

## AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF UNIPOTENT GROUPS OF CHEVALLEY TYPE

A. FAUNTLEROY

Let  $G$  be a quasi-simple algebraic group defined and split over the field  $k$ . Let  $V$  be a maximal  $k$ -split unipotent subgroup of  $G$  and  $\text{Aut}(V)$  the group of  $k$ -automorphism of  $V$ . The structure of  $\text{Aut}(V)$  is determined and the obstructions to making  $\text{Aut}(V)$  algebraic when  $\text{char } k > 3$  are made explicit. If  $G$  is not of type  $A_2$ , then  $\text{Aut}(V)$  is solvable.

**Introduction.** In [5] Hochschild and Mostow showed that the automorphism group of a unipotent algebraic group defined over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero carries the structure of an algebraic  $k$ -group. For example if  $V$  is a vector group over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . For more complicated unipotent groups—even over  $\mathbb{C}$ —little seems to be known about the actual structure of the automorphism group. On the other hand, it was shown by Sullivan in [8] and again by this author in [3] that the Hochschild–Mostow result never holds in positive characteristics when the dimension of the given unipotent group is greater than one.

In [4] Gibbs determined generators for the (abstract) automorphism group of  $V(k)$ —the  $k$ -rational points of a maximal  $k$ -split unipotent subgroup  $V$  of any  $k$ -split simple algebraic group. The characteristic of the field  $k$  was assumed distinct from 2 or 3, but no other assumptions on the field  $k$  were made. We refer to such groups  $V$  as *unipotent groups of Chevalley type*. The purpose of this paper is two-fold:

1. To determine the automorphism groups in characteristic zero of unipotent groups of Chevalley type; and
2. To exhibit the obstructions to making these groups algebraic in positive characteristics.

Let  $\text{Aut}_V(k)$  denote the group of  $k$ -automorphisms of the unipotent  $k$ -group of Chevalley type  $V$ . We show (2.9) that there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N(k) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V(k) \rightarrow H(k) \rightarrow 1$$

such that

- (i)  $H(k)$  is the group of  $k$ -rational points of an algebraic  $k$ -group  $H$ .
- (ii)  $N(k) = 0$  if  $\text{char } k = 0$ , and  $N(k) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n(k)$  if  $\text{char } k > 3$ .
- (iii) The above sequence splits and  $\text{Aut}_V(k)$  is the semi-direct product of  $N(k)$  and  $H(k)$ .

Moreover, if the quasi-simple group containing  $V$  is not isogenous to  $PGL_2$ , then  $Aut_V(k)$  is solvable.

Our treatment of this problem is slightly more general than required to prove the desired results over fields. In fact if  $A = \mathbf{Z}[1/2, 1/3]$ , then all schemes (no separation property is implied here) are assumed to be  $A$ -schemes and the problem we discuss is that of representing the functor  $S \rightarrow Aut_V(S) := Aut_{S-gr}(V \times_A S)$  for  $S$  a reduced  $A$ -scheme. The results for fields then follow by base change.

After setting up some notation and discussing preliminaries in §0, we proceed in §1 to give a functorial description of the generators described by Gibbs. Section 2 is devoted to the computation of  $Aut_V$  and §3 to the special case of groups of type  $A_2$ .

The author's debt to Gibbs' work will become clear soon. It is also a pleasure to thank J. Tits for several useful comments on an earlier version of this paper.

**0. Preliminaries.**

0.1. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra with root system  $\Sigma$  and fundamental roots  $\Phi \subset \Sigma$ . There is a unique (up to isomorphism) smooth algebraic group scheme  $ch(\Phi, \Sigma)$ , defined over the integers, corresponding to  $\mathcal{L}$  called the Chevalley group of type  $\Sigma$  [2: Vol III, Exp. XXV]. If the set of positive roots relative to  $\Phi$  is denoted  $\Sigma^+$ , then  $\Sigma^+$  determines a unique Borel subgroup  $B = B(\Sigma^+)$  of  $ch(\Phi, \Sigma)$ . Let  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)$  be the unipotent radical of  $B$ . We call  $V$  a *unipotent group scheme of Chevalley type*.

0.2. A unipotent group scheme of Chevalley type, say  $V$ , is completely determined by the group valued functor it represents. We recall the definition here. Let  $S$  be a scheme. Then  $V(S)$  as an abstract group is generated by symbols  $x_r(t)$ ,  $r \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $t \in \Gamma(S, \theta_S) := G_a(S)$  subject to the relations

$$(R) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{R.1: } x_r(t)x_r(u) = x_r(t + u) \\ & \text{R.2: } [x_s(u), x_r(t)] = \begin{cases} 1 & r + s \notin \Sigma^+ \\ \prod_{i,j} x_{ir+js}(C_{ij,rs}(-t)^i u^j) & r + s \in \Sigma^+ \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the product in R.2 is taken over all pairs of positive integers  $(i, j)$  such that  $ir + js \in \Sigma^+$ . We use the conventions:  $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$  and  $(int a)b = a^{-1}ba$ .

0.3. With respect to a given ordering of  $\Sigma^+$ , every element of  $V(S)$  can be written uniquely in the form

$$x_{r_1}(t_1) \cdot x_{r_2}(t_2) \cdots x_{r_N}(t_N)$$

where  $N = |\Sigma^+|$  and  $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_N$ . Moreover, the constants  $C_{ij,rs}$  are integers and  $|C_{ij,rs}| < 4$ . Recall that  $N_{rs} = C_{11,rs}$ , and also that  $C_{12,rs} = \frac{1}{2}N_{sr}N_{s,r+s}$ ,  $C_{21,rs} = \frac{1}{2}N_{rs}N_{r,r+s}$ ,  $N_{rs} = -N_{sr}$  and  $N_{rs} = \pm 1$  all  $r, s$  in  $\Sigma$ .

0.4. The subgroups  $X_r$ ,  $r \in \Sigma^+$  given by  $X_r(S) = \{x_r(t) : t \in G_a(S)\}$  are called *root subgroups* and each  $X_r \cong G_a$ . The uniqueness assertion of 0.3 says that the product morphism  $X_{r_1} \times \cdots \times X_{r_N} \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism.

0.5. Put  $V_m = \prod_{h(r) \geq m} X_r$  for  $1 < m \leq h = h(r_N)$  where  $h(r)$  is the height function (c.f. [4; §0]).

PROPOSITION. [4: 5.4] *The series*

$$V = V_1 > V_2 > \cdots > V_h > 1$$

*is both the upper and lower central series for V.*

*Moreover, for each i,  $V_i/V_{i+1}$  has a canonical structure of a vector group (i.e. is isomorphic to  $G_a^n$  for some integer n).*

0.6. In the following we exclude the trivial case  $\Sigma = A_1$ .

PROPOSITION. *Let  $(\Sigma, \Phi, \Sigma^+)$  be a system of roots, fundamental roots and positive roots in a complex simple Lie algebra. Then*

- (i) *There exist a unique root  $r_N \in \Sigma^+$  of maximal height h.*
- (ii) *If  $r \in \Sigma^+$  and  $h(r) \geq 2$  then there exist  $r_i \in \Phi$  such that  $r - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ .*
- (iii) *If  $r \in \Sigma^+$  and  $r \neq r_N$ ,  $r \notin \Phi$  then there exist  $r_i \in \Phi$  such that  $r + r_i \in \Sigma^+$ .*
- (iv) *If the combination  $ir + js$  for  $i > 0, j > 0, r, s \in \Sigma^+$  lies in  $\Sigma^+$  then  $r + s \in \Sigma^+$ .*
- (v) *There exist  $r_i \in \Phi$  such that  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ .*

We make some remarks concerning (v). It is easy to see by examining the root systems that, if  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_n$ , then there is a unique fundamental root  $r_i \in \Phi$  with  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$  and  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ , then  $r_N - 2r_i$  is also in  $\Sigma^+$ . In particular, if  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_n$ , then there is a unique root of height  $h - 1$ . For if  $h(r) = h - 1$ ,  $r \in \Sigma^+$ , then  $h(r + r_i) = h$  some  $r_j \in \Sigma^+$  by (iii) so that  $r + r_j = r_N$  by (i). Thus  $r_N - r_j = r \in \Sigma^+$ , so  $r_j = r_i$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$  and  $h(s) = h - 2$ , then  $X_s$  and  $X_{r_N - 2r_i}$  commute. For  $h(s + r_N - 2r_i) = 2h - 4$ . But in this case  $h = h(r_N) \geq 5$

so that  $2h - 4 > h$  and, hence,  $s + r_N - 2r_i \notin \Sigma^+$ . So by (iv) and R.2,  $X_s$  and  $X_{r_N - 2r_i}$  commute. It follows that we can assume that, in case  $C_b$ , the roots are ordered so that  $r_N - 2r_i$ ,  $r_N - r_i$  and  $r_N$  are the last three roots. We keep this assumption throughout.

If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $A_l$  with  $l > 2$ , then there are just two roots  $r_1, r_l \in \Phi$  such that  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $i = 1, l$ . In this case, since  $h(r_N) > 2$ ,  $r_N - r_1 + r_N - r_l$  is not a root so that  $X_{r_N - r_1}$  and  $X_{r_N - r_l}$  commute. This fails of course if  $\Sigma = A_2$  for then  $\Sigma^+ = \{r, s, r + s\}$ .

In what follows we set  $A := Z[1/2, 1/3]$ . All schemes are  $A$ -schemes. We assume no separation property for  $A$ -schemes.

**1. The Gibbs subfunctors.** In [4] Gibbs listed six types of automorphisms of the abstract group  $V(k)$  for any field and showed that every automorphism was a product of these six types. We shall give here five of those six types—described functorially—which will in fact generate  $Aut_V(S)$  for any reduced  $A$ -scheme  $S$ .

1.1. *Diagonal automorphisms.* Let  $M$  be the free abelian group generated by the set of roots  $\Phi \subset \Sigma$  determining  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)$ . Let  $D$  be the  $A$ -torus representing  $D_A(M)$ . Recall  $D_A(M)$  is the functor defined by

$$D_A(M)(S) = \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(A[M], \Gamma(S, O_S))$$

where  $A[M]$  is the group algebra of  $M$  over  $A$ .

We define a homomorphism of group valued functors

$$w_D: h_D = D(M) \rightarrow Aut_V$$

as follows: For any  $A$ -scheme  $S$  and  $\lambda \in h_D(S)$  let  $w_D(\lambda)$  be the map which sends  $x_r(t)$  to  $x_r(\lambda(r)t)$  all  $r \in \Sigma^+$ . As in [4: §4], it is easily verified that this determines an automorphism of  $V(S)$ .

Since  $\lambda(r) \in \Gamma(S, O_S)^*$ , it is also easy to see that  $w_D(\lambda)$  is in fact an automorphism of  $V \times_A S$  over  $S$ . Indeed, if  $B$  is the standard Borel subgroup of  $\text{Ch}(\Phi, \Sigma)$  determined by  $\Sigma^+$  and  $T$  is its maximal torus, then (cf. [2: Vol. III, Exp. XXII, 1.13])  $D \cong T$  and  $w$  is just the map induced by  $T$  acting on  $V \cong B_u$  via conjugation. In particular,  $w_D$  is a homomorphism of group valued functors which (since  $\text{Ch}(\Phi, \Sigma)$  is of adjoint type) is in fact a monomorphism.

1.2. *Inner automorphisms.* Let  $I = V/Z(V)$  where  $Z(V)$  is the center of  $V$ . Then we have the natural functorial monomorphism of group valued functors  $I \rightarrow Aut_V$ .

1.3. *Central automorphisms.* Let  $\Lambda$  be the functor from  $\text{Sch}/A$  to abelian groups given by  $\Lambda(S) = \text{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(G_a \times_S G_a, G_a \times_S G_a)$  and let  $l = |\Phi|$ . Put  $\mathbf{C} = \Lambda \times \cdots \times \Lambda$  ( $l$  copies). We define a monomorphism  $w_{\mathbf{C}}: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$  of group valued functors as follows:

For  $S \in \text{Sch}/A$  and  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \in \mathbf{C}(S)$  we define  $w_{\mathbf{C}}(c)$  by

$$w_{\mathbf{C}}(c)[x_{r_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{r_N}(t_N)] \\ = x_{r_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{r_{N-1}}(t_{N-1})x_{r_N}\left(t_N + \sum_{i=1}^l c_i(t_i)\right)$$

for all  $t_i \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $c_i \in \text{End}_{S\text{-gr}}(G_a \times_A S)$  where  $c_i(t_i)$  is given by the canonical action of  $c_i$  on  $\Gamma(S, O_S) = G_a(S)$ . Since the subgroup  $X_{r_N}$  is central, it follows immediately that  $w_{\mathbf{C}}(c)$  is an automorphism of  $V(S)$  and it is equally clear that  $w_{\mathbf{C}}(c)$  yields an element of  $\text{Aut}_V(S)$ .

Finally, recall that the abelian group structure on  $\Lambda(S)$  is given by  $(c_1 + c_2)(t) = c_1(t) + c_2(t)$  all  $t \in G_a(S)$ ; so  $w_{\mathbf{C}}$  is in fact a monomorphism of group valued functors.

1.4. *Graph automorphisms.* Let  $\Pi$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $M$  which stabilize  $\Phi$  and hence  $\Sigma^+$ . Then an element  $g \in \Pi$  determines a graph automorphism  $w_{\Pi}(g)$  of  $V \times_A S$  over  $S$  for an  $A$ -scheme  $S$  via the assignments  $x_r(t) \rightarrow x_{g(r)}(t)$  all  $r \in \Sigma^+$  and  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$ . Such automorphisms arise as certain graph automorphisms of  $\text{Ch}(\Phi, \Sigma)$  determined by Steinberg [1: 12.2] which stabilize  $\Phi$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $A_l$  ( $l > 1$ ),  $D_l$  ( $l > 4$ ) or  $E_6$  then  $\Pi = Z_2$ . If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $D_4$ , then  $\Pi = S_3$ . These are the only graph automorphisms which occur. We identify  $\Pi$  with the constant functor and see immediately that  $w_{\Pi}$  is a monomorphism of group valued functors.

1.5. *Extremal automorphisms.* Let  $r_N$  be the unique root in  $\Sigma^+$  of maximal height (cf. 0.6). Then there is a root  $r_l \in \Phi$  such that  $r_N - r_l \in \Sigma^+$ . Suppose temporarily that  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_l$  or  $C_l$ . Put  $E = G_a$  and define a map  $w_E: E \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$  as follows: For any  $A$ -scheme  $S$  and  $u \in E(S) = \Gamma(S, O_S)$  let  $w_E(u)$  be the map which acts trivially on  $x_r(t)$ ,  $r \neq r_l$  and which sends  $x_{r_l}(t)$  to

$$x_{r_l}(t)x_{r_N-r_l}(ut)x_{r_N}\left(\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N-r_l,r_l}ut^2\right)$$

for all  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$ . As in [4: §4] one verifies that  $w_E(u)$  determines an automorphism of  $V(S)$ . It is also seen that this induces a (unique) automorphism of  $V \times_A S$  over  $S$ . The following lemma is immediate.

LEMMA 1.6. *The map  $w_E: E \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$  is a monomorphism of group valued functors.*

Suppose now that  $\Sigma$  is of type  $A_l$  ( $l > 1$ ). Then there are two fundamental roots, say  $r_1$  and  $r_l$ , such that  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . The lemma shows that for each  $r_i$ ,  $i = 1, l$  we have a monomorphism  $w_i: G_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$ . If  $l > 2$  then  $r_N - r_1 \neq r_l$  and  $r_N - r_l \neq r_1$ . Since  $X_{r_N - r_2}$  and  $X_{r_N - r_l}$  commute if  $l > 2$ , for all  $u, v \in G_a(S)$  we have  $w_1(u)w_l(v) = w_l(v)w_1(u)$ . We thus obtain a monomorphism  $w_E: E = G_a \times G_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$ . When  $l = 2$  we have two monomorphisms  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  (recall in this case  $\Sigma = \{r, s, r + s\}$ ) and we denote by  $E$  the subfunctor of  $\text{Aut}_V$  which the images of these two homomorphisms generate. We will see in §3 that this case gives rise to the only exception to the solvability of  $\text{Aut}_V$ .

Now suppose  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$ . Then if  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ , we also have  $r_N - 2r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . We have just as above  $w_1: G_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$ ; but we can also define another map  $w_2: G_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$  by  $w_2(u)[x_r(t)] = x_r(t)$ ,  $r \neq r_i$ , and

$$w_2(u)[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N - 2r_i}(ut)x_{r_N - r_i}(\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N - 2r_i, r_i}ut^2) \cdot x_{r_N}(\frac{1}{3}C_{12, r_N - 2r_i, r_i}ut^3).$$

As above, the following lemma follows from straight forward computations.

LEMMA 1.7. *The morphism  $w_2$  is a monomorphism of group valued functors and  $w_2(u)w_1(v) = w_1(v)w_2(u)$  for all  $u, v \in G_a(S)$ ,  $S \in \text{Sch}/k$ . In particular there exist a monomorphism of group valued functors*

$$w_E: E = G_a \times G_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V$$

such that  $w_E(u, 0) = w_1(u)$  and  $w_E(0, v) = w_2(v)$ .

**2. The structure of  $\text{Aut}_V$ .** The purpose of this section is to describe the functor  $\text{Aut}_V$  in terms of the Gibbs subgroups and prove that these generate when  $\text{Aut}_V$  is restricted to the category  $(\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$  of reduced  $A$ -schemes. Let  $\mathbf{A}_V$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_V$  generated by  $\pi, D, E, I$  and  $C$ . We assume throughout this section that  $\Sigma \neq A_2, B_2$ . We let  $V$  be a unipotent group of Chevalley type over  $A$  and  $S$  an  $A$ -scheme.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The subgroup  $C$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}_V$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that if  $U$  is any abstract group,  $U'$  its commutator subgroup and  $Z$  its center then there is a well-known homomorphism of monoids  $\alpha: \text{Hom}(U/U', Z) \rightarrow \text{End}(U)$  defined by  $\alpha(h)(u) = uh(uU')$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(U)$  operates on all objects involved and clearly preserves  $\alpha$ , the intersection  $\text{Im } \alpha \cap \text{Aut}(U)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(U)$ .

Applying this to our case set  $U = V(S)$ ,  $U' = V_2(S)$   $Z = V_h(S)$  (c.f. 0.5). Then  $U/U' \simeq G_a(S)'$  and the map  $\alpha$  is just the map  $w_C$  defined in 2.3. Since  $Z \subset U'$  it follows that  $\text{Im } \alpha \cap \text{Aut } U = C(S)$  is normal in  $\text{Aut } U$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let  $\bar{W}$  be the subfunctor of  $\mathbf{A}_v$  generated by  $E, I$  and  $C$ . Then  $D$  normalizes  $\bar{W}$  and  $D\bar{W}$  is a semidirect product.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  normalizes  $C$  and  $I$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}_V$  it suffices to show  $D$  normalizes  $E$ .

Let  $r_i \in \Phi$  and  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . Let  $e \in E(S)$  be the extremal automorphism determined by  $x_{r_i}(t) \rightarrow x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(ut)x_{r_N}(Kut^2)$ ,  $K$  an appropriate constant and suppose  $d \in D(S)$  corresponds to the character  $\alpha$ .

Now  $ded^{-1}[x_{r_i}(t)] = de[x_{r_i}(\alpha(r_i)^{-1}t)]$ . Put  $s = \alpha(r_i)^{-1}t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} de[x_{r_i}(s)] &= d[x_{r_i}(s)x_{r_N-r_i}(us)x_{r_N}(Kus^2)] \\ &= x_{r_i}(\alpha(r_i)s)x_{r_N-r_i}(\alpha(r_N - r_i)us)x_{r_N}(K\alpha(r_N)us^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is a character  $\alpha(r_N - r_i) = \alpha(r_N)\alpha(r_i)^{-1}$ . Thus

$$ded^{-1}[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(u't)x_{r_N}(Ku't^2)$$

where  $u' = (\alpha(r_N)/[\alpha(r_i)]^2) \cdot u$ .

Now suppose  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$  ( $l \geq 3$ ) and  $e$  is given by  $x_{r_i}(t) \rightarrow x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-2r_i}(ut)x_{r_N-r_i}(Kut^2) \cdot x_{r_N}(Lut^3)$ —again for appropriate  $K$  and  $L$ . Then computing as above we find  $ded^{-1}$  is the extremal automorphism

$$x_{r_i}(t) \rightarrow x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-2r_i}(u't)x_{r_N-r_i}(Ku't^2)x_{r_N}(Lu't^2)$$

where  $u' = (\alpha(r_N)/\alpha(r_i)^3) \cdot u$ . Hence, in any case,  $D(S)$  normalizes  $E(S)$  and hence  $W(S)$ .

The last assertion of the proposition will follow if we can show that  $D \cap \bar{W} = \{1\}$ . Let  $w \in \bar{W}(S)$  and  $d \in D(S)$  corresponding to the character  $\alpha$ . Write  $w = eic$  with  $e \in E(S)$ ,  $c \in C(S)$  and  $i \in I(S)$ . Then if  $d = w$  we have  $c = i^{-1}e^{-1}d$ . Let  $r_i \in \Phi$ . Then for all  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_s)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} c[x_{r_i}(t)] &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N}(c_i(t)) = i^{-1}e^{-1}d[x_{r_i}(t)] \\ &= i^{-1}e^{-1}[x_{r_i}(\alpha(r_i)t)] \\ &= x_{r_i}(\alpha(r_i)t) \cdot x_s(t) \cdots \\ &\quad \text{with } r_i < s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha(r_i) = 1$  for all  $r_i \in \Phi$  hence  $d = 1$ .

Let  $G = D\bar{W}$ . We call  $G$  the *connected component* of  $A_V$ . The next proposition justifies this terminology.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *The subgroup  $\Pi$  normalizes  $G$  and  $A_V$  is the semi-direct product of  $\Pi$  and  $G$ .*

*Proof.* We have seen in 2.1 that  $\Pi$  normalizes  $C$  and it clearly normalizes  $I$ , so it remains to show that  $\Pi$  normalizes  $D$  and  $E$ .

Let  $d \in D(S)$  correspond to the character  $\alpha$ . Then if  $\rho \in \Pi$ ,  $\rho d \rho^{-1}[x_r(t)] = x_r(\alpha(\rho^{-1}(r))t)$ . Hence  $\Pi$  normalizes  $D$ .

Now if  $r_i \in \Phi$  and  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ , then  $\rho(r_N - r_i) = r_N - \rho(r_i) \in \Sigma^+$ , for  $\rho \in \Pi$ . Thus  $\rho(r_i) = r_i$  if  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_l$  and  $\rho(r_1) = r_1$  if  $\Sigma$  is of type  $A_l$  and  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $i = 1, l$ . A straightforward computation now shows that, if  $e \in E(R)$  and  $e[x_r(t)] = x_r(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(ut) \cdot x_{r_N}(Kut^2)$ , then  $\rho e \rho^{-1} = e' \in E(R)$ . In fact, if  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_l$ , then  $\rho e \rho^{-1} = e$ . If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$  ( $l \geq 3$ ), then  $\pi = 1$  and there is nothing to prove.

Now suppose  $\rho \in \Pi$  and  $\rho = diec$ ,  $d \in D(S)$ ,  $i \in I(S)$ ,  $e \in E(S)$  and  $c \in C(S)$ . Then  $d^{-1}\rho$  maps  $X_r(S)$  onto  $X_{\rho(r_i)}(S)$ . But  $iec$  acts trivially on  $V(S)/V_2(S)$ , since  $\Sigma \neq A_2$  or  $B_2$ . Hence  $\rho(r_i) = r_i$  and  $\rho$  is trivial. This completes the proof.

We need the following well known lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $v = x_{s_1}(t_1) \cdot x_{s_2}(t_2) \cdot \dots \cdot x_{s_K}(t_K)$  be an element of  $V(S)$  with  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_K$ . Then for all  $r \in \Sigma^+$  and all  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$*

$$(\text{int } v)x_r(t) = x_r(t)x_{r+s_i}(C_{11rs_i}(-t)) \dots$$

where  $i \leq K$  is the least integer such that  $r + s_i \in \Sigma^+$ .

*Proof.* If  $K = 1$  the result follows from Chevalley's commutator formula [1: 5.2.2]. The general case follows by a straight forward induction argument.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *An element  $c \in C(S)$  lies in  $E(S) \cdot I(S)$  if and only if it lies in  $I(S)$  and is conjugation by an element of  $V_{h-1}(S)$ , where  $h = h(r_N)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $c = e \cdot \gamma \neq 1$ . Let  $r_i \in \Phi$  with  $r_N - r_i \notin \Sigma^+$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c[x_{r_i}(t)] &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N}(c_i(t)) \\ &= e\gamma[x_{r_i}(t)] \\ &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r_i s_j}(-t)) \dots \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \text{int } x_{s_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{s_k}(t_k)$  and  $j$  is the least integer such that  $r_i + s_j \in \Sigma^+$ . Equating terms, we see that  $r_i + s_j = r_N$ ; i.e.,  $s_j = r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . This is a contradiction unless  $\gamma$  acts trivially on  $x_{r_i}(t)$  and  $c_i(t) \equiv 0$ . Hence  $c[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)$  unless  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ .

Now suppose  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $r_i \in \Phi$  and  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $C_l$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c[x_{r_i}(t)] &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N}(c_i(t)) \\ &= e[x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r,s_j}(-tt_j)) \cdots] \\ &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(ut)x_{r_N}(\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N-r_i,r_i}ut^2) \\ &\quad \cdot x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r,s_j}(-tt_j)) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We must have  $r_i + s_j = r_N - r_i$ . But then  $s_j = r_N - 2r_i \in \Sigma^+$  a contradiction, thus we conclude  $e = 1$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c[x_{r_i}(t)] &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N}(c_i(t)) \\ &= e[x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r,s_j}(-tt_j)) \cdots] \\ &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-2r_i}(f(t))x_{r_N-r_i}(g(t))x_{r_N}(h(t)) \\ &\quad \cdot x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r,s_j}(-tt_j)) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Now if  $f$  is not identically zero, we have  $r_i + s_j = r_N - 2r_i$  so that  $s_j = r_N - 3r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . But this is impossible since the Cartan matrix for  $C_l$  shows that the  $r_i$  chain of roots through  $r_N$  has length 2. Thus  $f$  is identically zero. It must happen, then, that  $r_i + s_j = r_N - r_i$  so that  $s_j = r_N - 2r_i$  and  $g(t) = N_{r_i,r_N-2r_i}(tt_j)$ .

We have shown that  $e$  is given by

$$x_{r_i}(t) \rightarrow x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(ut)x_{r_N}(\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N-r_i,r_i}ut^2)$$

where  $u = N_{r_i,r_N-2r_i}t_j = -N_{r_N-2r_i,r_i}t_j$ . (Recall  $N_{rs} = -N_{sr}$  all  $r, s \in \Sigma^+$ .) Consider now the effect of  $\text{int } x_{r_N-2r_i}(t_j)$ . Recall  $r_N - 2r_i + r \in \Sigma^+$  only if  $r = r_i$ . Thus we need only consider  $\text{int } x_{r_N-2r_i}(t_j)$  acting on  $x_{r_i}(t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int } x_{r_N-2r_i}(t_j)[x_{r_i}(t)] &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(N_{r_i,r_N-2r_i}(-tt_j)) \\ &\quad \cdot x_{r_N}(C_{21,r_i,r_N-2r_i}t^2t_j) \\ &= x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(-ut)x_{r_N}(C_{21,r_i,r_N-2r_i}t^2t_j). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} C_{21,r_i,r_N-2r_i}t_j &= \frac{1}{2}N_{r_i,r_N-2r_i}N_{r_i,r_N-r_i}t_j \\ &= \frac{1}{2}N_{r_i,r_N-r_i}u = -\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N-r_i,r_i}u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{int } x_{r_N-2r_i}(t_j) = e^{-1}$  and, hence, if  $c \in C(S) \cap E(S)I(S)$ , then  $c \in I(S)$ .

It follows that for  $r_i \in \Phi$ ,  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$

$$\begin{aligned} c[x_r(t)] &= x_r(t)x_{r_N}(c(t)) \\ &= x_r(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r_i s_j}(-tt_j)) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Equating terms we see that  $r_i + s_j = r_N$  so  $s_j = r_N - r_i$ . If  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_l$ , then we could only have  $\gamma = \text{int } x_{r_N-r_i}(\alpha)$ , since there is just one root of height  $h - 1$ . In this case, we have

$$\text{int } x_{r_N-r_i}(\alpha)[x_r(t)] = x_r(t)x_{r_N}(N_{r_i, r_N-r_i}(-\alpha t)).$$

Again equating terms we see  $N_{r_N-r_i, r_i}\alpha t = c_i(t)$ .

If  $\Sigma$  is of type  $A_l$ , then there are two roots  $r_i, r_l \in \Phi$  with  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . Just as above, we see that conjugation by a suitable element of  $X_{r_N-r_i}(S)$ ,  $i = 1, l$ , has the same effect as a central automorphism on  $X_r(S)$ ,  $i = 1, l$ . In particular, these two types of automorphisms commute with each other since  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_2$  and thus their product gives an element of  $C(S)$ . Since the  $x_{r_i}(t)$ ,  $r_i \in \Phi$ ,  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$  generate  $V(S)$  as a group, the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The subfunctor  $W = EI$  is representable.*

*Proof.* We shall show that  $W$  is the semi-direct product of two representable functors. Suppose first that  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $C_l$ . We claim that, in this case,  $W$  is the semi-direct product of  $E$  and  $I$ .

Let  $e \in E(S) \cap I(S)$ , say  $e = \gamma$  with  $\gamma = \text{int } x_{s_1}(t_1) \cdots x_{s_k}(t_k)$ . Then if  $r_N - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ ,  $r_i \in \Phi$ , using Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} e[x_r(t)] &= x_r(t)x_{r_N-r_i}(ut)x_{r_N}(\frac{1}{2}N_{r_N-r_i, r_i}ut^2) \\ &= \gamma[x_r(t)] = x_r(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r_i, r_i+s_j} - t_1 t) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Equating terms we see that  $r_i + s_j = r_N - r_i$ ; hence  $s_j = r_N - 2r_i \in \Sigma^+$ , a contradiction. Hence  $e = \gamma = 1$ .

Now suppose  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e[x_r(t)] &= x_r(t)x_{r_N-2r_i}(ut) \cdots = \gamma[x_r(t)] \\ &= x_r(t)x_{r_i+s_j}(N_{r_i, r_i+s_j}(tt_j)) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $r_i + s_j = r_N - 2r_i$  so  $s_j = r_N - 3r_i \in \Sigma^+$ , a contradiction. Hence  $e$  must be of the type considered in the first part of the proof. Equating

terms again, we find that  $s_j = r_N - 2r_i$ . As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we can find  $\alpha \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$  such that  $\text{int } x_{r_N-2r_i}(\alpha) = e$ . Now put

$$E_1 = \{e \in E(S) \mid e[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)x_{r_N-2r_i}(ut) \cdots, \\ e[x_r(t)] = x_r(t), r \neq r_i\}.$$

Then  $E_1 \cap I(S) = 1$  so  $W$  is the semi-direct product of  $E_1$  and  $I(S)$ .

Finally, since  $E, E_1$  and  $I$  are representable, so is  $W$ .

Let  $S$  be a reduced  $A$ -algebra and  $c$  an element of  $\Lambda(S) = \text{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(G_a \times_A S, G_a \times_A S)$ . Then, since  $G_a \times_A S = \text{Spec } O_S[T]$ , the element  $c$  is completely determined by a polynomial  $c(T)$  in  $O_S[T]$ . We can write  $c(T) = c_1(T) + c_2(T)$ , where  $c_1(T)$  has degree  $\leq 1$  and  $c_2(T)$  contains no terms of degree less than 2. It follows that  $C(S) = C_1(S) \times C_2(S)$ , where  $C_1(S) = \{c \in C(S) : \text{degree } c_1 \leq 1\}$  and  $C_2(S) = \{c \in C(S) : c \text{ has no terms of degree less than } 2\}$ . It is also clear that if  $c \in C_1(S)$ , then  $c$  has no constant term. Thus  $C = C_1 \times C_2$  where  $C_1 \cong G_a^I$ . An entirely straight forward computation shows that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are both normal subfunctors of  $\mathbf{A}_v$ . In fact,  $E$  and  $I$  centralize  $C$  so one need only check conjugation by elements of  $D$  and  $\pi$  and the result for these subgroups follows essentially from the definitions.

We define  $H$  to be the subfunctor of  $\mathbf{A}_v$  generated by  $\pi, D, E, I$  and  $C_1$  and set  $N = C_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *For any  $A$ -scheme  $S, \mathbf{A}_v(S)$  is the semi-direct product of  $H(S)$  and  $N(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $\langle H(S), N(S) \rangle = \mathbf{A}_v(S)$  and it suffices to show that  $H(S) \cap N(S) = 1$ . This follows from 2.2, 2.3 and 2.5.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *The subgroup  $H$  is represented by a smooth solvable  $A$ -group scheme and  $\mathbf{A}_v$  is a sheaf on the Zariski site  $\text{Sch}/A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H_0 = \langle D, E, I, C_1 \rangle$ . Then

$$1 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. But  $\pi$  is solvable by 1.4 and the solvability of  $H_0$  follows from 2.2 and 2.5. That  $H$  is representable is a consequence of the semi-direct product decompositions  $H = \pi \cdot H_0, H_0 = D \cdot EIC_1$ , Proposition 2.6 and the representability of  $D, E, I, C_1$  and  $\pi$ .

The second assertion will follow if we show  $N$  is a sheaf since  $\mathbf{A}_v = H \cdot N$ . But  $N \cong \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_a$  which is clearly a sheaf on  $\text{Sch}/A$ .

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $S$  be a reduced  $A$ -scheme. Then the canonical map  $j: \mathbf{A}_V(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}_V(S)$  is an isomorphism. Consequently  $\mathbf{A}_V \simeq \mathbf{Aut}_V$  on  $(\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S \in (\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$  be given and  $\{S_i\}$  an open affine covering of  $S$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}_V$  and  $\mathbf{Aut}_V$  are sheaves we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{A}_V(S) & \rightarrow & \prod_i \mathbf{A}_V(S_i) & \rightrightarrows & \prod_{i,j} \mathbf{A}_V(S_i \cap S_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Aut}_V(S) & \rightarrow & \prod_i \mathbf{Aut}_V(S_i) & \rightrightarrows & \prod_{i,j} \mathbf{Aut}_V(S_i \cap S_j)
 \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact. It follows that the theorem holds if and only if it holds for affine schemes  $S$ . Moreover, since connected components are open, we may also assume each  $S_i$  is also connected so it is enough to establish the result when  $S = \text{Spec } R$  is reduced and connected.

The theorem holds when  $R$  is a field by [4: Theorem 6.2]. We indicate now how each step used in the proof of the case for fields can be carried out over  $R$ . The proof proceeds through seven steps. We write  $\mathbf{Aut}_V(R)$  for  $\mathbf{Aut}_V(\text{Spec } R)$ .

I. Let  $t_1, \dots, t_l$  be arbitrary nonzero elements of  $R$  and suppose  $\theta \in \mathbf{Aut}_V(R)$ . If  $\theta[x_n(t_i)] = \prod_{j=1}^l x_n(t_j) \pmod{V_2(R)}$ , then the matrix  $T = [t_{ij}]$  is monomial; i.e.,  $T$  has just one entry in each row and each column. Consequently,  $\theta(X_n) = X_{\rho(n)} \pmod{V_2}$ , where  $\rho$  is some permutation of  $1, \dots, l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_1, \dots, y_l$  be indeterminants and put  $A' = R[y_1, \dots, y_l]$ . Let  $\theta_{A'}$  be the image of  $\theta$  under the homomorphism  $\mathbf{Aut}_V(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aut}_V(A')$ . We confuse  $\theta_{A'}$  with  $\theta_{A'}(A') \in \mathbf{Aut}_{\text{Gr}}(V(A'))$ . Suppose  $\theta_{A'}(x_n(y_i)) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^l x_n(y_{ij}) \pmod{V_2(A')}$  with  $y_{ij} \in A'$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Let  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ . Then  $\bar{y}_i \neq 0$  in  $R/p[y_1, \dots, y_l] = A'/p$  and we have  $\theta_{A'/p}[\bar{y}_i] \equiv \prod_{j=1}^l x_n(\bar{y}_{ij})$ . The automorphism  $\theta_{A'/p}$  extends uniquely to an automorphism of  $V(K)$  where  $K$  is the quotient field of  $A'/p$ . Then by [4:6.3] the matrix  $T_{A'/p} = [\bar{y}_{ij}]$  is monomial. Since this holds for all  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ ,  $R$  is reduced and  $S$  is connected we have shown that  $T_{A'} = [y_{ij}]$  is monomial.

Now let  $\varphi: A' \rightarrow R$  be the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism determined by  $\varphi(Y_i) = t_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . This induces a group homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}: V(A') \rightarrow V(R)$  and moreover

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V(A') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & V(R) \\
 \downarrow \theta_{A'} & & \downarrow \theta \\
 V(A') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & V(R)
 \end{array}$$

commutes. It follows that  $T = [t_{ij}] = \varphi [T_{A'}] = [\varphi(y_{ij})]$  is monomial and that  $\theta[X_{r_i}] \equiv X_{r_{\rho(i)}} \pmod{V_2}$  for some permutation  $\rho$  of  $1, 2, \dots, l$ .

II. Let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_V(R)$ . Then there exist a graph automorphism  $g \in \Pi$  such that  $g^{-1}\theta(X_{r_i}(R)) \equiv X_{r_i}(R) \pmod{V_2(R)}$  for each fundamental root subgroup  $X_{r_i}(R)$ ,  $r_i \in \Phi$ .

*Proof.* By step I,  $\theta(X_{r_i}(R)) \equiv X_{r_{\rho(i)}}(R) \pmod{V_2(R)}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , where  $\rho$  is some permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, l$ . Then it follows as in [4:6.4] that  $\rho$  induces a symmetry of  $\Sigma$ —the set of all roots. The corresponding graph automorphism  $g \in \Pi$  does the trick.

III. Let  $g$  and  $\theta$  be as in II. Then there is a diagonal automorphism  $d \in D(R)$  such that  $d^{-1}g^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \pmod{V_2(R)}$ .

*Proof.* By II,  $g^{-1}\theta$  induces an automorphism of  $V(R)/V_2(R)$  which sends  $X_{r_i}(R) \pmod{V_2(R)}$  into itself. Let  $g^{-1}\theta[x_{r_i}(1)] \equiv x_{r_i}(u_i) \pmod{V_2(R)}$ . Then  $g^{-1}\theta$  acts on  $V(R)/V_2(R) \cong A^l(R)$  via the matrix  $T = \text{diag}(u_1, \dots, u_l)$ . Since  $g^{-1}\theta$  is an automorphism, it follows that  $u_i$  is a unit in  $R$  all  $i$ . Let  $d \in D(R)$  be the diagonal automorphism determined by the homomorphism  $\alpha: M \rightarrow R^*$  given by  $\alpha(r_i) = u_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Then  $d^{-1}g^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V(R)/V_2(R)$ .

IV. Let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_V(R)$  and suppose  $\theta$  acts trivially on  $V \pmod{V_2}$ . Then there is an inner automorphism  $i \in I$  such that  $i^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V \pmod{V_m}$  where  $m = h - 1$  if  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $C_l$  and  $m = h - 2$  if  $\Sigma$  is of type  $C_l$  and where  $h = h(r_N)$  is the height of the highest root.

*Proof.* It clearly suffices to show that if  $2 \leq n \leq m - 1$  and  $\theta$  acts trivially on  $V \pmod{V_m}$ , then there exist an inner automorphism  $i \in I$  such that  $i^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V \pmod{V_{n+1}}$ .

Let  $s \in \Sigma^+$  have height  $n$  and suppose that for some fundamental root  $r_i \in \Phi$

$$\theta[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)x_s(f(t)) \cdots$$

all  $t \in R$  with  $f \in R[x]$  not identically zero. Then by arguments similar to those in [4:6.7],  $s - r_i \in \Sigma^+$ . For the remainder of the argument we replace  $s - r_i$  by  $s$  so  $h(s) = n - 1$  and

$$\theta[x_{r_i}(t)] = x_{r_i}(t)x_{s+r_i}(f(t)).$$

We claim there is an element  $i_s \in I$  such that the element  $i_s =$

$i_s(R) \in I(R)$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_n(R)$  and  $i_s[x_r(1)] = x_r(1)x_{s+r}(f(1)) \cdots$ .

To see this, suppose  $i_s = \text{int } x_s(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in R$  to be determined. Then  $i_s$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_n(R)$  by the Chevalley commutator formula [1:5.2.2]. Moreover

$$x_s(-\alpha)x_r(1)x_s(\alpha) = x_r(1)x_{s+r}(C_{11,s,r} - \alpha \cdot 1) \cdots$$

Since  $C_{11,s,r} \neq 0$  is an integer whose absolute value is less than 4,  $C_{11,s,r}$  is a unit in  $R$  and we take  $\alpha = \pm C_{11,s,r}^{-1}f(1)$ .

We now have  $i_s^{-1}\theta[x_r(t)] = x_r(t)x_{s+r}(g(t)) \cdots$  where  $g(1) = 0$ . We claim that  $g$  is identically zero and moreover if there is an  $r_j \in \Phi$ ,  $i \neq j$ , such that  $s + r_j \in \Sigma^+$  and  $i_s^{-1}\theta[x_{r_j}(t)] = x_{r_j}(t)x_{s+r_j}(g'(t)) \cdots$ , then  $g'$  is also identically zero. But all of the above relations hold after reduction to  $R/P$  for any  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ . If  $K$  is the quotient field of  $R/P$ , the above relations hold for the image of  $i_s^{-1}\theta$  in  $\text{Aut}_G(V(K))$ . Then by arguments similar to those given in [4:6.7]  $g$  and  $g'$  are identically zero.

Now we can find such an inner automorphism  $i_s$  for each root  $s$  such that  $h(s) = n - 1$ . If we put  $i$  equal to the product of all these inner automorphisms, then  $i^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V(R)/V_{n+1}(R)$  and the lemma is proved.

The last three stages of the argument consist in showing the following:

V. If  $V$  is of type  $C_l$  and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_V(R)$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_{h-2}(R)$  then there are extremal and inner automorphisms  $i$  and  $e$  in  $\text{Aut}_V(R)$  such that  $e^{-1}i^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_{h-1}(R)$ .

VI. If  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_V(R)$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_{h-1}$  then there exist an inner automorphism  $i \in I(R)$  such that  $i^{-1}\theta$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_{h-1}(R)$  and on  $V_2(R)$ .

VII. If  $\theta \in \text{Aut}_V(R)$  acts trivially on  $V(R) \bmod V_{h-1}(R)$  and on  $V_2(R)$  then  $\theta = iec$  where  $i \in I(R)$ ,  $e \in E(R)$  and  $c \in C(R)$ .

The proofs of these assertions follow from adaptations of the proofs of Lemmas 6.8, 6.9 and 6.10 of [4] similar to the arguments we have given above.

We have shown that the subfunctors  $\Pi, D, I, E$  and  $C$  generate  $\text{Aut}_V$  when these functors are restricted to  $(\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$  and  $V$  is not of type  $B_2$  or  $A_2$ . These two special groups can be treated directly just as in [4:6.11]. We discuss them in §3.

Now let  $k$  be a field whose characteristic is different from 2 and 3. Applying the base change functor  $-x_A k$  we obtain  $V_k$  a unipotent  $k$ -group of Chevalley type. In this case we can summarize the above results as follows:

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Let  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)$  be a unipotent  $k$ -group of Chevalley type. Assume that  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$  and that  $\Sigma$  is not of type  $A_2$  or  $B_2$ . Let  $\text{Aut}_V(S) = \text{Aut}_{S, \text{gr}}(V \times_k S)$  for all  $S$  in  $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{red}}$ . Then*

(i) *There exists an exact sequence of group valued functors on  $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{red}}$*

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$$

*making  $\text{Aut}_V$  the semi-direct product of  $H$  and  $N$ .*

(ii) *The functor  $H$  is representable by a smooth solvable algebraic  $k$ -group scheme.*

(iii) *If  $\text{char } k \neq 0$  then  $N \cong \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_a$ .*

(iv) *If  $\text{char } k = 0$  then  $N = 0$  and  $\text{Aut}_V \cong H$ .*

**3. The cases  $\Sigma = A_2$  or  $B_2$ .** Let  $\Sigma = A_2$ ,  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)$  and  $A_V$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_V$  generated by  $\Pi, D, E, I$  and  $C$ . Let  $\Sigma = \{r, s, r + s\}$ . An easy computation shows that  $I \subset C$  in this case.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $S$  be an  $A$ -scheme and  $\rho: A_V(S) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{S, \text{gr}}(V/X_{r+s} \times_A S)$  be the canonical homomorphism induced by passage to the quotient. Then the image of  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GL}_2(S)$ , the kernel of  $\rho$  is  $C(S)$  and the exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow C(S) \rightarrow A_V(S) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(S) \rightarrow 1$$

*has a section making  $A_V(S)$  the semi-direct product of  $\text{GL}_2(S)$  and  $C(S)$ . In particular  $A_V \cong \text{GL}_2 \cdot C$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta \in A_V(S)$  and suppose  $\theta$  acts trivially on  $V/X_{r+s} \times_A S$ . Then  $\theta(x_r(t)) = x_r(t)x_{r+s}(f_r(t))$  and  $\theta(x_s(t)) = x_s(t)x_{r+s}(f_s(t))$  for all  $t \in \Gamma(S, O_S)$ . It is easy to see that  $f_r$  and  $f_s$  give rise to a unique  $c \in C(S)$  and that  $\theta = c$ . This shows that  $C(S)$  is the kernel of  $\rho$ .

Since  $A_V(S)$  is generated by  $\Pi, D(S), E(S)$  and  $C(S)$ , and since  $I(S) \subset C(S) = \text{Ker } \rho$ , to show that  $\text{Im } \rho = \text{GL}_2(S)$  it suffices to show that the images of  $\Pi, D(S)$  and  $E(S)$  under  $\rho$  lie in  $\text{GL}_2(S)$ . For  $\Pi$  and  $D(S)$  this is clear. Recall that  $E(S)$  is generated by two types of extremal automorphism  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ :  $e_1$  fixes  $X_s(S)$  and  $X_{r+s}(S)$  and maps  $x_r(t)$  to  $x_r(t)x_s(at)x_{r+s}(\frac{1}{2}at^2)$ ,  $a, t \in G_a(S)$  and  $e_2 = \sigma \cdot e_1 \sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is the generator of  $\Pi$ . Then  $\rho(e_1)$  is represented by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\text{GL}_2(S)$

and it follows that  $\rho(E(S)) \subset GL_2(S)$  so  $\text{Im } \rho = GL_2(S)$ . For any matrix  $M = \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix}$  in  $GL_2(S)$  define  $S'(M): V(S) \rightarrow V(S)$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 S'(M): \quad & x_r(t) \rightarrow x_r(at)x_s(bt)x_{r+s}(-abt^2) \\
 & x_s(t) \rightarrow x_r(ct)x_s(dt)x_{r+s}(\frac{1}{2}cdt^2) \\
 & x_{r+s}(t) \rightarrow x_{r+s}((ad - bc)t).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is an easy matter to verify that  $S'(M)$  induces an automorphism of  $V(S)$  hence also  $V_{X_A}S$ . It follows that  $\rho$  is surjective and as one sees easily  $S'$  is a homomorphism so that the remaining assertions of the theorem follow.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *The group valued functors  $A_V$  and  $Aut_V$  are isomorphic on  $(\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$ .*

*Proof.* The corollary follows from a straight forward adaptation of Gibbs result [4:6.11] similar to the proof of Theorem 2.9 using the fact that  $A_V \cong GL_2 \cdot C$  is a sheaf.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $\Sigma = A_2$  and  $k$  be a field with  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$ . Let  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)_k$  and  $Aut_V$  the functor on  $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{red}}$  given by  $S \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{S\text{-gr}}(V \times_k S)$ . Then there exists an exact sequence of group valued functors*

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Aut_V \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$$

on  $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{red}}$  such that

- (i)  $H$  is representable by an affine algebraic  $k$ -group.
- (ii)  $Aut_V \cong H \cdot N$ .
- (iii) There is a split exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow H \rightarrow GL_2 \rightarrow 1$$

- (iv) If  $\text{char } k \neq 0$ ,  $N \cong \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  and if  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $N = 0$ .

*Proof.* Everything has been established except (iii) which follows from the fact that (using the notation of 2.7)  $E_1 = I$ .

When  $\Sigma = B_2$ ,  $\Pi = 1$  and  $A_V$  is generated by  $D, E, I$  and  $C$ . By methods entirely analogous to those above, we obtain the following result:

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $V = V(\Phi, \Sigma)$  be a unipotent  $A$ -group of Cheval-*

ley type with  $\Sigma = B_2$ . Then there exists an exact sequence of group valued functors on  $(\text{Sch}/A)_{\text{red}}$ .

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Aut}_V \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$$

such that

- (i)  $\text{Aut}_V = H \cdot N$  (semi-direct product).
- (ii)  $H$  is representable by a connected solvable affine  $k$ -group.

The analogous results to 3.3 hold for  $k$  a field,  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$ .

REMARKS. 1. At present we do not know whether the group functors  $\mathbf{A}_V$  and  $\text{Aut}_V$  are isomorphic as functors on  $\text{Sch}/A$ . Even over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero the situation is not clear.

We have excluded the trivial case  $V = G_a$  throughout. However, in this case, we can see that  $\mathbf{A}_V$  and  $\text{Aut}_V$  are distinct on  $\text{Sch}/k$ . In fact,  $\mathbf{A}_V \cong G_m$  in any characteristic. On the other hand, if  $R$  is a nonreduced  $k$ -algebra and  $u \in R$ ,  $u \neq 0$ ,  $u^2 = 0$ , then the map  $R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$  determined by  $x \rightarrow x + u \cdot f(x)$ ,  $f(x)$  any additive polynomial gives an automorphism of  $G_a$  which is not necessarily in  $\mathbf{A}_V(R)$ .

2. If  $k$  is a field with  $\text{char } k \neq 2, 3$ , then using Gibbs results one obtains immediately that the automorphism group of  $V(k)$  (considered now as the  $k$ -rational points of  $V(\bar{k})$ ,  $\bar{k}$  an algebraic closure of  $k$ ) is the semi-direct product of the group  $\text{Aut}_V(k)$  and  $\text{Aut}(k)$ . In particular, if  $\text{char } k = 0$ , one obtains the expected result that  $\text{Aut}(V(k))$  is the semi-direct product of a group of matrices over  $k$  and the group of automorphisms of the field  $k$ .

3. Again, let  $k$  be a field with appropriate characteristic restrictions. Then it follows from what we have developed above that any finite set of automorphisms of  $V \times_A k$  is contained in a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_V(k)$  which is represented by an algebraic  $k$ -group. This follows from an examination of the ‘central components’ of the given automorphisms—for if  $\alpha \in N(k)$  then the degrees of the polynomials in  $k[x]$  (c.f. 2.6 ff) determining  $\alpha$  are bounded. This bound determines a certain representable subfunctor.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, New York, 1972.
2. M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, (SGA3), *Schemas en Groups*, Vols. I, II and III, Springer Lecture Notes, Nos. 151, 152, 153. New York, 1970.

3. A. Fauntleroy, *Defining normal subgroups of unipotent algebraic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **50** (1975).
4. J. Gibbs, *Automorphisms of certain unipotent groups*, J. Algebra, **14** (1970).
5. G. P. Hochschild, and G. Mostow, *Automorphisms of affine algebraic groups*, J. Algebra, **13** (1969).
6. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
7. H. Matsumura, and F. Oort, *Representability of group functors and automorphisms of algebraic schemes*, Inventiones, **4** (1967).
8. J. Sullivan, *Automorphisms of affine unipotent groups in positive characteristic*, J. Algebra, **26** (1973).

Received March 31, 1976.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS — URBANA — CHAMPAIGN