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#### Abstract

For every odd integer $c \geq 21$, we raise an example of a prime componentpreservingly amphicheiral link with the minimal crossing number $c$. The link has two components, and consists of an unknot and a knot which is (-)-amphicheiral with odd minimal crossing number. We call the latter knot a Stoimenow knot. We also show that the Stoimenow knot is not invertible by the Alexander polynomials.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{r}$ be an oriented $r$-component link in $S^{3}$. A 1-component link is called a knot. For an oriented knot $K$, we denote the orientation-reversed knot by $-K$. If $\varphi$ is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism of $S^{3}$ so that $\varphi\left(K_{i}\right)=\varepsilon_{\sigma(i)} K_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$ where $\varepsilon_{i}=+$ or - , and $\sigma$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, then $L$ is called an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r} ; \sigma\right)$-amphicheiral link. A term "amphicheiral link" is used as a general term for an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r} ; \sigma\right)$-amphicheiral link. If $\varphi$ can be taken as an involution (i.e. $\varphi^{2}=\mathrm{id}$ ), then $L$ is called a strongly amphicheiral link. If $\sigma$ is the identity, then an amphicheiral link is called a component-preservingly amphicheiral link, and $\sigma$ may be omitted from the notation. If every $\varepsilon_{i}=\varepsilon$ is identical for all $i=1, \ldots, r$ (including the case that $\sigma$ is not the identity), then an $\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{r} ; \sigma\right)$-amphicheiral link is called an $(\varepsilon)$-amphicheiral link. We use the notations $+=+1=1$ and $-=-1$. For the case of invertibility, we only replace $\varphi$ with an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of $S^{3}$. We refer the reader to $[19,4,6,7,8,9]$.

The minimal crossing number of an alternating amphicheiral link is known to be even (cf. [8, Lemma 1.4]) from the positive answer for the flyping conjecture due to


Fig. 1. $15_{224980}$.
W. Menasco and M. Thistlethwaite [13]. The flyping conjecture is one of famous Tait's conjectures on alternating links, and it is also called Tait's conjecture III in [17]. The positive answer for the flyping conjecture implies those of Tait's conjecture I on the minimal crossing number (cf. [14]), and Tait's conjecture II on the writhe (cf. [15]). A. Stoimenow [17, Conjecture 2.4] sets a conjecture:

## "Amphicheiral (alternating?) knots have even crossing number."

as Tait's conjecture IV by guessing what Tait had in mind (i.e. Tait has not stated it explicitly). We pose the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.1 (a generalized version of Tait's conjecture IV). The minimal crossing number of an amphicheiral link is even.

For the case of alternating amphicheiral links, Conjecture 1.1 is affirmative as mentioned above from the answer for Tait's conjecture II. Hence it motivates to find an amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number. If there exists a counter-example for Conjecture 1.1, then it should be non-alternating.

A non-split link is prime if it is not a connected sum of non-trivial links. We assume that a prime link is non-split. There exists a prime amphicheiral knot with minimal crossing number 15 in the table of J. Hoste, M. Thistlethwaite and J. Weeks [5], which gives a negative answer for Conjecture 1.1 (the original Tait's conjecture IV). The knot is named $15_{224980}$ (Fig. 1). Stoimenow [18] showed that for every odd integer $c \geq 15$, there exists an example of a prime amphicheiral knot with minimal crossing number $c$. The case $c=15$ corresponds to $15_{224980}$. We call the sequence of knots Stoimenow knots (see Section 3). He also pointed out that there are no such examples for the case $c \leq 13$.

The first author and A. Kawauchi [9], and the first author [8] determined prime amphicheiral links with minimal crossing number up to 11 . Then there are two prime amphicheiral links with odd minimal crossing numbers named $9_{61}^{2}$ and $11_{n 247}^{2}$ (Fig. 2),


Fig. 2. $9_{61}^{2}$ and $11_{n 247}^{2}$.
where we use modified notations from Rolfsen's table [16] and Thistlethwaite's table on the web site maintained by D. Bar-Natan and S. Morrison [1]. These examples show that Conjecture 1.1 is negative for links. Since both $9{ }_{61}^{2}$ and $11_{n 247}^{2}$ are not componentpreservingly amphicheiral, we ask the following question (see also Question 5.5):

QUESTION 1.2. Is there a prime component-preservingly amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number?

If we remove 'prime' from Question 1.2, then we can obtain nugatory examples by taking split sum of a Stoimenow knot and an unknot, or connected sum of Stoimenow knot and the Hopf link. Our main theorem is an affirmative answer for Question 1.2 which is a negative answer for Conjecture 1.1:

Theorem 1.3. For every odd integer $c \geq 21$, there exists a prime componentpreservingly amphicheiral link with minimal crossing number c (Fig. 10).

Our example is a 2 -component link with linking number 3 whose components are a Stoimenow knot and an unknot. We prove it in Section 4. The proof is divided into three parts such as to show amphicheirality, to determine the minimal crossing number, and to show primeness. We can immediately see its amphicheirality by construction. Though to find the way of linking of the two components was not so easy, to determine the minimal crossing number is easy by the help of Stoimenow's result [18] (cf. Theorem 3.1). In [18], to determine the minimal crossing number and to show primeness of his knot were very hard. Finally we show primeness by using the Kauffman bracket (cf. Subsection 2.1). This part is also eased by Stoimenow's result. In Section 5, by R. Hartley [2], R. Hartley and A. Kawauchi [3], and A. Kawauchi [10]'s necessary conditions on the Alexander polynomials of amphicheiral knots, we show that a Stoimenow knot is not invertible (Theorem 5.4).


Fig. 3. Splice.

## 2. Link invariants

2.1. Kauffman bracket. Let $L$ be an $r$-component oriented link, and $D$ a diagram of $L$. Firstly we regard $D$ as an unoriented diagram. On a crossing of $D$, a splice is a replacement from the left-hand side (the crossing) to the right-hand side as in Fig. 3. Precisely, a 0 -splice is to the upper right-hand side, and an $\infty$-splice is to the down right-hand side, respectively. The resulting diagram is a state, and it is a diagram of an unlink without crossings. Let $s$ be a state, $|s|$ the number of components of $s, t_{0}(s)$ the number of 0 -splices to obtain $s, t_{\infty}(s)$ the number of $\infty$-splices to obtain $s, t(s)=t_{0}(s)-t_{\infty}(s)$, and $\mathcal{S}$ the set of states from $D$. Let $A$ be an indeterminate, and $d=-A^{2}-A^{-2}$. Then

$$
\langle D\rangle=\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} A^{t(s)} d^{|s|-1} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[A, A^{-1}\right]
$$

is the Kauffman bracket of $D$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{L}(A)=\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-w(D)}\langle D\rangle \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $f$-polynomial of $L$ where $w(D)$ is the writhe of $D$ as an oriented diagram. Then $f_{L}(A)$ is an invariant of $L$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{L}(t)=f_{L}\left(t^{1 / 4}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jones polynomial of $L$. We denote $\langle D\rangle$ as $\langle D\rangle(A)$ when we emphasis it as a function of $A$. We have the following facts:

Lemma 2.1. Let $L$ be an r-component oriented link, and $D$ a diagram of $L$.
(1) The Kauffman bracket $\langle D\rangle$ is an invariant of $L$ up to multiplications of $\left(-A^{3}\right)$. In particular, if we substitute a root of unity for $A$ and take its absolute value, then it is an invariant of $L$, which is a non-negative real number.
(2) We have the following skein relation (Fig. 4) which can be an axiom of the Kauffman bracket:

$$
\left.\rangle\rangle\rangle=A\langle \rangle\rangle\rangle,\langle \rangle\rangle=A^{-1}\langle \rangle\right\rangle=1
$$

Fig. 4. Skein relation I.


Fig. 5. Skein relation II.
(3) Let $L_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a link, $D_{i}$ a link diagram of $L_{i}$, and $D_{1} \amalg D_{2}\left(L_{1} \amalg L_{2}\right.$, respectively) the split sum of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}\left(L_{1}\right.$ and $L_{2}$, respectively). Then we have

$$
\left\langle D_{1} \amalg D_{2}\right\rangle=d\left\langle D_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle D_{2}\right\rangle, \quad f_{L_{1} \amalg L_{2}}(A)=d \cdot f_{L_{1}}(A) f_{L_{2}}(A)
$$

(4) Let $L_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a link, $D_{i}$ a link diagram of $L_{i}$, and $D_{1} \sharp D_{2}\left(L_{1} \sharp L_{2}\right.$, respectively) the connected sum of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}\left(L_{1}\right.$ and $L_{2}$, respectively). Then we have

$$
\left\langle D_{1} \sharp D_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle D_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle D_{2}\right\rangle, \quad f_{L_{1} \sharp L_{2}}(A)=f_{L_{1}}(A) f_{L_{2}}(A) .
$$

(5) We have a skein relation as in Fig. 5:
(6) Let $D^{*}\left(L^{*}\right.$, respectively) be the mirror image of $D$ ( $L$, respectively). Then we have

$$
\left\langle D^{*}\right\rangle(A)=\langle D\rangle\left(A^{-1}\right), \quad f_{L^{*}}(A)=f_{L}\left(A^{-1}\right)
$$

(7) $f_{L}(A) \in A^{2(r+1)} \cdot \mathbb{Z}\left[A^{4}, A^{-4}\right]$.
(8) Let $\zeta$ be a primitive 8 -th root of unity (i.e. $\zeta^{4}=-1$ and $\zeta^{8}=1$ ). Suppose that the number of the crossing number of $D$ is even. Then $\langle D\rangle(\zeta)$ is an integer or of the form $\sqrt{-1} \times$ (integer), which depends on $r$ and the writhe. In particular, for $r=1$, $\langle D\rangle(\zeta)$ is an integer if and only if the writhe is $0(\bmod 4)$.
(9) Let $\zeta$ be a primitive 8 -th root of unity. Then we have $|\langle D\rangle(\zeta)|=\left|V_{L}(-1)\right|$.

Lemma 2.1 (8) is obtained from (7) and (2.1), and it is a special case of (1). Lemma 2.1 (9) is obtained from (2.2).

Let $T_{m}$ be an $m$-half twist tangle for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $T_{\infty}$ a tangle in Fig. 6.
By Lemma 2.1 (2), (3), (4) and (5), we have the following:

Lemma 2.2. (1) We have

$$
\left\langle T_{m}\right\rangle=A^{m}\left\langle T_{0}\right\rangle+\alpha_{m}(A)\left\langle T_{\infty}\right\rangle
$$



Fig. 6. $m$-half twists.


Fig. 7. Skein triple.
where

$$
\alpha_{m}(A)=A^{m-2} \cdot \frac{1-\left(-A^{-4}\right)^{m}}{1-\left(-A^{-4}\right)}
$$

(2) $\alpha_{-m}(A)=\alpha_{m}\left(A^{-1}\right)$.
(3) Let $\zeta$ be a primitive 8-th root of unity. Then we have

$$
\alpha_{m}(\zeta)=m \zeta^{m-2}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{m}(\zeta) \cdot \alpha_{-m}(\zeta)=m^{2}
$$

2.2. Alexander and Conway polynomials. Let $L$ be an oriented link, and $D$ a diagram of $L$. Pick a crossing $c$ of $D$. If $c$ is a positive crossing (a negative crossing, respectively), then we denote $D$ by $L_{+}$( $L_{-}$, respectively). If $c$ is smoothed with preserving the orientation, then we denote $D$ by $L_{0}$. We call a pair ( $L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}$ ) a skein triple (Fig. 7).

For an oriented link $L$, the Conway polynomial of $L$ is denoted by $\nabla_{L}(z)$ which is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[z]$. For a skein triple $\left(L_{+}, L_{-}, L_{0}\right)$, the Conway polynomial is defined by the following skein relation:

$$
\nabla_{L_{+}}(z)-\nabla_{L_{-}}(z)=z \nabla_{L_{0}}(z), \quad \nabla_{O}(z)=1,
$$

where $O$ is the trivial knot.

Lemma 2.3. Let $L$ be an $r$-component oriented link, and $L^{*}$ the mirror image of $L$. Then we have

$$
\nabla_{L^{*}}(z)=\nabla_{L}(-z) .
$$



Fig. 8. Generator $\sigma_{i}$ of braid group, and $\delta_{i}$ and $\bar{\delta}_{i}$.
More precisely, $\nabla_{L^{*}}(z)=\nabla_{L}(z)$ if $r$ is odd, and $\nabla_{L^{*}}(z)=-\nabla_{L}(z)$ if $r$ is even.
For an $r$-component oriented link $L$, the (normalized one variable) Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{L}(t)$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_{L}(t)=\nabla_{L}\left(t^{1 / 2}-t^{-1 / 2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right] .
$$

For $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right], A \doteq B$ implies $A= \pm t^{m / 2} B$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $f, g \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ or $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right]$, if they are equal as elements in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})[z]$ or $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right]$, then we denote by $f={ }_{d} g$. For an oriented link $L$, if $\nabla_{L}(z)$ and $\Delta_{L}(t)$ are regarded as elements in $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})[z]$ and $(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})\left[t^{1 / 2}, t^{-1 / 2}\right]$ respectively, then we call them the $\bmod$ $d$ Conway polynomial of $L$ and the mod $d$ Alexander polynomial of $L$ respectively.

## 3. Stoimenow knots

Let $\sigma_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m-1)$ be a generator of the $m$-string braid group, and $\delta_{i}$ and $\bar{\delta}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m-1)$ tangles in Fig. 8. For an odd number $n \geq 15$, a Stoimenow knot with crossing number $n$, denoted by $S_{n}$, is the closure of the following composition of $\sigma_{i}, \delta_{i}$ and $\bar{\delta}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m-1)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
3 & -1 & 2^{2} & 3^{2 k} & 4 & -3 & 2 & -1 & (-2)^{2 k} & (-3)^{2} & 4 & -2 & (n=4 k+11), \\
\delta_{3} & -1 & 2^{2} & 3^{2 k} & 4 & -3 & 2 & -1 & (-2)^{2 k} & (-3)^{2} & 4 & \bar{\delta}_{2} & (n=4 k+13),
\end{array}
$$

where in the sequence above, $m=5, \sigma_{i}$ is translated into $i$ and $\sigma_{i}^{-1}$ is translated into $-i$, and $i^{l}$ implies that $i$ is repeated $l$ times with $l \geq 1$. The former is of type I , and the latter is of type II, respectively. Note that $S_{15}=15_{224980}$ in Fig. 1, and both two tangles above have $(n+1)$ crossings. We can see strong $(-)$-amphicheirality of $S_{n}$ from its diagram with $(n+1)$ crossings in the righthand side of Fig. 9.

## type I



Fig. 9. Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$.
Theorem 3.1 (Stoimenow [17, 18]). A Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$ is a prime strongly (-)-amphicheiral knot with minimal crossing number $n$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 3}$

We take a 2-component link $L_{n}=S_{n} \cup U$ whose components are a Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$ and an unknot $U$ as in Fig. 10. The link $L_{n}$ is of type I if $S_{n}$ is of type I, and is of type II if $S_{n}$ is of type II. We prove that $L_{n}$ is a prime component-preservingly amphicheiral link with minimal crossing number $n+6$, where $n+6$ is odd with $n+6 \geq$ 21 because $n$ is odd with $n \geq 15$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the righthand side of Fig. 10, $L_{n}$ is a componentpreservingly strongly $(-,+)$-amphicheiral link.

## type I


type II


Fig. 10. Prime component-preservingly amphicheiral link $L_{n}$.
The linking number of $L_{n}, \operatorname{lk}\left(L_{n}\right)$, is 3 by a suitable orientation. Let $c(\cdot)$ denote the minimal crossing number of a link. Since

$$
c\left(L_{n}\right) \geq c\left(S_{n}\right)+c(U)+2\left|\operatorname{kk}\left(L_{n}\right)\right|=n+6
$$

and the lefthand side of Fig. 10 realizes the lower bound, we have $c\left(L_{n}\right)=n+6$ and it is odd.

Finally we show that $L_{n}$ is prime by using the Kauffman bracket. Suppose that $L_{n}$ is not prime. Then $L_{n}$ is a connected sum of two links such that one is a Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$ and the other is a 2-component link with unknotted components and with linking number 3 by Theorem 3.1. Hence $\left\langle L_{n}\right\rangle$ should be divisible by $\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle$ by Lemma 2.1 (4). We compute $\left\langle L_{n}\right\rangle(\zeta)$ and $\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive 8 -th root of unity. By Lemma 2.1 (4) and (8), $\left|\left\langle L_{n}\right\rangle(\zeta)\right|$ should be divisible by $\left|\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle(\zeta)\right|$.


Fig. 11. Splices of $L_{n}$.
To compute $\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle L_{n}\right\rangle$, we set $K=S_{n}$ and $L=L_{n}$, and we denote the results of splicings by $K_{00}, K_{0 \infty}, K_{\infty 0}, K_{\infty \infty}, L_{00}, L_{0 \infty}, L_{\infty 0}$ and $L_{\infty \infty}$, respectively as in Fig. 11. Here we drew only the type I case. We can obtain the type II case in a similar way.

Then by Lemma 2.2 (1), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle K\rangle= & \left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle+A^{-2 k} \alpha_{2 k}(A)\left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle+A^{2 k} \alpha_{-2 k}(A)\left\langle K_{\infty 0}\right\rangle  \tag{4.1}\\
& +\alpha_{2 k}(A) \alpha_{-2 k}(A)\left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle L\rangle= & \left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle+A^{-2 k} \alpha_{2 k}(A)\left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle+A^{2 k} \alpha_{-2 k}(A)\left\langle L_{\infty 0}\right\rangle  \tag{4.2}\\
& +\alpha_{2 k}(A) \alpha_{-2 k}(A)\left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

We can see that $K_{00}$ and $K_{\infty \infty}$ are amphicheiral knot diagrams with writhe $0, K_{0 \infty}=$ $\left(K_{\infty 0}\right)^{*}$, the writhe of $K_{0 \infty}$ is -10 , the writhe of $K_{\infty 0}$ is $10, L_{00}$ and $L_{\infty \infty}$ are 2component amphicheiral link diagrams with writhe $6, L_{0 \infty}=\left(L_{\infty}\right)^{*}$, the writhe of $L_{0 \infty}$ is -4 , and the writhe of $L_{\infty 0}$ is 16 . By Lemma 2.1 (6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{00}(A)=K_{00}\left(A^{-1}\right), \quad K_{\infty \infty}(A)=K_{\infty \infty}\left(A^{-1}\right), \quad K_{\infty 0}(A)=K_{0 \infty}\left(A^{-1}\right), \\
& L_{00}(A)=L_{00}\left(A^{-1}\right), \quad L_{\infty \infty}(A)=L_{\infty \infty}\left(A^{-1}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad L_{\infty 0}(A)=L_{0 \infty}\left(A^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.2 (2), $A^{2 k} \alpha_{-2 k}(A)$ can be obtained by replacing $A$ with $A^{-1}$ in $A^{-2 k} \alpha_{2 k}(A)$. By straight calculations using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have:
(type I)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle= & A^{16}-4 A^{12}+6 A^{8}-7 A^{4}+9-7 A^{-4}+6 A^{-8}-4 A^{-12}+A^{-16} \\
\left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle= & -A^{18}+3 A^{14}-5 A^{10}+6 A^{6}-7 A^{2}+6 A^{-2}-5 A^{-6}+4 A^{-10} \\
& -A^{-14}+A^{-18},  \tag{4.3}\\
\left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle= & A^{16}-3 A^{12}+5 A^{8}-6 A^{4}+7-6 A^{-4}+5 A^{-8}-3 A^{-12}+A^{-16} . \\
\left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle= & -A^{20}+4 A^{16}-8 A^{12}+12 A^{8}-16 A^{4}+16-16 A^{-4}+12 A^{-8} \\
& -8 A^{-12}+4 A^{-16}-A^{-20}, \\
\left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle= & A^{22}-3 A^{18}+6 A^{14}-9 A^{10}+12 A^{6}-12 A^{2}+11 A^{-2}-9 A^{-6}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +5 A^{-10}-3 A^{-14}-A^{-26}, \\
\left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle= & -A^{20}+3 A^{16}-7 A^{12}+10 A^{8}-13 A^{4}+14-13 A^{-4}+10 A^{-8} \\
& -7 A^{-12}+3 A^{-16}-A^{-20} .
\end{align*}
$$

(type II)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle= & -A^{20}+4 A^{16}-9 A^{12}+14 A^{8}-17 A^{4}+19-17 A^{-4}+14 A^{-8} \\
& -9 A^{-12}+4 A^{-16}-A^{-20} \\
\left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle= & A^{22}-4 A^{18}+10 A^{14}-15 A^{10}+19 A^{6}-22 A^{2}+20 A^{-2}-18 A^{-6} \\
& +12 A^{-10}-7 A^{-14}+3 A^{-18}-A^{-22},  \tag{4.5}\\
\left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle= & -2 A^{20}+6 A^{16}-13 A^{12}+21 A^{8}-24 A^{4}+28-24 A^{-4}+21 A^{-8} \\
& -13 A^{-12}+6 A^{-16}-2 A^{-20} .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle= & A^{24}-5 A^{20}+13 A^{16}-24 A^{12}+35 A^{8}-44 A^{4}+46-44 A^{-4} \\
& +35 A^{-8}-24 A^{-12}+13 A^{-16}-5 A^{-20}+A^{-24} \\
\left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle= & -A^{26}+4 A^{22}-11 A^{18}+20 A^{14}-31 A^{10}+40 A^{6}-42 A^{2}+42 A^{-2}  \tag{4.6}\\
& -33 A^{-6}+24 A^{-10}-13 A^{-14}+5 A^{-18}-A^{-26}+A^{-30} \\
\left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle= & A^{24}-5 A^{20}+14 A^{16}-27 A^{12}+38 A^{8}-50 A^{4}+50-50 A^{-4} \\
& +38 A^{-8}-27 A^{-12}+14 A^{-16}-5 A^{-20}+A^{-24}
\end{align*}
$$

We substitute $A=\zeta$ to (4.1) and (4.2). We set $\zeta^{2}=\sqrt{-1}$. By Lemma 2.2 (2) and the arguments above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle K\rangle(\zeta)=\left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)-4 k \sqrt{-1}\left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)+4 k^{2}\left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle L\rangle(\zeta)=\left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)-4 k \sqrt{-1}\left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)+4 k^{2}\left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we have (type I)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)=45 \\
& \left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=-39 \sqrt{-1}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=37 \\
& \left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)=98 \\
& \left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=-70 \sqrt{-1}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=82
\end{align*}
$$

(type II)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle K_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)=109 \\
& \left\langle K_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=-132 \sqrt{-1}  \tag{4.11}\\
& \left\langle K_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=160 \\
& \left\langle L_{00}\right\rangle(\zeta)=290 \\
& \left\langle L_{0 \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=-264 \sqrt{-1}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \left\langle L_{\infty \infty}\right\rangle(\zeta)=320
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12), we have (type I)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle K\rangle(\zeta)=148 k^{2}-156 k+45 \\
& \langle L\rangle(\zeta)=328 k^{2}-280 k+98
\end{aligned}
$$

(type II)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle K\rangle(\zeta)=640 k^{2}-528 k+109 \\
& \langle L\rangle(\zeta)=1280 k^{2}-1056 k+290
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $148 k^{2}-156 k+45$ and $640 k^{2}-528 k+109$ are odd and $328 k^{2}-280 k+98$ and $1280 k^{2}-1056 k+290$ are of the form $2 \times$ (odd), and they are positive for $k \geq 1$. Hence if $148 k^{2}-156 k+45$ divides $328 k^{2}-280 k+98\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+109\right.$ divides $1280 k^{2}-1056 k+290$, respectively), then $148 k^{2}-156 k+45$ divides $164 k^{2}-140 k+49$ $\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+109\right.$ divides $640 k^{2}-528 k+145$, respectively), and the quantity is odd. (type I)

Suppose that $164 k^{2}-140 k+49$ is divisible by $148 k^{2}-156 k+45$. Since

$$
\left(164 k^{2}-140 k+49\right)-\left(148 k^{2}-156 k+45\right)=16 k^{2}+16 k+4>0
$$

the quantity is not 1 . Since

$$
3\left(148 k^{2}-156 k+45\right)-\left(164 k^{2}-140 k+49\right)=280 k^{2}-328 k+86>0
$$

the quantity is not greater than 1 . It is a contradiction.
(type II)
Suppose that $640 k^{2}-528 k+145$ is divisible by $640 k^{2}-528 k+109$. Since

$$
\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+145\right)-\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+109\right)=36>0
$$

the quantity is not 1 . Since

$$
3\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+109\right)-\left(640 k^{2}-528 k+145\right)=1280 k^{2}-1056 k+182>0
$$

the quantity is not greater than 1 . It is a contradiction.

REMARK 4.1. In [12], the second author computes the J polynomials, which are modified Jones polynomials, of $S_{n}$ and $L_{n}$ explicitly. The J polynomial is an invariant of unoriented links.

## 5. Non-invertibility of Stoimenow knots

In this section, we show that a Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$ is not invertible by using the Alexander polynomials. Since $S_{n}$ is ( - -amphicheiral, we show that it is not (+)amphicheiral, which is equivalent to that it is not invertble.

Let $L$ be a link, and $\Delta_{L}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ the Alexander polynomial of $L$. For two elements $A$ and $B$ in $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\left((\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]\right.$, respectively), we denote by $A \doteq B$ ( $A \doteq{ }_{d} B$, respectively) if they are equal up to multiplications of trivial units. A one variable Laurent polynomial $r(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is of type $X$ if there are integers $n \geq 0$ and
$\lambda \geq 3$ such that $\lambda$ is odd, and $f_{i}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right](i=0,1, \ldots, n)$ such that $f_{i}(t) \doteq f_{i}\left(t^{-1}\right)$, $\left|f_{i}(1)\right|=1$, and for $i>0, f_{i}(t) \doteq_{2} f_{0}(t)^{2^{i}} p_{\lambda}(t)^{i-1}$ where $p_{\lambda}(t)=\left(t^{\lambda}-1\right) /(t-1)$, and

$$
r(t) \doteq \begin{cases}f_{0}(t)^{2} & (n=0)  \tag{5.1}\\ f_{0}(t)^{2} f_{1}(t) \cdots f_{n}(t) & (n \geq 1)\end{cases}
$$

R. Hartley [2], R. Hartley and A. Kawauchi [3], and A. Kawauchi [10] gave necessary conditions on the Alexander polynomials of amphicheiral knots.

Lemma 5.1 (Hartley [2]; Hartley and Kawauchi [3]; Kawauchi [10]). (1) Let K be a (-)-amphicheiral knot. Then there exists an element $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ such that $|f(1)|=1, f\left(t^{-1}\right) \doteq f(-t)$, and

$$
\Delta_{K}\left(t^{2}\right) \doteq f(t) f\left(t^{-1}\right)
$$

(2) Let $K$ be a (+)-amphicheiral knot. Then there exist $r_{j}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ of type $X$ and a positive odd number $\alpha_{j}(j=1, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
\Delta_{K}(t) \doteq \prod_{j=1}^{m} r_{j}\left(t^{\alpha_{j}}\right)
$$

In particular, if $K$ is hyperbolic, then we can take $m=1$ and $\alpha_{1}=1$.
We generalize Stoimenow knots as in Fig. 12. The lefthand side is called a generalized Stoimenow link of type I, and is denoted by $S_{p, q}^{1}$. The righthand side is called a generalized Stoimenow link of type II, and is denoted by $S_{r, s}^{2}$. The numbers in rectangles are the numbers of half twists. We note that $S_{2 k, 2 k}^{1}=S_{4 k+11}$ and $S_{k, k}^{2}=S_{4 k+13}$. We denote the Alexander polynomials (the Conway polynomials) of $S_{p, q}^{1}$ and $S_{r, s}^{2}$ by $\Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)$ and $\Delta_{r, s}^{(2)}(t)\left(\nabla_{p, q}^{(1)}(z)\right.$ and $\left.\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)\right)$, respectively. We compute $\Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t)$ and $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$ as the $\bmod 2$ Alexander polynomials.

Lemma 5.2. The Alexander and the mod 2 Alexander polynomials of $S_{2 k, 2 k}^{1}$ and $S_{k, k}^{2}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(t+1)^{2} \Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t) & \doteq \doteq_{2} t^{4 k+6}+t^{4 k+5}+t^{4 k+4}+t^{4 k+2}+t^{4 k-1}+t^{7}+t^{4}+t^{2}+t+1 \\
& ={ }_{2}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)^{2}\left(t^{4 k+2}+t^{4 k+1}+t^{4 k-1}+t^{3}+t+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

type I

$S_{p, q}^{1}$
type II

$S_{r, S}^{2}$

Fig. 12. Generalized Stoimenow links $S_{p, q}^{1}$ and $S_{r, s}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) \doteq & t^{3}\left(-t^{6}+9 t^{5}-26 t^{4}+37 t^{3}-26 t^{2}+9 t-1\right) \\
& -2 k t^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(2 t^{6}-7 t^{5}+15 t^{4}-18 t^{3}+15 t^{2}-7 t+2\right) \\
& +k^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(t^{10}-3 t^{9}+7 t^{8}-17 t^{7}+32 t^{6}-40 t^{5}+32 t^{4}-17 t^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+7 t^{2}-3 t+1\right) \\
\doteq & \begin{cases}t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{3}+t+1 & (k \text { is even }), \\
t^{12}+t^{11}+t^{9}+t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{3}+t+1 & (k \text { is odd }) .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have the following relations on the Conway polynomials from the skein relation in Subsection 2.2:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{p, q}^{(1)}(z)-\nabla_{p-2, q}^{(1)}(z)=z \nabla_{p-1, q}^{(1)}(z),  \tag{5.2}\\
\nabla_{p, q-2}^{(1)}(z)-\nabla_{p, q}^{(1)}(z)=z \nabla_{p, q-1}^{(1)}(z),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{r-1, s}^{(2)}(z)-\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)=z \nabla_{\infty, s}^{(2)}(z),  \tag{5.3}\\
\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)-\nabla_{r, s-1}^{(2)}(z)=z \nabla_{r, \infty}^{(2)}(z) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For the meaning of $\infty$, see Fig. 6 .
(type I)
From (5.2), we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)-t^{1 / 2} \Delta_{p-1, q}^{(1)}(t)=\left(-t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{p-1}\left(\Delta_{1, q}^{(1)}(t)-t^{1 / 2} \Delta_{0, q}^{(1)}(t)\right),  \tag{5.4}\\
\Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)+t^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{p-1, q}^{(1)}(t)=\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{p-1}\left(\Delta_{1, q}^{(1)}(t)+t^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{0, q}^{(1)}(t)\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)+t^{1 / 2} \Delta_{p, q-1}^{(1)}(t)=\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{q-1}\left(\Delta_{p, 1}^{(1)}(t)+t^{1 / 2} \Delta_{p, 0}^{(1)}(t)\right),  \tag{5.5}\\
\Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)-t^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{p, q-1}^{(1)}(t)=\left(-t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{q-1}\left(\Delta_{p, 1}^{(1)}(t)-t^{-1 / 2} \Delta_{p, 0}^{(1)}(t)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (5.4) and (5.5), we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\left(t^{1 / 2}+t^{-1 / 2}\right) \Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)= & \left(t^{p / 2}-(-1)^{p} t^{-p / 2}\right) \Delta_{1, q}^{(1)}(t)  \tag{5.6}\\
& +\left(t^{(p-1) / 2}+(-1)^{p} t^{-(p-1) / 2}\right) \Delta_{0, q}^{(1)}(t) \\
-\left(t^{1 / 2}+t^{-1 / 2}\right) \Delta_{p, q}^{(1)}(t)= & \left((-1)^{q} t^{q / 2}-t^{-q / 2}\right) \Delta_{p, 1}^{(1)}(t) \\
& -\left((-1)^{q} t^{(q-1) / 2}+t^{-(q-1) / 2}\right) \Delta_{p, 0}^{(1)}(t)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

From (5.6), if $p=q=2 k$, then we have a skein relation among the Alexander polynomials of $S_{2 k, 2 k}^{1}, S_{0,0}^{1}, S_{1,0}^{1}, S_{0,1}^{1}$ and $S_{1,1}^{1}$ (cf. Fig. 13):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(t^{1 / 2}+t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t)= & \left(t^{k-1 / 2}+t^{-k+1 / 2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{0,0}^{(1)}(t) \\
& -\left(t^{k}+t^{-k}\right)\left(t^{k-1 / 2}+t^{-k+1 / 2}\right)\left(\Delta_{1,0}^{(1)}(t)-\Delta_{0,1}^{(1)}(t)\right)  \tag{5.7}\\
& -\left(t^{k}+t^{-k}\right)^{2} \Delta_{1,1}^{(1)}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S_{1,0}^{1}$ and $S_{0,1}^{1}$ are 2-component links with $S_{0,1}^{1}=-\left(S_{1,0}^{1}\right)^{*}$, and (5.7), we have $\nabla_{0,1}^{(1)}(z)=-\nabla_{1,0}^{(1)}(z)$ and $\Delta_{0,1}^{(1)}(t)=-\Delta_{1,0}^{(1)}(t)$ by Lemma 2.3, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(t^{1 / 2}+t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t)= & \left(t^{k-1 / 2}+t^{-k+1 / 2}\right)^{2} \Delta_{0,0}^{(1)}(t) \\
& -2\left(t^{k}+t^{-k}\right)\left(t^{k-1 / 2}+t^{-k+1 / 2}\right) \Delta_{1,0}^{(1)}(t) \\
& -\left(t^{k}+t^{-k}\right)^{2} \Delta_{1,1}^{(1)}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S_{0,0}^{1}=8_{18}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{0,0}^{(1)}(t)=\Delta_{8_{18}}(t)=-t^{3}+5 t^{2}-10 t+13-10 t^{-1}+5 t^{-2}-t^{-3} \\
& ={ }_{2} t^{3}+t^{2}+1+t^{-2}+t^{-3}, \\
& \Delta_{1,1}^{(1)}(t)=-t^{-3}\left(t^{3}-1\right)^{2}={ }_{2} t^{3}+t^{-3},
\end{aligned}
$$

and (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(t+1)^{2} \Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t) & \doteq \doteq_{2} t^{4 k+6}+t^{4 k+5}+t^{4 k+4}+t^{4 k+2}+t^{4 k-1}+t^{7}+t^{4}+t^{2}+t+1 \\
& =2\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)^{2}\left(t^{4 k+2}+t^{4 k+1}+t^{4 k-1}+t^{3}+t+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(type II)
From (5.3), we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)=\nabla_{0, s}^{(2)}(z)-r z \nabla_{\infty, s}^{(2)}(z),  \tag{5.9}\\
\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)=\nabla_{r, 0}^{(2)}(z)+s z \nabla_{r, \infty}^{(2)}(z) .
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig. 13. $S_{0,0}^{1}, S_{1,0}^{1}, S_{0,1}^{1}$ and $S_{1,1}^{1}$.
From (5.9), we have:

$$
\nabla_{r, s}^{(2)}(z)=\nabla_{0,0}^{(2)}(z)-r z \nabla_{\infty, 0}^{(2)}(z)+s z \nabla_{0, \infty}^{(2)}(z)-r s z^{2} \nabla_{\infty, \infty}^{(2)}(z) .
$$

In particular, if $r=s=k$, then we have a skein relation among the Conway polynomials of $S_{k, k}^{2}, S_{0,0}^{2}, S_{0, \infty}^{2}, S_{\infty, 0}^{2}$ and $S_{\infty, \infty}^{2}$ (cf. Fig. 14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{k, k}^{(2)}(z)=\nabla_{0,0}^{(2)}(z)+k z\left(\nabla_{0, \infty}^{(2)}(z)-\nabla_{\infty, 0}^{(2)}(z)\right)-k^{2} z^{2} \nabla_{\infty, \infty}^{(2)}(z) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S_{0, \infty}^{2}$ and $S_{\infty, 0}^{2}$ are 2-component links with $S_{0, \infty}^{2}=-\left(S_{\infty, 0}^{2}\right)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{0,0}^{(2)}(z)=-z^{6}+3 z^{4}+z^{2}+1 \\
& \nabla_{0, \infty}^{(2)}(z)=-2 z^{7}-5 z^{5}-5 z^{3}-2 z \\
& \nabla_{\infty, \infty}^{(2)}(z)=-z^{10}-7 z^{8}-18 z^{6}-15 z^{4}-4 z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 14. $S_{0,0}^{2}, S_{0, \infty}^{2}, S_{\infty, 0}^{2}$ and $S_{\infty, \infty}^{2}$.
and (5.10), we have $\nabla_{0, \infty}^{(2)}(z)=-\nabla_{\infty, 0}^{(2)}(z)$ by Lemma 2.3, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) \doteq & t^{3}\left(-t^{6}+9 t^{5}-26 t^{4}+37 t^{3}-26 t^{2}+9 t-1\right) \\
& \quad-2 k t^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(2 t^{6}-7 t^{5}+15 t^{4}-18 t^{3}+15 t^{2}-7 t+2\right) \\
& +k^{2}(t-1)^{2}\left(t^{10}-3 t^{9}+7 t^{8}-17 t^{7}+32 t^{6}-40 t^{5}+32 t^{4}-17 t^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+7 t^{2}-3 t+1\right) \\
\doteq & \begin{cases}t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{3}+t+1 & (k \text { is even }) \\
t^{12}+t^{11}+t^{9}+t^{7}+t^{6}+t^{5}+t^{3}+t+1 & (k \text { is odd })\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Every element $f \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ is of the form:

$$
f=t^{k_{d}}+t^{k_{d-1}}+\cdots+t^{k_{1}}+t^{k_{0}}
$$

where $k_{0}, \ldots, k_{d}$ are integers such that $k_{0}<k_{1}<\cdots<k_{d-1}<k_{d}$. Then we define the $\bmod 2$ trace, denoted by $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(f) \in \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}=\{0,1\}$, as:

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{2}(f)= \begin{cases}1 & \left(k_{d}-k_{d-1}=1\right) \\ 0 & \left(k_{d}-k_{d-1} \geq 2\right)\end{cases}
$$

For $f_{1}, f_{2} \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right], \operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(f_{1}\right)+\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(f_{2}\right)$. There exists an element $g \in$ $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ such that $f=g^{2}$ if and only if every $k_{i}(i=0, \ldots, d)$ is even. Then we call $f$ a square polynomial, and we have

$$
g=t^{k_{d} / 2}+\cdots+t^{k_{1} / 2}+t^{k_{0} / 2}
$$

and $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(f)=0$.

Lemma 5.3. Let $r(t)$ be of type $X$ as in (5.1), and $\alpha$ a positive odd integer.
(1) If $n=0$, then $r\left(t^{\alpha}\right)$ is a square polynomial. If $n \geq 1$, then $r\left(t^{\alpha}\right)$ is of the form:

$$
r\left(t^{\alpha}\right)=g^{2} p_{\lambda}\left(t^{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $g \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ and $p_{\lambda}(t)=\left(t^{\lambda}-1\right) /(t-1)$.
(2) $\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(r\left(t^{\alpha}\right)\right)=1$ if and only if $n \geq 1$ and $\alpha=1$.

Let $\zeta_{m}$ be a primitive $m$-th root of unity, and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ the $m$-th cyclotomic polynomial defined by

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(t)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}(i, m)=1}}\left(t-\zeta_{m}^{i}\right)
$$

The cyclotomic polynomial is a monic symmetric irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$. For a prime $q$ and a positive integer $r$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{q^{r}}(t)=\frac{t^{q^{r}}-1}{t^{q^{r-1}}-1}=t^{q^{r-1}(q-1)}+t^{q^{r-1}(q-2)}+\cdots+t^{q^{r-1}}+1
$$

Since

$$
t^{m}-1=\prod_{d \geq 1, d \mid m} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(t)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\lambda}\left(t^{\alpha}\right)=\frac{t^{\alpha \lambda}-1}{t^{\alpha}-1}=\prod_{d \mid \alpha \lambda, d \nmid \alpha} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{d}(t) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.4. A Stoimenow knot $S_{n}$ is not invertible.
Proof. We show that both $S_{2 k, 2 k}^{1}$ and $S_{k, k}^{2}$ with $k \geq 1$ are not ( + )-amphicheiral. (type I)

Suppose that $\Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t)$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 5.1 (2).
We set

$$
h={ }_{2} t^{4 k+2}+t^{4 k+1}+t^{4 k-1}+t^{3}+t+1
$$

and $m=q^{r}$ with an odd prime $q \geq 3$ and $r \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t+1)^{2} \Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t) \doteq_{2}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)^{2} h \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 1. $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(t)$ is a mod 2 divisor of $h$ only if $m=3,5$ or 9 .
Proof. Take $Q(t), R(t) \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ such that $h={ }_{2} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(t) Q(t)+R(t)$. We can take $R(t)$ of the form:

$$
R(t)={ }_{2} t^{d+3}+t^{d+2}+t^{d}+t^{3}+t+1
$$

where $-m / 2<d<m / 2$. The span of $R(t)$ is less than $m / 2+3$.
CASE $1 \quad r \geq 2$ except the case $(q, r)=(3,2)$.
Since the degree of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{m}(t)$ is $q^{r-1}(q-1)$ which is greater than $q^{r} / 2+3, R(t)=0$ should be hold. However it does not occur.

CASE $2(q, r)=(3,2)(m=9)$.
$R(t)$ is not $\bmod 2$ divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{9}(t)=t^{6}+t^{3}+1$ except the case $d=4$.
CASE $3 r=1$.
We check only the cases $m=3,5$ and 7 . The case $m=7$ does not occur. Hence we have the result.

Claim 2. $h$ is mod 2 divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{3}(t)$ if and only if $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3) . h$ is $\bmod$ 2 divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{5}(t)$ if and only if $k \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. $h$ is $\bmod 2$ divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{9}(t)$ if and only if $k \equiv-1(\bmod 9)$.

Proof. $h$ is $\bmod 2$ divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{3}(t)$ if and only if $4 k+1 \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ which is equivalent to $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$.
$h$ is $\bmod 2$ divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{5}(t)$ if and only if $4 k+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and $4 k-1 \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 5)$ which is equivalent to $k \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$.
$h$ is $\bmod 2$ divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{9}(t)$ if and only if $4 k+1 \equiv 6(\bmod 9)$ which is equivalent to $k \equiv-1(\bmod 9)$.

Claim 3. $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{15}(t)$ is a $\bmod 2$ divisor of $h$ if and only if $k \equiv-5(\bmod 15) . \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{45}(t)$ is not a mod 2 divisor of $h$.

Proof. For $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{15}(t)=t^{8}-t^{7}+t^{5}-t^{4}+t^{3}-t+1$, we only check the cases $d= \pm 5, \pm 6$ and $\pm 7$. For the cases, $R(t)$ is mod 2 divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{15}(t)$ if and only if $4 k-1 \equiv-6(\bmod 15)$ which is equivalent to $k \equiv-5(\bmod 15)$.

For $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{45}(t)=t^{24}-t^{21}+t^{15}-t^{12}+t^{9}-t^{3}+1$, we only check the cases $d= \pm 21$ and $\pm 22$. For the cases, $R(t)$ is not mod 2 divisible by $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{45}(t)$.

Claim 4. $\quad p_{\lambda}\left(t^{\alpha}\right)$ is a $\bmod 2$ divisor of $h$ only if $p_{3}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{3}(t)=t^{2}+t+1$, $p_{5}(t)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{5}(t)=t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1$ or $p_{3}\left(t^{3}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{9}(t)=t^{6}+t^{3}+1$.

Proof. By Claim 1, Claim 2, Claim 3 and (5.11), we have the result.
By Lemma 5.2, we have $\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(\Delta_{2 k, 2 k}^{(1)}(t)\right)=1$. By Lemma 5.3, Claim 1, Claim 2, Claim 3, Claim 4 and (5.12), $h$ is of the form:

$$
h \doteq{ }_{2} g^{2} p_{3}(t), g^{2} p_{5}(t) \quad \text { or } \quad g^{2} p_{5}(t) p_{3}\left(t^{3}\right)
$$

for some $g \in(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. However we have

$$
\frac{h}{t^{2}+t+1}={ }_{2} t^{4 k}+\cdots+t^{5}+t^{4}+t^{2}+1
$$

for $k \equiv 0(\bmod 3), k \geq 3$,

$$
\frac{h}{t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1}={ }_{2} t^{4 k-2}+\cdots+t^{3}+t^{2}+1
$$

for $k \equiv 1(\bmod 5), k \geq 6$, and

$$
\frac{h}{\left(t^{4}+t^{3}+t^{2}+t+1\right)\left(t^{6}+t^{3}+1\right)}=2 t^{4 k-8}+\cdots+t^{5}+t^{2}+1
$$

for $k \equiv 26(\bmod 45), k \geq 26$ are not square polynomials. It is a contradiction. (type II)

Suppose that $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$ satisfies the condition in Lemma 5.1 (2).
By Lemma 5.2, we have $\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)\right)=1$. By Lemma 5.3, there exists an odd $\lambda \geq 3$ such that $p_{\lambda}(t)$ is a mod 2 divisor of $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$. If $k$ is odd, then there is no such $\lambda$ (check only the cases $\lambda=3,5,7,9,11$ ). Hence we suppose that $k$ is even. Since

$$
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) \doteq_{2}\left(t^{2}+t+1\right)^{3}
$$

we have $\lambda=3$. By the forms (5.1) and Lemma $5.1(2), \Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$ is of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) \doteq r_{1}(t) r_{2}(t) r_{3}(t) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{i}(t) \doteq r_{i}\left(t^{-1}\right),\left|r_{i}(1)\right|=1$ and $r_{i}(t) \doteq \doteq_{2} t^{2}+t+1(i=1,2,3)$. That is, $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$ is decomposed into at least three non-trivial factors in $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. We set $d_{i}$ as the degree (span) of $r_{i}(t)(i=1,2,3)$, and assume $d_{1} \leq d_{2} \leq d_{3}$. There are two cases:

CASE $1 \quad k \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
By Lemma 5.2, we have the mod 8 Alexander polynomial:

$$
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) \doteq_{8} t^{6}-t^{5}+2 t^{4}+3 t^{3}+2 t^{2}-t+1
$$

Since $t^{2} \pm t+1$ and $t^{2} \pm 3 t+1$ are not $\bmod 8$ divisors of $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$, the case does not occur.

CASE $2 k \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
By Lemma 5.2, we have the mod 8 Alexander polynomial:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t) & \doteq_{8} 4 t^{12}+4 t^{11}+3 t^{9}+t^{8}-2 t^{7}-3 t^{6}-2 t^{5}+t^{4}+3 t^{3}+4 t+4 \\
& \doteq_{8}\left(t^{2}-t+1\right)\left(4 t^{10}+4 t^{8}-t^{7}+4 t^{6}+3 t^{5}+4 t^{4}-t^{3}+4 t^{2}+4\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We set $s=4 t^{10}+4 t^{8}-t^{7}+4 t^{6}+3 t^{5}+4 t^{4}-t^{3}+4 t^{2}+4$. In this case, the $\mathbb{Z}$-degree of $\Delta_{k, k}^{(2)}(t)$ is 12 which is equal to the $\bmod 8$ degree of it. By the assumption, there are three cases for the triple $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right):\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=(2,2,8),(2,4,6)$ or $(4,4,4)$. The possibilities of the degree $2 \bmod 8$ factors are $t^{2} \pm t+1$ and $t^{2} \pm 3 t+1$. Since $t^{2} \pm t+1$ and $t^{2} \pm 3 t+1$ are not $\bmod 8$ divisors of $s, s$ is decomposed into $s=s_{1} s_{2}$ such that the degrees of $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are 4 and 6 respectively, they are both irreducible, and $s_{1} \dot{\doteq}_{2} s_{2} \dot{\doteq}_{2} t^{2}+t+1$. By (5.13), $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are of the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1} \doteq_{8} 2 t^{4}+a_{1} t^{3}+a_{2} t^{2}+a_{1} t+2 \doteq_{2} t^{2}+t+1, \\
& s_{2} \doteq_{8} 2 t^{6}+b_{1} t^{5}+b_{2} t^{4}+b_{3} t^{3}+b_{2} t^{2}+b_{1} t+2 \doteq_{2} t^{2}+t+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}$ and $b_{3}$ are odd, and $b_{1}$ is even. Then the 9 -th coefficient of $s_{1} s_{2}$ is odd (non-zero). However it contradicts the form of $s$.

At the end of the paper, we raise refined questions realted with Question 1.2:
Question 5.5. (1) Is there a prime component-preservingly amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number less than 21 ?
(2) Is there a prime component-preservingly ( $\varepsilon$ )-amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number?

About (1), we have already known that there are no such examples for the case that the minimal crossing number $\leq 11$ (cf. [8]). If we need to use an amphicheiral knot with odd minimal crossing number, then the minimal crossing number should be greater than or equal to 19 from primeness. Under the restriction, if there exists an example $L$ for Question 5.5 (1) with minimal crossing number 19 , then $L$ is a 2 -component link such that
(i) its components are a knot with minimal crossing number 15 and the unknot,
(ii) $1 \mathrm{k}(L)=0$, and
(iii) on its diagram realizing the minimal crossing number, its components are also realizing the minimal crossing numbers (i.e. 15 and 0 ).

About (2), our example $L_{n}$ was a prime component-preservingly (,-+ )-amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number. In general, the linking number of a 2 -component ( $\varepsilon$ )-amphicheiral link is $0.11_{n 247}^{2}$ in Fig. 2 is a prime $(\varepsilon)$-amphicheiral link with odd minimal crossing number. However it is not component-preservingly $(\varepsilon)$-amphicheiral.
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