

## A NOTE ON CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND SINGULAR INTEGRAL CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

JOAQUIM BRUNA AND BORIS KORENBLUM

The purpose of this note is to show that the notion of weak maximal function introduced in [1] (see also [4], where a similar notion is considered) can be used to obtain some new information on the Calderón-Zygmund singular integral convolution operator.

We will follow the notations of [3]. Let  $K$  be a kernel in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of class  $C^1$  outside the origin satisfying

$$(1) \quad |K(x)| \leq C|x|^{-n},$$

$$(2) \quad |\nabla K(x)| \leq C|x|^{-n-1}.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , set

$$T_\varepsilon(f)(x) = \int_{|y| \geq \varepsilon} f(x-y)K(y) dy$$

and

$$T(f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\varepsilon(f)(x), \quad T^*(f)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_\varepsilon(f)(x)|.$$

We will assume that  $K$  satisfies the usual properties ensuring that the mapping  $f \mapsto T^*(f)$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  and that  $T(f)(x)$  makes sense for a.e.  $x$ .

The notation  $L^{1,\infty}$  will stand for the space of weak  $L^1$  functions, and if  $\varphi \in L^{1,\infty}$  and  $B$  is a ball we write

$$\|\varphi\|_{1,\infty}^B = \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta m(\{x \in B: |\varphi(x)| > \delta\})$$

for the weak  $L^1$  "norm" of  $\varphi$  on  $B$ . If  $B = \mathbf{R}^n$ , we simply write  $\|\varphi\|_{1,\infty}$ .

The *weak maximal function* introduced in [1] is defined for  $\varphi \in L^{1,\infty}$  by

$$M_w \varphi(x) = \sup_{x \in B} \frac{\|\varphi\|_{1,\infty}^B}{m(B)},$$

the supremum being taken over all balls centered at  $x$ . The notation  $M_w^m \varphi$  stands for the function obtained by applying  $m$  times the operator  $M_w$ , whenever this makes sense. In [1] it was already pointed out that for any  $m$  there is a  $\varphi \in L^{1,\infty}$  such that  $M_w^j \varphi \in L^{1,\infty}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  but  $M_w^{m+1} \varphi \notin L^{1,\infty}$ . However, for  $\varphi = Tf$ ,  $f \in L^1$ , the following holds:

Received by the editors May 20, 1986 and, in revised form, May 31, 1986.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 42B20.

First author supported by grant No. 1593/82 of the Comisión Asesora de Investigación Científica y Técnica, Madrid.

Second author supported by NSF grant DMS-8600699.

©1987 American Mathematical Society  
 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

**THEOREM.** *If  $T$  is as above,  $M_w^m T^* f \in L^{1,\infty}$  for all  $f \in L^1$  and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\|M_w^m T^* f\|_{1,\infty}$  grows as a geometric progression. Hence the same is true for  $Tf$ .*

As in [1], the motivation for this research is the following

**QUESTION.** What is the necessary and sufficient condition on a nonnegative function  $\varphi$  for the existence of  $f \in L^1$  such that

$$\varphi \leq |Tf| \quad \text{a.e.}?$$

In other words, what is the precise description of the *magnitude* of  $Tf$ ? The theorem gives a necessary condition stronger than  $\varphi \in L^{1,\infty}$ , namely,

$$\|M_w^m \varphi\|_{1,\infty} \leq C_1 C_2^m$$

but, as shown in the last section of [1], this condition is not sufficient (see §5 of [1] for other remarks concerning this question).

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Let us first remark that the corresponding result with  $Tf$  replaced by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function  $Mf$  is also true. In fact in this case something more precise is true, namely

$$(3) \quad M_w Mf(x) \leq C Mf(x)$$

for some constant  $C = C(n)$ . This is shown in [1, pp. 9–10], and it is also implicit in [2].

In fact, our proof of the theorem will be a consequence of something similar to (3). We will show that

$$(4) \quad M_w T^* f \leq C\{T^* f + Mf\}.$$

Together with (3) this will give

$$M_w^m T^* f \leq C^m \{T^* f + Mf\}$$

(note that  $M_w(\varphi + \psi) \leq 2(M_w \varphi + M_w \psi)$ ), which clearly implies the theorem.

In order to prove (4), fix  $x$  and let  $B$  be a ball centered at  $x$ . Let  $2B$  denote the ball having the same center as  $x$  and twice the radius and set

$$f_1 = f \chi_{2B}, \quad f_2 = f - f_1.$$

Then  $T^* f \leq T^* f_1 + T^* f_2$  and

$$\|T^* f\|_{1,\infty}^B \leq 2(\|T^* f_1\|_{1,\infty}^B + \|T^* f_2\|_{1,\infty}^B).$$

Since  $T^*$  satisfies a weak (1, 1) estimate, we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T^* f_1\|_{1,\infty}^B &\leq \|T^* f_1\|_{1,\infty} \\ &\leq C \|f_1\|_1 = C \int_{2B} |f(y)| dy \leq C m(B) Mf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now we will prove that for  $z \in B$

$$(6) \quad \|T^* f_2(z)\| \leq C(T^* f(x) + Mf(x)).$$

This implies

$$\|T^* f_2\|_{1,\infty}^B \leq C m(B)(T^* f(x) + Mf(x)),$$

and together with (5) this gives

$$\|T^* f\|_{1,\infty}^B \leq Cm(B)(T^* f(x) + Mf(x)),$$

which is (4).

For (6) we have to prove that for any  $\varepsilon > r$ ,  $r$  being the radius of  $B$ ,

$$(7) \quad |T_\varepsilon f_2(z)| \leq C(T^* f(x) + Mf(x)).$$

Now

$$T_\varepsilon f_2(z) = \int_{\substack{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus 2B \\ |y-z| \geq \varepsilon}} f(y)K(z-y) dy.$$

If  $\delta = \varepsilon + r$ , it is clear that the contribution in this integral of  $B_0 = \delta B/r$ , the ball centered at  $x$  of radius  $\delta$ , is dominated (using (1)) by

$$C\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{B_0} |f(y)| dy \leq CMf(x).$$

It remains to estimate

$$I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{|y-x| > \delta} f(y)K(z-y) dy.$$

This is compared with  $T_\delta f(x)$  in the usual way:

$$I - T_\delta f(x) = \int_{|y-x| > \delta} f(y)\{K(z-y) - K(x-y)\} dy.$$

Using (2) we obtain

$$|I - T_\delta f(x)| \leq C|z-x| \int_{|y-x| > \delta} |f(y)| \frac{dy}{|y-x|^{n+1}},$$

and it is well known that this is in turn dominated by  $Mf(x)$ . Therefore we have proved that

$$|T_\varepsilon f_2(z)| \leq C(|T_\delta f(x)| + Mf(x)),$$

which yields (7) and finishes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. S. Drury (private communication) has independently generalized some results of [1] proving that  $M_w T f \in L^{1,\infty}$ , i.e. the case  $m = 1$  of the Theorem. He replaces the condition (1) and (2) by the so-called Hörmander condition (see [3, p. 34, condition (2')]).

REFERENCES

1. J. Bruna and B. Korenblum, *On Kolmogorov's theorem, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and the radial maximal function*, preprint.
2. R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, *Another characterization of BMO*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 249-254.
3. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
4. —, *Editor's note: The differentiability of functions in  $R^n$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **133** (1981), 383-385.

DEPARTMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, BELLATERRA, BARCELONA, SPAIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12222