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#### Abstract

We have two constructions of the level- $(0,1)$ irreducible representation of the quantum toroidal algebra of type $A$. One is due to Nakajima and Varagnolo-Vasserot. They constructed the representation on the direct sum of the equivariant K-groups of the quiver varieties of type $\hat{A}$. The other is due to Saito-Takemura-Uglov and Varagnolo-Vasserot. They constructed the representation on the q-deformed Fock space introduced by Kashiwara-Miwa-Stern.

In this paper we give an explicit isomorphism between these two constructions. For this purpose we construct simultaneous eigenvectors on the q-Fock space using the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials. Then the isomorphism is given by corresponding these vectors to the torus fixed points on the quiver varieties.
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## 1. Introduction

Geometry of quiver varieties, introduced by Nakajima, involves rich mathematical structures.

One of the most notable result is Nakajima's construction of representations of quantum loop algebras ([16]): the direct sum of torus equivariant K-groups of the quiver varieties is endowed with a structure of a representation of the quantum affinization $U_{\mathcal{R}}(L \mathfrak{g})$ of the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The resulting representation is what we call an $l$-highest weight representation, that is to say, a "highest weight representation" with respect to the triangular decomposition of the quantum loop algebra ([9]).

Let us concentrate our attention on quiver varieties of affine type. They appear in gauge theory as framed moduli spaces of instantons on ALE spaces, which originally motivated Nakajima to introduce quiver varieties. They also have interesting connections with some areas in mathematics such as the theory of McKay correspondence and the representation theory of symplectic reflection algebras (see [7] and [6] for example). In this point of view, more careful study about the actions of the quantum toroidal algebras, quantum affinizations of the affine Kac-Moody algebras, on the equivariant K-groups seems to be important.

Schur-Weyl duality is an equivalence between certain categories of representations of $\mathfrak{g l}_{l}$ and of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$. Varagnolo-Vasserot show that there exists an analogous duality between the quantum toroidal algebra of type $A$ and a certain double affinization of the Hecke algebra of type $A$, called the toroidal Hecke algebra ([22]). The toroidal Hecke algebra has a remarkable representation called Dunkl-Cherednik representation. Applying Schur-Weyl duality for Dunkl-Cherednik representation, Saito-Takemura-Uglov and Varagnolo-Vasserot construct the representation of the quantum toroidal algebra ([18], [23]). The underlying space is so called the $q$-Fock space ([11]).

There are much fewer things known about representations of quantum toroidal algebras than of quantum affine algebras (see [9], [10], [8] and references in [8]). Now, at least, we have two constructions of the representation of the quantum toroidal algebra of type $A$. In this paper we give an explicit isomorphism between these two constructions. We hope it will be helpful for further analyses of the representation, such as study of canonical bases of the representations.

We can describe the representation on the equivariant K-groups in a combinatorial manner using the localization theorem ([25]). In particular, the torus fixed points correspond to simultaneous eigenvectors for the action of a certain subalgebra of the quantum toroidal algebra. Our strategy is to construct simultaneous eigenvectors on the q-Fock space. The isomorphism will be given by corresponding these vectors to the torus fixed points. For the construction of simultaneous eigenvectors, the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials plays a crucial role. The nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials are simultaneous eigenvectors for Dunkl-Cherednik operators ([5], [14], [17]).

Takemura-Uglov described the irreducible decomposition of the q-Fock space as the representation of a certain subalgebra of the quantum toroidal algebra, which is iso-
morphic to the quantum affine algebra ([20]). They also showed that each irreducible components are isomorphic to tensor products of fundamental representations. For this purpose they introduced specific vectors of the $q$-Fock space using the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials (see Remark of 6.2.2).

In this paper we introduce new vectors. We also use the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, but an additional operation is required (see 6.2.1). They are simultaneous eigenvectors and the main subject of this paper. These simultaneous eigenvectors allow us a combinatorial description of the representation on the q-Fock space and we can see this coincides with the combinatorial description of the representation on the equivariant K -groups.

In [24] and [19], the action of the Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver on the q-Fock space is studied. The Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver is realized using perverse sheaves on the space of representations of the quiver by Lusztig ([12]). Nakajima's construction of quiver varieties and representations on their K-groups are, philosophically, parallel to Lusztig's construction. We could expect this observation gives conceptual interpretation of the isomorphism constructed in this paper. In particular, this isomorphism would help us to study of canonical bases of the K-groups of quiver varieties (see [13] and [26], for quiver varieties of finite type).

In $\S 3-\S 5$ we are mainly occupied with review of, and arrangement for our use of, the results of [25], [22], [18] and [23]. In $\S 6$ we construct the simultaneous eigenvectors and in $\S 7$ we exhibit the isomorphism.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Quantum toroidal algebra.

2.1.1. Throughout this paper we fix an integer $l$ and we set $I=\{0, \ldots, l-1\}$. We usually take $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{C}\left(s^{1 / 2}, t^{1 / 2}\right)$ as the coefficient field. We set

$$
p=t^{l}, \quad q=s^{1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}, \quad r=s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}
$$

2.1.2. Let us define the quantum toroidal algebra $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)(l>2)$. This is an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra generated by $e_{i, n}, f_{i, n}, K_{i}^{ \pm}$and $h_{i, m}^{ \pm}\left(i \in I, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right)$. The relations are expressed using the formal series

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i}(z) & =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e_{i, n} z^{-n}, \quad f_{i}(z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{i, n} z^{-n}, \\
K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) & =K_{i}^{ \pm} \exp \left( \pm\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{m>0} h_{i, m}^{ \pm} z^{\mp m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i .0}^{+} K_{i .0}^{-}=K_{i .0}^{-} K_{i .0}^{+} & =1, \\
{\left[K_{i}^{ \pm}(z), K_{i}^{ \pm}(w)\right]=\left[K_{i}^{+}(z), K_{i}^{-}(w)\right] } & =0, \\
{\left[K_{i}^{ \pm}(z), e_{j}(w)\right]=\left[K_{i}^{ \pm}(z), f_{j}(w)\right] } & =0 \quad(j \neq i, i \pm 1), \\
\left(r^{\varepsilon} z-q^{-1} w\right) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) e_{i+\varepsilon}(w) & =\left(r^{\varepsilon} q^{-1} z-w\right) e_{i+\varepsilon}(w) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) \quad(\varepsilon= \pm 1), \\
\left(z-q^{2} w\right) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) e_{i}(w) & =\left(q^{2} z-w\right) e_{i}(w) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z), \\
\left(r^{\varepsilon} z-q w\right) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) f_{i+\varepsilon}(w) & =\left(r^{\varepsilon} q z-w\right) f_{i+\varepsilon}(w) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) \quad(\varepsilon= \pm 1), \\
\left(q^{-2} z-w\right) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) f_{i}(w) & =\left(z-q^{-2} w\right) f_{i}(w) K_{i}^{ \pm}(z), \\
{\left[e_{i}(z), f_{j}(w)\right] } & =\frac{\delta_{i j} \delta(z / w)}{q-q^{-1}}\left(K_{i}^{+}(w)-K_{i}^{-}(z)\right), \\
\left(r^{\varepsilon} z-q^{-1} w\right) e_{i}(z) e_{i+\varepsilon}(w) & =\left(r^{\varepsilon} q^{-1} z-w\right) e_{i+\varepsilon}(w) e_{i}(z) \quad(\varepsilon= \pm 1), \\
\left(z-q^{2} w\right) e_{i}(z) e_{i}(w) & =\left(q^{2} z-w\right) e_{i}(w) e_{i}(z), \\
\left(r^{\varepsilon} z-q w\right) f_{i}(z) f_{i+\varepsilon}(w) & =\left(r^{\varepsilon} q z-w\right) f_{i+\varepsilon}(w) f_{i}(z) \quad(\varepsilon= \pm 1), \\
\left(z-q^{-2} w\right) f_{i}(z) f_{i}(w) & =\left(q^{-2} z-w\right) f_{i}(w) f_{i}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta(Z)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Z^{n}$.
REMARK. The quantum toroidal algebra in [25] is "twisted" in their words, which may or may not be isomorphic to ours. See Remark of 3.2 .2 for the relation between these two algebras.
2.1.3. The horizontal subalgebra $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(2)}{ }^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$ is the subalgebra of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ generated by $e_{i, 0}, f_{i, 0}$ and $K_{i}^{ \pm}(i \in I)$. This is isomorphic to $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right) \otimes \mathcal{R}$, where $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ is the subalgebra of the quantum affine algebra $U_{q}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$ which does not contain the generator $d$.

The vertical subalgebra $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ is the subalgebra of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ generated by $e_{i, n}$, $f_{i, n}, K_{i}^{ \pm}$, and $h_{i, m}^{ \pm}\left(i \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right)$. Define $\widetilde{e_{i, n}}, \widetilde{f_{i, n}}, \widetilde{K_{i}^{ \pm}}$and $\widetilde{h_{i, m}^{ \pm}}$by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{e_{i}(z)}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{e_{i, n}} z^{-n}=e_{i}\left(r^{-l+i} z\right), \\
& \widetilde{f_{i}(z)}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{f_{i, n}} z^{-n}=f_{i}\left(r^{-l+i} z\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\widetilde{K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)}=\widetilde{K_{i}^{ \pm}} \exp \left( \pm\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{m>0} \widetilde{h_{i, m}^{ \pm}} z^{\mp m}\right)=K_{i}^{ \pm}\left(r^{-l+i} z\right) .
$$

They satisfy the relations in Drinfeld new realization of $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$, and so $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$ is also isomorphic to $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right) \otimes \mathcal{R}$.

### 2.2. Notations for Young diagrams.

2.2.1. Let $\Pi$ denote the set of all Young diagrams. We identify a Young diagram with a subset of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{2}$. A node is an element of $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right)^{2}$.

The content of a node $(x, y)$ is the number $x-y$. A node is called an $i$-node if its content equals to $i$ modulo $l$. For $\lambda \in \Pi$ let $d_{i}(\lambda)$ denote the number of $i$-nodes in $\lambda$ and set $\mathbf{d}(\lambda)=\left(d_{i}(\lambda)\right)_{i=0, \ldots, l-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}$. We define the order $>$ on the set of nodes according to their contents.

For $\lambda \in \Pi$ a node $(x, y)$ is called addable if $(x, y) \notin \lambda$ and $(x-1, y),(x, y-1) \in \lambda$. A node $(x, y)$ is called removable if $(x, y) \in \lambda$ and $(x+1, y),(x, y+1) \notin \lambda$. Let $A_{\lambda, i}$ (resp. $R_{\lambda, i}$ ) denote the set of all addable (removable) $i$-nodes of $\lambda$.

A hook is a pair $\left(\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right),\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)\right)$ such that $\left(x_{h}, y_{h}-1\right),\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right) \in \lambda$ and $\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right),\left(x_{t}+\right.$ $\left.1, y_{t}\right) \notin \lambda$. The hook length of a hook $\left(\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right),\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)\right)$ is the number $-x_{h}+y_{h}+x_{t}-y_{t}$. A hook is called an $l$-hook if its length is a multiple of $l$.
2.2.2. A Maya diagram with charge $c$ is an infinite decreasing sequence of integers $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right)$ such that $k_{a}=-a+c$ for sufficiently large $a$. A Maya diagram with charge $c$ can be identified with a Young diagram

$$
\lambda=\coprod_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ 1 \leq b \leq k_{a}+a-c}}(a-1, b-1) .
$$

Let $\Pi_{c}$ denote the set of all Maya diagrams with charge $c$. Then $\Pi$ and $\Pi_{c}$ are bijective.
2.2.3. We sometimes identify a Maya diagram $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right)$ with the subset $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$.

If $k_{a}-1 \notin \mathbf{k}\left(a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right)$, then a node $\left(a-1, k_{a}+a-c-1\right)$ is a removable node. Its content equals to $c-k_{a}$. If $k_{a}+1 \notin \mathbf{k}\left(a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right)$, then a node $\left(a-1, k_{a}+a-c\right)$ is an addable node. Its content equals to $c-k_{a}-1$.

Note that $\{(a, b) \mid a \in \mathbf{k}, b \notin \mathbf{k}, a>b\}$ is a finite set. Such a pair ( $a, b$ ) corresponds to a hook in term of Young diagram. Its hook length is $a-b$.

## 3. K-theory of quiver varieties

In this section we review the representation of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on the equivariant K-groups of the quiver varieties of type $\hat{A}$.

A quiver variety, introduced by Nakajima, is a certain moduli space of representations of a quiver. He also introduced a certain subvariety of the product of two quiver varieties called the Hecke correspondence. Using the Hecke correspondence we can construct an action of the quantum affinization of the Kac-Moody algebras on the torus equivariant K-groups of the quiver varieties ([16]).

By the localization theorem, localized equivariant K-groups have bases indexed by fixed points. The fixed points of the quiver varieties of type $\hat{A}$ are indexed by Young diagrams. The action of the quantum toroidal algebra can be written in terms of Young diagrams ([25], see Theorem of 3.2.3).

Nakajima's definition of quiver varieties involves parameters $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$, where $\mathbf{w}$ corresponds to the $l$-highest weight of the representation. In this paper we work on the case $\mathbf{w}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ only, in other words, we deal with the level 1 representation only.

We do not take the original definition of quiver varieties but another equivalent one, which works only for the case $\mathbf{w}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$.

We use $\mathbf{d}$ instead of $\mathbf{v}$.

### 3.1. Quiver varieties.

3.1.1. Let $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]}$ denote the Hilbert scheme of $n$ points on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ :
and $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ denote the $n$-th symmetric product of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}=\left\{\sum a_{i} p_{i} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \quad \sum a_{i}=n, p_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right\}
$$

Let $\pi$ denote the Hilbert-Chow morphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]} & \rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
J & \mapsto \operatorname{supp} \mathbb{C}[x, y] / J .
\end{aligned}
$$

We regard $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ as the subgroup of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$. The action of $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ induces the action of $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]}$ so that $\pi$ is $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$-equivariant. Let $\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} /[\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the sets of the fixed points.

Note that for $J \in\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{C}[x, y] / J$ has a canonical $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$-module structure. For $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{l-1}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ such that $\sum d_{i}=n$ we define the quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})$ by

$$
\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})=\left\{J \in\left(\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[n]}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}} \mid \operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{C}[x, y] / J)_{(i)}=d_{i}\right\}
$$

where $(\mathbb{C}[x, y] / J)_{(i)}$ is the $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$-weight space with weight $i$. Let $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{d})=\bigoplus \mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{d})_{i}$ be the $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$-graded vector bundle on $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})$ such that for $J \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$
\left(\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{d})_{i}\right)_{J} \simeq(\mathbb{C}[x, y] / J)_{(i)}
$$

We set

$$
\kappa_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d} C^{t} \mathbf{d}+d_{0}, \quad \kappa_{2}=n-\kappa_{1} l,
$$

where $C$ is the Cartan matrix of type $\hat{A}_{l-1}$. Then we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})=2 \kappa_{1}$ ([15]). Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ be a generator of $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$. We define the closed subvariety

$$
\mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathbf{d})=\left\{\kappa_{2}[0]+\sum_{j=1}^{\kappa_{1}}\left(\left[p_{j}\right]+\cdots+\left[\zeta^{l-1} p_{j}\right]\right) \in\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}} \mid p_{j} \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right\}
$$

of $\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n} \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}}$. Then we have $\pi(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})) \subset \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathbf{d})$ (in fact we can check $\pi(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d}))=$ $\mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathbf{d})$ ).

For $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ such that $d_{i} \leq d_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$, we have the inclusion given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
\mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathbf{d}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_{0}\left(\mathbf{d}^{\prime}\right) \\
X \quad & \mapsto X+\kappa_{3}[0],
\end{array}
$$

where $\kappa_{3}=\sum\left(d_{i}^{\prime}-d_{i}\right)$. We set

$$
\mathfrak{M}=\coprod_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}} \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d}), \quad \mathfrak{M}_{0}=\bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}} \mathfrak{M}_{0}(\mathbf{d})
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{Z}=\mathfrak{M} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{0}} \mathfrak{M}
$$

Note that we introduce $\mathfrak{M}_{0}$ just only for terminological reason. We work on $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}$, of which connected components are finite dimensional. Let $\mathfrak{V}$ denote the locally free sheaf on $\mathfrak{M}$, which is the union of $\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{d})$ 's.
3.1.2. The natural $T=\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2}$-action on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ induces a $T$-action on $\mathfrak{M}$. The $T$-fixed points of $\mathfrak{M}$ are indexed by $\Pi$. For $\lambda \in \Pi$ the corresponding ideal $J_{\lambda} \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{[\operatorname{deg} \lambda]}$ is the ideal generated by $\left\{x^{a} y^{b} \mid(a, b) \notin \lambda\right\}$. Then $\left\{\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right] \in \mathbb{C}[x, y] / J \mid(a, b) \in \lambda\right\}$ forms a basis of $\mathbb{C}[x, y] / J$.

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\zeta \cdot\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right]=\zeta^{a-b}\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right]$. So $J_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d}(\lambda))$.
For $(s, t) \in T$ we have $(s, t) \cdot\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right]=s^{a} t^{b}\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right]$. So $\mathbb{C} \cdot\left[x^{a} y^{b}\right]=s^{a} t^{b} \in R(T)=$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[s^{ \pm}, t^{ \pm}\right]$, where $R(T)$ is the representation ring of $T$ and we identify the coordinate functions of $T$ with the generators of $R(T)$. Thus for a node $X=(a, b)$, we set $X=$ $s^{a} t^{b} \in R(T)$.

### 3.2. Representation on K-theory of quiver varieties.

3.2.1. Let $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ denote the $i$-th coordinate vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{l}$. For $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{l}$ we define the subvariety of $\mathfrak{Z}$ by

$$
\mathcal{B}_{i}(\mathbf{d})=\left\{\left(J_{1}, J_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z} \mid J_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d}), \quad J_{2} \in \mathfrak{M}\left(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_{i}\right), J_{1} \supset J_{2}\right\} .
$$

This is called the Hecke correspondence.
Let $p_{\varepsilon}$ denote the projection from $\mathfrak{Z}$ to the $\varepsilon$-th factor $(\varepsilon=1,2)$ and $q_{\varepsilon}$ denote its restriction to $\mathcal{B}_{i}(\mathbf{d}) \subset \mathfrak{Z}$. We define the tautological bundle $\mathfrak{L}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{i}(\mathbf{d})$ by $q_{2}^{*} \mathfrak{V} / q_{1}^{*} \mathfrak{V}$.
3.2.2. For a $T$-equivariant vector bundle $\mathfrak{B}$ on $X$, let $\operatorname{det} \mathfrak{B}$ denote its determinant, $\bigwedge^{i} \mathfrak{B}$ denote its $i$-th wedge product, and set $\bigwedge_{z} \mathfrak{B}=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-z)^{i} \bigwedge^{i} \mathfrak{B}$. These operators can be extended to operators on $\mathrm{K}^{T}(X)$. For a $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$-module $M$ we set $M_{i}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z} / I \mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{(i)}, M\right)$.

For $i \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{i}=-\mathfrak{V}_{i}+(s+t) \mathfrak{V}_{i-1}-s t \mathfrak{V}_{i-2}+\delta_{i, 0} \mathfrak{W} \in \mathrm{~K}^{T}(\mathfrak{M}),
$$

where $\mathfrak{W}$ is the trivial line bundle on $\mathfrak{M}$.
We define an action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on $\mathrm{K}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}(\mathfrak{M})=\mathrm{K}^{T}(\mathfrak{M}) \otimes \mathcal{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i, n}(x) & =c_{i}^{-}(\mathbf{d}) p_{1_{*}}\left(p_{2}^{*} x \otimes(\mathfrak{L})^{n+h_{i}(\mathbf{d})}\right), & & x \in \mathrm{~K}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}\left(\mathfrak{M}\left(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)\right), \\
f_{i, n}(x) & =c_{i}^{+}(\mathbf{d}) p_{2_{*}}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(x \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(s^{-1} t^{-1} \mathfrak{H}_{i}\right) \otimes \mathfrak{L}^{n}\right),\right. & & x \in \mathrm{~K}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})), \\
K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)(x) & =c_{i}^{-}(\mathbf{d}) c_{i}^{+}(\mathbf{d})\left(\bigwedge_{z}\left(\left(s^{-1} t^{-1}-1\right) \mathfrak{H}_{i}^{*}\right)\right)^{ \pm} x, & & x \in \mathrm{~K}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{d})),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the index * corresponds taking the dual of a locally free sheaf, the index ${ }^{+}$ (resp. ${ }^{-}$) means the expansion as a formal power series in $z^{-1}$ (resp. $z$ ) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i}^{-}(\mathbf{d})=(-1)^{d_{i}} s^{\left(2 d_{i}-d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2} t^{\left(-2 d_{i-1}+2 d_{i}-d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2}, \\
& c_{i}^{+}(\mathbf{d})=(-1)^{-d_{i-1}+d_{i}-d_{i+1}} s^{-d_{i-1} / 2} t^{d_{i-1} / 2}, \\
& h_{i}(\mathbf{d})=d_{i-1}-2 d_{i}+d_{i+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. We slightly modify the actions in [25]. In fact we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i, n} & =(-1)^{d_{i+1}} s^{\left(d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2} t^{\left(-d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2} \Omega_{i, n}^{-} \\
f_{i, n} & =(-1)^{d_{i+1}} s^{-d_{i+1} / 2} t^{d_{i-1} / 2} \Omega_{i, n}^{+}, \\
K_{i}^{ \pm}(z) & =s^{\left(-d_{i-1}+d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2} t^{\left(d_{i-1}-d_{i+1}+1\right) / 2} \Theta_{i}^{ \pm}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the operators on right hand side are defined in 3.3 of [25], where we should replace their symbols $q, t, k, s$ with our symbols $t, s, i, n$.

Substitute this to Theorem 2 in [25] and the definition of quantum toroidal algebra in [25], we can verify $e_{i, n}, f_{i, n}$ and $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ satisfy the relation in 2.1.
3.2.3. Let $i_{\lambda}$ denote the inclusion $\left\{J_{\lambda}\right\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ and $1_{\lambda}$ denote the generator of $\mathrm{K}^{T}\left(\left\{J_{\lambda}\right\}\right)$. We set $b_{\lambda}=i_{\lambda *}\left(1_{\lambda}\right) \in \mathrm{K}^{T}(\mathfrak{M})$.

By the localization theorem

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}(\mathfrak{M}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Pi} \mathcal{R} b_{\lambda}
$$

Theorem ([25] Lemma 8). For $\lambda \in \Pi$ such that $\mathbf{d}(\lambda)=\mathbf{d}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i, n}\left(b_{\lambda}\right)=\left(-s^{1 / 2} t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}} \sum_{X \in R_{\lambda, i}}\left[X^{n} \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}}\left((s t)^{1 / 2} \square^{*}-(s t)^{1 / 2} X^{*}\right)^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\times \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda \backslash X, i}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}-(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}\right) b_{\lambda \backslash X}\right], \\
& f_{i, n}\left(b_{\lambda}\right)=\left(-s^{1 / 2} t^{-1 / 2}\right)^{-d_{i-1}} \sum_{X \in A_{\lambda, i}}\left[X^{n} \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda \cup \cup X, i}}\left(s t A^{*}-X{ }^{*}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}}\left(\underline{R}^{*}-\underline{X}^{*}\right)^{-1} b_{\lambda \cup X}\right], \\
& K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\left(b_{\lambda}\right)=\left(\prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{1 / 2} \overleftarrow{A}^{*} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}}{\boxed{A}^{*} z-1} \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}}{\|^{*} z-1}\right)^{ \pm} b_{\lambda},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(s^{a} t^{b}\right)^{*}=s^{-a} t^{-b}$ for $s^{a} t^{b} \in R(T)$ and the upper indices ${ }^{+}$and ${ }^{-}$stand for the Taylor expansions at $z=\infty$ and $z=0$ respectively.

## 4. Schur-Weyl duality

In this section we review Schur-Weyl duality.
One can construct representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ from representations of the affine Hecke algebra $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ ([2], see 4.1.3). In this construction the action is given originally in terms of Chevalley generators. One can rewrite the action in terms of Drinfeld generators ([22], see Theorem of 4.1.4).

Further, Schur-Weyl duality in [2] can be extended to get representations of the quantum toroidal algebra $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ from representations of the toroidal Hecke algebra $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$. This is done by extending the action of $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ to $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ using the rotation automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of type $\hat{A}$ ([22], see Theorem of 4.2.2).

### 4.1. Schur-Weyl duality for affine algebras.

4.1.1. The finite Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ is the $\mathcal{R}$-algebra generated by $T_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}(a=$ $1, \ldots, N-1)$ with relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{a} T_{a}^{-1}=T_{a}^{-1} T_{a} & =1, \\
\left(T_{a}+1\right)\left(T_{a}-q^{2}\right) & =0, \\
T_{a} T_{a+1} T_{a} & =T_{a+1} T_{a} T_{a+1}, \\
T_{a} T_{b} & =T_{b} T_{a} \quad(|a-b|>1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The affine Hecke algebra $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ is the $\mathcal{R}$-algebra generated by $T_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}(a=1, \ldots, N-1)$, $X_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}(a=1, \ldots, N)$ with relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{a} T_{a}^{-1}=T_{a}^{-1} T_{a} & =1, \\
\left(T_{a}+1\right)\left(T_{a}-q^{2}\right) & =0, \\
T_{a} T_{a+1} T_{a} & =T_{a+1} T_{a} T_{a+1}, \\
T_{a} T_{b} & =T_{b} T_{a} \quad(|a-b|>1), \\
X_{a} X_{b} & =X_{b} X_{a} \\
T_{a} X_{a} T_{a} & =q^{2} X_{a+1}, \\
X_{b} T_{a} & =T_{a} X_{b} \quad(b \neq a, a+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

4.1.2. Let $V=\mathcal{R}^{l}$ with a basis $\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{l-1}\right\}$. We define ${ }_{T}^{v} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 2}\right)$ by

$$
\stackrel{v}{T}\left(v_{i_{1}} \otimes v_{i_{2}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
q^{2} v_{i_{1}} \otimes v_{i_{2}} & \text { if } & i_{1}=i_{2} \\
q v_{i_{2}} \otimes v_{i_{1}} & \text { if } & i_{1}<i_{2} \\
q v_{i_{2}} \otimes v_{i_{1}}+\left(q^{2}-1\right) v_{i_{1}} \otimes v_{i_{2}} & \text { if } & i_{1}>i_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have a left action of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ on $V^{\otimes N}$ defined by

$$
T_{a} \mapsto \stackrel{v}{T}_{a}=1^{\otimes a-1} \otimes \stackrel{v}{T} \otimes 1^{\otimes N-a-1}
$$

4.1.3. Let $M$ be a right $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$-module. We define the following operators on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i}(m \otimes v)=\sum_{a=1}^{N} m X_{a}^{\delta_{i, 0}} \otimes\left(K_{1}^{i}\right)^{-1} \cdots\left(K_{a-1}^{i}\right)^{-1} E_{a}^{i, i-1} v, \\
& f_{i}(m \otimes v)=\sum_{a=1}^{N} m X_{a}^{-\delta_{i, 0}} \otimes E_{a}^{i-1, i} K_{a+1}^{i} \cdots K_{N}^{i} v, \\
& h_{i}(m \otimes v)=m \otimes K_{1}^{i} \cdots K_{N}^{i} v .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $E_{a}^{i, j}=1^{\otimes^{a-1}} \otimes E^{i, j} \otimes 1^{\otimes^{N-a}}$, where $E^{i, j} \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ is the matrix unit with respect to the basis $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{l-1}$ and $K_{a}^{i}=q^{E_{a}^{i-1, i-1}-E_{a}^{i, i}}$. These operators give a left $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$-action on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ ([2]).
4.1.4. An isomorphism between the algebras defined by Chevalley generators and by Drinfeld new realization is given in [1].

For $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{N}\right) \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\}^{N}$ let $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$ denote $v_{j_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_{N}} \in V^{\otimes N}$.
For $1 \leq a, b \leq N$ we define

$$
T_{a, b}= \begin{cases}T_{a} T_{a+1} \cdots T_{b-1}, & a<b \\ 1, & a=b \\ T_{a-1} T_{a-2} \cdots T_{b}, & a>b\end{cases}
$$

Theorem ([22] Theorem 3.3). Assume $\mathbf{j}$ is an non-decreasing sequence. We put $n_{i}=\sharp\left\{a \mid j_{a}=i\right\}$ and $\bar{n}_{i}=\sum_{i^{\prime}=1}^{i} n_{i^{\prime}}$. Let us write $\mathbf{j}=\left[n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots\right]$.

For $m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ the actions of Drinfeld generators of $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$ are described as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{e_{i}(z)}\left(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=q^{1-n_{i}} m\left(\sum_{a=\bar{n}_{i-1}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}} T_{a, \bar{n}_{i-1}+1}\right) \delta\left(q^{l-i} Y_{\bar{n}_{i-1}+1} z\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}^{-}}, \\
& \widetilde{f_{i}(z)}\left(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=q^{1-n_{i-1}} m\left(\sum_{a=\bar{n}_{i-2}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i-1}} T_{a, \bar{n}_{i-1}}\right) \delta\left(q^{l-i} Y_{\bar{n}_{i-1}} z\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}^{+}}, \\
& \widetilde{K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\left(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=m \prod_{j_{a}=i-1} \theta_{1}^{ \pm}\left(q^{l-i+1} Y_{a} z\right) \prod_{j_{b}=i} \theta_{-1}^{ \pm}\left(q^{l-i-1} Y_{b} z\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\mathbf{j}_{-}=\left[\ldots, n_{i-1}+1, n_{i}-1, \ldots\right], \mathbf{j}_{+}=\left[\ldots, n_{i-1}-1, n_{i}+1, \ldots\right]$ and $\theta_{m}^{+}(z)$ and $\theta_{m}^{-}(z)$ stand for the Taylor expansions of $\theta_{m}(z)=\left(q^{m} z-1\right) /\left(z-q^{m}\right)$ at $z=\infty$ and $z=0$ respectively.

### 4.2. Schur-Weyl duality for toroidal algebras.

4.2.1. The toroidal Hecke algebra $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ is the $\mathcal{R}$-algebra generated by $T_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}(a=$ $1, \ldots, N-1), X_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}(a=1, \ldots, N), Y_{a}^{ \pm 1}(a=1, \ldots, N)$ with relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{a} T_{a}^{-1}=T_{a}^{-1} T_{a} & =1, \\
\left(T_{a}+1\right)\left(T_{a}-q^{2}\right) & =0, \\
T_{a} T_{a+1} T_{a} & =T_{a+1} T_{a} T_{a+1}, \\
T_{a} T_{b} & =T_{b} T_{a} \quad(|a-b|>1),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{a} X_{b} & =X_{b} X_{a}, \\
T_{a} X_{a} T_{a} & =q^{2} X_{a+1}, \\
X_{b} T_{a} & =T_{a} X_{b} \quad(b \neq a, a+1), \\
Y_{a} Y_{b} & =Y_{b} Y_{a}, \\
T_{a}^{-1} Y_{a} T_{a}^{-1} & =q^{-2} Y_{a+1}, \\
Y_{b} T_{a} & =T_{a} Y_{b} \quad(b \neq a, a+1), \\
X_{0} Y_{1} & =p Y_{1} X_{0}, \\
X_{2} Y_{1}^{-1} X_{2}^{-1} Y_{1} & =q^{-2} T_{1}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X_{0}=X_{1} \cdots X_{N}$.
Let $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}^{(1)}$ (resp. $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}^{(2)}$ ) denote the subalgebra generated by $\left\{T_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{a}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{T_{a}{ }^{ \pm 1}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{a}\right\}$ ). They are isomorphic to $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$.

Remark. The toroidal Hecke algebra $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ has the double affine Hecke algebra of type $\mathfrak{g l}_{N}$, which has the one more relation

$$
Y_{0} X_{1}=p^{-1} X_{1} Y_{0}
$$

where $Y_{0}=Y_{1} \cdots Y_{N}$, as its quotient.
4.2.2. Let $M$ be a right $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$-module. Regarding $M$ as a right $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}^{(1)}$-module we have the action of $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{l}\right)$ on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ by 4.1.3.

We define an operator $\rho$ on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ by

$$
\rho\left(m \otimes v_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{N}}\right)=m X_{1}^{\delta_{0, l_{1}}} \cdots X_{N}^{\delta_{0, i_{N}}} \otimes v_{i_{1}-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{N}-1} .
$$

Lemma ([22] Proposition 3.4). We set $\left.\mathcal{X}_{i}(z)=\widetilde{\mathcal{X}_{i}\left(r^{l-i} z\right.}\right)\left(\mathcal{X}=e, f, K^{ \pm}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{X}_{i-1}(z)=\rho^{-1} \circ \mathcal{X}_{i}\left(q^{-1} r^{-1} z\right) \circ \rho .
$$

Theorem ([22] Theorem 3.5). The action of $U_{q}^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right) \otimes \mathcal{R} \simeq U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$ can be extended to an action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ so that the actions of $\mathcal{X}_{0}$ $\left(\mathcal{X}=e, f, K^{ \pm}\right)$are are given by

$$
\mathcal{X}_{0}(z)=\rho^{-1} \circ \mathcal{X}_{1}\left(q^{-1} r^{-1} z\right) \circ \rho .
$$

## 5. Representation on the q-Fock space

In this section we review the action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on the q -Fock space following [18] and [23].

As a q -analogue of the permutation representation, $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm}\right]$has a right $\mathbf{H}_{N}$-module structure. We define the q-wedge space by $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$. This is the q -analogue of the classical wedge space $\bigotimes^{N} V(z) / \oplus \operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{id}+\sigma_{i}\right)$, where $\sigma_{i}$ is the generator of $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$.

We define the $q$-Fock space taking "limit" of the $q$-wedge space. In other words the q -Fock space is the q -analogue of the classical semi-infinite wedge space.

It is known the right $\mathbf{H}_{N}$-module structure on $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm}\right]$can be extended to a right $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$-module structure called Dunkl-Cherednik representation. By Schur-Weyl duality described in 4.2.2, we have an action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on the q -wedge space. This can be naturally lifted to an action on the q-Fock space.
5.1. The q-Fock space. Here we review the definition of the q-Fock space. The reader can refer to [11] for detail.
5.1.1. For $1 \leq a<b \leq N$ let us define an operator $g_{a b}$ on $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ by

$$
g_{a b}=\frac{q^{-1} z_{a}-q z_{b}}{z_{a}-z_{b}}\left(\sigma_{a b}-1\right)+q,
$$

where $\sigma_{a b}$ is the operator defined by the permutation of variables $z_{a}$ and $z_{b}$.
Then we have a right action of $\mathbf{H}_{N}$ on $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ defined by

$$
T_{a} \mapsto{\stackrel{p}{T_{a}}}_{a}=\left(q^{2}-1\right)-q g_{a, a+1}
$$

5.1.2. Let $V(z)=\mathcal{R}\left[z^{ \pm 1}\right] \otimes V$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^{N} V(z) & =\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N} \\
& =\bigotimes^{N} V(z) / \sum_{a=1}^{N-1} \operatorname{Im}\left(\stackrel{p}{T}_{a} \otimes 1_{V^{\otimes N}}-1_{\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right]} \otimes \stackrel{v}{T}_{a}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is called the $q$-wedge space.
5.1.3. We write $u_{k}=z^{m} \otimes v_{j}$ for $k=j-l(m+1)$. Let $u_{k_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{N}}$ denote the image of $u_{k_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{k_{N}}$ for the quotient map. We say $u_{k_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{N}}$ is normally ordered if $k_{a}>k_{b}$ for $a<b$.

For $N=2$ we can verify that if $k \equiv k^{\prime}$ then

$$
u_{k} \wedge u_{k^{\prime}}=-u_{k^{\prime}} \wedge u_{k}
$$

and if $k<k^{\prime}$ and $k-k^{\prime} \equiv i(1 \leq i \leq l-1)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{k} \wedge u_{k^{\prime}}= & -q u_{k^{\prime}} \wedge u_{k} \\
& +\left(q^{2}-1\right)\left(u_{k^{\prime}-i} \wedge u_{k+i}-q u_{k^{\prime}-l} \wedge u_{k+l}+q^{2} u_{k^{\prime}-l-i} \wedge u_{k+l+i}-\cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the summation continues as long as the wedge is normally ordered.
The set of all normally ordered wedges forms a basis of $\bigwedge^{N} V(z)$.
5.1.4. For $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0<N<N^{\prime}$ we define

$$
\begin{array}{rlcc}
\iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c}: & \mathbb{Z}^{N} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{N^{\prime}} \\
\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) & \mapsto\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N},-N+c-1, \ldots,-N^{\prime}+c\right) .
\end{array}
$$

For $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ let us write $u_{\mathbf{k}}=u_{k_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge u_{k_{N}}$. We can check the welldefinedness of the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigwedge_{N}^{N} V(z) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{N^{\prime}} V(z) \\
& u_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \mapsto u_{\iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c}(\mathbf{k})}^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $\iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c}$ for this map as well.
5.1.5. We define

$$
F(c)=\underset{\substack{\iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c}}}{\lim } \bigwedge^{N} V(z), \quad F=\bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} F(c)
$$

and $\iota_{N, \infty}^{c}$ by the canonical map from $\bigwedge^{N} V(z)$ to $F(c)$. $F$ (resp. $F(c)$ ) is called the $q$-Fock space (with charge $c$ ). An element of $F$ (resp. $F(c)$ ) is called a semi-infinite wedge (with charge $c$ ).

Let $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right.$ ) be a Maya diagram with charge $c$ (we use $\mathbf{k}$ both for an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and for an infinite sequence of integers by abuse of notations), then $u_{\mathbf{k}}=u_{k_{1}} \wedge$ $u_{k_{2}} \wedge \cdots$ is a semi-infinite wedge with charge $c$. Note that $\left\{u_{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{k} \in \Pi_{c}\right\}$ forms a basis of $F(c)$.

### 5.2. Representation on the $q$-Fock space.

5.2.1. Let us consider the following operators on $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm}\right]$:

$$
Y_{a}^{(N)}=g_{a, a+1}^{-1} \sigma_{a, a+1} \cdots g_{a, N}^{-1} \sigma_{a, N} p^{D_{a}} \sigma_{1, a} g_{1, a} \cdots \sigma_{a-1, a} g_{a-1, a} \quad(a \in\{1, \ldots, N\})
$$

where $p^{D_{a}}$ is the difference operator given by

$$
p^{D_{a}} f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{a}, \ldots, z_{N}\right)=f\left(z_{1}, \ldots, p z_{a}, \ldots, z_{N}\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right] .
$$

The operator $Y_{a}^{(N)}$ is called Dunkl-Cherednik operator. Then the action of $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ defined in 4.1.2 can be extended to the action of $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{N}$ by

$$
T_{a} \mapsto{\stackrel{p}{T_{a}}, \quad X_{i} \mapsto z_{a}, \quad Y_{a} \mapsto q^{1-N} Y_{a}^{(N)} . . . .}
$$

This is called Dunkl-Cherednik representation ([3], [4], [5]).
By the Schur-Weyl duality explained in 4.2.2, we have an action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on $\bigwedge^{N} V(z)=\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{H}_{N}} V^{\otimes N}$.
5.2.2. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ we define $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and $\mathbf{j} \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\}$ by $k_{a}=j_{a}-l\left(m_{a}+1\right)$. Note that $z^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=u_{\mathbf{k}}$. We identify $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ with the pair $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{j})$. Let $\mathbf{m}^{c}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ denote the sequence obtained from $\mathbf{k}^{c}=(c-a)_{1 \leq a \leq N}$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{N, l}^{c, r}$ denote the set of all $\mathbf{m}$ such that

- $\mathbf{m}$ is non-decreasing with no more than $l$ elements of any given value, and
- $\quad m_{a} \geq m_{a}^{c}$ for all $a$ and $\sum\left(m_{a}-m_{a}^{c}\right)=\gamma$.

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{N, l}^{c, \gamma}$ we define

$$
\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m})=\left\{\mathbf{j} \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\}^{N} \mid j_{a}<j_{b} \text { for } a<b \text { such that } m_{a}=m_{b}\right\} .
$$

We define

$$
V_{N}^{c, \gamma}=\bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}_{N, l}^{c, \gamma} \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{m})} \bigoplus_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{R} u_{\mathbf{k}} \subset \bigwedge^{N} V(z) .
$$

We can check this is invariant under the $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)^{\prime}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{s} l})$-action.
We can see that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha l+c>\gamma l$ and $\beta>\alpha$ the restriction

$$
\iota_{\alpha l+c, \beta l+c}^{c, \gamma}=\left.\iota_{\alpha l+c, \beta l+c}^{c}\right|_{\alpha l+c} ^{c, \gamma}: V_{\alpha l+c}^{c, \gamma} \rightarrow V_{\beta l+c}^{c, \gamma}
$$

is an isomorphism as vector spaces.
Theorem ([20] Proposition 6). $\iota_{\alpha l+c, \beta l+c}^{c, \gamma}$ is an isomorphism as $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$-modules.
5.2.3. For $\mathbf{k} \in \Pi^{c}$ we set $\operatorname{deg} \mathbf{k}=\sum\left(m_{a}-m_{a}^{c}\right)$. Note that this is well-defined. We set

$$
F(c)_{\gamma}=\bigoplus_{\substack{\gamma \in \Pi^{c} \\ \operatorname{deg} \mathbf{k}=\gamma}} \mathcal{R} u_{\mathbf{k}} \subset F(c)
$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\alpha l+c>\gamma l$ the restriction

$$
\iota_{\alpha l+c, \infty}^{c, \gamma}=\left.\iota_{\alpha l+c, \infty}^{c}\right|_{v_{\alpha l+c}^{c, \gamma}} ^{c, \gamma}: V_{\alpha l+c}^{c, \gamma} \rightarrow F(c)_{\gamma}
$$

is an isomorphism as vector space. By Theorem of 5.2.2 we can extend the $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{(1)}{ }^{\prime}\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{s l}}_{l}\right)$ action to $F(c)_{r}$, and so to $F(c)$.
5.2.4. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{N}: \quad \mathbb{Z}^{N} & \rightarrow \\
\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right) & \mapsto\left(k_{1}-1, \ldots, k_{N}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $\rho_{N}$ as well for the map $\bigwedge^{N} V(z) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{N} V(z)$ given by $u_{\mathbf{k}} \mapsto u_{\rho_{N}(\mathbf{k})}$. We can see this is compatible with the construction of $\rho$ in 4.2.2.

We also define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\infty}: \quad \Pi_{c} & \rightarrow \quad \Pi_{c-1} \\
\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right) & \mapsto\left(k_{1}-1, k_{2}-1, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\rho_{\infty}: F(c) \rightarrow F(c-1)$.
For $0<N<N^{\prime} \leq \infty$ we have

$$
\iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c-1} \circ \rho_{N}=\rho_{N^{\prime}} \circ \iota_{N, N^{\prime}}^{c} .
$$

Thus the action of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$ on $\bigwedge^{N} V(z)$ can be extended to $F$ so that

$$
X_{0}(z)=\rho_{\infty}^{-1} \circ X_{1}\left(q^{-1} r^{-1} z\right) \circ \rho_{\infty} \quad\left(X=e, f, K^{ \pm}\right)
$$

## 6. Simultaneous eigenvectors

In this section we construct simultaneous eigenvectors for the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's on the q-Fock space, which are the main subjects of this paper.

In $\S 6.1$ we review the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials ([5], [14], [17]). For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm}\right]$is a simultaneous eigenvector of Dunkl-Cherednik operators. The transition matrix between monomials and the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials is upper triangular with respect to the Bruhat order on $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$. The actions of the finite Hecke algebra generators $T_{a}$ on the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials can be simply described (see Proposition of 6.1.4).

For $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{1}>\cdots>k_{N}\right)$ we define a vector $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}=\Phi \underline{\underline{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}$ in the q-wedge space (Definition of 6.2.2), where $\underline{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{j}}$ are given by "renumbering" of $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}\right)$ so that $\mathbf{j}$ is non-decreasing (6.2.1). It follows immediately from Theorem 4.1.4 that $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's $(i \neq 0)$. We can check

- the eigenvalues are multiplicity free (Proposition of 6.2.5), and
- the transition matrix between normally ordered wedges and $\left\{\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right\}$ is upper triangular (Proposition of 6.2.3), in particular $\left\{\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right\}$ forms a basis of the $q$-wedge space.

So the vector $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is characterized in term of the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's $(i \neq 0)$ (Corollary of 6.2 .5 ). Further, using them we can verify

- $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is also a simultaneous eigenvector for $K_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$ (Corollary of 6.2.6), and
- $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ can be lifted to the $q$-Fock space (Definition of 6.2.8).

We can see the eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of the torus fixed points in the representation on the equivariant K -groups of the quiver varieties.

### 6.1. Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials.

6.1.1. Let us define the Bruhat order. This is the partial order on $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ given by the transitive closure of the following two relations:

For $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$

- if $1 \leq i<j \leq N$ and $x_{i}>x_{j}$ then $\mathbf{x} \succ \sigma_{i j} \mathbf{x}$, and
- $1 \leq i<j \leq N$ and $x_{i}-x_{j}>1$ then $\sigma_{i j} \mathbf{x} \succ \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{j}$ where $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ is the $i$-th coordinate vector.
6.1.2. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ let $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the unique element of $\mathfrak{S}_{N}$ satisfying the following conditions:
- if $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(a)<\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ then $x_{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(a)} \geq x_{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)}$, and
- if $a<a^{\prime}$ and $x_{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(a)}=x_{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)}$ then $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}(a)<\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)$.
6.1.3. We can see that $\mathcal{R}\left[z_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, z_{N}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ has the basis $\left\{\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z) \mid \mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}\right\}$ such that - $\quad \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z)=z^{\mathbf{m}}+\sum_{\mathbf{n}<\mathbf{m}} c(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) z^{\mathbf{n}}(\exists c(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathcal{R})$,
- $\quad \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z) Y_{a}^{(N)}=\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m}) \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z)$, where $\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})=p^{m_{a}} q^{2 \sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(a)-N-1}$.
$\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z)$ is called the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial ([5], [14], [17]).


### 6.1.4.

Proposition (see [21] §1.5).

$$
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z) \cdot T_{a}^{p}= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z)-\frac{\left(x-q^{2}\right)\left(q^{2} x-1\right)}{(x-1)^{2}} \Phi^{\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}}(z) & \left(m_{a}>m_{a+1}\right), \\ \frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z) & \left(m_{a}=m_{a+1}\right), \\ \frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}}(z)-\Phi^{\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}}(z) & \left(m_{a}<m_{a+1}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}=\left(\ldots, m_{a+1}, m_{a}, \ldots\right)$ and $x=\zeta_{a+1}(\mathbf{m}) / \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})$.

### 6.2. Simultaneous eigenvectors and its properties.

6.2.1. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ we define $\hat{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{S}_{N}$ by $\hat{\sigma}(a)=N-\sigma(a)+1$.

For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}=\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N} \mid k_{1}>\cdots>k_{N}\right\}$ we define $\underline{\mathbf{m}}=\left(\underline{m}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{m}_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{j}}=\left(\underline{j}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{j}_{N}\right) \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\}^{N}$ by

$$
\underline{m}_{a}=m_{\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}(a)}, \quad \underline{j}_{a}=j_{\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}(a)} .
$$

Note that

- $\mathbf{m}$ is non-decreasing, and if $a<b, m_{a}=m_{b}$ then $j_{a}>j_{b}$,
- $\underline{\mathbf{j}}$ is non-decreasing, and if $a<b, \underline{j}_{a}=\underline{j}_{b}$ then $\underline{m}_{a}>\underline{m}_{b}$,
- $\quad \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}=\widehat{\sigma_{\underline{m}}}$.

Example. For $\mathbf{k}=(5,3,1,-6,-7,-8,-9,-10)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-2 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \left(\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{j}}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & -2 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following figure,

- enumerate the boxes from lower rows to upper rows and from right to left in a row, then $\left(j_{a}, m_{a}\right)$ is the coordinate of the $a$-th box, and
- enumerate the boxes from left columns to right columns and from the top to the bottom in a column, then $\left(\underline{j}_{a}, \underline{m}_{a}\right)$ is the coordinate of the $a$-th box.

| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -10 | -9 | $\boxed{y}$ |  | $\boxed{-7}$ |
|  | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -6 |
| 0 | -1 | -1 |  |  |  |
| -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| -2 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\uparrow$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |$\leftarrow j$

We define a partial order $\triangleleft$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$ by

$$
\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k} \Longleftrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{j}}^{\prime}=\underline{\mathbf{j}} \text { and } \underline{\mathbf{m}}^{\prime} \prec \underline{\mathbf{m}}
$$

### 6.2.2.

Definition. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$ we define $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}=\Phi \underline{\underline{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}} \in \bigwedge^{N} V(z)$.

REMARK. Takemura-Uglov introduced vectors $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \in \bigwedge^{N} V(z)$ in [20], which are different from ours.

Proposition. $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's $(i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, l-1\})$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem of 4.1.4 and the definition of $\Phi \underline{\underline{m}}$ in 6.1.3.
6.2.3. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N}$ we define

$$
\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})=\sharp\left\{(a, b) \mid a<b, \widehat{\sigma_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}}(a)>\widehat{\sigma_{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}}(b)\right\} .
$$

## Proposition.

$$
\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}=(-q)^{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} u_{\mathbf{k}}+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}<\mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\exists c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\right)
$$

Proof. By the definition of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials in 6.1.3,

$$
\Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}=z^{\underline{\underline{m}}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}+\sum_{\mathbf{m}^{\prime}<\underline{\mathbf{m}}} c\left(\underline{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime}\right) z^{\mathbf{m}^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}} \quad\left(\exists c\left(\underline{\mathbf{m}}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\right) .
$$

On the other hand by the relation in 5.1.2 we can verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\mathbf{m}^{\prime}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}= & (-q)^{c\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}, \mathbf{j}\right)} z_{z^{\widehat{\mathbf{m}^{\prime}}}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}\right)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\widehat{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}^{\prime}}}(\underline{\mathbf{j}})} \\
& +\sum_{\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}<\mathbf{m}^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}\right) z^{\widehat{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\widehat{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}}}(\mathbf{j})} \quad\left(\exists c^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{m}^{\prime}, \mathbf{m}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $c(\underline{\mathbf{m}}, \underline{\mathbf{j}})=\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$. Then the statement follows.
We define

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{N}=\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{N} \mid \underline{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathcal{M}_{N, l}^{c, \gamma}\right\} .
$$

Note that if $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{N}$ and $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}$, then $\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{N}$.
Corollary. If $N>\gamma l$, then $\left\{\Psi^{\mathbf{k}} \mid \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{N}\right\}$ is a basis of $V_{N}^{c, \gamma}$.
6.2.4. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$, let $\lambda$ denote the Young diagram corresponding to $\iota_{\alpha l+c, \infty}^{c}(\mathbf{k}) \in \Pi_{c}$.

Proposition. For $i=1, \ldots, l-1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\left(\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{1 / 2} \widehat{A}^{*} t^{-c-1} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}}{\|^{*} t^{-c-1} z-1} \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{-1 / 2} \widehat{R}^{*} t^{-c-1} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}}{\mathbb{R}^{*} t^{-c-1} z-1}\right)^{ \pm} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By Theorem of 4.1.4 and the defining relations $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)=\widetilde{\left.K_{i}^{ \pm\left(r^{l-i}\right.} z\right)}$, it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{\underline{\mathrm{m}}} \prod_{j_{a}=i-1} \theta_{1}^{ \pm}\left(q^{l-i+1} r^{l-i} Y_{a} z\right) \prod_{j_{b}=i} \theta_{-1}^{ \pm}\left(q^{l-i-1} r^{l-i} Y_{b} z\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{A}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}}{A^{*} t^{-c} z-1} \prod_{R \in R_{R, i}} \frac{(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}}{R^{*} t^{-c} z-1}\right)^{ \pm} \Phi^{\underline{m}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} q^{l-i+1} r^{l-i} Y_{a}=q^{l-i+1} r^{l-i} q^{1-N} p^{m_{a}} q^{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(a)-N-1} \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
&=s^{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(a)-N+1 / 2} t^{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(a)-N-i+l\left(m_{a}+1\right)+1 / 2} \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
&=s^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)+3 / 2} t^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)-k_{a}+1 / 2} \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}, \\
& \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} q^{l-i-1} r^{l-i} Y_{b}=s^{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(b)-N-1 / 2} t^{\sigma_{\mathbf{m}}(b)-N-i+l\left(m_{b}+1\right)-1 / 2} \Phi \underline{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
&=s^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(b)+1 / 2} t^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}-1}(b)-k_{b}+1 / 2 \\
& \underline{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We classify the elements of $\left\{a \mid j_{a}=i-1\right\} \cup\left\{b \mid j_{b}=i\right\}$ into three types:
(1) $a$ and $b$ such that $m_{a}=m_{b}, j_{a}=i-1, j_{b}=i$,
(2) $a$ such that $j_{a}=i-1$ and $\left(m_{a}, i\right) \notin \mathbf{k}$, and
(3) $b$ such that $j_{b}=i$ and $\left(m_{b}, i-1\right) \notin \mathbf{k}$.

In the case of type (1), we have $\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)-1=\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(b), k_{a}+1=k_{b}$. Thus

$$
\Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} q^{l-i} r^{l-i-1} Y_{a}=\Phi^{\underline{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} q^{l-i-2} r^{l-i-1} Y_{b}, ~}
$$

and so

$$
\Phi^{\underline{\mathrm{m}}} \theta_{1}\left(q^{l-i} Y_{a} r^{l-i-1} z\right) \theta_{-1}\left(q^{l-i-2} Y_{b} r^{l-i-1} z\right)=\Phi^{\underline{\mathrm{m}}}
$$

In the case of type (2), the node $A=\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}{ }^{-1}(a)-1, \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}{ }^{-1}(a)+k_{a}-c\right)$ is an addable $i$-node. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \theta_{1}\left(q^{l-i} Y_{a} r^{l-i-1} z\right) & =\theta_{1}\left(s^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)+3 / 2} t^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)-k_{a}+1 / 2} z\right) \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
& =\theta_{1}\left(s^{1 / 2} t^{-c+1 / 2} A^{*} z\right) \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
& =\frac{(s t)^{1 / 2} \widehat{A}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}}{A^{*} t^{-c} z-1} \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of type (3), the node $R=\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(b)-1,{\widehat{\sigma_{\mathbf{j}}}}^{-1}(b)+k_{b}-c-1\right)$ is a removable $i$-node. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\underline{\mathrm{m}}} \theta_{1}\left(q^{l-i-2} Y_{b} r^{l-i-1} z\right) & =\theta_{1}\left(s^{-\widehat{\mathrm{j}}^{-1}(b)+1 / 2} t^{-\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{j}}^{-1}(b)-k_{b}+1 / 2} z\right) \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \\
& =\theta_{1}\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{-c-1 / 2} \mathbb{R}^{*} z\right) \Phi^{\underline{\underline{m}}} \\
& =\frac{(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}}{R^{*} t^{-c} z-1} \Phi^{\underline{\underline{m}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the claim follows.

### 6.2.5.

Proposition. If $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$ and the eigenvalues of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ for $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}$ coincide for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$, then $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}^{\prime}$.

Proof. The coincidence of the eigenvalues of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}}(s t)^{1 / 2} \square^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}}(s t)^{-1 / 2} \square^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}} \square^{*} t^{-c} z-1 \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}} Q^{*} t^{-c} z-1 \\
& =\prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}} A^{*} t^{-c} z-1 \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}} R^{*} t^{-c} z-1 \\
& \quad \times \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}}(s t)^{1 / 2} \square^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}}(s t)^{-1 / 2} \mathbb{R}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\{(s, t) \mid s-t=n\} \cap\left(A_{\lambda} \cup R_{\lambda}\right)\right|<1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}}\left((s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{A}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& \times\left.\prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}\right)\right|_{z=X t^{c}} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $X \in A_{\lambda, i} \cup R_{\lambda, i}$. So we have $X \in A_{\lambda^{\prime}, i} \cup R_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}$, and it follows that $A_{\lambda, i} \cup R_{\lambda, i}=$ $A_{\lambda^{\prime}, i} \cup R_{\lambda^{\prime}, i}$.

It is easy to see the set $\bigcup_{i \neq 0}\left(A_{\lambda, i} \cup R_{\lambda, i}\right)$ determines $\lambda$. So the claim follows.
Corollary. If $X \in \bigwedge^{N} V(z)$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's ( $i \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$ ) and

$$
X=(-q)^{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} u_{\mathbf{k}}+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}} \quad\left(\exists c\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\right),
$$

for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$, then $X=\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$.
Proof. It follows from Corollary of 6.2 .3 and the previous proposition.

### 6.2.6.

Proposition. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l), \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for the actions of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's $(i \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\})$.

Proof. By definition $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's commute with each other. Notice that a matrix which commutes with a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements different from each other is diagonal. It follows from Proposition of 6.2.2, Corollary of 6.2.3 and Proposition of 6.2.5 that $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ is also a simultaneous eigenvector for the action of $K_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$.

### 6.2.7.

Proposition. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{a l+c}(a l+c>\gamma l)$, we have

$$
\rho\left(q^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right)=q^{-\varepsilon(\rho(\mathbf{k}))} \Psi^{\rho(\mathbf{k})} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma of 4.2.2 and Theorem of 4.2.2, $\rho\left(\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ is also a simultaneous eigenvector of $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's $(i \in\{0, \ldots, l-1\})$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(q^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right) & =\rho\left(u_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \rho\left(u_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =u_{\rho(\mathbf{k})}+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{\rho\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\rho$ preserves the order $\triangleleft$. Then the statement follows from Corollary of 6.2.5.
Corollary. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$, the eigenvalue of $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$ for $K_{0}^{ \pm}(z)$ is given by the same formula as in Proposition of 6.2.4.
6.2.8. For $\beta>\alpha$ we write simply $\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}$ for $\iota_{\alpha l+c, \beta l+c}^{c}$.

Lemma. For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$, we have

$$
\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}\left(q^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right)=q^{-\varepsilon\left(t_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}(\mathbf{k})\right)} \Psi^{t_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}(\mathbf{k})}
$$

Proof. By Theorem of 5.2.2, $\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}\left(\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right) \in \bigwedge^{\beta l+c} V(z)$ is also a simultaneous eigenvector. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}\left(q^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right) & =\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}\left(u_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}\left(u_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}}\right) \quad\left(\exists c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}\right) \\
& =u_{\iota_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{k})}+\sum_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbf{k}} c\left(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{\iota_{\alpha, \beta}}^{c}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\iota_{\alpha, \beta}^{c}$ preserves the order $\triangleleft$. The claim follows from Corollary of 6.2.5.
This lemma assures us of the well-definedness for the following definition:
DEFINITION. For a Young diagram $\lambda$, take $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+, \gamma}^{\alpha l+c}(\alpha l+c>\gamma l)$ such that $\iota_{\alpha l+c, \infty}^{c}(\mathbf{k}) \in \Pi_{c}$ corresponds to $\lambda$. We define

$$
\Psi_{c}^{\lambda}=l_{\alpha l+c, \infty}^{c}\left(q^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})} \Psi^{\mathbf{k}}\right) \in F(c)
$$

## 7. Isomorphism

In this section we construct an isomorphism between the equivariant K-groups of the quiver varieties and the q-Fock space.

First we express the actions of $e_{i . n}$ 's on $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$, which can be done completely in terms of Young diagrams (Theorem of 7.1.2). This is basically due to Proposition of 6.1.4 although we need the residue theorem and a little complicated induction.

After suitable renormalizations, we arrive at the isomorphism.

### 7.1. Formula for the representation on the $q$-Fock space.

7.1.1. We will give a formula for the action of $e_{i, n}$ on $\Psi^{\mathbf{k}}$. For this the following proposition is essential:

Proposition. (1) For $m_{1}<\cdots<m_{N}$ and $j_{1}<j_{2}=\cdots=j_{N}$ we have

$$
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{N} T_{a, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=\sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{N} \frac{-\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{m})+q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{m})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})}\right) \Phi^{\mathbf{m}(a)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
$$

where $\mathbf{m}(a)=\left(m_{a}, m_{1}, \ldots, \hat{m}_{a}, \ldots\right)$.
(2) For $m_{1}<\cdots<m_{N-1}, m_{N}=m_{a}(1 \leq a<N)$ and $j_{1}=j_{2}=\cdots=j_{N}$ we have

$$
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=0
$$

(3) For $\mathbf{k}$ such that $m_{1}<\cdots<m_{N-1}, m_{a}<m_{N}<m_{a+1}$ and $j_{1}=j_{2}=\cdots=j_{N}$ we have

$$
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{N-1} \frac{-\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{n})+q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{n})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{n})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{n})}\right) \Phi^{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{m}}=\left(\ldots, m_{a}, m_{N}, m_{a+1}, \ldots\right)$.
Proof. If $j_{a}=j_{a+1}$ and $m_{a}>m_{a+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{2} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \stackrel{v}{T}_{a} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}  \tag{by4.1.2}\\
& =\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}{ }_{T}^{p} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}-\frac{\left(x-q^{2}\right)\left(q^{2} x-1\right)}{(x-1)^{2}} \Phi^{\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

(by 6.1.4)
where $\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}=\left(\ldots, m_{a+1}, m_{a}, \ldots\right)$ and $x=\zeta_{a+1}(\mathbf{m}) / \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})$. Thus

$$
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=\frac{-\zeta_{a+1}(\mathbf{m})+q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})}{\zeta_{a+1}(\mathbf{m})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})} \Phi^{\sigma_{a} \mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
$$

The statement of (3) follows this.
If $j_{a}=j_{a+1}$ and $m_{a}=m_{a+1}$ then $x=\zeta_{a+1}(\mathbf{m}) /\left(\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})\right)=q^{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{2} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes T_{a}^{v} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}  \tag{by4.1.2}\\
& =\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} T_{a}^{p} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}  \tag{by6.1.4}\\
& =-\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

and so $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}=0$. This shows (2).
We will prove (1) by induction for $N$. Assume the statement is true for $N^{\prime}<N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{N} T_{a, 1}\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}\left(\left(\sum_{a=2}^{N} T_{a, 2}\right) T_{1}+1\right) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \\
& =\left[\sum_{a=2}^{N}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{N} \frac{-\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})+q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{k})}\right) \widetilde{\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(a)}} T_{1}^{v}+\Phi^{\mathbf{m}}\right] \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}(a)}=\mathbf{m}(a)=\left(m_{1}, m_{a}, m_{2}, \ldots, \hat{m}_{a}, \ldots\right)$. Here we use the assumption of induction. Although the situations are not exactly same, commutativity of $\stackrel{v}{T}_{1}$ with $\stackrel{v}{T}_{a}(a \geq 3)$ allows us a parallel argument.

Further we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\widetilde{\mathbf{m}(a)}} T_{1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} & =\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right)}{x-1} \Phi^{\widetilde{\mathbf{m}(a)}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}-\Phi^{\sigma_{1} \widetilde{\mathbf{m}(a)}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} \\
& =\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right) \zeta_{1}(\mathbf{m})}{\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})-\zeta_{1}(\mathbf{m})} \prod_{b=2}^{a-1} \frac{-\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{m})+q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{m})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}-\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(a)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can see the coefficients of $\mathbf{m}(a)(a \geq 2)$ coincide with required ones. For the coefficient of $\mathbf{m}$ we need to check

$$
\left(\prod_{b=2}^{N} \frac{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})-q^{2} \zeta_{1}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})-\zeta_{1}(\mathbf{k})}\right)=\sum_{a=2}^{N}\left(\frac{\left(-q^{2}+1\right) \zeta_{1}(\mathbf{m})}{\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{m})-\zeta_{1}(\mathbf{m})} \prod_{b \neq a} \frac{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})-q^{2} \zeta_{a}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta_{b}(\mathbf{k})-\zeta_{a}(\mathbf{k})}\right)+1 .
$$

This follows the next lemma.

## Lemma.

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{N}\left(\frac{-q^{2}+1}{x_{1}-1} \prod_{b \neq a} \frac{x_{b}-q^{2} x_{a}}{x_{b}-x_{a}}\right)=\left(\prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{x_{a}-q^{2}}{x_{a}-1}\right)-1
$$

Proof. Apply the residue theorem for a rational function

$$
f(Z)=\frac{1}{Z(Z-1)} \prod_{a=1}^{N} \frac{x_{a}-q^{2} Z}{x_{a}-Z} .
$$

### 7.1.2.

## Theorem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i, n}\left(\Psi_{c}^{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{X \in R_{\lambda, i}}\left(t^{c} \boxed{X}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda}, i \\
A>X}} \frac{-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{A}^{*}}{X^{*}-A^{*}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{R \in R_{\lambda} \backslash X, i \\
R>X}} \frac{-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}}{n^{*}-x^{*}}\right) \Psi_{c}^{\lambda \backslash X},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i, n}\left(\Psi_{c}^{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{X \in A_{\lambda, i}}\left(t^{c} \boxed{X}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda, \cup X}, i \\
A<X}} \frac{-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{A}^{*}}{\boxed{X}-\Delta^{*}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{R \in R_{,, i} \\
R<X}} \frac{\left.-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \boxed{R}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \boxed{X}\right]^{*}}{\underline{R}^{*}-\underline{X}^{*}}\right) \Psi_{c}^{\lambda \cup X}, \\
& K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)\left(\Psi_{c}^{\lambda}\right)=\left(\prod_{A \in A_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{1 / 2} \widehat{A}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{-1 / 2}}{\Delta^{*} t^{-c} z-1} \prod_{R \in R_{\lambda, i}} \frac{(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*} t^{-c} z-(s t)^{1 / 2}}{R^{*} t^{-c} z-1}\right)^{ \pm} \Psi_{c}^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The formulas for $K_{i}^{ \pm}(z)$ 's are nothing but Proposition of 6.2.4 and Corollary of 6.2 .7 . We will check for $e_{i, n}$ 's.

For $a, b \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ we put

$$
f(a, b)=\frac{q^{-1} \zeta_{b}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})-q \zeta_{a}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})}{\zeta_{b}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})-\zeta_{a}(\underline{\mathbf{m}})} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i, n}\left(\Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}\right) \\
& \stackrel{=}{\text { 4.1.4 }} \quad q^{1-n_{i}} \Phi \underline{\underline{\mathbf{m}}}\left(\sum_{a=\bar{n}_{i-1}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}} T_{a, \bar{n}_{i-1}+1}\right)\left(q^{l-i} Y_{\bar{n}_{i-1}+1} r^{l-i}\right)^{-n} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}^{-}} \\
& \text {7.1.1 }={ }_{(1)} q^{1-n_{i}} \sum_{a=\bar{n}_{i-1}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}-q f(a, b)\right) \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}(a)}\left(q^{l-i} Y_{\bar{n}_{i-1}+1} r^{l-i}\right)^{-n} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}} \\
& \text { see }={ }_{6.2 .4} q^{1-n_{i}} \sum_{a=\bar{n}_{i-1}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}\left(t^{c} X_{a}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}-q f(a, b)\right) \Phi^{\underline{\mathbf{m}}(a)} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}^{-}} \\
& \underset{7.1 .1(2)}{=} q^{1-n_{i}} \sum_{\substack{\bar{n}_{i-1}<a \leq \bar{n}_{i} \\
\left(m_{a}, i-1\right) \notin \mathbf{k}}}\left(t^{c} \boxed{X_{a}}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}-q f(a, b)\right)\left(\prod_{b=a^{\prime}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i-1}}-q f(b, a)\right) \Phi^{\overline{\mathbf{m}^{(a)}}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}^{-}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\bar{n}_{i-1}<a \leq \bar{n}_{i} \\
\left(\underline{m}_{a}, i-1\right) \notin \mathbf{k}}} q^{n_{i-1}^{+}(a)-n_{i}^{-}(a)}\left(t^{c} \overleftarrow{X_{a}}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}-f(a, b)\right)\left(\prod_{b=a^{\prime}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i-1}}-f(b, a)\right) \Phi^{\overline{\underline{\underline{m}}(a)}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}^{-}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

- $\underline{\mathbf{m}}(a)=\left(\ldots, \underline{m}_{\bar{n}_{i}}, \underline{m}_{a}, \underline{m}_{\bar{n}_{i}+1}, \ldots, \underline{\hat{m}}_{a}, \ldots\right), \overline{\overline{\mathbf{m}}(a)}=\left(\ldots, \underline{m}_{a^{\prime}}, \underline{m}_{a}, \underline{m}_{a^{\prime}+1}, \ldots, \underline{\hat{m}}_{a}, \ldots\right)$,
- $X_{a}=\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)-1, \widehat{\sigma}_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}(a)+k_{a}-c\right)$ denote the top node on the $a$-th line of $\lambda$, and
- $n_{i-1}^{+}(a)=\bar{n}_{i}-a^{\prime}, n_{i}^{-}(a)=a-\bar{n}_{i}-1$.

Since $\varepsilon(\underline{\mathbf{m}}, \underline{\mathbf{j}})-\varepsilon\left(\underline{\underline{\mathbf{m}}(a)}, \underline{\mathbf{j}}^{-}\right)=n_{i-1}^{+}(a)-n_{i}^{-}(a)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{i, n}\left(q^{-\varepsilon \underline{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{\underline { \mathbf { j } }})} \Phi^{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\bar{n}_{i-1}<a \leq \bar{n}_{i} \\
\left(\underline{m}_{a}, i-1\right) \notin \mathbf{k}}}\left(t^{c} \mid \overline{X_{a}}\right)^{n}\left(\prod_{b=a+1}^{\bar{n}_{i}}-f(a, b)\right)\left(\prod_{b=a^{\prime}+1}^{\bar{n}_{i-1}}-f(b, a)\right) q^{-\varepsilon \overline{\left(\underline{\mathbf{m}}(a), \mathbf{j}^{-}\right)}} \Phi^{\overline{\overline{\mathbf{m}}(a)}} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\underline{\mathbf{j}^{-}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Proposition of 6.2 .4 we can arrange the right hand side of the above equation by classify the element of $\left\{a+1, \ldots, \bar{n}_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{a^{\prime}+1, \ldots, \bar{n}_{i-1}\right\}$ into three types, and finally we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i, n}\left(\Psi_{c}^{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{X \in R_{\lambda, i}}\left(t^{c} \boxed{X}\right)^{n} & \left(\prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda, i} \\
A>X}} \frac{-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{A}^{*}}{\boxed{X}^{*}-\boxed{A}^{*}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\prod_{\substack{R \in R_{1, X, i} \\
R>X}} \frac{-(s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}+(s t)^{1 / 2} \underline{X}^{*}}{\boxed{R}^{*}-\widehat{X}^{*}}\right) \Psi_{c}^{\lambda \backslash X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.2. Normalizations.

### 7.2.1.

Definition. For $\lambda \in \Pi$ we define

$$
N(\lambda)=\prod\left(s^{x_{h}-x_{t}} t^{y_{h}-y_{t}}-1\right)
$$

where the product runs over all $l$-hooks $\left(\left(x_{h}, y_{h}\right),\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)\right)$.
We can easily verify the following lemma:
Lemma. If $X$ is a removable $i$-node of $\lambda$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N(\lambda) / N(\lambda \backslash X)=\prod_{\substack{A \in A_{, 1, i} \\
A<X}}\left(A X^{*}-1\right) \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{人, i} \\
A>X}}\left(s t \not A^{*}-1\right) \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{, i, i} \\
R<X}}\left(s t X{ }^{*}-1\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{, 1, i} \\
R>X}}\left(X{ }^{*}-1\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

REmARK. From geometrical point of view, $N(\lambda)$ is derived from the Kozsul complex of the unstable manifold, with respect to a specific $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-action, on which points converge to the fixed point $\lambda$.
7.2.2. For $\mu \in \Pi$ we will define $M(\mu) \in \mathcal{R}$ inductively. First we set $M(\emptyset)=1$. Let $Y=(a, b)$ be the most right node of the top row of $\mu$. Then we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(\mu)= & M(\mu \backslash Y)\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}(\mu)} \underline{Y}^{\delta(b \equiv 0)} \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\mu, i} \\
A<Y}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \Delta A\right) \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{\mu} Y Y, i \\
R<Y}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma. If $X$ is a removable $i$-node of $\lambda \in \Pi$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(\lambda)= & M(\lambda \backslash X)\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}(\lambda)} \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{, i, i} \\
A<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \Delta\right) \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda, i} \\
A>X}} X \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{\lambda}, X, i \\
R<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}\right) \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{>}, X, i \\
R>X}} X^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We divide $\lambda$ into $\lambda_{l}$ and $\lambda_{r}$ by the vertical line on the right of $X$.


Let us write $\mu<\lambda$ if we can get $\mu$ from $\lambda$ by successive removing the nodes on the top of the most right line of diagrams. Take $\mu \in \Pi$ such that $\lambda_{l} \lesseqgtr \mu<\lambda$. Let $Y$ be the node on the top of the most right line of $\mu$.

Then we can verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M(\mu)}{M(\mu \backslash Y)} / \frac{M(\mu \backslash X)}{M(\mu \backslash(X \cup Y))} \\
& =\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{\delta(j-1 \equiv i)}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} X^{*}\right)^{\delta(j \equiv i)}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} X\right)^{-\delta(j \equiv i)} \\
& \quad \times\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} X X t\right)^{\delta(j \equiv i-1)}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} X s\right)^{\delta(j \equiv i+1)} \\
& =\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{\delta(j-1 \equiv i)} X^{\delta(j \equiv i-1)-2 \delta(j \equiv i)+\delta(j \equiv i+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have

$$
\left.\frac{M(\lambda)}{M\left(\lambda_{l}\right)} / \frac{M(\lambda \backslash X)}{M\left(\lambda_{l} \backslash X\right)}=\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)} X\right]^{\alpha_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)-2 \alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)+\alpha_{i+1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)} .
$$

Let $j$ denote the content of the node on the bottom of the most line be $j$ of $\lambda_{r}$. Note that we have $\delta(b \equiv 0)=\delta(j \equiv i)$ and

$$
\left|A_{\lambda_{r}, i}\right|-\left|R_{\lambda_{r}, i}\right|=\alpha_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)-2 \alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)+\alpha_{i+1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)+\delta(j \equiv i) .
$$

Finally we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M(\lambda)}{M(\lambda \backslash X)} \\
& =\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)} X{ }^{\alpha_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)-2 \alpha_{i}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)+\alpha_{i+1}\left(\lambda_{r}\right)} \\
& \times\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}\left(\lambda_{l}\right)} \underline{X}^{\delta(b \equiv 0)} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda, i} \\
A<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \boxed{A}\right) \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{\lambda_{l} \mid X, i} \\
R<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}\right) \\
& =\left(s^{-1 / 2} t^{1 / 2}\right)^{d_{i-1}(\lambda)} X^{\left|A_{\lambda, r, i}\right|-\left|R_{\lambda, r, i}\right|} \prod_{\substack{A \in A_{\lambda, i} \\
A<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \Delta\right) \prod_{\substack{R \in R_{2} \mid X, i \\
R<X}}\left((s t)^{-1 / 2} \underline{R}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the claim follows.
7.3. Main theorem. Now we arrive at the main theorem:

Theorem. $\quad K_{\mathcal{R}}^{T}(\mathfrak{M})$ and $F(0)$ is isomorphic as representations of $U_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{l, \text { tor }}\right)$. The isomorphism is given by

$$
N(\lambda) b_{\lambda} \mapsto M(\lambda) \Psi_{0}^{\lambda}
$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem of 3.2.3, Theorem of 7.1.2, Lemma of 7.2.1 and Lemma of 7.2.2.
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