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#### Abstract

The cubic Schrödinger equation is considered on the circle, both in the de-focussing and the focussing case. The existence of the flow is proved together with the invariance of the appropriate Gibbsian measure, namely the petit canonical measure in the defocussing case and the micro-canonical measure in the focussing case.


## 1. Introduction

McKean-Vaninsky [1994(1)] discussed the petit canonical resemble for wave equations $\square Q=\partial^{2} Q / \partial t^{2}-\partial^{2} Q / \partial x^{2}=-f(Q)$ of classical type, both on the circle $0 \leqq x<L$ and also for $L \uparrow \infty$. The force $f(Q)$ is odd and of the same signature as $Q$, i.e., it is a restoring force; also, it is so large that $\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-L F(h)} d h<\infty$ for $F(Q)=\int_{0}^{Q} f . \operatorname{Let}^{1} Q^{\bullet}=P$ and $H=(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{L}\left[P^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\int_{0}^{L} F(Q)$. Then, with a suitable interpretation of this object, the Gibbsian petit canonical measure $e^{-H} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q$ is of total mass $Z<\infty$ and is invariant under the flow $Q^{\bullet}=P=\partial H / \partial P, P^{\bullet}=Q^{\prime \prime}-f(Q)=-\partial H / \partial Q$ of $\square Q=-f(Q)$; in particular, the flow exists for almost every choice of data from the petit ensemble. ${ }^{2}$

The present paper deals with nonclassical (dispersive) waves in the special case of the cubic Schrödinger equation:

$$
Q^{\bullet}=-P^{\prime \prime} \pm\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) P=\partial H / \partial P, \quad P^{\prime \prime}=+Q^{\prime \prime} \mp\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) Q=-\partial H / \partial Q
$$

with

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L}\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] d x \pm \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{L}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2} d x .
$$

[^0]It owes its inception to Lebowitz-Rose-Speer [1989] who introduced the petit canonical ensemble:

$$
e^{-H} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q=\frac{e^{-(1 / 2) \int\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{(2 \pi 0+)^{\infty / 2}} d^{\infty} P \times \frac{e^{-(1 / 2) f\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{(2 \pi 0+)^{\infty / 2}} d^{\infty} Q \times e^{\mp(1 / 4) \int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and studied the possibility of phase changes in the temperature-dependent variant with $e^{-H / T}$ in place of $e^{-H}$. The meaning of this formal object is easy to explain: for example, the second factor signifies that $Q$ is "circular Brownian motion," i.e., it is standard Brownian motion starting at $Q(0)=m$, conditioned so as to come back to $m$ at $x=L$, this common value being distributed over the line according to the law $(2 \pi L)^{-1 / 2} d m$ of total mass $+\infty$ : in symbols,

$$
\int I(Q) \frac{e^{-(1 / 2) f\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}{(2 \pi 0+)^{\infty / 2}} d^{\infty} Q=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{00}[I(Q+m)] \frac{d m}{\sqrt{2 \pi L}}
$$

for nice functions $I(Q)$ of $Q(x) ; 0 \leqq x<L, E_{00}$ being the mean for the tied Brownian motion with $Q(0)=0=Q(L)$. The first factor has the same interpretation. The third is just a density, having a proper sense because the Brownian path is continuous. The ensemble is of total mass $Z<\infty$ if the upper (defocussing) sign is chosen. Contrariwise, the lower (focussing) sign produces infinite total mass, prompting Lebowitz-Rose-Speer [1989] to introduce a micro-canonical ensemble whereby the measure is restricted by fixing the value of the constant of motion $\int_{0}^{L}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N .^{3}$ This ensemble, too, has total mass $Z<\infty$ even if $\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}$ is replaced by $\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3-}$, as they found; see 4 , below.

Thus far, the petit and micro-canonical ensembles. The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof that, for $L<\infty$, the flow makes sense in these ensembles and leaves them invariant. The method is novel: it relies upon a criterion for compactness of measures in path-space due to Kolmogorov-Čentsov, viz., if for some fixed $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>1, E|Q(x+h)-Q(x)|^{\alpha} \leqq h^{\beta}$ for $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq h<1$, then $P\left[|Q(\bullet+h)-Q(\bullet)|_{\infty} \leqq c h^{\gamma}, 0 \leqq h<1\right]>1-\varepsilon$ for fixed $\gamma<(\beta-1) / \alpha$ and some universal constant $c$ depending on $\varepsilon$ alone; see, for example, McKean [1969:16].

Bourgain [1992] proves the existence of the (individual) flow in $H^{0}$ by a clever mixture of trigonometrical series and number theory. Strichartz [1977] had proved

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x\left|e^{\sqrt{-1} t D^{2}} f(x)\right|^{6} \leqq \text { constant } \times\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right]^{3}
$$

and used it to verify the existence of the flow on the whole line. The estimate owes its validity to dispersion, and that does not operate on the circle. Bourgain [1992] replaced it by

$$
\int_{0}^{1} d t \int_{0}^{L} d x\left|e^{\sqrt{-1} t D^{2}} \mathfrak{p} f(x)\right|^{3} \leqq \exp \left[c \lg (\lg d) \times\left[\int_{0}^{L}|f(x)|^{2} d x\right]^{3}\right.
$$

[^1]in which $\mathfrak{p}$ projects onto the span of $e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x / L}:|n|<d$. In the present paper, an averaged version of Strichartz's estimate makes its appearance: if $I(P Q)$ is any polynomial of $P$ and $Q$, of fixed degree $d \geqq 1$, then
$$
\left.\left.M_{0}\right|_{\sin } ^{\cos }\left(t D^{2}\right) I(P Q)\right|^{6} \leqq c(d)\left[M_{0}|I(P Q)|^{2}\right]^{3},
$$
in which $M_{0}$ is the mean based upon the "free" ensemble $e^{-H_{0}} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q$ with $H_{0}=$ $(1 / 2) \int\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right]$, and the constant depends on the degree only, growing like a factorial for $d \uparrow \infty$. The reader may deduce this estimate from the sample in Step 4.3 below. Unlike Bourgain's estimate, it is not destabilized by sharper trigonometrical approximation, and, as the basic non-linearity is of fixed degree (3), so it has an advantage. It is the key to the existence of the flow, with probability 1 , in the petit ensemble. Naturally, Bourgain's individual flow is better, but the present method has much to recommend it: besides being easier, it is insensitive to the degree of the non-linearity, unlike the individual flow which must respect the "critical exponent" $(n=2)$ in $\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{n}(P-Q)$. Bourgain [1994] himself has considered the invariance of the petit ensemble and has made remarkable progress in the case of 2 spatial dimensions, as well.

The thermodynamic limit $L \uparrow \infty$ presents no difficutly for the upper (defocussing) signature: the petit ensemble, normalized by $Z^{-1}$, tends to the law of the 2-dimensional stationary diffusion with infinitesimal operator $\mathfrak{G}=(1 / 2)\left(\partial^{2} / \partial P^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\partial^{2} / \partial Q^{2}\right)+m \cdot \operatorname{grad}$, in which $m$ is the logarithmic gradient of the ground state of $\mathfrak{F}_{0}=-(1 / 2)\left(\partial^{2} / \partial P^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial Q^{2}\right)+(1 / 4)\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}$; compare McKean-Vaninsky [1994(1)]. The corresponding microcanonical ensemble with $\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N$, fixed $D=N / L$, and $L \uparrow \infty$ can also be described: the only change is that a constant multiple $P^{2}+Q^{2}$ must be added to $\mathfrak{F}_{0}$ and the constant adjusted, in conformity with Gibbs' postulate, so that the stationary mean of $P^{2}+Q^{2}$ has the prescribed value $D$; compare McKean-Vaninsky [1994(2)]. Contrariwise, the lower (focussing) signature seems really hard. Now (1/4) $\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)$ with its bad sign acts as a repulsive force, counteracted by the microcanonical fiat $\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N=D L$, and the outcome of this competition is not at all clear. Lebowitz-Rose-Speer [1989] present numerical evidence that the thermodynamic limit exists and suggest the fascinating possibility that the temperature-dependent microcanonical ensemble $e^{-H / T} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q$ favors radiation/solitons at high/low temperature, i.e., that there is a phase change or "softening" here. No proof is known. Here, it is noted only that the ensemble can be reduced to a simpler one for $L \uparrow \infty$. The microcanonical law is based upon a 2dimensional circular Brownian motion. This may be expressed in polar coordinates as a skew product $[R, \theta(T)]$ in which $R=\sqrt{P^{2}+Q^{2}}$ is the (circular) 2-dimensional Bessel process $\operatorname{BES}(2)$ and the angle $\theta(T)$ is an independent 1 -dimensional Brownian motion $B M(1)$, reduced modulo $2 \pi$ and run with the clock $T=\int_{0}^{x} R^{-2}$. Now, conditional on the radial path, the return of the angle, at $x=L$, to its value at $x=0$ costs ${ }^{4}$

$$
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-(2 \pi n)^{2} / 2 T(L)}}{\sqrt{2 \pi T(L)}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^{2} T(L) / 2}=1+o(1)
$$

in view of $\left[T(L)=\int_{0}^{L} R^{-2}\right] \times\left[\int_{0}^{L} R^{2}=L D\right] \geqq L^{2}$, the moral being that the microcanonical ensemble behaves like the skew product of 1) BES(2) with weight

[^2]$\exp \left[(1 / 4) \int R^{4}\right]$, microcanonical restriction $\int_{x} R^{2}=N=D L$, and $L \uparrow \infty$, and 2) $\mathrm{BM}(1)$ reduced modulo $2 \pi$ with clock $T=\int_{0}^{x} R^{-2}$. This reduced problem awaits investigation. It must also be confessed that the existence of the flow for $L=\infty$ is not known in any of these ensembles: for wave equations of classical type, propagation speed 1 does the trick, but now that is lost.

## 2. De-focussing Case

A cut-off tames the nonlinearity by suppressing high wave-numbers; later, it will be removed with the help of Kolmogorov-Čentsov. Let the perimeter of the circle be fixed at $L=1$ (it plays no role), and (by abuse of notation) let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the Féjer operator: ${ }^{5}$

$$
\mathfrak{p}: Q \rightarrow \frac{1}{d} \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \sum_{|n| \leqq m} \widehat{Q}(n) e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x}=\int_{-1 / 2}^{1 / 2} Q\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\pi d x^{\prime}\right)}{d \times \sin ^{2}\left(\pi x^{\prime}\right)} d x^{\prime}
$$

noting $\mathfrak{p}^{\ddagger}=\mathfrak{p}$ and also $\mathfrak{p} 1=1$. Introduce, too, the cutoff Hamiltonian

$$
H_{d}=\frac{6}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right] d x+\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right)^{2} d x
$$

with its associated vector field

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q \rightarrow-P^{\prime \prime}+P+\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right) P \\
& P \rightarrow Q^{\prime \prime}-Q-\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right) Q
\end{aligned}
$$

and petit canonical measure

$$
M_{d}=(2 \pi 0+)^{-\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \int\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right]} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q \times e^{-(1 / 4) \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right)^{2}}
$$

and observe that everything splits according to the splitting of $P$ and $Q$, by projection onto low harmonics $e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x}:|n|<d$, and by co-projection onto high harmonics $e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x}:|n| \geqq d$. The low harmonics form a classical $(2 d-1)$-dimensional Hamiltonian system with its private Hamiltonian

$$
H_{-}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n|<d}\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right)\left[|\widehat{P}(n)|^{2}+|\widehat{Q}(n)|^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{4} \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left(p^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right)^{2} d x:
$$

it preserves the associated classical petit ensemble and also its contribution to the constant of motion $N=\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)$. The high harmonics have the simpler Hamiltonian

[^3]$$
H_{+}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n| \geqq d}\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right)\left[|\widehat{P}(n)|^{2}+|\widehat{Q}(n)|^{2}\right] ;
$$
here also the associated petit ensemble is preserved since $\widehat{P}(n)$ and $\widehat{Q}(n): n \geqq d$ are independent isotropic Gaussian variables with (absolute) mean squares ( $1+$ $\left.4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right)^{-1}$ and these statistics are undisturbed by the individual flows induced by $\exp t\left(1-D^{2}\right) J$ :
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{Q}(n) \\
& \widehat{P}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$ \rightarrow\left($$
\begin{array}{rr}
\cos & \sin \\
-\sin & \cos
\end{array}
$$\right)\left[\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right) t\right] $$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{Q}(n) \\
& \widehat{P}(n)
\end{aligned}
$$ .
\]

The preservation of the full ensemble $M_{d}$ under the flow of $X_{d}$ will be plain from these remarks. It remains only to remove the cut-off with the help of KolmogorovČentsov. The free (Gaussian) measure

$$
M_{0}=(2 \pi 0+)^{-\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \int\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}+P^{2}+Q^{2}\right]} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q
$$

is used for reference; it exceeds $M_{d}$ for every $d \geqq 1, d=\infty$ included. The constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$, etc. appearing below are independent of $d, t, x-$ whatever.
Step 1.

$$
M_{d}\left|e^{t X_{d}} \underset{P}{Q}(x+h)-e^{t X_{d}} \underset{P}{Q}(x)\right|^{4} \leqq c_{1} h^{2}
$$

Proof. $M_{d}$ is preserved by the flow and also by rotations of the circle, so you may reduce to $t=x=0$; also, $M_{d} \leqq M_{0}$, and as the latter is Gaussian and symmetric in $Q$ and $P$, so the mean in question is overestimated by a universal multiple of $M_{0}|Q(h)-Q(0)|^{2}$ squared. ${ }^{8}$ Now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{0} \mid & Q(h)-\left.Q(0)\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d m}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} E_{00}\left[e^{-(1 / 2) f(Q+m)^{2}} Q^{2}(h)\right] \\
& =E_{00}\left[e^{-(1 / 2) f(Q-\bar{Q})^{2}} Q^{2}(h)\right] \\
& \leqq E_{00}\left[Q^{2}(h)\right]=h(1-h)
\end{aligned}
$$

the substitution $m \rightarrow m-\left(\bar{Q}=\int_{0}^{1} Q\right)$ being used inside the expectation in line 2. Step 2.

$$
M_{d}\left|e^{(t+h) X_{d}} \frac{Q}{P}(x)-e^{t X_{d}} \frac{Q}{P}(x)\right|^{6} \leqq c_{2} h^{3 / 2}
$$

Proof. Reduce to $t=x=0$ as before and note that

$$
\left.\left(e^{h X_{d}}-1\right) \frac{Q}{P}=\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right) \frac{Q}{P}+{ }^{9} \int_{0}^{h} e^{(h-t) X_{0}}\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right)\right] J J_{P}^{Q} d t
$$

[^4]with $X_{0}=$ the free field $\left(1-D^{2}\right) J$, and $M_{d} \leqq M_{0}$. The first piece of the right-hand sum is overestimated by a multiple of $M_{0}\left|\left(e^{\bar{h} X_{0}}-1\right) Q\right|^{2}$ cubed. ${ }^{10}$ Now compute
$$
\frac{1}{2} M_{0}\left|\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right) Q(0)\right|^{2}=\sum_{Z} \frac{1-\cos \left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right) h}{1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}} \leqq c_{3} h^{1 / 2}
$$

It remains to estimate

$$
\left.\begin{aligned}
& M_{d}\left|\int_{0}^{h} e^{(h-t) X_{0}}\left(e^{\left.t X_{d}\right)}\right)^{\downarrow} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right) J_{P}^{Q} d t\right|^{6} \\
& \leqq h^{5} \int_{0}^{h} M_{d}\left|e^{(h-t) X_{0}}\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right) J \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{6} d t \\
& \leqq{ }^{11} h^{5} \int_{0}^{h} M_{0} \mid e^{t X_{0}} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right) J \\
& P
\end{aligned}\right|^{6} d t . ~ \$
$$

The discussion is broken off at this point to prepare the averaged version of Strichartz's inequality cited above.

Step 3. The Gaussian character of $M_{0}$ permits the universal estimate

$$
M_{0}\left|e^{t X_{0}} \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{6} \leqq c_{4}\left[M_{0}\left|e^{t X_{0}} \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{2}\right]^{3}=c_{4}\left[M_{0}\left|\begin{array}{l}
Q \\
P
\end{array}\right|^{2}\right]^{3}
$$

the final equality being the result of the rotation invariance of $M_{0}$ and the fact that $e^{t X_{0}}$ is an orthogonal transformation:

$$
M_{0}\left|e^{t X_{0}} \underset{P}{Q}(0)\right|^{2}=M_{0} \int_{0}^{1}\left|e^{t X_{0}}{ }_{P}^{Q}(x)\right|^{2} d x=M_{0} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{Q}{P}(x)\right|^{2} d x=M_{0}\left|\begin{array}{l}
Q \\
P
\end{array}(0)\right|^{2}
$$

It is desired to extend this type of thing to cubics such as $\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) Q$ so as to confirm that $\left.M_{0} \mid e^{t X_{0}} \mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)-1\right)\left.J_{P}^{Q}\right|^{6}$ lies under a fixed bound, independently of $t \geqq 0$ and $d \geqq 1$. This will complete step 2 .

Sample Proof. $\cos \left[t\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right] \mathfrak{p}^{\dagger} Q^{3}$ is treated: in extenso,

$$
\cos \left[t\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right] \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow} Q^{3}(0)=\sum \frac{d-|n|}{d} \cos t\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3} \\=n}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d-\left|p_{i}\right|}{d} \widehat{Q}\left(p_{i}\right)
$$

in which $n$ and the $p^{\prime}$ s run from $-d+1$ to $d-1$. The (absolute) sixth power of this (real) quantity takes the form of a sum

$$
\sum \prod_{i=1} \frac{d-\left|n_{i}\right|}{d} \cos t\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{18} \frac{d-\left|p_{j}\right|}{d} \widehat{Q}\left(P_{j}\right)
$$

over certain restricted values of $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{18}\right) \in Z^{18}$ and now, if the mean $M_{0}$ be taken, the only summands that survive have the numbers $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{18}$ paired,

[^5]$p^{\prime}$ to $-p^{\prime \prime},^{12}$ the reason being that under the free measure, the several coefficients $\widehat{Q}(p)$ are independent isotropic Gaussian variables of the form $(1+$ $\left.4 \pi^{2} p^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} p x}$ (white noise) $d x$. The mean is now expressed as a sum of products
$$
\prod_{i=1}^{6} \frac{d-\left|n_{i}\right|}{d} \cos t\left(1+4 \pi^{2} n_{i}^{2}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{9}\left(\frac{d-\left|p_{j}\right|}{d}\right)^{2} M_{0}\left|\widehat{Q}\left(p_{j}\right)\right|^{2}
$$
over certain restricted values of $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{9}\right) \in Z^{9}$, and striking out the cosines produces the averaged variant of Strichartz's inequality:
$$
M_{0}\left|\cos \left[t\left(1-D^{2}\right)\right] \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow} Q^{3}(0)\right|^{6} \leqq M_{0}\left|p^{\uparrow} Q^{3}(0)\right|^{6} \leqq M_{0} Q^{18}(0)
$$

The details are not important now: you need only carry away the fact that the bound is independent of both $t \geqq 0$ and $d \geqq 1$.

Step 4. The variable $t$ is now limited (temporarily) to the interval [0, 1], say, and Steps 1 and 2 are used to guarantee that, if $0 \leqq c<\infty$ is large enough, then, independently of $d \geqq 1, M_{d}$ is nearly concentrated on the compact class of paths $Z:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow R^{2}$ with

$$
\left|Z\left(t_{2}, x_{2}\right)-Z\left(t_{1}, x_{1}\right)\right| \leqq c\left[\left|t_{2}-t_{1}\right|^{1 / 4-}+\left|x_{2}-x_{1}\right|^{1 / 12-}\right]
$$

exemplified by $Z(t, x)=e^{t X_{d}}{ }_{P}^{Q}(x) .{ }^{13}$ Now change the point of view, regarding the paths as secondary and the measure $M_{d}^{*}$ induced on them as primary, i.e., fix the paths and encode the flow into the measure. Pick $d=d_{1}<d_{2}<$ etc. $\uparrow \infty$ so that $M_{d}^{*}$ tends weakly to some measure $M_{\infty}^{*}$ and let $I(Q P)$ be a nice function of $(Q P)=$ $Z(0, x): 0 \leqq x \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\infty}^{*} I[Z(0, \bullet)] & =\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}^{*} I[Z(0, \bullet)]=\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d} I(P Q) \\
& =\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow} I(P Q)=\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}^{*} I[Z(t, \bullet)]=M_{\infty}^{*} I[Z(t, \bullet)],
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $M_{\infty}^{*}$ is invariant under the shift $Z(0, \bullet) \rightarrow Z(t, \bullet)$. But what is that?

Step 5 provides the answer: it is nothing but the flow $Z^{\bullet}=X_{\infty} Z=\left(-D^{2}+Z^{2}\right) J Z$ in a weak form. Fix $d \leqq \infty$. Then the weak flow $Z^{\bullet}=X_{d} Z=\left[1-D^{2}+\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(Z^{2}-\right.\right.$ 1)] $J Z$ is expressed by the vanishing of

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d x\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}+\left(1-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(Z^{2}-1\right)\right) \phi J\right] Z
$$

for any (sure) compact test function $\phi(t, x)$ of class $C^{\infty} R \times(0,1) \rightarrow R^{2}$, and now the statement follows from

[^6]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\infty}^{*} & \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d x\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} Z+\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+Z^{2}\right) \phi J Z\right]\right|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}^{\infty} \mid \text { ditto }\left.\right|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d x\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow}\left[\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} Z+\left(-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+Z^{2}\right) \phi J Z\right]\right|^{2} \\
& =\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t \int_{0}^{1} d x\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow}\left(1-\mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\right)\left(Z^{2}\right) J Z\right|^{2} \\
& \leqq c_{5} \lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{0}\left|\left(1-\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\right)\left(Z^{2}-1\right) J Z\right|^{2} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

for which the elementary estimate $|\mathfrak{p}|_{\infty} \leqq 1$ provides a domination.
Step 6 improves upon Step 5. $M_{\infty}^{*}$ lives on paths $Z \in C[0, \infty] \times[0,1] \rightarrow R^{2}$, so

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{1} \phi Z d x=\int_{0}^{1}\left[-\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\phi Z^{2}\right] J Z d x
$$

for any (sure) test function $\phi(x)$ of class $C^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow R^{2}$. Now put

$$
Z^{*}(t, \bullet)=e^{-t D^{2} J} Z(0, \bullet)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) D^{2} J} Z^{2} J Z\left(t^{\prime}, \bullet\right) d t^{\prime}
$$

interpreting everything in $L^{2}[0,1) \times L^{2}[0,1)$, as you may in view of $\int_{0}^{1} Z^{6}<\infty$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \phi Z^{*}=\int_{0}^{1} e^{t D^{2} J} \phi\left[Z(0, \bullet)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{t^{\prime} D^{2} J} Z^{2} J Z\left(t^{\prime}, \bullet\right) d t^{\prime}\right]
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{1} \phi Z^{*}=-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}} J Z^{*}+\int_{0}^{1} \phi Z^{2} J Z
$$

in particular, ${ }^{14} c_{n}(t)=\left(Z-Z^{*}\right)^{\wedge}(n)$ satisfies $c_{n}^{\bullet}=4 \pi^{2} n^{2} c_{n}$, and as $c_{n}(0)=0$, so $c_{n}(t)$ vanishes for $t \geqq 0$, which is to say that the shift $Z(0, \bullet) \rightarrow Z(t, \bullet)$ satisfies the identity

$$
Z(t, \bullet)=e^{-t D^{2} J} Z(0, \bullet)+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) D^{2} J} Z^{2} J Z\left(t^{\prime}, \bullet\right) d t^{\prime}
$$

in $L^{2}[0,1) \times L^{2}[0,1)$. The fact that $Z(t, x)$ is of class $C[0, \infty) \times[0,1) \rightarrow R^{2}$ is now re-invoked to justify the replacement of $Z^{2} J Z$ by $K^{\downarrow} Z^{2} J Z$ with a cut-off such as $K Z=[k(Q), k(P)]$ in which $k(Q)=-N$, or $Q$, or $+N$ according as $Q \leqq-N$, or $N \leqq Q \leqq+N$, or $Q>+N$. This has no effect on the identity for the shift up to time $t=1$, say, if $N>\max |Z(t, x)|: 0 \leqq t, x \leqq 1$, but now you may solve the cutoff identity for $Z(t, \bullet)$ in terms of $Z(0, \bullet)$ as the fixed point of a contraction in

[^7]$L^{2}[0,1) \times L^{2}[0,1)$, and that tells you two things: 1) the shift is nothing but the flow $e^{t X}$ of $Z^{\bullet}=\left(-D^{2}+Z^{2}\right) J Z$, not only in the weak version of Step 5, but in the present more constructive version; 2) $Z(t, \bullet)$ is measurable over the field of $Z(0, \bullet)$. The latter fact was the aim of Step 6.
Step 7 is the punch-line: $\lim _{d \uparrow \infty} M_{d}=M_{\infty}$ in variation since $\exp -\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+\right.$ $\left.Q^{2}-1\right)^{2}$ tends to $\exp -\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}-1\right)^{2}$ under the bound 1 where the free measure lives; in particular, $M_{\infty}^{*}=M_{\infty}$ on functions of $Z(0, \bullet)=Q P$. Now let $I$ be any nice function of $Q P$, note that $\left(e^{t X}\right)^{\downarrow} I(Q P)$ is a measurable function $I^{\prime}$ of $Q P$ by Step 6, and compute as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\infty} I(Q P) & =M_{\infty}^{*} I(Q P) & & \text { since } M_{\infty}=M_{\infty}^{*} \text { on the fixed of } Q P \\
& =M_{\infty}^{*}\left(e^{t X}\right)^{\downarrow} I(Q P) & & \text { by shift invariance of } M_{\infty}^{*} \\
& =M_{\infty}^{*} I^{\prime}(Q P) & & \text { by definition of } I^{\prime}(Q P) \\
& =M_{\infty} I^{\prime}(Q P) & & \text { by the first reason } \\
& =M_{\infty}\left(e^{t X}\right)^{\downarrow} I(Q P) & & \text { by the third reason } .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The discussion is finished: the flow of $Z^{\bullet}=\left(-D^{2}+Z^{2}\right) J Z$ exists (with probability 1 ) in the petit ensemble and preserves the canonical mean-value $M=M_{\infty}$. Now on to the focussing case. The difference is surprisingly little.

## 3. Focussing Case: Preparations

The present section prepares some tricks for the evaluation of micro-canonical averages. Fix $1 \leqq d \leqq \infty$. The micro-canonical measure is

$$
M_{d}=(2 \pi 0+)^{-\infty} e^{-(1 / 2) \int\left[\left(P^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]} d^{\infty} P d^{\infty} Q \times e^{(1 / 4) \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

conditioned on the value of the constant of motion $\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N$, i.e.,

$$
M_{d} K(Q P)=\frac{M_{0}\left[K(Q P) e^{(1 / 4) \int p^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}}, \int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N\right]}{Z_{d}=M_{0}\left[e^{(1 / 4)\left[p^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}\right.}, \int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=N\right]}
$$

with the understanding that $\mathfrak{p}=1$ if $d=\infty$. The reference measure $M_{0}$ is now the joint law of 2 independent (circular) Brownian motions, and the peculiar but helpful notation used top and bottom indicates a density, as in $M_{0}\left[K(Q P), \int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)=\right.$ $N]=(\partial / \partial N) M_{0}\left[K(Q P), \int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \leqq N\right]$.
Step 1. $Z_{d}$ is bounded from 0 and $\infty$, independently of $1 \leqq d \leqq \infty$, for any fixed $N$. The fact is due to Lebowitz-Rose-Speer [1989] for $d=\infty$; they even show that $Z<\infty$ with a small constant $\times\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3}$ in place of $\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}$, as will appear below, and that these limitations (on constant and power) are sharp.

Item 1. Let $E$ be the double tied Brownian mean $E_{00} \times E_{00} . Z_{d}$ is the ratio of the infinitesimal $d N$ to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d b E\left[e^{(1 / 4) \int p^{\downarrow}\left[(P+a)^{2}+(Q+b)^{2}\right]^{2}}, \int(P+a)^{2}+(Q+b)^{2}\right] \in d N\right] \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} r d r \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta E\left[e^{(1 / 4) \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left[(P-\bar{P}+r \cos \theta)^{2}+(Q-\bar{Q}+r \sin \theta)^{2}\right]}, I+r^{2} \in d N\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\bar{P}=\int_{0}^{1} P, \bar{Q}=\int_{0}^{1} Q$, and $I=\int_{0}^{1}\left[(P-\bar{P})^{2}+(Q-\bar{Q})^{2}\right]$, as you will check by putting $\iint d a d b$ inside $E$ and changing variables $a b \rightarrow \bar{P} \bar{Q}+(r, \theta)$, and this expression, in turn, is equal to

$$
\frac{d N}{2} \times \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta E\left[e^{(1 / 4) \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left[(P-\bar{P}+\sqrt{N-I} \cos \theta)^{2}+(Q-\bar{Q}+\sqrt{N-I} \sin \theta)^{2}\right]^{2}}, I \leqq N\right]
$$

$Z_{d} \geqq \frac{1}{2} P(I \leqq N)$ is immediate; it is the desired lower bound.
Item 2 prepares the way for the upper bound. $Z_{d}$ is controlled from above by ${ }^{15}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[e^{3 \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left[(P-\bar{P})^{4}+(Q-\bar{Q})^{4}+(N-I)^{2}\right]}, I \leqq N\right] \\
& \quad \leqq e^{3 N^{2}} \times\left|E\left[e^{3 N \max _{0} \leqq x \leqq 1|Q(x)-\bar{Q}|^{2}}, \int_{0}^{1}(Q-\bar{Q})^{2} \leqq N\right]\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of the independence of $P$ and $Q$ and the simple estimate ${ }^{16}$

$$
\int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}(Q-\bar{Q})^{4} \leqq \max \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}|Q-\bar{Q}|^{2} \times \int|\mathfrak{p}(Q-\bar{Q})|^{2} \leqq \max |Q-\bar{Q}|^{2} \times N
$$

Step 3. ${ }^{17} \int(Q-\bar{Q})^{2} \leqq N$ implies that meas $(x:|Q(x)-\bar{Q}| \geqq n / 2)$ cannot exceed $4 N / n^{2}$, so $|Q-\bar{Q}|_{\infty} \geqq n$ only if ${ }^{18} Q$ suffers a displacement $\geqq n / 2$ in some small subinterval of $[0,1)$ of length $4 N / n^{2}$. Let $n^{2} / 4 N=m=1,2,3$, etc. for convenience. Then $\mid Q(x)-Q(j / m)] \mid \geqq n / 4$ for some $0 \leqq j \leqq m$ and some $x$ at distance $\leqq 1 / m$ from $j / m$. Let $n$, and so also $m$, be large. Then both $x$ and $j / m$ are distant $\geqq 1 / 3$ either from $x=0$ or from $x=1$. But if $B$ is an event from the field of $Q(x)$ : $x \leqq 2 / 3$, say, then the tried Brownian probability $P_{00}(B)=\sqrt{3 / 2} E_{0}\left[e^{-3 Q^{2}(2 / 3) / 4}, B\right]$ is less than $\sqrt{3 / 2} P_{0}(B)$, in which $E_{0}$ and $P_{0}$ refer to the standard Brownian motion with $Q(1)$ free, and now the (tied) automorphism $Q(x) \rightarrow Q(1-x)$ permits you to control $P_{00}\left[|Q-\bar{Q}|_{\infty} \geqq n\right]$ by

$$
m P_{0}\left[\max _{x \leqq 1 / m}|Q(x)| \geqq \frac{n}{4}\right]=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} m^{3 / 2} \int_{n / 4}^{\infty} e^{-m x^{2} / 2} d x \leqq \frac{2}{N} e^{-n^{4} / 128 N}
$$

The rest will be plain, and you will understand why $Z<\infty$ not only for $\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{2}$ but for a small constant $\times\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)^{3}$, as well.
Step 2. The procedure of Step 1 supplies a nice upper bound for the general microcanonical mean $M_{d}(K)$ : it is overestimated by a fixed multiple of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta E[K(P-\bar{P}+\sqrt{N-I} \cos \theta, Q-\bar{Q}+\sqrt{N-I} \sin \theta) \\
& \quad \times e^{3 \int \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow}\left[(P-\bar{P})^{4}+(Q-\bar{Q})^{4}\right]+3 N^{2}} \\
& \left.\quad \times \text { the indicator of } I=\int\left[(P-\bar{P})^{2}+(Q-\bar{Q})^{2}\right] \leqq N\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

[^8]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leqq & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \sqrt{E}\left[K^{2}(P-\bar{P}+\sqrt{N-I} \cos \theta \text { etc. }), I \leqq N\right] \\
& \times e^{3 N^{2}} \\
& \times E\left[e^{6\left[p^{\downarrow}(Q-\bar{Q})^{4}\right.}, \int(Q-\bar{Q})^{2} \leqq N\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

in which the last piece may be overestimated, independently of $d$, in the manner of Step 1 .

## 4. Focussing Case: Micro-Canonical Ensemble

The discussion is not much changed from Sect. 2, only the estimates are a little more elaborate. The existence of the cutoff flow $Z^{\bullet}=\left(-D^{2}+\mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow} Z^{2}\right) J Z$ is proved as before: it splits into a free flow at high wave numbers and a $(2 d-1)$-dimensional classical Hamiltonian flow at low wave numbers, each preserving its private Hamiltonian and also its contribution to the constant of motion $\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right)$. The preservation of the (cutoff) micro-canonical ensemble is obvious from that. Now come the estimates for the Kolmogorov-Centsov trick, parallel to Steps 2.1, 2, and 3.
Step 1. ${ }^{19}$

$$
M_{0}\left[e^{t X_{d}} \underset{P}{Q}(x+h)-\left.e^{t X_{d}} \underset{P}{Q}(x)\right|^{4} \leqq c_{6} h^{2}\right.
$$

Proof. By item 3.2, you have only to control, e.g., $\sqrt{E_{00}} Q^{8}(h)$ which is proportional to $\left[E_{00} Q^{2}(h)\right]^{2}=h^{2}(1-h)^{2}$.

Step 2.

$$
M_{d}\left|e^{(t+h) X_{d}} \underset{P}{Q}(x)-e^{t X_{d}} Q_{P}^{Q}(x)\right|^{6} \leqq c_{7} h^{3 / 2}
$$

Proof. Now $\left(e^{h X_{d}}-1\right)_{P}^{Q}=\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right)_{P}^{Q}+\int_{0}^{h} e^{(h-t) X_{0}}\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow} \mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) J_{P}^{Q}$. The rest of the proof is divided into 3 small items.

Item 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{d}\left|\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right) \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{6} \\
& \leqq{ }^{20} c_{8} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \sqrt{E}\left|\left(e^{h x_{0}}-1\right) \frac{Q-\bar{Q}+\sqrt{N-I} \cos \theta}{P-\bar{P}+\sqrt{N-I} \sin \theta}\right|^{12} \\
& \leqq{ }^{21} c_{9} \sqrt{E}\left|\left(e^{h x_{0}}-1\right) \frac{Q_{P}}{P}\right|^{12} \\
& \leqq c_{10}\left[E\left|\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right) \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

by the usual Gaussian trick.

[^9]Item 2. $E\left|\left(e^{h X_{0}}-1\right)_{P}^{Q}\right|^{2} \leqq c_{11} h^{1 / 2}$, much as in Step 2.2. The point is that the tied Brownian motion $Q$ is identical in law to $Q(x)-x Q(1)$ with a free Brownian motion $Q$ starting at 0 , so $\widehat{Q}_{\text {tied }}(n)=(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n)^{-1} \times \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x} d Q_{\text {free }}$ for $n \neq 0$, and the only change from Step 2.2 is that the correlation $E_{00}\left[Q(0) e^{h X_{0}} Q(0)\right]$ is now

$$
2 \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1-\cos 4 \pi^{2} n^{2} h}{4 \pi^{2} n^{2}} \quad \text { instead of } \quad 2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos \left(1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}\right) h}{1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2}}
$$

Item 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{d} \mid & \left|\int_{0}^{h} e^{(h-t) X_{0}}\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) J_{P}^{Q} d t\right|^{6} \\
& \leqq h^{5} \int_{0}^{h} d t M_{d}\left|e^{t X_{0}} p^{\downarrow}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) J \frac{Q}{P}\right|^{6} \\
& \leqq{ }^{22} h^{5} \int_{0}^{h} d t c_{12} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \sqrt{E} \int e^{t X_{0}} \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}(P-\bar{P}+\sqrt{N-I} \cos \theta)^{2} e t c .\left.\right|^{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this is controlled by a sum of means typified by $E_{00}\left|\cos \left(t D^{2}\right) \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}(Q-\bar{Q})^{3}\right|^{12}$. It remains to bound such things independently of $t \geqq 0$ and $d \geqq 1$.
Step 3 does that. $Q_{\text {tied }}=Q_{\text {free }}-x Q_{\text {free }}(1)$, as noted in Item 2, and $\hat{Q}_{\text {tied }}(n): n \neq 0$ are independent isotropic Gaussian variables. This is the basis of the computation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\cos \left(t D^{2}\right) \mathfrak{p}^{\uparrow}(Q-\bar{Q})\right|^{12} \\
& \quad={ }^{23}\left|\sum \frac{d-|n|}{d} \cos \left(4 \pi^{2} n^{2} t\right) \sum_{p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{d-\left|p_{j}\right|}{d}(Q-\bar{Q})^{\wedge}\left(p_{j}\right)\right|^{12} \\
& \quad=\sum \prod_{i=1}^{12} \frac{d-\left|n_{i}\right|}{d} \cos \left(4 \pi^{2} n_{i}^{2} t\right) \sum \prod_{j=1}^{36} \frac{d-\left|p_{j}\right|}{d}(Q-\bar{Q})^{\wedge}\left(p_{J}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\left|n_{i}\right|<d,\left|p_{j}\right|<d$, and $p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}=n_{1}$, etc. in blocks of 3 , and if now the expectation $E_{00}$ be taken, the $p$ 's must be paired, $p^{\prime}$ to - $p^{\prime \prime}$, or else that summand is killed, with the result that the whole sum is overestimated by

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \in Z^{18} \\ p_{1} \cdots p_{18} \neq 0}} \prod_{1}^{18} \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} p_{j}^{2}}=\left(\sum_{p \neq 0} \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} p^{2}}\right)^{18}
$$

The rest is plain: just put this back into Item 3, bounding the expression considered there by $c_{13} h^{6}$.

The remainder of Sect. 2 is now repeated verbatim. The proof of the existence of the flow in the micro-canonical ensemble and of the invariance of the latter under the former is finished.

[^10]Note Added in Proof. P.E. Zhidkov has kindly pointed out the following references to his work: Dokl. Akad. Nauk CCCP 317 (1991); Sov. Math. Dokl. 43, 431-433 (1991); Nonlinear Anal. 22, 319-325 (1994). The first establishes the invariant measure for the classical wave equation $\partial^{2} Q / \partial t^{2}-\partial^{2} Q / \partial x^{2}+f(Q)=0$, as in McKean-Vaninsky [1994 (1)], but only for restoring force $f(Q)$ comparable to $Q$. The second announces the result of the present paper with a like restriction on the nonlinearity, esp., the cubic is excluded.
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[^0]:    The work reported here was performed at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, with the partial support of the National Science Foundation under NSF Grant No. DMS-9112654, which is gratefully acknowledged.
    ${ }^{1}$ - signifies $\partial / \partial t$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Friedlander [1985].

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ LRS [1989] actually took $I=\int\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) \leqq N$ instead of $I=N$; in fact the latter, which is preferred here, is no more delicate than the former.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Poisson summation is used.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5} \widehat{Q}(n)=\int_{0}^{1} Q(x) e^{-2 \pi \sqrt{-T} n x} d x$ etc. The present $\mathfrak{p}$ is preferred to the projection because it reduces $|Q|_{\infty}$.
    ${ }^{6} \mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow} I(P Q)$ means $I(\mathfrak{p} P, p Q)$.
    ${ }^{7} J=\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right) \cdot \mathfrak{p}^{\top}$ has an extra $\mathfrak{p}$ in front, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}^{\top} I=\mathfrak{p}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\downarrow} I\right)$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8} M_{0}$ is Gaussian and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{4} e^{-\mathrm{r}^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=3 \sigma^{4}$.
    ${ }^{9} e^{(h-t) X_{0}}$ acts outside in the conventional way. $\left(e^{t X_{d}}\right)^{\downarrow}$ acts inside, i.e., it replaces $P$ and $Q$ by $e^{t X_{d}} P$ and $e^{t X_{d}} Q$ throughout.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{6} e^{-\mathrm{r}^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} d x\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}=15 \sigma^{6}$.
    ${ }^{11} M_{d}$ is preserved by the flow of $X_{d}$ and $M_{0} \geqq M_{d}$.

[^6]:    12 The recipe will be found in Wiener [1958:11].
    13 This is Kolmogorov-Centsov. $1 / 4$ - stands for any fixed number $<1 / 4=(2-1) / 4$; similarly, $1 / 12$ - is any fixed number $<1 / 12=(3 / 2-1) / 6$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{14} \phi(x)$ is taken as $\exp (-2 \pi \sqrt{-1} n x)$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{15} \mathfrak{p}$ is an average; also $\left[(a+b)^{2}+(c+d)^{2}\right]^{2} \leqq 12\left[a^{4}+c^{4}+\left(b^{2}+d^{2}\right)^{2}\right]$.
    ${ }^{16} \mathfrak{p}$ is an average, esp. $\mathfrak{p} \bar{Q}=\bar{Q}$.
    17 Varadhan helped with this [private communication].
    ${ }^{18} Q-\bar{Q}=0$ some place.

[^9]:    ${ }^{19} X_{d}$ is now $-D^{2} J+\mathfrak{p}^{\dagger}\left(P^{2}+Q^{2}\right) J$.
    ${ }^{20}$ see Item 3.2.
    ${ }^{21} e^{h X_{0}}-1$ kills constants.

[^10]:    ${ }_{23}^{22}$ see Item 3.2.
    ${ }^{23} n$ and the $p$ 's run from $-d+1$ to $d-1$.

