

# ON THE INVERSION FORMULA FOR THE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION

T. KAWATA

## In the inversion formula

$$F(x) - F(0) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt$$

for the characteristic function  $f(t)$  of a distribution function  $F(x)$ , the limit of the symmetric integral is used. The purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the asymmetric improper integral  $\lim_{T, T' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T'}^T$  on the right of the above formula.

Let  $F(x)$  be a probability distribution function and  $f(t)$  the corresponding characteristic function,

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} dF(x).$$

We assume in this note that  $F(x)$  is standardized so that

$$(1.2) \quad F(x) = \frac{1}{2}[F(x+0) + F(x-0)].$$

The well known inversion formula states that

$$(1.3) \quad F(x) - F(0) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt$$

for every  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

It is also known that the symmetric integral of the right hand side cannot be replaced by the improper integral  $\lim_{T, T' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T'}^T$  ( $T, T'$  going to infinity independently).

Actually we may easily see that

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^0 \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right),$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right) = -\operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^0 \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right),$$

and hence  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt$  cancels out its imaginary part.

The real part (1.4) always converges to  $\frac{1}{2}[F(x) - F(0)]$ . This gives

the proof of (1.3). (See [1], pp. 263–264).

However the imaginary part (1.5) does not necessarily converge without some condition on  $F(x)$ . This is why the limit of the symmetric integral in (1.3) cannot be replaced by the general improper integral.

**2. The condition for the existence of the improper integral.**  
We shall give the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the limit of (1.5).

**THEOREM 1.** *In order that the limit of (1.5) when  $T \rightarrow \infty$  exists, it is necessary and sufficient that the integral*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{G(u, x) - G(u, 0)}{u} du$$

*exists where,*

$$(2.2) \quad G(u, x) = F(u + x) - F(-u + x)$$

*and if (2.1) exists*

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{G(u, x) - G(u, 0)}{u} du .$$

It must be noted that the integral of the right hand side of (2.3) exists in the neighborhood of the infinity. In fact  $G(u, x) - G(u, 0) = [F(u + x) - F(u)] - [F(-u + x) - F(-u)]$  and  $F(u + x) - F(u) \in L, (-\infty, \infty)$  for every fixed  $x$ .

We shall now prove the theorem.

Let

$$I(x, T) = \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt \right) .$$

We then easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} I(x, T) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dF(u) \int_0^T \frac{\sin xt \sin ut - (1 - \cos xt) \cos ut}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dF(u) \int_0^T \frac{\cos(u - x)t - \cos ut}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dF(u) \int_0^T dt \int_{u-x}^u \sin vt dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dF(u) \int_{u-x}^u \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv \int_v^{v+x} dF(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} [G(v, x) - G(v, 0)] \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv .
\end{aligned}$$

As was mentioned before,  $G(v, x) - G(v, 0) \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ . Hence the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma shows that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} [G(v, x) - G(v, 0)] \frac{\cos vT}{v} dv = 0$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.4) \quad I(x, T) &= \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{G(v, x) - G(v, 0)}{v} (1 - \cos vT) dv \\
&\quad + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{G(v, x) - G(v, 0)}{v} dv + o(1)
\end{aligned}$$

as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , for a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Now we shall show the sufficiency of the condition of the theorem. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary but fixed. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.5) \quad &\int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{G(v, x) - G(v, 0)}{v} (1 - \cos vT) dv \\
&= \int_0^{1/T} + \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} = K_1 + K_2 ,
\end{aligned}$$

say. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad |K_1| &\leq \int_0^{1/T} |G(v, x) - G(v, 0)| \frac{1 - \cos vt}{v} dv \\
&\leq CT \int_0^{1/T} |G(v, x) - G(v, 0)| dv ,
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0+} [G(v, x) - G(v, 0)]$  exists since  $F$  is nondecreasing and it must be zero, otherwise (2.1) does not exist. Hence the last expression converges to zero.

$$(2.7) \quad K_1 = o(1), \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty .$$

Next write

$$(2.8) \quad \chi(v) = G(v, x) - G(v, 0) .$$

Choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $|\chi(v)| < \delta$  for  $|v| \leq \varepsilon$  for an arbitrary chosen  $\delta$ . Since  $\chi(v)/v$  is of bounded variation in  $[1/T, \varepsilon]$ , we have, using the second mean value theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 &= \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv - \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} \cos vT dv \\
&= \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv - T\chi\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \cos vT dv - \frac{\chi(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \cos vT dv
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $1/T < \xi < \varepsilon$ . Thus

$$\left| K_2 - \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv \right| \leq 2\chi\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + 2\chi(\varepsilon) \leq 4\delta.$$

Therefore from (2.4) and (2.5)

$$(2.9) \quad \left| I(x, T) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{1/T}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv \right| \leq \frac{2\delta}{\pi} + o(1).$$

This shows the sufficiency of the condition of the theorem and gives (2.3).

We shall next show the necessity. Define  $\chi(v)$  as before. We see that  $\chi(v)$  has the limit  $c$  as  $v \rightarrow +0$ . If  $c \neq 0$ , then from (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
I(x, T) &- c \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv \\
&= \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{[\chi(v) - c](1 - \cos vT)}{v} dv + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

The first integral of the right hand side is handled in the same way as in deriving (2.6) and (2.9) with  $\chi(v) - c$  in place of

$$\chi(v) = G(v, x) - G(v, 0).$$

Actually instead of (2.6) we see that  $K_1$  with  $\phi(v) - c$  is bounded by  $CT \int_0^{1/T} |\chi(v) - c| dv$  which is  $o(1)$ . In place of (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad \left| I(x, T) - \frac{c}{2\pi} \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v) - c}{v} dv \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv \right| \leq C_1 \delta + o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is some constant.

$$(2.11) \quad \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{1 - \cos vT}{v} dv = 2 \int_0^{\varepsilon T} \frac{\sin^2 v/2}{v} dv \geq C_2 \log \varepsilon T,$$

where  $C_2$  is an absolute constant. Choose  $\varepsilon$  for an arbitrary given  $\eta < C_2$  so that  $|\chi(v) - c| < \eta$  for  $0 < v < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$(2.12) \quad \left| \int_{1/T}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\chi(v) - c}{v} dv \right| \leq \eta \log \varepsilon T.$$

Hence if  $I(x, T)$  has a limit as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , then in view of (2.11) and (2.12), (2.10) implies a contradiction. Hence we have that  $c = 0$ . Using (2.10), this yields

$$\left| I(x, T) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{1/T}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(v)}{v} dv \right| \leq C_1 \delta + o(1).$$

This proves the necessity of the condition.

3. **Remarks.** From Theorem 1, we immediately obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *In order that*

$$\lim_{T, T' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T'}^T \frac{e^{-ixt} - 1}{-it} f(t) dt$$

*exists, it is necessary and sufficient that (2.1) exists for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . (The limit is  $F(x) - F(0)$ ).*

Similar arguments apply to the integral

$$(3.1) \quad J_1(x, T) = \int_1^T \frac{f(t)e^{-ixt}}{it} dt \quad \text{and} \quad J_2(x, T) = \int_{-T}^{-1} \frac{f(t)e^{-ixt}}{it} dt.$$

We easily see that  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are conjugate complex. We may show that *in order for  $J_1(x, T)$  or  $J_2(x, T)$  to converge as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{F(u+x) - F(-u+x)}{u} du < \infty$$

*for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

(3.1) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^T f(t)e^{-ixt} dt = 0$$

which is very well known when  $F(x)$  is continuous at  $x$ . (3.1) says more than this about the improper integrability of  $f(t)$  near infinity with the additional condition (3.2) on  $F(x)$ .

The sufficiency of (3.2) for the existence of the limits of (3.1) was proved in [2] before.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Kawata, *The characteristic function of a probability distribution*, Tohoku Math. J. **48** (1941).
2. A. Rényi, *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Berlin, 1962.

Received November 20, 1968. This work was supported under National Science Foundation Grants GP-1577 and GP-3725.

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

