) holds. (3) holds since $S_{\alpha n}=S_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} \rightarrow S+0 \alpha_{0}=S$. Since $T_{n} \neq .0$, we have $\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) \neq 0$. If $t=0$, then $r_{n} / T_{n} \rightarrow 1=1-r$, according to (1), (2) and Theorem 2.1. If $t \neq 0$, then $r_{n} / T_{n} \rightarrow r / t=$ (1-r) from (1) and (2). In either case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S-S_{\alpha n}\right) /\left(S-S_{n}\right)=.\left[S-\left(S_{n}+a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1}\right)\right] /\left(S-S_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=.1-a_{n+1} \alpha_{n+1} /\left(S-S_{n}\right)=.1-\alpha_{n+1} r_{n+1} / T_{n+1} \rightarrow 1-\alpha_{0}(1-r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, (4) and (5) hold, since $1-\alpha_{0}(1-r)=0$ is equivalent to $\alpha_{0}=$ $1 /(1-r)$.

Corollary 2.3. If $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges, then $\Sigma a_{\delta n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $T_{n} \rightarrow t$. From (1) of Theorem 2.2, $r_{n} \rightarrow r$ where $r \neq 1$. Thus $\delta_{n}=.1 /\left(1-r_{n}\right) \rightarrow 1 /(1-r)$, so that $\Sigma a_{\delta n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ according to (4) of Theorem 2.2.

We inquire if the convergence of $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ is also necessary for $\Sigma a_{\delta n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$. In Tucker [17], it is proven that $\Sigma a_{\delta n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ if and only if $T_{n+1}-T_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

THEOREM 2.4. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ and $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ are convergent real series, then $S=S_{\delta}$.

Proof. Assume that $S \neq S_{\delta}$. Since $a_{n} \delta_{n}=. S_{\delta(n-1)}-S_{(n-1)} \rightarrow$ $S_{\delta}-S \neq 0, \delta_{n} \neq .0$ and $a_{n} /\left(1-r_{n}\right)=. a_{n} \delta_{n} \rightarrow S_{\delta}-S \neq 0$. Thus $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $1-r_{n} \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $r_{n} \rightarrow r=1$ so that $0<. r_{n}$ and $0<. T_{n}$. From $1+T_{n+1}-T_{n}=.\left[\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right]\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we have $1+T_{n+1}-$ $T_{n}<.1 / 2$ and $0<. T_{n+1}<. T_{n}$, which implies that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges.

From (1) of Theorem 2.2, $r \neq 1$, which contradicts $r=1$. Thus our assumption is false, and $S=S_{\delta}$.

Lubkin [9, Th. 1] gave the first published proof of Theorem 2.4 for real series. The proof of this theorem for the complex case is given in Theorem 2.6, after the following preliminary theorem is first proved.

Theorem 2.5. If $\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n} \rightarrow L \neq 0$, then $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges.

Proof. Assume that $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges. We may suppose that $L=1-i$; since otherwise $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges where $a_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n} L /(1-i)$ and $\left(1-r_{n}^{\prime}\right) / a_{n}^{\prime}=$. $\left(1-r_{n}\right) /\left[a_{n} L /(1-i)\right] \rightarrow 1-i$. Accordingly, $\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}=.\left[\left(\operatorname{Re} a_{n}\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\operatorname{Re} a_{n-1}\right) /\left|a_{n-1}\right|^{2}\right]+i\left[\left(\operatorname{Im} a_{n-1}\right) /\left|a_{n-1}\right|^{2}-\left(\operatorname{Im} a_{n}\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right] \rightarrow 1-i$. Consequently, $\left(\operatorname{Re} a_{n-1}\right) /\left|a_{n-1}\right|^{2}<.\left(\operatorname{Re} a_{n}\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \quad$ so that $\left(\operatorname{Re} a_{n}\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow L_{1}$ for some $L_{1} \leqq+\infty$. If $L_{1}<+\infty$, then $\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right] \rightarrow L_{1}-L_{1}=0$, which is impossible since $\operatorname{Re}\left[\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right] \rightarrow 1$. Thus $L_{1}=+\infty$ and $0<. \operatorname{Re} a_{n} . \quad$ Similarly, $\left(\operatorname{Im} a_{n-1}\right) /\left|a_{n-1}\right|^{2}<.\left(\operatorname{Im} a_{n}\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}$ and $0<. \operatorname{Im} a_{n}$. Hence setting $a_{n}=\left|a_{n}\right| e^{i \theta_{n}}$ we may chose $\theta_{n}$ such that $0<. \theta_{n}<. \pi / 2$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{n}= . a_{n} / a_{n-1}+a_{n+1} / a_{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n+k} / a_{n-1}+\cdots \\
&=.\left|a_{n} / a_{n-1}\right| e^{i\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{n-1}\right)}+\left|a_{n+1} / a_{n-1}\right| e^{i\left(\theta_{n+1}-\theta_{n-1}\right)}+\cdots \\
&=.\left[\left|a_{n}\right| \cos \left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{n-1}\right)+\cdots+\left|a_{n+k}\right| \cos \left(\theta_{n+k}-\theta_{n-1}\right)\right. \\
&+\cdots] /\left|a_{n-1}\right|+\left(\operatorname{Im} T_{n}\right) i
\end{aligned}
$$

and $0<. \theta_{n}<. \pi / 2$, we have $0<. \operatorname{Re} T_{n}$. Since $1+T_{n+1}-T_{n}=$. $\left[\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right]\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we have $1+\operatorname{Re} T_{n+1}-\operatorname{Re} T_{n}=. \operatorname{Re}(1+$ $\left.T_{n+1}-T_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus Re $T_{n+1}-\operatorname{Re} T_{n}<-1 / 2$ for $n \geqq N$, where $N$ is some positive integer. It follows that
$\operatorname{Re} T_{N+n}=. \operatorname{Re} T_{N}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Re}\left[T_{N+i}-T_{N+i-1}\right]<. \operatorname{Re} T_{N}-\frac{n}{2} \rightarrow-\infty$
as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\operatorname{Re} T_{n}<.0$ which contradicts $0<$. $\operatorname{Re} T_{n}$. Consequently our initial assumption cannot hold, i.e., $\Sigma a_{n}$ must diverge.

THEOREM 2.6. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ and $\Sigma a_{\delta_{n}}$ both converge, then $S=S_{\delta}$.

Proof. Assume that $S \neq S_{\delta}$. Then $a_{n} \delta_{n}=. S_{\delta(n-1)}-S_{n-1} \rightarrow S_{\delta}-$ $S \neq 0$ so that $\delta_{n} \neq .0$ and $a_{n} /\left(1-r_{n}\right)=. a_{n} \delta_{n} \rightarrow S_{\delta}-S \neq 0$. Thus $\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n} \rightarrow 1 /\left(S_{\delta}-S\right) \neq 0$, which implies, in view of Theorem 2.5, that $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges, a contradiction. Therefore our assumption cannot hold, i.e., $S=S_{\delta}$.

After establishing the following lemma, we turn to a generalization of Theorem 2.5, using a different approach in its proof.

Lemma 2.7. Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}$ is a convergent series, $a_{n} \neq 0$, and $c_{n}=c+S_{n}-S$ for $n \geqq 0$ where $c$ is some complex number. Then,

$$
1+c\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)+\frac{c_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}-\frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}}=\cdot \frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+c\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)+\frac{c_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}-\frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}}=.1+c\left(\frac{1}{a_{n}}-\frac{1}{a_{n-1}}\right)+\frac{c+S_{n-1}-S}{a_{n-1}} \\
& -\frac{c+S_{n}-S}{a_{n}}=.1+\frac{S-S_{n}}{a_{n}}-\frac{S-S_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}=\cdot \frac{S-S_{n-1}}{a_{n}}-\frac{S-S_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}} \\
& =\cdot\left(\frac{1}{a_{n}}-\frac{1}{a_{n-1}}\right)\left(S-S_{n-1}\right)=\cdot\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.8. If $\left\{\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, then the complex series $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges.

Proof. Assume that $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges. Since $\left\{\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\left|\varepsilon\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right|<.1 / 4$. Let $c$ be any complex number satisfying $|c|=\varepsilon$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{Re} c\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}<.1 / 4 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $c_{n}=c+S_{n}-S$, for $n \geqq 0$, we have $c_{n} \rightarrow c$. From Lemma 2.7,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[1+c\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)+\frac{c_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}-\frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}}\right]=. \operatorname{Re} \frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\left(S-S_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\operatorname{Re} c\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)+\operatorname{Re} \frac{c_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}-\operatorname{Re} \frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}}<.1 / 4 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1) and (2),

$$
1 / 2+\operatorname{Re} \frac{c_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}}<. \operatorname{Re} \frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}}-\operatorname{Re} c\left(\frac{1-r_{n}}{a_{n}}\right)-1 / 4<. \operatorname{Re} \frac{c_{n}}{a_{n}},
$$

from which it is easily seen that $\operatorname{Re} c_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\operatorname{Re} c_{n} / a_{n}>0$. Since $\operatorname{Re} c_{n} / a_{n}>.0$ and $c_{n} \rightarrow c$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n} \notin .\{z: \arg c+3 \pi / 4 \leqq \arg z \leqq \arg c+5 \pi / 4\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing arg $c$ successively in (3) as $0, \pi / 2, \pi$, and $3 \pi / 2$, we conclude that $a_{n}$ is not in the complex plane for large $n$, which is absurd. Hence, our initial assumption cannot hold, i.e., $\Sigma a_{n}$ must diverge.

A proof of Theorem 2.8 can be found in the proof of a lemma by Marx [10], under the additional hypothesis that $a_{n}$ is real and $a_{n-1}>a_{n}>0$ for all $n$. His lemma is shown to contain a minor error in Tucker [16] where appropriate changes are indicated and similar comments are made on a paper by King [7].

For the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ where $a_{n}=1 /(\log n)$ for $n \geqq 2$, we have $\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ so that, from Theorem 2.8, $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges. Similarly, with $a_{n}=1 /(n+1)$ for $n \geqq 0$, we have $1 / a_{n}-1 / a_{n-1}=(n+1)-n=1$ for $n \geqq 1$, and thus $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges. For the divergent series $\Sigma a_{n}$ where $a_{n}=1 /(n \log n)$ for $n \geqq 2$, we have $1 / a_{n}-1 / a_{n-1} \rightarrow \infty$, so that Theorem 2.8 is not applicable. As a final application, Theorem 2.8 manifests the divergence of the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ where $a_{n}=e^{i \phi_{n}} /(n+1), \phi_{n}=1+1 / 2+$ $\cdots+1 /(n+1)$, since it is easily seen that $\left\{1 / a_{n}-1 / a_{n-1}\right\}$ is bounded.

The following theorem furnishes a generalization of Theorem $1(i)$, given in Tucker [16].

THEOREM 2.9. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ is a convergent series, then some subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta n}\right\}$ converges to $S$.

Proof. Suppose $\Sigma \alpha_{n}$ is convergent and assume that no subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta n}\right\}$ converges to $S$. Since $S_{\delta n}-S_{n}=a_{n+1} \delta_{n+1}$, our assumption holds if and only if no subsequence of $\left\{a_{n} \delta_{n}\right\}$ converges to zero, and this is equivalent to $\left|a_{n} \delta_{n}\right|>. B$ for some $B>0$. Thus $\left|\left(1-r_{n}\right) / a_{n}\right|=.1 /\left|a_{n} \delta_{n}\right|<.1 / B$. From Theorem 2.8, $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges, a contradiction. Therefore our assumption cannot be true, i.e., some subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta_{n}}\right\}$ converges to $S$.

Theorem 2.9 clearly yields a second proof of Theorem 2.6.
Example 2.10. It is not necessarily true that if $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ will also converge. In particular, Lubkin [9, p. 240] considers the series $\Sigma a_{n}=1+1 / 2-1 / 3-1 / 4+1 / 5+1 / 6-1 / 7-1 / 8+1 / 9+\cdots$ which converges while $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ diverges. However, according to Theorem 2.9 some subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta_{n}}\right\}$ must converge to $S$. Here, of course, this is evident since $r_{n}<: 0$ and $S_{\delta n}=. S_{n}+a_{n+1} /\left(1-r_{n+1}\right)$. This particular series shows that the $\delta^{2}$-process is not regular.

Example 2.11. Lubkin [9, p. 240] also shows that the series $\Sigma a_{n}=1+1 /(1+1)+1 / 2^{2}+2^{2} /\left(2^{4}+1\right)+1 / 3^{2}+3^{2} /\left(3^{4}+1\right)+\cdots$ converges while $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ diverges. Again, according to Theorem 2.9, some
subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta n}\right\}$ must converge to $S$. This is not so obvious by inspection as was the case in Example 2.10.

Theorem 2.12. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ is a series such that $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ is properly divergent, i.e., $\left|S_{\delta n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Sigma a_{n}$ diverges.

Proof. Assume that $\Sigma a_{n}$ is convergent. From Theorem 2.9 some subsequence of $\left\{S_{\delta n}\right\}$ converges to $S$, so that $\left|S_{\delta n}\right| \nrightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\Sigma a_{\delta n}$ is not properly divergent.

## 3. Acceleration and rapidity of convergence.

Theorem 3.1. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converge is that $r_{n} \rightarrow r \neq 1$ and $T_{n+1}-T_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. The necessity follows from (1) of Theorem 2.2 and the fact that $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges implies that $T_{n+1}-T_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

For the sufficiency, $r \neq 1$ implies that $r_{n}\left(1-r_{n}\right) \neq 0$. Consequently, $T_{n+1}=. r_{n} /\left(1-r_{n}\right)+\left(T_{n+1}-T_{n}\right) /\left(1-r_{n}\right) \rightarrow r /(1-r)$.

Theorem 3.2. If $r_{n} \rightarrow r$ where $|r|<1$, then $T_{n} \rightarrow r /(1-r)$.
Proof. Since $|r|<1, r \neq 1$ and $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, so that $T_{n}$ exists for large $n$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\rho$ be any number such that $|r|<\rho<1$. There exists an integer $N$ such that for $n \geqq N$ and $m \geqq N$ we have $\left|r_{n}\right|<\rho$ and $\left|r_{m}-r_{n}\right|<\varepsilon(1-\rho)$. Thus, for each $n \geqq N$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T_{n+1}-T_{n}\right|=\left\{\left[r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right]+\left[r_{n+1} r_{n+2}-r_{n} r_{n+1}\right]+\cdots\right. \\
& \quad+\left[\left(r_{n+1} \cdots r_{n+k+1}\right)-\left(r_{n} \cdots r_{n+k}\right)\right]+\cdots \mid \\
& \quad \leqq\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right|+\left|r_{n+1}\right|\left|r_{n+2}-r_{n}\right|+\cdots \\
& \quad+\left|r_{n+1} \cdots r_{n+k}\right|\left|r_{n+k+1}-r_{n}\right|+\cdots \\
& \quad<\varepsilon(1-\rho)+\rho \varepsilon(1-\rho)+\cdots+\rho^{k} \varepsilon(1-\rho)+\cdots=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left|T_{n+1}-T_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $T_{n+1}-T_{n} \rightarrow 0$. From Theorem 3.1, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges. Consequently, $T_{n} \rightarrow r /(1-r)$ according to (2) of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $r_{n} \rightarrow r$ where $|r|<1$, and let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a complex sequence converging to some complex number $\alpha_{0}$. Then $T_{n} \rightarrow t$ for some complex number $t$, and conditions (1) through (5) of Theorem 2.2 hold.

Proof. From Theorem 3.2, $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ converges. We now apply Theorem 2.2.

According to Theorem 3.3, $\Sigma a_{\delta n} \in M R\left(\Sigma a_{n}\right)$ if $r=0$. Nevertheless, the reader should be forewarned in case $r=0$. In particular, let $\Sigma a_{n}=\sum_{0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} / n!=1 / e$. We have $r_{n}=-1 / n$ for $n \geqq 1$, and $\delta_{n}=$ $1 /\left(1-r_{n}\right)=1 /[1+(1 / n)]=n /(n+1)=1-1 /(n+1)=1+r_{n+1}$ for $n \geqq 2$. Consequently, $S_{\delta n}=S_{n}+a_{n+1} \delta_{n+1}=S_{n}+a_{n+1}\left(1+r_{n+2}\right)=S_{n+2}$ for $n \geqq 1$.

Lemma 3.4. If $|r|<1$, then $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow 1 /(1-r)$.
Proof. If $r=0$, then $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow 1=1 /(1-r)$ according to Theorem 2.1. If $r \neq 0$, then $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow[r /(1-r)] / r=1 /(1-r)$ according to Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}$ and $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ are series such that $|r|<1$ and $\left|r^{\prime}\right|<1$. Then:
(1) $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges more rapidly than $\Sigma a_{n}$ if and only if $a_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
(2) $\Sigma \alpha_{n}^{\prime}$ converges with the same rapidity as $\Sigma a_{n}$ if and only if there are numbers $a$ and $b$ such that $0<a<.\left|a_{n}^{\prime}\right| a_{n} \mid<. b$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.4, $T_{n} / r_{n} \rightarrow 1 /(1-r)$ and $T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 1 /\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)$. If $a_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\frac{S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}^{\prime}}{S-S_{n-1}}=\cdot \frac{a_{n}^{\prime}}{a_{n}} \frac{T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}}{T_{n} / r_{n}} \rightarrow 0 \cdot \frac{1 /\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)}{1 /(1-r)}=0
$$

Conversely, if $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges more rapidly than $\Sigma a_{n}$,

$$
\frac{a_{n}^{\prime}}{a_{n}}=\cdot \frac{T_{n} / r_{n}}{T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}} \frac{S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}^{\prime}}{S-S_{n-1}} \rightarrow \frac{1 /(1-r)}{1 /\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)} \cdot 0=0
$$

This proves (1).
Assume that $a$ and $b$ are numbers such that $0<a<.\left|a_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n}\right|<. b$. Since $\left|\left(T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}\right) /\left(T_{n} / r_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow\left|(1-r) /\left(1-r^{\prime}\right)\right| \neq 0$, there are numbers $c$ and $d$ such that $0<c<.\left|\left(T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}\right) /\left(T_{n} / r_{n}\right)\right|<. d$. Thus,

$$
0<a c<\cdot\left|\frac{S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}}{S-S_{n-1}}\right|=\cdot\left|\frac{a_{n}^{\prime}}{a_{n}}\right|\left|\frac{T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}}{T_{n} / r_{n}}\right|<. b d
$$

Assume that $A$ and $B$ are numbers such that

$$
0<A<.\left|\left(S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}^{\prime}\right) /\left(S-S_{n-1}\right)\right|<. B
$$

As above, there are numbers $c$ and $d$ such that

$$
0<c<.\left|\left(T_{n} / r_{n}\right) /\left(T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}\right)\right|<. d
$$

Thus,

$$
0<A c<\cdot\left|\frac{a_{n}^{\prime}}{a_{n}}\right|=\cdot\left|\frac{T_{n} / r_{n}}{T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}}\right|\left|\frac{S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}^{\prime}}{S-S_{n-1}}\right|<. B d
$$

Lemma 3.6. If $\left|r_{n}\right| \leqq . \rho<1 / 2$ for some number $\rho$, then

$$
0<(1-2 \rho) /(1-\rho) \leqq .\left|T_{n} / r_{n}\right| \leqq .1 /(1-\rho)
$$

Proof. We have $\left|T_{n}\right| \leqq .\left|r_{n}\right|+\left|r_{n} r_{n+1}\right|+\cdots+\left|r_{n} \cdots r_{n+k}\right|+$ $\cdots \leqq .\left|r_{n}\right| /(1-\rho) \leqq \rho /(1-\rho)<1$. Thus, $\left|T_{n} / r_{n}\right| \leqq .1 /(1-\rho)$ and $\left|T_{n} / r_{n}\right|=.\left|1+T_{n+1}\right| \geqq .\left||1|-\left|T_{n+1}\right|\right|=.1-\left|T_{n+1}\right| \geqq .1-\rho /(1-\rho)=$ $(1-2 \rho) /(1-\rho)>0$.

Theorem 3.7. Suppose that $\Sigma a_{n}, \Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ are series such that $a_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $\left|r_{n}\right| \leqq . \rho_{1}<1 / 2,\left|r_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leqq . \rho_{2}<1$ for some numbers $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$. Then $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$ converges more rapidly than $\Sigma a_{n}$.

Proof. From Lemma 3.6, $0<\left(1-2 \rho_{1}\right) /\left(1-\rho_{1}\right) \leqq .\left|T_{n} / r_{n}\right|$. Also, $\left|T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}\right|=.\left|1+r_{n+1}^{\prime}+r_{n+1}^{\prime} r_{n+2}^{\prime}+\cdots\right| \leqq .1 /\left(1-\rho_{2}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\frac{\left|S^{\prime}-S_{n-1}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|S-S_{n-1}\right|}=\cdot \frac{\left|a_{n}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{\left|T_{n}^{\prime} / r_{n}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|T_{n}\right| r_{n} \mid} \leqq \cdot \frac{\left|a_{n}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \frac{1 /\left(1-\rho_{2}\right)}{\left(1-2 \rho_{1}\right) /\left(1-\rho_{1}\right)} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The following counterexample shows that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.7 cannot be relaxed by replacing $1 / 2$ by any larger number.

Counterexample 3.8. Let $\varepsilon$ be any number such that $0<\varepsilon<1 / 4$ and $f(x, n)=x^{n+1}-2 x+1, n=1,2, \cdots$. Then $f(1 / 2, n)>.0$ and $f(1 / 2+\varepsilon, n)<0$. We may thus assume that $N$ is a positive integer such that for some $b, f(b, N)=0$ and $1 / 2<b<1 / 2+\varepsilon$. Thus, $-1+$ $b+b^{2}+\cdots+b^{N}=(b-1)^{-1} f(b, N)=0$. Define $a_{n}=-b^{n}$ for $n=$ $k(N+1)$ and $k=0,1,2, \cdots$, and $a_{n}=b^{n}$ otherwise. Accordingly, $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, $\left|r_{n}\right|=b<1 / 2+\varepsilon$ and $S-S_{n}=: 0$. Hence the series $\Sigma a_{n}^{\prime}$, where $a_{n}^{\prime}=a_{n} / n!, a_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left|r_{n}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow 0$, does not converge more rapidly than $\Sigma a_{n}$.

The author wishes to thank Professor A. T. Lonseth for his guidance and encouragement which led to the completion of the authors thesis.
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