

## QUADRATIC RESIDUES AND CLASS NUMBERS

WOLFGANG KNAPP, MARKUS KÖCHER, PETER SCHMID

**Abstract:** For an odd prime  $p$  let  $\varrho_p$  be the least odd prime ( $\neq p$ ) which is a quadratic residue mod  $p$ . Using the theorems of Heegner–Baker–Stark and Siegel–Tatuzawa on the class number  $h = h(-p)$  of the imaginary quadratic number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  it is shown that  $\varrho_p < \sqrt{p}$  unless  $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ , possibly with one further exceptional (large) prime  $p = p_u$  (satisfying  $p = 2^{h+2} - u^2$  with  $h > 100$  und  $5 \leq u < 2^{(h-5)/2}$ ). The exceptional prime does not exist if the Extended Riemann Hypothesis is true.

**Keywords:** quadratic residues, quadratic forms, class numbers, primes, Siegel–Tatuzawa.

### 1. Introduction

For an odd prime  $p$  let  $\varrho_p$  denote the least odd prime  $q \neq p$  which is a quadratic residue mod  $p$ , that is, where the Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = +1$ . Thus  $\varrho_3 = 7$ ,  $\varrho_5 = 11 = \varrho_7$ , and we shall see that  $\varrho_p < p$  when  $p > 7$ . The results of the present paper yield that even  $\varrho_p < \sqrt{p}$  up to eight or nine exceptions.

Major work on this subject has been done by Nagell many years ago. In 1923 he proved (in [6]) that  $\varrho_p \leq \sqrt{p-4}$  if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \neq 5, 17$ , just using that then  $p$  is a sum of two squares of integers ( $\varrho_{17} = 13$ ). One year earlier, in [5], he had treated the case where  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  assuming that the class number  $h(-p)$  of the imaginary quadratic number field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  is not trivial. By the theorem of Heegner–Baker–Stark one now knows that  $h(-p) = 1$  if and only if  $p \in \{3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ ; for (different) proofs we refer to [2, Theorem 12.34] and [8, Theorem 8.11]. One also knows from [6] that  $\varrho_p = \frac{1+p}{4}$  if  $h(-p) = 1$  and  $p > 7$  (independent of the Heegner–Baker–Stark theorem; see also [1]). It follows that for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  one has  $\varrho_p < \sqrt{p}$  unless  $p \in \{3, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$ .

So it remains to examine the situation when  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ . Here Nagell [7] proved that  $\varrho_p < 2\sqrt{p} - 1$  for  $p > 7$ . It is easy to treat the case where  $p$  is a Mersenne prime. On the basis of the Siegel–Tatuzawa theorem (see Lemma 3 below) we get the following.

---

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary: 11A15, 11E41; secondary: 11A41, 11M20, 11R29

**Theorem 1.** *Let the prime  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $p > 7$ . Then  $\varrho_p < \sqrt{p}$  with at most one exception. If the exceptional prime  $p = p_u$  exists (satisfying  $\varrho_p \geq \sqrt{p}$ ), the  $L$ -function  $L(s, \chi)$  to the real odd Dirichlet character  $\chi$  with conductor  $p_u$  has a real zero in the interval  $(\frac{71}{2}, 1)$ , thus violating the Extended Riemann Hypothesis.*

From known properties of such  $L$ -functions [13] it is clear that the exceptional prime  $p_u$  must be fairly large (if it exists). We can describe it in some detail, thereby giving further indications that this prime possibly does not exist.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume the exceptional prime  $p = p_u$  exists. Then  $p = 2^{h+2} - u^2$  where  $h = h(-p)$  is the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and  $u$  is an odd integer with  $5 \leq u < 2^{(h-5)/2}$ . Here  $h > 100$  and  $\varrho_p = 3 \cdot 2^{(h-1)/2} - u < 1.06275\sqrt{p} - u$ . Moreover:*

- (i) *The class number of an imaginary quadratic number field having discriminant  $d \neq -p_u$  satisfies  $h(d) > \frac{0.655}{18\pi} |d|^{\frac{4}{9}}$  provided  $|d| \geq e^{18}$ .*
- (ii) *The quadratic polynomial  $8X^2 + (8 - 2u)X + 2^{h-1} + 2 - u$  takes pairwise distinct prime values on all integers in the interval  $[-2^{\frac{h-3}{2}}, 2^{\frac{h-3}{2}}]$ .*

The estimate in (i) is much better than the (effective) lower bounds given by Goldfeld, Gross, Zagier and Oesterlé [9]. In proving Theorem 1 we shall establish with elementary means (avoiding computer calculations) that if  $p = p_u$  exists then  $h(-p) \geq 25$ , at least. It then follows that for every imaginary quadratic number field with class number less than 25 the absolute value of its discriminant is less than  $e^{18}$ . This would provide for a (new) approach to the class number one problem (much easier than that given in [8, Theorem 8.11]). Application of a deep result of Watkins [14] yields that even  $h(-p) > 100$  in Theorem 2.

The polynomial in (ii) would be a Frobenius–Rabinowitsch polynomial of an extraordinary kind (as there are more than  $2^{50}$  integers in the interval  $[-2^{\frac{h-3}{2}}, 2^{\frac{h-3}{2}}]$ ).

It should be mentioned that Linnik–Vinogradov [4] and Pintz [10] have shown, with the help of analytical methods, that  $\varrho_p = O(p^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . However, such (ineffective) estimates are not helpful in the present work. On the other hand, on the basis of the Siegel–Tatuzawa theorem one might conjecture that, given any real number  $c \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , there is an *effective* bound  $\beta(c)$  such that  $\varrho_p < p^c$  for  $p > \beta(c)$ , with at most one exception. The results obtained in this paper, together with those obtained previously by Nagell (plus the Heegner–Baker–Stark theorem) tell us that we may take  $\beta(\frac{1}{2}) = 163$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The authors thank the referee for some helpful comments.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $d$  be the discriminant of a quadratic number field, and let  $\chi_d = (\frac{d}{*})$  denote the (Kronecker, Dirichlet) character associated to  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  (with conductor  $|d|$ ; recall that every primitive real (quadratic) character  $\chi \neq 1$  is of this type). Let  $h(d)$  be the class number of  $K$  (in the usual sense), the order of the ideal class group  $C(K)$  of  $K$ .

We only need to consider the cases where  $d < 0$  (so  $\chi_d(-1) = -1$ ;  $\chi_d$  odd). Then there is an isomorphism between  $C(K)$  and the form group  $C(d)$  of (proper) equivalence classes of (primitive, positive definite) quadratic forms  $f = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$  over the integers with discriminant  $d = b^2 - 4ac$ , the latter group structure induced by composition of quadratic forms (see [2, Theorem 5.30]; there is a similar correspondence when  $d > 0$  dealing with ideal classes in the narrow sense [8, Theorem 8.6]). Note that  $b$  is odd when  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and even otherwise. An integer  $m$  is said to be represented by  $f$  if  $f(x, y) = m$  for certain integers  $x, y$ ; if one can choose here  $x, y$  relatively prime, then  $m$  is represented by  $f$  *properly* (or primitively). This makes no difference when  $m$  is square-free. Forms (properly) equivalent represent the same integers (properly).

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose the integer  $m$  is odd and prime to  $d$ . Then  $m$  is properly represented by some (primitive) quadratic form with discriminant  $d$  if and only if  $d$  is a quadratic residue mod  $m$ , in which case every divisor of  $m$  is thus represented too.*

This can be deduced from the literature (e.g. see Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 in [2]). For an odd prime  $p$  let  $p^* = (\frac{-1}{p})p$  (which is congruent to 1 mod 4). If  $d = p^*$  then  $\chi_d(q) = (\frac{p^*}{q}) = (\frac{q}{p})$  for every odd prime  $q \neq p$  by quadratic reciprocity. Hence  $q$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$  if and only if it is represented (properly) by a form with discriminant  $p^*$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $d = p^*$  for some odd prime  $p$ , then  $h(d)$  is odd.*

This is immediate from *genus theory* for quadratic forms (cf. [2, Theorem 6.1] and [15, Section 12]; even the class number in the narrow sense is odd).

Let  $d = -p$  (with  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ). Then *reduction theory* applies quite nicely in order to determine  $h(d)$ . Indeed every (positive definite) quadratic form with discriminant  $-p$  is properly equivalent to a unique *reduced* form

$$f = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2.$$

This means that  $|b| \leq a \leq c$  and that  $b \geq 0$  when  $|b| = a$  or  $a = c$  (cf. [2, p. 27] or [15, Section 13]). Suppose we have  $a = 1$ . Then necessarily  $b = 1$ , and from  $p = 4ac - b^2 = 4c - 1$  it follows that  $c = \frac{1+p}{4}$ . Thus  $f = f_0 = X^2 + XY + \frac{1+p}{4}Y^2$  is the principal form (which is properly equivalent with  $X^2 - XY + \frac{1+p}{4}Y^2$ ). Suppose that  $f \neq f_0$  (so that  $h(-p) > 1$ ). Then  $a > 1$  (by the above). Assume that  $a = c$ . Then  $b \geq 1$  ( $b$  is odd) and

$$p = 4a^2 - b^2 = (2a + b)(2a - b).$$

It follows that  $p = 2a + b$  and that  $2a - b = 1$ . But then  $2a - 1 = b \leq a$  and  $a \leq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a < c$ . Assume next that  $|b| = a$ . Then  $3 \leq b = a$  and  $p = 4ac - a^2 = a(4c - a)$ , which forces that  $4c - a = 1$  and  $c < a$ , a contradiction. Hence  $|b| < a$ . Now the opposite (inverse) form  $f^- = aX^2 - bXY + cY^2$  is reduced and is not properly equivalent with  $f$ . Thus all reduced non-principal quadratic

forms with discriminant  $-p$  appear in pairs, which gives Lemma 2 for  $d < 0$ . From  $p = 4ac - b^2 \geq 4a(a+1) - (a-1)^2 = 3a^2 + 6a - 1 \geq 3a^2 + 11$  we get  $a \leq \sqrt{(p-11)/3}$  (and  $p > 11$ ).

Let us derive Nagell's [5] results for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Assume  $h(-p) > 1$  and let  $f \neq f_0$  as above. Then all coefficients  $a, b, c$  of  $f$  must be odd now, thus  $|b| \leq a - 2$  and  $c \geq a + 2$  and so

$$p = 4ac - b^2 \geq 4a(a+2) - (a-2)^2 = 3a^2 + 12a - 4.$$

If  $q$  is an (odd) prime dividing  $a = f(1, 0)$ , then  $q$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$  by Lemma 1 and therefore  $\varrho_p \leq q \leq a$ . This yields Nagell's estimate  $\varrho_p \leq \sqrt{\frac{p+16}{3}} - 2$ . One checks that here equality holds if and only if  $a = 3$  and  $p = 59$ .

If  $h(-p) = 1$  and  $p > 7$ , then  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  and  $\varrho_p = \frac{1+p}{4}$ . For then  $\varrho_p$  splits and is the norm of an integer in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ , which forces that  $\varrho_p \geq \frac{1+p}{4}$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{1+p}{4} = f_0(0, 1)$  is an odd integer whose prime divisors are squares mod  $p$  by Lemma 1.

**Lemma 3 (Siegel–Tatuzawa).** *Let  $d$  be negative ( $\chi_d$  odd). Then, given  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $h(d) > \frac{0.655 \cdot \varepsilon}{\pi} |d|^{\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon}$  whenever  $|d| \geq \max(e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, e^{11.2})$ , with at most one exception.*

Improving Siegel's work [11] Tatuzawa [12] has shown that  $L(1, \chi) > 0.655 \cdot \varepsilon \cdot k^{-\varepsilon}$  whenever  $\chi$  is a real Dirichlet character with conductor  $k \geq \max(e^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, e^{11.2})$ , with at most one exception. This gives the lemma in view of the class number formula [8, p. 436]. If there is an exceptional character  $\chi$  the  $L$ -function  $L(s, \chi)$  has a real zero in the interval  $(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, 1)$  [12, Lemma 9], thus contradicting the Extended Riemann Hypothesis. It is known (see [13]) that  $L(s, \chi)$  has no positive real zero if  $\chi$  is odd and  $k \leq 3 \cdot 10^8$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $p = 2^q - 1$  be a Mersenne prime with  $q > 3$  (also prime). Then  $\varrho_p = 5$  if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $\varrho_p = 7$  if  $q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ . Moreover, in the remaining cases  $\varrho_p = 11$  or  $13$  depending on whether  $q \equiv 7, 43 \pmod{60}$  or  $q \equiv 19, 31 \pmod{60}$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $2^q$  is divisible by 4 and so  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $q$  is odd,  $2^q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and so  $(\frac{3}{p}) = -(\frac{p}{3}) = -(\frac{1}{3}) = -1$ . Hence  $\varrho_p \geq 5$ . If  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $2^q \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $(\frac{5}{p}) = (\frac{p}{5}) = (\frac{1}{5}) = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  then  $2^q \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$  and  $(\frac{5}{p}) = -1$ . Thus  $\varrho_p = 5$  if and only if  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  in what follows. Then either  $q \equiv 7$  or  $11 \pmod{12}$  (as we assumed that  $q > 3$ ).

If  $q \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$  then  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $2^q \equiv 2^5 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$ , whence  $(\frac{7}{p}) = -(\frac{p}{7}) = -(\frac{3}{7}) = 1$ , by quadratic reciprocity, so that  $\varrho_p = 7$ . If  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$  then  $2^q \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$  and  $(\frac{7}{p}) = -(\frac{p}{7}) = -(\frac{1}{7}) = -1$ , implying that  $\varrho_p > 7$ .

So let  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ . Then  $2^q \equiv 2^7 \equiv 11 \pmod{13}$  and  $(\frac{13}{p}) = (\frac{p}{13}) = (\frac{10}{13}) = 1$ . Hence either  $\varrho_p = 13$  or  $\varrho_p = 11$  in this case. Observe that  $q \equiv 7, 19, 31$  or  $43$

mod 60. If  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{60}$  then  $q \equiv 7 \pmod{10}$  and  $2^q \equiv 2^7 \equiv 7 \pmod{11}$ , so that  $\left(\frac{11}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{6}{11}\right) = 1$  and  $\varrho_p = 11$ . If  $q \equiv 19 \pmod{60}$  then  $2^q \equiv 2^9 \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$  and  $\left(\frac{11}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{5}{11}\right) = -1$ . If  $q \equiv 31 \pmod{60}$  then  $2^q \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$  and  $\left(\frac{11}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{11}\right) = -1$ . Finally, for  $q \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$  we have  $2^q \equiv 8 \pmod{11}$  and  $\left(\frac{11}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{11}\right) = -\left(\frac{7}{11}\right) = 1$ . This completes the proof. ■

It is easy to show that if  $p = 2^{2^n} + 1$  is a Fermat prime with  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\varrho_p = 11$  if  $n$  is odd, and  $\varrho_p = 13$  if  $n$  is even. (From  $2^{2^n} \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$  it follows that  $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1$  and  $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$ . Similarly, from  $2^{2^n} \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{7}$  we get  $\left(\frac{7}{p}\right) = -1$ , so that  $\varrho_p \geq 11$ . If  $n \geq 2$  is even, then  $2^{2^n} \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$ , whence  $p \equiv 4 \pmod{13}$  and  $\left(\frac{13}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{13}\right) = 1$ . Use finally that  $2^{2^n} \equiv 4, 5, 3, 9 \pmod{11}$  for  $n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 0 \pmod{4}$ , respectively.)

Suppose we have  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  but  $p$  is not a Fermat prime. Let us show Nagell's [6] upper bound  $\varrho_p \leq \sqrt{p-4}$  in this case. There are unique positive integers  $a, b$  such that  $p = a^2 + 4b^2$  (Fermat). Using quadratic reciprocity we see that  $\varrho_p \leq a \leq \sqrt{p-4b^2}$  when  $a > 1$  (as  $a$  is odd), and if  $a = 1$  then  $b$  is divisible by some odd prime (being a square mod  $p$ ) and so  $\varrho_p \leq b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p-1}$ .

Arguing as in the next section one gets the estimate  $\varrho_p < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}$  if  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and  $h(p) > 1$ ; use that 2 remains prime in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  and that the Minkowski constant of this number field is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

### 3. The Minkowski bound

Let the prime  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  in what follows, and let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ . By a classical result of Minkowski (and Dirichlet) in every ideal class of  $K$  there is an (integral) ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  with (absolute) norm  $N\mathfrak{a} < \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}$  (see [8, Lemma 2.3]). This estimate will be crucial for our approach.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and  $h = h(-p)$ . Assume that  $\varrho_p \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}$ . Then the ideal class group  $C(K)$  of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  is cyclic and  $p = 2^{h+2} - u^2$  for some positive (odd) integer  $u < \sqrt{p}$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that  $p > 7$ . Then  $h > 1$  (as is easily seen; see below). Since  $-p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , the prime  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  splits in  $K$ . Let  $0 \neq \alpha = \frac{x+y\sqrt{-p}}{2}$  be an integer in  $K$ , where  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  have the same parity. Then its norm  $N(\alpha) = \frac{x^2+py^2}{4}$  cannot be equal to 2. So  $\mathfrak{p}$  (and its complex conjugate  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ ) cannot be principal ideals (having norm 2). Let  $h_0 \leq h$  be the order of the ideal class  $[\mathfrak{p}]$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $h_0 > 1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^{h_0} = (\alpha)$ , with  $\alpha = \frac{x+y\sqrt{-p}}{2}$  as above. Since  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}^{h_0} = (\bar{\alpha})$  is different from  $(\alpha)$ , we have  $y \neq 0$  (and  $y^2 \geq 4$  if  $x = 0$ ). Observe that  $2^h \geq 2^{h_0} = \frac{x^2+py^2}{4}$ .

Now assume that  $\varrho_p \geq \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $K$  with norm  $N\mathfrak{a} < \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a prime ideal of  $K$  appearing in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}|q$  where  $q$  is an odd rational prime, and we assert that  $\mathfrak{q} = (q)$  is principal. Clearly  $q \leq N\mathfrak{q} < \frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{p}$

and so  $(\frac{q}{p}) = -1$  by assumption. But then  $(\frac{-p}{q}) = -1$  by quadratic reciprocity. Hence the assertion. This shows that  $C(K)$  is generated by  $[p]$  (or  $[\bar{p}] = [p]^{-1}$ ). In particular,  $h = h_0$  in the notation introduced above, and this is odd by Lemma 2. For ideals  $\mathfrak{p}^i \bar{\mathfrak{p}}^j$  in  $[p]^{(h-1)/2}$  we have  $i - j = (h - 1)/2$  and  $N(\mathfrak{p}^i \bar{\mathfrak{p}}^j) = 2^{i+j}$ . Hence the minimal norm of ideals in this class equals  $2^{(h-1)/2}$ . Consequently

$$2^{(h-1)/2} < \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{p}$$

and, therefore,  $2^h < \frac{8}{\pi^2} p < p$ . Comparing this with the identity  $2^h = 2^{h_0} = \frac{x^2 + py^2}{4}$  obtained before, this forces that  $y^2 = 1$  and that  $u = |x|$  is a positive odd integer. Hence  $u^2 + p = 2^{h+2} < \frac{32}{\pi^2} p$ , giving  $u < \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{p}$ . We have to improve this upper bound.

One knows that  $2X^2 - Y^2$  is the unique (primitive) quadratic form with discriminant 8, up to proper equivalence (see [15, p. 81]). From  $(\frac{8}{p}) = 1$  and Lemma 1 we infer that  $p = 2a^2 - b^2$  for positive integers  $a, b$ . Here  $a = 2a_0$  must be even and  $b$  odd (as  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ), and by Theorem 1 in [3] we can choose  $a, b$  such that  $b < \sqrt{p}$ . Assume there is an odd prime  $q$  dividing  $a_0$ . Then  $(\frac{q}{p}) = (\frac{-p}{q}) = (\frac{b^2}{q}) = 1$  and  $\varrho_p \leq q \leq a_0 = \sqrt{(p + b^2)/8} < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{p}$ , against our assumption. Hence  $a_0 = 2^n$  and  $p = 2^{2n+3} - b^2$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . We claim that  $h + 2 = 2n + 3$  and  $u = b$ . Otherwise  $h + 2 \leq 2n + 1$ , implying that  $p < 2^{h+2} \leq 2^{2n+1} = (p + b^2)/4 < p/2$ , or  $h + 2 \geq 2n + 5$  and this implies that  $p > 2^h \geq 2^{2n+3} = p + b^2 > p$ . In both cases we get a contradiction. Hence  $u = b < \sqrt{p}$ , as desired. ■

**Remark.** We have  $\varrho_p \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{p}$  for the Mersenne primes  $p = 7, 31, 127$  (Lemma 4) and also for  $p \in \{103, 463, 487\}$  ( $\varrho_{103} = 7, \varrho_{463} = 17, \varrho_{487} = 19$ ). One can deduce from Lemma 3 that these are the only primes  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  where this happens, with at most one exception, where the possible exceptional prime will be the same as that described in Theorems 1, 2 (provided  $p_u$  exists). We do not go into details but remark that the elementary approach to our theorems given below applies also in this case (with a bit more effort).

#### 4. Towards the exceptional prime

Let  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $p > 7$  and  $h = h(-p)$ . Assume in what follows that  $\varrho_p \geq \sqrt{p}$ . By Lemma 2 we know that  $h$  is odd, and  $h > 1$  (as  $p > 7$ ). Let  $h = 2n + 1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). From Proposition 1 it follows that  $p = 2^{2n+3} - u^2$  for some positive odd integer  $u < \sqrt{p}$ . In particular  $2^{2n+2} < p < 2^{2n+3}$ .

For any integer  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq n$  let  $u_r$  be the least positive (odd) integer such that  $2^{r+2}$  is a divisor of  $u_r^2 + p$ . Then  $2^{r+2}$  also divides  $(2^{r+1} - u_r)^2 + p$  (as  $r + 2 \geq 3$ ) and so  $|2^{r+1} - u_r| \geq u_r$ . Since  $u_r - 2^{r+1} < u_r$ , we must have  $2^{r+1} - u_r \geq u_r$  and hence  $u_r < 2^r$  (as  $u_r$  is odd). By definition  $u_1 = 1$  (as  $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ) and  $1 = u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq u$ . Let  $c_r = \frac{u_r^2 + p}{2^{r+2}}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ).

**Proposition 2.** *Under the above assumptions, the quadratic forms  $f_r = 2^r X^2 + u_r XY + c_r Y^2$ , together with their opposites  $f_r^-$  and the principal form  $f_0$ , are*

precisely all the distinct reduced forms with discriminant  $-p$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ). The coefficients  $c_r$  are strictly decreasing, with  $c_1 = \frac{1+p}{8} > c_2 > \dots > c_n = 2^{n+1}$ . Moreover:

- (i) Each odd integer in the interval  $(1, p)$  which is properly represented by  $f_0$  or some form  $f_r$  is a prime.
- (ii) We have  $5 \leq u = u_n < (3 - \sqrt{7})2^{n-1} < 2^{n-2}$ , and  $u$  is divisible only by primes congruent 5 or 7 mod 8.
- (iii)  $2^{2n} - u^2 = (2^n - u)(2^n + u)$  is divisible only by primes congruent 3 mod 4. In particular,  $u \equiv 3, 5$  or  $7 \pmod{10}$  when  $n$  is odd, and  $u \equiv 1, 5$  or  $9 \pmod{10}$  otherwise.

**Proof.** Clearly  $c_r > 2^{2n+2-r-2} \geq 2^n$  (as  $p > 2^{2n+2}$ ). In particular  $c_r > 2^r$  for each  $r$  and so  $f_r$  is reduced. Now recall that  $h = 2n + 1$  and that there are just  $h$  distinct reduced forms with discriminant  $-p$ . This gives the first assertion. Of course  $f_1 = 2X^2 + XY + \frac{1+p}{8}Y^2$ . Let us consider  $f_n = 2^nX^2 + u_nXY + c_nY^2$ . We know that  $u_n < 2^n < \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{p}$  and that  $c_n > 2^n$ . On the other hand  $u_n \leq u$  (by definition) and so

$$c_n = \frac{u_n^2 + p}{2^{n+2}} \leq \frac{u^2 + p}{2^{n+2}} = 2^{n+1} < \sqrt{p}.$$

If there is an odd prime  $q$  dividing  $c_n = f_n(0, 1)$ , then  $q$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$  by Lemma 1. We infer that  $c_n$  must be a power of 2, and this implies that  $c_n = 2^{n+1}$  and that  $u = u_n$ . By Lemma 4 and assumption we also have  $u > 1$ .

If  $u_r = u_{r+1}$  for some  $r$ , then  $c_r = 2c_{r+1}$ . Suppose  $u_r < u_{r+1}$ . This means that  $2^r < u_{r+1} < 2^{r+1}$ , by definition of reduced forms. Hence  $u_{r+1} - 2^r < 2^{r+1} - 2^r = 2^r$ . Since  $2^{r+2}$  is a divisor of both  $u_r^2 + p$  and  $u_{r+1}^2 + p$ , it divides  $u_{r+1}^2 - u_r^2 = (u_{r+1} - u_r)(u_{r+1} + u_r)$ . Since  $u_r$  and  $u_{r+1}$  are odd,  $2^{r+1}$  is a divisor of just one of  $u_{r+1} - u_r$  or  $u_{r+1} + u_r$  (the other one being  $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ). Using that  $u_r < 2^r$ ,  $u_{r+1} < 2^{r+1}$  are positive we infer that  $u_r = 2^{r+1} - u_{r+1}$ . We derive that

$$c_r = \frac{(2^{r+1} - u_{r+1})^2 + p}{2^{r+2}} = 2c_{r+1} - (u_{r+1} - 2^r) > 2c_{r+1} - 2^r > c_{r+1}.$$

So the sequence  $\{c_r\}$  is strictly decreasing with  $r$ .

- (i) Let  $f$  be any quadratic form with discriminant  $-p$ , and let  $x, y$  be relatively prime integers such that the odd part, say  $m$ , of  $f(x, y)$  is greater than 1 and less than  $p$ . Then each (odd) prime  $q$  dividing  $m$  is a square mod  $p$  by Lemma 1. Assume  $m$  is no prime. Then we may arrange matters such that  $q \leq \frac{m}{q}$  and so  $q^2 \leq m < p$ . But then  $\varrho_p \leq q < \sqrt{p}$ , against our general assumption. Hence  $m = q$  is a prime.
- (ii) We know already that  $u = u_n$ . Let  $w = f_n(1, -1) = 3 \cdot 2^n - u$ . By (i)  $w$  is an (odd) prime, and  $(\frac{w}{p}) = 1$  by Lemma 1. Thus  $w^2 > p = 2^{2n+3} - u^2$  by assumption. It follows that  $u^2 - 3 \cdot 2^n u + 2^{2n-1} > 0$ , yielding that  $u < (3 - \sqrt{7})2^{n-1} < 2^{n-2}$ . Let  $q$  be an (odd) prime dividing  $u$ . Then  $q \leq u < \sqrt{p}$  and so  $(\frac{q}{p}) = (\frac{-p}{q}) = (\frac{-2}{q}) = -1$ , whence  $q \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ . This also shows that  $u \geq 5$ , and that  $n \geq 5$  (at least).

- (iii) Consider  $p = 2^{2n+2} + (2^{2n+2} - u^2)$ . We have  $2^{n+1} + u < \sqrt{p}$ , because  $u < 2^{n-2}$ ,  $u^2 + 2^{n+1}u < 2^{2n-4} + 2^{2n-1} < 2^{2n}$  and so  $2^{2n+2} + 2^{n+2}u + u^2 < p = 2^{2n+2} - u^2$ . If  $q$  is an odd prime dividing  $2^{2n+2} - u^2 = (2^{n+1} - u)(2^{n+1} + u)$ , then  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-q}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$  and so  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  (as  $q_p \geq \sqrt{p}$  by assumption). Let  $n$  be odd. Then  $2^{n+1} \equiv pm4 \pmod{10}$  and so one of  $2^{n+1}pmu$  is divisible by 5 if  $u \equiv pm1 \pmod{10}$ , which cannot happen. Hence  $u \equiv 3, 5$  or  $7 \pmod{10}$  in this case. Similarly,  $u \equiv 1, 5$  or  $9 \pmod{10}$  when  $n$  is even. The proof is complete. ■

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1

Keep the assumptions and notation introduced in the preceding section. We prove that we must have  $n \geq 12$  ( $h = h(-p) = 2n + 1$ ). We know already that  $n \geq 5$ . In our argumentation we ignore that  $2^{2n+3} - u^2$  may be no prime (using a table of the primes up to 10,000 only). Mostly we argue by verifying that one of  $w = f_n(1, -1) = 3 \cdot 2^n - u$  or  $w' = f_n(1, 1) = 3 \cdot 2^n + u$  is not prime, contrary to statement (i) in Proposition 2. Of course  $w = w(n, u)$  and  $w' = w'(n, u)$  depend on  $n$  and  $u$ . Fortunately  $u = u(n)$  is quite restricted by Proposition 2.

Assume that  $n = 5$ . Then  $u < (3 - \sqrt{7}) \cdot 2^4 < 6$  and so necessarily  $u = 5$  (where  $p = 2^{13} - 25 = 8167$  actually is a prime). But here  $w = 3 \cdot 2^5 - 25 = 7 \cdot 13$  is no prime. For  $n = 6$  we have  $u < 12$  but  $u \neq 7, 11$  by Proposition 2, and  $w = 3 \cdot 2^6 - u$  is no prime for  $u = 5, 9$ .

Let  $n = 7$ . Then  $u < 23$ , and by Proposition 2 only the possibilities  $u = 5, 7, 13$  remain. Now  $w = 3 \cdot 2^7 - u$  is not prime for  $u = 7, 13$  (namely  $13 \cdot 29$  and  $7 \cdot 53$ , respectively). For  $u = 5$  both  $w$  and  $w'$  are primes, but  $9 \cdot 2^{n-1} - u$  equals  $31 \cdot 37$  for  $n = 7$ ,  $u = 5$ .

Let  $n = 8$ . Then  $u < 46$ , and we have to examine the cases  $u = 5, 25, 29, 31$  (Proposition 2). Here  $w = 3 \cdot 2^8 - u$  is no prime for  $u = 5, 31$  (namely  $7 \cdot 109$  resp.  $11 \cdot 67$ ), and  $w' = 3 \cdot 2^8 + 25 = 13 \cdot 161$ . Finally,  $2^{n+1} + u = 2^9 + 29 = 541$  is a prime congruent 1 mod 4 (and so  $q_p \leq 541 < \sqrt{p}$ ); alternately,  $f_{n-1}(3, 1) = 17 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 3u = 31 \cdot 73$  for  $n = 8$ ,  $u = 29$  (and so  $q_p \leq 31$ ).

Let  $n = 9$ . Then  $u < 91$  and  $u = 5, 7, 13, 23, 25, 35, 37, 43, 47$  or  $53$  (Proposition 2). Here one of  $w, w'$  is no prime for  $u \in \{5, 7, 23, 25, 35, 37\}$ . Also,  $9 \cdot 2^8 + u$  is not prime for  $u = 13, 43$  and  $47$ .

Let  $n = 10$ . Then  $u < 182$  and  $u = 5, 25, 29, 31, 49, 61, 71, 79, 91, 101, 109, 115, 125, 131, 139, 145, 149, 151, 155, 161, 169, 175, 179$  or  $181$  (Proposition 2). Here one of  $w, w'$  is not prime except when  $u \in \{5, 109, 115\}$ . Also,  $9 \cdot 2^9 - u$  is not prime for  $u = 109, 115$ , and  $2^{11} + 5 = 2053$  is a prime congruent 1 mod 4.

Let  $n = 11$ . Then  $u < 363$  and  $u = 5, 7, 13, 23, 25, 35, 37, 43, 47, 53, 65, 103, 115, 125, 127, 155, 157, 167, 173, 175, 185, 197, 203, 217, 223, 233, 235, 235, 263, 265, 277, 293, 305, 317, 325, 343$  or  $355$  (Proposition 2). Here one of  $w, w'$  is no prime unless  $u \in \{23, 157, 217, 277, 305\}$ . But  $9 \cdot 2^{10} - u$  is not prime for  $u \in \{23, 277, 305\}$ . Further  $2^{12} - 157 = 3 \cdot 13 \cdot 101$  with  $13 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Finally,  $f_{n-2}(1, -1)$  equals  $13 \cdot 1283$  for  $n = 11$  and  $u = 217$ . Consequently  $n \geq 12$ , as desired.

Now we apply Lemma 3, picking  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{18}$ . Then

$$p > 2^{2n+2} \geq 2^{26} \geq \max(e^{18}, e^{11.2}) = e^{18}$$

but  $h = 2n + 1 < \frac{0.655}{18\pi} p^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{18}}$ , because

$$2n + 1 < \frac{0.655}{18\pi} (2^{\frac{4}{9}})^{2n+2}$$

for  $n \geq 12$ . Thus  $\chi = (\frac{-p}{*})$  must be the unique (exceptional) primitive real Dirichlet character with conductor  $|d| = p \geq e^{18}$  which possibly exists by virtue of the Siegel–Tatuzawa theorem. By [12, Lemma 9]  $L(s, \chi)$  has a real zero in the interval  $(\frac{71}{72}, 1)$ , which violates the Extended Riemann Hypothesis. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### 6. Proof of Theorem 2

Assume the large exceptional prime  $p = p_u$  exists (with  $\varrho_p \geq \sqrt{p}$ ). Then  $p = 2^{h+2} - u^2$  where  $h = h(-p)$  and  $u$  is a positive odd integer (Proposition 1). In view of Proposition 2 we know that  $5 \leq u < (3 - \sqrt{7})2^{(h-3)/2} < 2^{(h-5)/2}$ . In the course of the proof for Theorem 1 we have shown that  $h \geq 25$  and, therefore,  $p > 2^{26}$ . But if  $h(d) \leq 100$  for some negative fundamental discriminant  $d$ , then  $|d| \leq 2^{22}$  by the work of Watkins [14]. Thus we even have  $h > 100$ .

It follows from Proposition 2 that  $\varrho_p = f_n(1, -1) = 3 \cdot 2^{(h-1)/2} - u$ . Define the real number  $t$  through  $3 \cdot 2^{(h-1)/2} = t\sqrt{p}$ . Then  $9 \cdot 2^{h-1} = t^2 p = t^2(2^{h+2} - u^2) > t^2(2^{h+2} - (3 - \sqrt{7})^2 2^{h-3})$  and  $t < 1.06275$ . Thus  $\varrho_p < 1.06275\sqrt{p} - u$ , as asserted.

- (i) By the Siegel–Tatuzawa theorem (Lemma 3), for every negative fundamental discriminant  $d \neq -p$  with  $|d| \geq e^{18}$  we have  $h(d) > \frac{0.655}{18\pi} |d|^{\frac{4}{9}}$ .
- (ii) Consider the quadratic form  $f = 2X^2 + uXY + 2^{h-1}Y^2$ . This form is properly equivalent with the reduced form  $f_1$  when  $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and with  $f_1^-$  otherwise (in the notation of Proposition 2). The class of each of  $f, f_1, f_1^-$  generates the form class group  $C(-p)$  (as these forms correspond to one of the prime ideals above 2 in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  described in Proposition 1). The quadratic polynomial

$$f(2X + 1, -1) = 8X^2 + (8 - 2u)X + 2^{h-1} + 2 - u$$

takes only odd (positive) values on integers. It follows from statement (i) of Proposition 2 that this polynomial takes prime values on all integers in  $[-2^{(h-3)/2}, 2^{(h-3)/2}]$  (as these values are less than  $p$ ). These primes are pairwise distinct, because if the polynomial takes the same value on integers  $x \neq y$ , then we get  $x + y = \frac{2u-8}{8}$ , which is impossible. We are done.

## References

- [1] S. Chowla, J.R. Cowles, M.J. Cowles, *The least prime quadratic residue and the class number*, J. Number Theory **22** (1986), 1–3.
- [2] D.A. Cox, *Primes of the Form  $x^2 + ny^2$* , Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [3] A. Gica, *A proof of a conjecture of additive number theory*, J. Number Theory **94** (2002), 80–89.
- [4] Y.V. Linnik, A.I. Vinogradov, *Hyperelliptic curves and the least prime quadratic residue*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR **168** (1966), 259–261.
- [5] T. Nagell, *Über die Klassenzahl imaginär-quadratischer Zahlkörper*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamb. **1** (1922), 140–150.
- [6] T. Nagell, *Zahlentheoretische Notizen II*, Videnskapsselskapets Skrifter. I. Mat.-naturv. Klasse (1923), 7–10.
- [7] T. Nagell, *Sur les restes et les non-restes quadratiques suivant un module premier*, Ark. Mat. **1** (1950), 185–193.
- [8] W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [9] J. Oesterlé, *Nombres de classes des corps quadratiques imaginaires*, Astérisque **121-122** (1985), 309–323.
- [10] J. Pintz, *Elementary methods in the theory of L-functions VI, On the least prime quadratic residue (mod p)*, Acta Arith. **32** (1977), 173–178.
- [11] C.L. Siegel, *Über die Classenzahl quadratischer Zahlkörper*, Acta Arith. **1** (1935), 83–86.
- [12] T. Tatuzawa, *On a theorem of Siegel*, Japan. J. Math. **21** (1951), 169–178.
- [13] M. Watkins, *Real zeros of real odd Dirichlet L-functions*, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), 415–423.
- [14] M. Watkins, *Class numbers of imaginary quadratic number fields*, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), 907–938.
- [15] D.B. Zagier, *Zetafunktionen und quadratische Körper*, Springer, New York, 1981.

**Addresses:** Wolfgang Knapp, Markus Köcher, Peter Schmid: Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany.

**E-mail:** wolfgang.knapp@uni-tuebingen.de, markus.koecher@t-online.de,  
peter.schmid@uni-tuebingen.de

**Received:** 3 September 2011