

# ON MONTEL'S THEOREM

YOSHIRO KAWAKAMI

1. In this note we shall prove a theorem which is related to Montel's theorem [1] on bounded regular functions. Let  $E$  be a measurable set on the positive  $y$ -axis in the  $z(=x+iy)$ -plane,  $E(a, b)$  be its part contained in  $0 \leq a \leq y \leq b$ , and  $|E(a, b)|$  be its measure. We define the lower density of  $E$  at  $y=0$  by

$$\lambda = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E(0, r)|}{r}.$$

LEMMA. *Let  $E$  be a set of positive lower density  $\lambda$  at  $y=0$ . Then  $E$  contains a subset  $E_1$  of the same lower density at  $y=0$  such that  $E_1 \cup \{0\}$  is a closed set.*

*Proof.* Let  $r_n = 1/n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). There exists a closed subset  $E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n)$  of  $E(r_{n+1}, r_n)$ , such that

$$|E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n)| \geq \delta_n |E(r_{n+1}, r_n)| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with  $\delta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . We put

$$E_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_1(r_{n+1}, r_n).$$

Then if  $r_n < r \leq r_{n-1}$ ,

$$|E_1(0, r)| \geq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} |E_1(r_{i+1}, r_i)| \geq \delta_n |E(0, r_n)|,$$

so that

$$\frac{|E_1(0, r)|}{r} \geq \frac{|E_1(0, r_n)|}{r} \delta_n \geq \frac{|E(0, r_n)|}{r_n} \cdot \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}} \delta_n,$$

whence

$$\lambda = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E(0, r)|}{r} \geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E_1(0, r)|}{r} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E(0, r_n)|}{r_n} \geq \lambda.$$

Hence

---

Received December 7, 1955; revised April 12, 1956.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|E(0, r)|}{r} = \lambda.$$

2. We shall prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f(z) = f(x + iy)$  be regular and bounded in  $x > 0$ , and continuous at a measurable set  $E$  of positive lower density  $\lambda$  at  $y = 0$  on the positive  $y$ -axis. If  $f(z) \rightarrow A$  when  $z \rightarrow 0$  along  $E$ , then  $f(z) \rightarrow A$  uniformly when  $z \rightarrow 0$  in the domain  $|y| \leq kx$ , where  $k$  is any positive constant.*

*Proof.* By the lemma we assume that  $E \cup \{0\}$  is a closed set. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  and  $A = 0$ . Let  $D_\rho : |z| < \rho$ ,  $x > 0$  be the half-disc. Let us denote by  $u_\rho(z)$  the harmonic measure of  $E(0, \rho) \cup \{0\}$  with respect to  $D_\rho$ .

If we take  $0 < \rho < 1$  sufficiently small such that  $|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon$  on  $E(0, \rho)$ , then, by the maximum principle, we have

$$\log |f(z)| \leq u_\rho(z) \cdot \log \varepsilon \quad \text{for } z \in D_\rho;$$

hence

$$|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon^{u_\rho(z)} \quad \text{for } z \in D_\rho. \quad (1)$$

As is well known,

$$u_\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E(0, \rho)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} G(i\eta, z) d\eta,$$

where  $G_\rho(w, z)$  ( $w = \xi + i\eta$ ) is the Green's function of  $D_\rho$  with pole at  $z = x + iy$ . By a simple calculation we have

$$\left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \right)_{\xi=0} = \frac{2x(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2)}{\{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2\} \{(\rho - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2\}}.$$

Hence

$$u_\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\rho \frac{2x(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2)}{\{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2\} \{(\rho^2 - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2\}} d\mu(\eta),$$

where

$$\mu(\eta) = \int_{E(0, \eta)} d\eta = |E(0, \eta)|.$$

If  $|z| \leq \delta\rho$ ,  $\eta \leq \delta\rho$ , ( $0 < \delta < 1$ ), then

$$(\rho^2 - x^2 - y^2)(\rho^2 - \eta^2) \geq \rho^4 C_1, \quad (\rho^2 - y\eta)^2 + x^2\eta^2 \leq \rho^4 C_2,$$

whence

$$u_p(z) \cong C_2 \int_0^{\delta\rho} \frac{x}{x^2 + (y - \eta)^2} d\mu(\eta),$$

where  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  are constants, depending on  $\delta$  only. Hence if  $|y| \leq kx$ , we have

$$u_p(z) \cong C_3 \int_0^{\delta\rho} \frac{x}{x^2 + (\eta + kx)^2} d\mu(\eta).$$

By the substitution  $\eta = xt$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_p(z) &\cong \frac{C_3}{x} \int_0^{\delta\rho/x} \frac{1}{1 + (t + k)^2} d\mu(xt) \\ &= \frac{C_3}{x} \left[ \frac{\mu(xt)}{1 + (t + k)^2} \right]_0^{\delta\rho/x} + \frac{2C_3}{x} \int_0^{\delta\rho/x} \frac{\mu(xt)(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt \\ &\cong \frac{2C_3}{x} \int_0^1 \frac{\mu(xt)(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu(xt) \cong \lambda'xt$  for some  $\lambda'$  such that  $0 < \lambda' < \lambda$ , we have

$$u_p(z) \cong 2C_3 \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda't(t + k)}{\{1 + (t + k)^2\}^2} dt = C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $k, \delta$ , and  $\lambda'$  only. Hence by (1)

$$|f(z)| \leq \varepsilon^c, \quad \text{if } |z| \leq \delta\rho \quad \text{and} \quad |y| \leq kx,$$

so that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = 0$  uniformly, when  $z \rightarrow 0$  in the domain  $|y| \leq kx$ .

*Remark.* The writer has proved that our theorem holds when  $E$  satisfies the condition that  $\lambda_\alpha$  is positive, where

$$\lambda_\alpha = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{\alpha-1} \int_r^1 \frac{d\mu(t)}{t^\alpha} \quad (\alpha \geq 2).$$

However, Professor Ohtsuka kindly informed him that this condition for any  $\alpha > 1$  is equivalent to the condition that the lower density of  $E$  at  $y = 0$  is positive.<sup>1)</sup>

#### REFERENCE

- [1] P. Montel, Sur les familles de fonctions analytiques qui admettent des valeurs exceptionnelles dans un domaine, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3), 23 (1912), pp. 487-535.

*Seikei University, Tokyo*

<sup>1)</sup> See the paper after the next.

