SUPER-REFLEXIVE SPACES WITH BASES

ROBERT C. JAMES

Super-reflexivity is defined in such a way that all superreflexive Banach spaces are reflexive and a Banach space is super-reflexive if it is isomorphic to a Banach space that is either uniformly convex or uniformly non-square. It is shown that, if $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1 < \Phi$ and B is super-reflexive, then there are numbers r and s for which $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$ and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

$$\phi\left[\Sigma \mid a_i \mid^r \right]^{1/r} \leq \left| \mid \Sigma a_i e_i \mid \right| \leq \Phi\left[\Sigma \mid a_i \mid^s \right]^{1/s},$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent. This also is true for unconditional basic subsets in nonseparable super-reflexive Banach spaces. Guraril and Guraril recently established the existence of ϕ and r for uniformly smooth spaces, and the existence of ϕ and s for uniformly convex spaces [Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 35 (1971), 210-215].

A basis for a Banach space B is a sequence $\{e_i\}$ such that, for each x in B, there is a unique sequence of numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i$ converges strongly to x, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left\|x-\sum_{i}^{n}a_{i}e_{i}\right\|=0.$$

A normalized basis is a basis $\{e_i\}$ such that $||e_i|| = 1$ for all *i*.

A basic sequence is any sequence that is a basis for its closed linear span.

It apparently was known to Banach (see [1, pg. 111] and [3]) that a sequence $\{e_i\}$ whose linear span is dense in a Banach space B is a basis for B if and only if there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}e_{i}
ight\|\geq arepsilon\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k}a_{i}e_{i}
ight\|$$

if k < n and $\{a_i\}$ is any sequence of numbers. The largest such number ε is the *characteristic* of the basis. It follows directly from the triangle inequality that, if $1 \le p \le q \le n$, then

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}e_{i}
ight\|\geqrac{1}{2}\left\|\sum_{p=1}^{q}a_{i}e_{i}
ight\|.$$

An unconditional basis for a Banach space B is a subset $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ of B such that for each x in B there is a unique sequence of ordered

pairs $(a_i, e_{\alpha(i)})$ such that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_i e_{\alpha(i)}$ converges strongly and unconditionally to x. By arguments similar to those used in [1] and [3] for a basis, it can be shown that a subset $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ whose linear span is dense in B is an unconditional basis for B if and only if there is a *characteristic* ε for which

$$||\sum_{A}a_{lpha}e_{lpha}\,||\geq arepsilon\,||\sum_{B}a_{lpha}e_{lpha}\,||$$
 ,

if $B \subset A$ and A is a finite subset of the index set.

A uniformly non-square Banach space is a Banach space B for which there is a positive number δ such that there do not exist members x and y of B for which $||x|| \leq 1$, $||y|| \leq 1$,

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right\| > 1 - \delta$$
 and $\left\|\frac{1}{2}(x-y)\right\| > 1 - \delta$.

A uniformly convex space is uniformly non-square and a uniformly non-square space is reflexive [6, Theorem 1.1].

THEOREM 1. The following properties are equivalent for normed linear spaces X, each of them is implied by nonreflexivity of the completion of X, and each is self-dual. If a normed linear space X has any one of these properties, then X is not isomorphic to any space that is uniformly non-square.

(i) There exists a positive number θ such that, for every positive integer n, there are subsets $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ and $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ of the unit balls of X and X^* , respectively, such that

$$g_i(z_j)= heta$$
 if $i\leq j, \ g_i(z_j)=0$ if $i>j$.

(ii) There exist positive numbers α and β such that, for every positive integer n, there is a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of the unit ball of X for which $||x|| > \alpha$ if $x \in \operatorname{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and, for every positive integer k < n and all numbers $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$,

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\|\geq eta\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{k}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\|.$$

(iii) There exist positive numbers α' and β' such that, for every positive integer n, there is a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of X which has the property that, for every positive integer k < n and all numbers $\{a_i\}$,

$$\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{n}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\|\geqlpha'\sup|a_{i}|\quad and\quad \left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{k}x_{i}
ight\|$$

Proof. It is known that Theorem 1 is valid for properties (i) and (ii) [8, Theorem 6]. We shall show that (i) and (iii) are equivalent.

If (i) is satisfied, let $x_1 = z_1$ and $x_i = z_i - z_{i-1}$ if $1 < i \le n$. Then $g_i(x_j) = \delta_i^j \theta$, so that

$$\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{n}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\|\geq\left|\left|g_{k}\left(\sum\limits_{1}^{n}a_{i}x_{i}
ight)
ight|= heta\left|\left|a_{k}
ight|
ight|$$
 ,

and $\|\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i\| = \|z_k\| \leq 1$. Thus (iii) is satisfied.

If (iii) is satisfied, let $z_k = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i / \beta'$. Define g_j on $\lim \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ by letting $g_i(x_j) = \delta_i^j \alpha'$. Then $||z_k|| < 1$ and

$$\Big| \left| \left. g_j \Big(\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle n} a_i x_i \Big) \right| \, = \, lpha' \, | \left. a_j \, | \, \leq \, \Big\| \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle n} a_i x_i \Big\|$$
 ,

so that g_j can be extended to all of the space with $||g_j|| \leq 1$. Also, $g_i(z_j) = \alpha'/\beta'$ if $i \leq j$ and $g_i(z_j) = 0$ if i > j, so that (i) is satisfied.

DEFINITION. A super-reflexive Banach space is a Banach space that does not have any of the equivalent properties (i), (ii) and (iii) described in the statement of Theorem 1.

This is a natural definition, since a Banach space is non-reflexive if and only if (i) of Theorem 1 is satisfied by infinite sequences $\{z_i\}$ and $\{g_i\}$. Moreover, there are several other finitely stated properties that are equivalent to (i), but which become equivalent to nonreflexivity when stated for infinite sequences [8, Theorem 3].

THEOREM 2. Let B be a super-reflexive Banach space. If $\Phi > 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, then there is a number s for which $1 < s < \infty$ and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

(1)
$$|| \sum a_i e_i || \le \Phi [\sum |a_i|^s]^{1/s}$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

Proof. It will be shown that, if there are numbers Φ and ε for which $\Phi > 1$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, and there does not exist such a number s, then B has property (ii) of Theorem 1 with $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = \varepsilon$. Let n be an arbitrary positive integer greater than 1. Let θ be a number for which

$$1-rac{1}{2n}< heta<1$$
 .

Then choose λ such that $\theta^{1/4} < \lambda < 1$, $\lambda^2 \Phi > 1$, and

(2)
$$\frac{(\varPhi+1)(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^2\varPhi-1} < \frac{1}{n}(1-\theta^{1/4}).$$

Choose s > 1 and close enough to 1 that $\lambda n < n^{1/s}$. Then

ROBERT C. JAMES

$$(3) \qquad (\alpha+\beta)^{1/s} \geqq \lambda \, (\alpha^{1/s}+\beta^{1/s}) \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha\geqq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta\geqq 0 \,,$$

(4)
$$\lambda n (\inf \beta_i)^{1/s} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i\right)^{1/s}$$
 if $\beta_i \geq 0$ for each i .

Since there is a basic sequence $\{e_i\}$ with characteristic not less than ε and a sequence $\{a_i\}$ for which (1) is false, there also is a least positive integer m for which

(5)
$$\sup \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}e_{i}\right\|}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{i}|^{s}\right]^{1/s}} = M > \Phi$$
,

where the sup is over all *m*-tuples of numbers (a_1, \dots, a_m) . Since

$$\frac{\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{m-1} a_i e_i + a_m e_m\right\|}{\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m-1} |a_i|^s + |a_m|^s\right]^{1/s}} \leq \frac{\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{m-1} a_i e_i\right\|}{\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m-1} |a_i|^s\right]^{1/s}} + \frac{||a_m e_m||}{\left[|a_m|^s\right]^{1/s}} \leq \varPhi + 1 ,$$

we have $\Phi < M \leq \Phi + 1$ and it follows from (2) that

(6)
$$\left[\frac{M(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^2 M-1}\right]^s < \frac{M(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^2 M-1} < \frac{1}{n} (1-\theta^{1/4}).$$

Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be an *m*-tuple such that $||\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i|| = 1$ and

(7)
$$\frac{1}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|^s\right]^{1/s}} = \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i e_i\right\|}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|^s\right]^{1/s}} > \lambda M.$$

We shall show first that, for each k,

(8)
$$|\alpha_k|^s < \frac{1}{n} (1-\theta^{1/4}) \sum_{1}^{m} |\alpha_i|^s.$$

It follows from (3), (7) and (5) that, for each k,

$$\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m}\mid lpha_{i}\mid^{s}
ight]^{1/s}\geqq\lambda\left\{\mid lpha_{k}\mid+\left[\sum\limits_{i
eq k}\mid lpha_{i}\mid^{s}
ight]^{1/s}
ight\}$$
 ,

and

(9)
$$\lambda^{2}M < \frac{|\alpha_{k}| + \left\|\sum_{i \neq k} \alpha_{i}e_{i}\right\|}{|\alpha_{k}| + \left[\sum_{i \neq k} |\alpha_{i}|^{s}\right]^{1/s}} \leq \frac{|\alpha_{k}| + M\left[\sum_{i \neq k} |\alpha_{i}|^{s}\right]^{1/s}}{|\alpha_{k}| + \left[\sum_{i \neq k} |\alpha_{i}|^{s}\right]^{1/s}}$$

Since $\lambda^2 M - 1 > \lambda^2 \Phi - 1 > 0$, direct computation shows that (9) implies

412

$$|lpha_k| < rac{Miggl[\sum\limits_{i
eq k} |lpha_i|^siggr]^{1/s}(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^2M-1} \leqq iggl[\sum\limits_{1}^m |lpha_i|^siggr]^{1/s}rac{M(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^2M-1} ext{,}$$

which with (6) implies (8). Now that (8) has been established, we know there is a sequence of n integers $\{m(1), \dots, m(n) = m\}$ such that, for each j,

$$\left| \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m(j)} |\alpha_i|^s - \frac{j}{n} \sum_{1}^m |\alpha_i|^s \right] \right| < \frac{1}{2n} (1 - \theta^{1/4}) \sum_{1}^m |\alpha_i|^s \ .$$

Let us write

$$\sum_{1}^{m} \alpha_{i} e_{i} = \sum_{1}^{m(1)} \alpha_{i} e_{i} + \sum_{m(1)+1}^{m(2)} \alpha_{i} e_{i} + \dots + \sum_{m(n-1)+1}^{m} \alpha_{i} e_{i}$$
$$= \sum_{1}^{n} u_{j},$$

where $u_j = \sum_{m(j)+1}^{m(j)} \alpha_i e_i$ with m(0) = 0. Then we have, for each j,

$$\left| \left[\sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)} \mid \alpha_i \mid^s - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^m \mid \alpha_i \mid^s \right] \right| < \frac{1}{n} \left(1 \! - \! \theta^{1/4} \right) \sum_{1}^m \mid \alpha_i \mid^s.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{n} \ \theta^{1/4} \sum_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s} < \sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s} < \frac{1}{n} \ (2-\theta^{1/4}) \sum_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s} < \frac{1}{n} \ \theta^{-1/4} \sum_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}$$

and

(10)
$$\sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)} |\alpha_i|^s < \theta^{-1/2} \inf \left\{ \sum_{m(k-1)+1}^{m(k)} |\alpha_i|^s : 1 \le k \le n \right\}$$

for each j. It follows from (7), (5), (10), (4) and $\lambda^2 > \theta^{1/2}$ that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}\right]^{1/s}} > \lambda M & \geqq \frac{\lambda \mid\mid u_{j} \mid\mid}{\left[\sum\limits_{m \mid j-1 \rangle + 1}^{m \mid (j)} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}\right]^{1/s}} > \frac{(\theta^{1/2})^{1/s} \lambda \mid\mid u_{j} \mid\mid}{\left[\inf_{k} \sum\limits_{m \mid (k-1) + 1}^{m \mid (k)} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}\right]^{1/s}} \\ > \frac{n(\theta^{1/2})^{1/s} \lambda^{2} \mid\mid u_{j} \mid\mid}{\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}\right]^{1/s}} > \frac{n\theta \mid\mid u_{j} \mid\mid}{\left[\sum\limits_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{s}\right]^{1/s}} , \end{split}$$

so that $||u_j|| < 1/(n\theta)$. We are now prepared to show that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ satisfies (ii) of Theorem 1 if $x_j = n\theta u_j$ for each $i, \alpha = 1/2$ and $\beta = \varepsilon$. Note first that if $\Sigma \beta_j = 1$ and $\beta_j \ge 0$ for each j, then

$$egin{array}{ll} \| \left. \Sigma eta_j x_j
ight\| &\geq \| \left. \Sigma x_j
ight\| - \| \left. \Sigma (1 - eta_j) \, x_j
ight\| \ &\geq n heta \, \| \left. \Sigma u_j
ight\| - \Sigma (1 - eta_j) \; . \end{array}$$

Since $||\Sigma u_j|| = ||\Sigma \alpha_i e_i|| = 1$ and $\theta > 1 - 1/(2n)$, we have

$$|| \Sigma eta_j x_j || \geq \left(n - rac{1}{2}
ight) - (n-1) = rac{1}{2} = lpha$$
 .

Since the characteristic of the basic sequence $\{e_i\}$ is not less than $\varepsilon = \beta$, we also have

$$\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{n}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\| \geq eta\left\|\sum\limits_{1}^{k}a_{i}x_{i}
ight\| ext{ if } k < n$$
 .

The duality argument used by Gurarii and Gurarii [4] in a similar situation does not seem easily adaptible to give a proof of Theorem 3 that makes explicit use of Theorem 2. Therefore a direct proof of Theorem 3 will be given.

THEOREM 3. Let B be a super-reflexive Banach space. If ϕ and ε are numbers for which $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1$, then there is a number r for which $1 < r < \infty$ and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

(11)
$$\phi \left[\Sigma \mid a_i \mid^r \right]^{1/r} \leq \left\| \Sigma a_i e_i \right\|,$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

Proof. Suppose that $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1$. It will be shown that if no such number r exists, then B has property (iii) of Theorem 1 with $\alpha' = 2\phi^2/\varepsilon$ and $\beta' > 1/\varepsilon$.

Let *n* be an arbitrary positive integer greater than 1. Let λ be a positive number for which

 $2\phi < \lambda^2 arepsilon$ and $\lambda < 1$.

Then choose r > 1 and large enough that

(12)
$$n^{1/r} < \lambda^{-1} (1-\lambda)^{1/r}$$
.

If $\beta_i \geq 0$ for each *i*, then it follows from (12) that

(13)
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\beta_{i}\right)^{1/r} < \lambda^{-1} \left(\sup \beta_{i}\right)^{1/r}.$$

Since there is a basic sequence $\{e_i\}$ with characteristic not less than ε and a sequence $\{a_i\}$ for which (11) is false, there also is an *m* for which

(14)
$$\inf \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} e_{i}\right\|}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |a_{i}|^{r}\right]^{1/r}} = M < \phi ,$$

where the inf is over all *m*-tuples of numbers (a_1, \dots, a_m) . Let

 $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m)$ be an *m*-tuple such that $||\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i|| = 1$ and

(15)
$$\frac{1}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|^r\right]^{1/r}} = \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i e_i\right\|}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|^r\right]^{1/r}} < M\lambda^{-1}.$$

As is true for all basic sequences with characteristic not less than ε , $||\sum_{i}^{m} \alpha_{i}e_{i}|| \ge (1/2)\varepsilon |\alpha_{k}|$ for each k. Thus it follows from (15) that

(16)
$$|\alpha_k| \leq \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i e_i \right\| < \frac{2M}{\varepsilon \lambda} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|^r \right]^{1/r}.$$

Since $M < \phi$ and $2\phi < \lambda^2 \varepsilon$, it follows from (16) and (12) that

$$\mid lpha_{k} \mid^{r} < \lambda^{r} \sum\limits_{1}^{m} \mid lpha_{i} \mid^{r} < rac{1}{n} \left(1\!-\!\lambda
ight) \sum\limits_{1}^{m} \mid lpha_{i} \mid^{r}$$
 .

Therefore, there is a sequence of n integers $\{m(1), \dots, m(n) = m\}$ such that, for each j,

$$\left|\left[\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m(j)}\midlpha_i\mid^r-rac{j}{n}\sum\limits_{1}^{m}\midlpha_i\mid^r
ight]
ight|<rac{1}{2n}\left(1\!-\!\lambda
ight)\!\sum\limits_{1}^{m}\midlpha_i\mid^r.$$

Let us write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m(1)} \alpha_i e_i + \sum_{m(1)+1}^{m(2)} \alpha_i e_i + \dots + \sum_{m(n-1)+1}^{m} \alpha_i e_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_j ,$$

where $u_j = \sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)}$ with m(0) = 0. Then we have, for each j,

$$\left|\left[\sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)}\mid\alpha_i\mid^r-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1}^{m}\mid\alpha_i\mid^r\right]\right| < \frac{1}{n}(1\!-\!\lambda)\sum_{1}^{m}\mid\alpha_i\mid^r.$$

This implies that

$$rac{1}{n}\lambda\sum\limits_{i}^{m}\midlpha_{i}\mid^{r}<\sum\limits_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)}\midlpha_{i}\mid^{r}<rac{1}{n}\left(2\!-\!\lambda
ight)\sum\limits_{i}^{m}\midlpha_{i}\mid^{r}<rac{1}{n}\,\lambda^{-1}\sum\limits_{i}^{m}\midlpha_{i}\mid^{r}$$

and

(17)
$$\sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)} |\alpha_i|^r > \lambda^2 \sup \left\{ \sum_{m(k-1)+1}^{m(k)} |\alpha_i|^r : 1 \le k \le n \right\}.$$

It follows from (15), (14), (17), and (13) that, for each j,

$$\frac{\lambda}{\left[\sum_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{r}\right]^{1/r}} < M \leq \frac{\mid u_{j} \mid \mid}{\left[\sum_{m(j-1)+1}^{m(j)} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{r}\right]^{1/r}} < \frac{\mid u_{j} \mid \mid}{\lambda^{2/r} \left[\sup_{k} \sum_{m(k-1)+1}^{m(k)} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{r}\right]^{1/r}} < \frac{\mid u_{j} \mid \mid}{\lambda^{3} \left[\sum_{1}^{m} \mid \alpha_{i} \mid^{r}\right]^{1/r}},$$

so that $||u_j|| > \lambda^4$. Since $\{e_i\}$ is a basis with constant not less than ε and $\lambda^4 > 4\phi^2/\varepsilon^2$, this implies

$$\left\|\sum_1^n a_j u_j\right\| \ge \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \mid\mid a_k u_k \mid\mid \ge \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \lambda^4 \mid a_k \mid \ge \frac{2\phi^2}{\varepsilon} \mid a_k \mid = \alpha' \mid a_k \mid$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ and each $k \leq n$. Now we can use

$$1 = \left\|\sum_{1}^{m} lpha_{i} e_{i}
ight\| = \left\|\sum_{1}^{n} u_{j}
ight\| \ge arepsilon \left\|\sum_{1}^{k} u_{j}
ight\|$$

to obtain $||\sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}|| \leq 1/\varepsilon < \beta'$.

THEOREM 4. Let B be a Banach space that is super-reflexive. If $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1 < \Phi$, then there are numbers r and s for which $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$ and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

$$\phi \ [\sum \mid a_i \mid^r]^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/r} \leq \mid\mid \sum a_i e_i \mid\mid \leq arPhi \ [\sum \mid a_i \mid^s]^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/s}$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

An examination of the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 will show that essentially the same arguments can be used for nonseparable Banach spaces and unconditional basic subsets. Therefore:

THEOREM 5. Let B be Banach space that is super-reflexive. If $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1 < \Phi$, then there numbers r and s for which $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$ and, if $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is any normalized unconditional basic subset of B with characteristic not less than ε , then

$$\phi \left[\sum \mid a_lpha \mid^r
ight]^{1/r} \leqq \mid\mid \sum a_lpha e_lpha \mid\mid \leqq \Phi \left[\sum \mid a_lpha \mid^s
ight]^{1/s},$$

for all numbers $\{a_{\alpha}\}$ such that $\sum a_{\alpha}e_{\alpha}$ is convergent.

It is stated in [4] that it is not known whether B is isomorphic to a space that is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth if, for each normalized basic sequence $\{e_i\}$ in B, there are positive numbers ϕ , Φ , r and s such that $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$, and

$$\phi \left[\sum \mid a_i \mid^r
ight]^{1/r} \leq \mid\mid \sum a_i e_i \mid\mid \leq arPhi \left[\sum \mid a_i \mid^s
ight]^{1/s}$$
 .

This conjecture would be strongly suggested by the next theorem, if it should be true that every super-reflexive space is isomorphic to a uniformly convex space. It would then also follow that uniform convexity, uniform smoothness, and super-reflexivity are equivalent within isomorphism and that the existence of numbers ϕ , Φ , r and sthat satisfy the inequalities of Theorem 4 could be deduced from the results of Gurariĭ and Gurariĭ [4].

THEOREM 6. Each of the following is a necessary and sufficient condition for a Banach space B to be super-reflexive.

(a) If $0 < 2\phi < \varepsilon \leq 1 < \Phi$, then there are numbers r and s for which $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$, and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

$$\phi\left[\sum \mid a_i\mid^r
ight]^{1/r} \leq \mid\mid \sum a_i e_i\mid\mid \leq arPhi\left[\sum \mid a_i\mid^s
ight]^{1/s}$$
 ,

for all number $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

(b) If $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1 < \Phi$, then there is a number s for which $1 < s < \infty$, and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

$$\|\sum a_i e_i\| \leq arPhi \left[\sum |a_i|^s
ight]^{1/s}$$
 ,

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

(c) There exist numbers ε , Φ and s such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, $1 < s < \infty$, and, if $\{e_i\}$ is any normalized basic sequence in B with characteristic not less than ε , then

(18)
$$||\sum a_i e_i|| \leq \Phi \left[\sum |a_i|^s\right]^{1/s},$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e_i$ is convergent.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4 that super-reflexivity implies (a). The implications (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) are purely formal. To prove that (c) implies that B is super-reflexive, let us suppose that B is not super-reflexive and that there exist numbers ε , Φ and s as described in (c). Choose a positive integer n such that

$$(19) n^{1-1/s} > \frac{\Phi}{\varepsilon} .$$

It is known that in (ii) of Theorem 1 we can require that $\varepsilon < \alpha = \beta$ (see the definition of P_3 and Theorem 6, both in [8]). Therefore there is a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of the unit ball for which $||x|| > \varepsilon$ if $x \in \operatorname{conv} \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $||\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i|| \ge \beta ||\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i x_i||$ for all k < n and all numbers $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Then $\{x_i\}$ can be the initial segment of a basic sequence with characteristic not less than ε and it follows from (18) that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right\| \leq \Phi n^{1/s}$$
 .

Since $\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\| > n\varepsilon$, we have a contradiction of (19).

Recall that, relative to a basis $\{e_i\}$, a block basic sequence is a sequence $\{e'_i\}$ for which there is an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n(i)\}$ such that n(1) = 1 and

$$e_k' = \sum_{n(k) \atop n(k)}^{n(k+1)-1} a_i e_i , \qquad \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots .$$

THEOREM 7. A Banach space B is reflexive if B has a basis $\{e_i\}$ and, for each normalized block basic sequence $\{e'_i\}$ of $\{e_i\}$, there are positive numbers ϕ , Φ , r and s such that $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < s < \infty$, and

(20)
$$\phi\left[\sum |a_i|^r\right]^{1/r} \leq ||\sum a_i e_i'|| \leq \Phi\left[\sum |a_i|^s\right]^{1/s},$$

for all numbers $\{a_i\}$ such that $\sum a_i e'_i$ is convergent.

Proof. If $\{e_i\}$ is not boundedly complete, there is a sequence $\{u_i\}$ and a positive number Δ such that $||\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i||$ is bounded, $||u_i|| > \Delta$, and

$$u_i=\sum\limits_{n(k)}^{n(k+1)-1}\!\!a_ie_i$$
 , $k=1,\,2,\,\cdots$,

where $\{n(i)\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $e'_i = u_i/||u_i||$. Then $||(\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||u_i|| e'_i)||$ is bounded, but there do not exist $\phi > 0$ and $1 < r < \infty$ such that $\phi \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||u_i||^r > \phi n \Delta^n$ is bounded. If $\{e_i\}$ is not shrinking, there is a normalized block basic sequence $\{e'_i\}$ such that $||\sum_{i=1}^{n} e''_i|| > (1/2)n$ for all *n*. But there do not exist Φ and s > 1 such that $\Phi n^{1/s} > (1/2)n$ for all *n*. Thus $\{e_i\}$ is boundedly complete and shrinking, which implies *B* is reflexive [2, Theorem 3, p. 71].

The next example shows that Theorem 7 can not be strengthened by assuming that (20) is satisfied only for a basis for B, even if $\phi = \Phi = 1$, s = 2, and r is close to 2.

EXAMPLE. Choose r > 2 and positive integers $\{n_i\}$ so that $(n_i)^{(1/2)r-1} > 2^i$ for each *i*. For each *k*, let v^k be the sequence that has zeros except for *k* initial blocks, the *i*th block having n_i components each equal to $(n_i)^{-1/2}$. Let *B* be the completion of the space of all sequences of real numbers with only a finite number of nonzero components and, if $x = \{x_i\}$,

(21)
$$||x|| = \inf \{ (\sum u_i^2)^{1/2} + \sum |a_k| : x = u + \sum a_k v^k \}.$$

418

If
$$||\{y_i\}||_r$$
 denotes $[\sum |y_i|^r]^{1/r}$, then $(\sum u_i^2)^{1/2} \ge ||u||_r$ and
 $||v^k||_r = [n_i^{1-1/2r} + n_2^{1-1/2r} \dots + (n_{p(k)})^{1-1/2r}]^{1/r} < 1$.

Therefore

$$||x|| \ge ||u||_r + \sum ||a_k v^k||_r \ge ||x||_r$$
.

It follows directly from (21) that $||x|| \leq (\sum x_i^2)^{1/2}$. It follows from the facts that $||v^k|| \leq 1$ for all k and that a sequence has norm 1 if it contains all zeros except for one block of n_i terms each equal to $n_i^{-1/2}$, that the natural basis for B is not boundedly complete and B is not reflexive.

It was shown by N. I. Gurarii [5, Theorem 7] that, for any r and s with $1 < r < \infty$ and $1 < s < \infty$, there is a basis $\{e_i\}$ for Hilbert space such that for any positive numbers ϕ and Φ there are finite sequences $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ for which

$$\phi \; [\sum | \; a_i \, |^r]^{1/r} > || \; \sum a_i e_i \, || \; \; ext{ and } \; || \; \sum b_i e_i \, || > \Phi \; [\; \sum a_i \, |^s]^{1/s} \; .$$

Thus for Hilbert space there can be neither an upper bound $\rho < \infty$ for r nor a lower bound $\sigma < 1$ for s in Theorems 2-5, even if ϕ and Φ are allowed to depend on the basic sequence.

References

1. S. Banach, Théorie des Opérations Linéaires, Warsaw, 1932.

2. M. M. Day, Normed Linear Spaces, New York, 1962.

3. M. M. Grinblum, Certain théorèms sur la base dans un espace de type (B), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **31** (1941), 428-432.

4. V. I. Gurarii and N. I. Gurarii, On bases in uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **35** (1971), 210-215.

5. N. I. Gurarii, On sequential coefficients of expansions with respect to bases in Hilbert and Banach spaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **35** (1971), 216-223.

6. R. C. James, Uniformly non-square Banach spaces, Ann. of Math., 80 (1964), 542-550.

7. ____, Weak compactness and separation, Israel J. Math., 2 (1964), 101-119.

8. ____, Some self-dual properties of normed linear spaces, Symposium on Infinite Dimensional Topology, Annals of Mathematics Studies, **69** (1972).

Received July 20, 1971. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant GP 20838.

CLAREMONT COLLEGE