

ALMOST NONPOSITIVELY CURVED MANIFOLDS

KENJI FUKAYA & TAKAO YAMAGUCHI

Dedicated to Shingo Murakami on his sixtieth birthday

Introduction

Let M be a compact connected C^∞ Riemannian n -manifold with diameter $d(M) \leq D$. We say that M has “almost nonpositive” curvature if the sectional curvature $K(M)$ satisfies $K(M) < \varepsilon$ for a small $\varepsilon > 0$ depending on D and n . In this paper, we study the topology of manifolds of almost nonpositive curvature under the condition $K(M) \geq -1$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ the family of compact Riemannian n -manifolds M with $d(M) \leq D$ and $K(M) \geq -1$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 0.1. *There exists a positive number $\varepsilon_n(D)$ such that the following holds. If $M \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ satisfies $K(M) < \varepsilon_n(D)$, then the universal covering space of M is diffeomorphic to \mathbf{R}^n .*

Theorem 0.1 was conjectured by Gromov [14, §4], where it is stated that the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is infinite. One might hope to eliminate the condition $K(M) \geq -1$. But, for $n = 3$, there is a counterexample due to Gromov [13, 1.6], which has been verified in a recent paper by Buser and Gromoll [3]: Namely, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a metric g_ε on the sphere S^3 such that $d(g_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ and $K(g_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$.

In fact, we can prove a more precise result than Theorem 0.1. To state it we need several notation. For α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, a $C^{1,\alpha}$ nonpositively curved orbifolds stands for a metric space X/Γ , where X is a simply connected complete $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian manifold of nonpositive curvature (in the sense of Definition 1.6), and Γ is a properly discontinuous group of isometries of X . (Our terminology is a bit different from that in Thurston [22]. The orbifold in our sense is denoted as the good orbifold there.) We say that a map $f: M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ is a fibration if f has a lift $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$ which is a fiber bundle, where \tilde{M} is the universal covering space of M . The fiber of f is the inverse image $f^{-1}(p)$ of a nonsingular point p of

X/Γ . In our case, this definition coincides with one in [10, §7]. We define the structure group of the fibration as in [10, §7].

We consider a compact manifold H/Λ , where H is a nilpotent Lie group, Λ is a discrete subgroup of $H\tilde{\times}\text{Aut}(H)$, and $[\Lambda: H\cap\Lambda] < \infty$. Put $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap H$. We take a series of subgroups $1 = \Lambda^{(0)} \subset \Lambda^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \Lambda^{(N)} = \Lambda_0$ such that $\Lambda^{(m)}/\Lambda^{(m-1)}$ is contained in the center of $\Lambda_0/\Lambda^{(m-1)}$. Let L be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_0)$ containing $\text{Int}(\Lambda_0)$. We assume that $\Lambda^{(m)}$ is preserved by the elements of L . Then we have a homomorphism $J: L \rightarrow \prod \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(m)}/\Lambda^{(m-1)}) = \prod \text{SL}(k_m; \mathbf{Z})$. Remark that our assumption on $\Lambda^{(m)}$ implies that $J(\text{Int}(\Lambda_0)) = 1$.

Theorem 0.2. *If $M \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ and $K_M < \varepsilon_n(D)$, then we have a fibration $H/\Lambda \rightarrow M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ such that*

(0.3.1) *X/Γ is a nonpositively curved orbifold of $C^{1,\alpha}$ -class,*

(0.3.2) *H is a nilpotent Lie group, $\Lambda \subset H\tilde{\times}\text{Aut} H$, and $[\Lambda: \Lambda \cap H] < \infty$,*

(0.3.3) *the structure group of the fibration can be reduced to $C(H)/(C(H) \cap \Lambda)\tilde{\times}L$, where $\text{Int}(\Lambda_0) \subset L \subset \text{Aut}(\Lambda_0)$, and*

(0.3.4) *we can choose $\Lambda^{(m)}$ so that $J(L)$ is a finite group.*

Conversely we have the following.

Theorem 0.4. *Let $H/\Lambda \rightarrow M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ be a fibration satisfying (0.3.2)–(0.3.4). Suppose that X/Γ is a Riemannian orbifold of C^∞ -class. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a Riemannian metric g_ε on M such that:*

(0.5.1) $\varepsilon + \text{Max}\{0, \sup K_X\} > K_{(M, g_\varepsilon)} > \text{Min}\{0, \inf K_X\} - \varepsilon$,

(0.5.2) $\text{diam}(M, g_\varepsilon) < \text{diam}(X/\Gamma) + \varepsilon$,

(0.5.3) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} d_H((M, g_\varepsilon), X/\Gamma) = 0$.

Remark 0.6. We do not know if X/Γ in Theorem 0.2 admits a nonpositively curved metric of C^∞ -class.

Theorems 0.2 and 0.4 imply the following.

Corollary 0.7. *If $M \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ and $K(M) < \varepsilon_n(D)$, then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a metric g_ε on M such that $(M, g_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ and $K(M, g_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$.*

Corollary 0.8. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ and $K(M) < \varepsilon_n(D)$. Suppose that $\pi_1(M)$ is solvable. Then M is diffeomorphic to H/Λ , where H and Λ are as in (0.3.2).*

As an application of our argument to manifolds with almost nonnegative curvature, we have the following.

Theorem 0.9. *Let $M \in \mathcal{M}(n, D)$ and $-\varepsilon_n(D) < K(M) \leq 1$. Suppose that M is a $K(\pi, 1)$ -space. Then M is diffeomorphic to H/Λ , where H and Λ are as in (0.3.2).*

For the proof of our results, we make use of the theory of convergence and collapsing of Riemannian manifolds. Now we briefly sketch *the idea of the proof* of our theorems. We shall proceed by reduction to absurdity.

Let (M_i, g_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ with $K(g_i) < i^{-2}$ such that each M_i does not satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 0.1 or 0.2, and assume that (M_i, g_i) converges to a metric space Y for the Hausdorff distance. Since the maximal rank radius of the exponential mapping at a fixed point m_i of M_i is greater than i , the ball $B(i)$ of radius i in \mathbf{R}^n around the origin inherits an induced metric \tilde{g}_i . For a subsequence, $(B(i), \tilde{g}_i)$ converges to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian manifold X_0 with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance. The space X_0 has nonpositive curvature. Let G_i be the fundamental pseudogroup of isometric imbeddings of $(B(i), \tilde{g}_i)$ into $(B(2i), \tilde{g}_i)$ for the exponential mapping at m_i such that M_i is isometric to the quotient space $B(i)/G_i$. In a sense, G_i converges to a group G of isometries of X_0 such that Y is isometric to X_0/G . The identity component G_0 of G is a nilpotent Lie group, and contains no compact subgroups. Hence G_0 acts freely on X_0 . It will turn out that G_0 acts by translations and X_0 splits isometrically as $X_0 = X \times \mathbf{R}^k$, where the \mathbf{R}^k -factor is generated by G_0 -orbits. Therefore, Y is isometric to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ nonpositively curved orbifold X/Γ , where $\Gamma = G/G_0$. By passing to the orthonormal frame bundle of M_i , we shall overcome the difficulty in dealing with singular points of X/Γ , and construct a fibration $M_i \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ for large i . We can use this fibration to prove Theorem 0.1. More detailed study of our fibration implies Theorem 0.2.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The constructions of X_0 and G are done in §1 and §2 respectively. §3 is devoted to the proof of the properties of G_0 and the splitting of X_0 . The proof of Theorem 0.1 is deferred to §4, where the deduction of Corollary 0.8 from Theorems 0.2 and 0.4 also appears. In §5, we shall rewrite the condition (0.3.4) in Theorem 0.2 in terms of the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration $M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$. After preliminary arguments in §6, we shall construct in §7 the subgroups $\Lambda^{(i)} \subset \Lambda$ in (0.3.4). The proof of Theorem 0.2 is completed in §8. §9 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.4. In §10, we shall prove Theorem 0.9.

1. Basic properties of the space X_0

For a positive number r and a point x in a metric space X , we denote by $B_r(x, X)$ the metric r -ball around x . For $X = \mathbf{R}^n$, we set $B(r)$ instead of $B_r(0, \mathbf{R}^n)$ for simplicity.

Let M_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence in the family $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ such that $K(M_i) < i^{-2}$. Let $f_i: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow M_i$ be the composition of a linear isometry of \mathbf{R}^n onto the tangent space $T_{m_i}(M_i)$ and the exponential map at a fixed point m_i of M_i . By the Rauch comparison theorem, f_i has maximal rank on the ball $B(2i)$. Let \tilde{g}_i be the induced metric $f_i^* g_i$ on $B(2i)$, where g_i is the metric of M_i . Remark that $B(i)$ is a convex set of $(B(2i), \tilde{g}_i)$. By [15, 8.23], passing to a subsequence if necessary, the pointed space $(B(2i), \tilde{g}_i, 0)$ converges to a pointed $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian n -manifold (X_0, x_0) with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.

In this section, we study basic geometric properties of X_0 needed in subsequent sections. To do this, we use the center of mass technique, and obtain an imbedding $\varphi_i: B(i) \rightarrow B(2i)$ such that the induced metric $\hat{g}_i = \varphi_i^* \tilde{g}_i$ converges to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric \hat{g}_∞ of X_0 on $B(R)$ for each $R > 0$ [11], [20].

First of all, we note that there is a unique \hat{g}_∞ -geodesic which satisfies a given initial condition, and that at each point, the \hat{g}_i -exponential map converges to that of X_0 uniformly on each compact subset in the tangent space. By d and d_i we denote the distance defined by using the metrics \hat{g}_∞ and \hat{g}_i respectively.

The space X_0 has “nonpositive curvature” in the following sense.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\sigma, \tau: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_0$ be geodesic segments in X_0 with length a, b respectively such that $\sigma(0) = \tau(0)$, and let α be the angle between them. Then, we have*

$$d(\sigma(1), \tau(1)) \geq a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos \alpha.$$

Proof. Let σ_i and τ_i be \hat{g}_i -geodesics such that $\sigma_i'(0) = \sigma'(0)$ and $\tau_i'(0) = \tau'(0)$ for large i . Let $\bar{\sigma}_i$ and $\bar{\tau}_i$ be geodesics on the sphere of constant curvature i^{-2} such that $\bar{\sigma}_i(0) = \bar{\tau}_i(0)$, $|\sigma_i'(0)| = |\bar{\sigma}_i'(0)|$, $|\tau_i'(0)| = |\bar{\tau}_i'(0)|$, and that the angle between them is equal to α . Then the Rauch comparison theorem implies $d_i(\sigma_i(1), \tau_i(1)) \geq d(\bar{\sigma}_i(1), \bar{\tau}_i(1))$. Taking the limit, we have the required inequality.

As immediate consequences of Lemma 1.1, we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.2. *For any two points in X_0 , there is a unique geodesic of X_0 joining them.*

Lemma 1.3. *The distance function $d: X_0 \times X_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is convex.*

Proof. We have only to show that for geodesics σ and τ of X_0 defined on a bounded interval I , the function $t \rightarrow d(\sigma(t), \tau(t))$ is convex on I .

Let σ_i and τ_i be \hat{g}_i -geodesics with $\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma$ and $\tau_i \rightarrow \tau$. We set

$$f(t) = d(\sigma(t), \tau(t)), \quad f_i(t) = d_i(\sigma_i(t), \tau_i(t)).$$

For every fixed t_0 in I , set $l_i = d_i(\sigma_i(t_0), \tau_i(t_0))$. Let $\alpha_i(s, t)$ be a variation such that for each t , the curve $s \rightarrow \alpha_i(s, t)$ ($0 \leq s \leq l_i$) is a \hat{g}_i -geodesic from $\sigma_i(t)$ to $\tau_i(t)$. By the second variation formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_i''(t_0) &= \int_0^{l_i} \hat{g}_i(V_i', V_i') - K(V_i \wedge T_i) \|V_i \wedge T_i\|^2 - \hat{g}_i(V_i', T_i) ds \\ &= \int_0^{l_i} \|V_i' \wedge T_i\|^2 - K(V_i \wedge T_i) \|V_i \wedge T_i\|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $V_i = \partial \alpha_i / \partial t$, $T_i = \partial \alpha_i / \partial s$, and $K(V_i \wedge T_i)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the plane section $V_i \wedge T_i$ with respect to \hat{g}_i . The curvature assumption and a standard estimate on Jacobi fields imply $f_i''(t_1) \geq -C/i^2$ for some constant C . This yields the inequality

$$f_i(t) \geq f_i(t_0) + f_i'(t_0)(t - t_0) - C(t - t_0)^2/2i^2$$

for all t in I . Taking the limit, we obtain that $f(t) \geq f(t_0) + \beta(t - t_0)$, where β is the limit of $f_i'(t_0)$ which exists certainly by the first variation formula. This shows the convexity of f .

Lemma 1.4. *Let A be a closed convex set of X_0 . Then we have the following:*

(1.5.1) *For each x in X_0 , there exists a unique point $\rho(x)$ in A satisfying $d(x, \rho(x)) = d(x, A)$.*

(1.5.2) *The mapping $\rho: X_0 \rightarrow A$ is distance-nonincreasing.*

Proof. (1.5.1) Suppose that for a point x there exist distinct points y_1 and y_2 of A such that $d(x, y_i) = d(x, A)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let σ_i and τ be geodesics joining x to y_i and y_1 to y_2 respectively. We note that, by Lemma 1.1, the sum of interior angles of a geodesic triangle in X_0 is less than or equal to π . Since A is convex, σ_i and τ make obtuse angles. This is a contradiction.

(1.5.2) For x_1 and x_2 in X_0 , let $\sigma_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow X_0$, $i = 1, 2$, be the geodesics from $\rho(x)$ to x_i . Since σ_i and the geodesic joining $\rho(x_1)$ and $\rho(x_2)$ make obtuse angles, the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.3 applied to the function $f(t) = d(\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t))$ yields $d(x_1, x_2) \geq d(\rho(x_1), \rho(x_2))$.

Definition 1.6. We say that a complete Riemannian manifold of $C^{1,\alpha}$ -class has nonpositive curvature, if its universal covering space satisfies the conclusions of Lemmas 1.1–1.4.

2. The construction of the group G

We consider the sequence of Riemannian manifolds $(B(i), \hat{g}_i)$, constructed in §1. Put $D_i = d_i(0, \partial B(i))$, remark that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} D_i = \infty$, and set $B_i(R) = \{p \in B(i) \mid d_i(0, p) < R\}$. When $D_i > 2R$, we define

$$G'_i(R) = \{\psi: B_i(R) \rightarrow B_i(2R) \mid \psi \text{ is continuous and } f_i \phi_i \psi = f_i \phi_i\},$$

where $f_i: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow M_i$ and $\phi_i: B(i) \rightarrow B(2i)$ are the maps defined in §1. Remark that $\psi^* \hat{g}_i = \hat{g}_i$ for $\psi \in G'_i(R)$. Since $B_i(2R)$ converges to $B_{2R}(x_0, X_0)$, we can regard $B_i(2R)$ as a subset of X_0 . For each R , there exists i_0 such that $B_i(2R) \supset B_R(x_0, X)$ for $i > i_0$. By $G_i(R)$, we denote the set of the restrictions of the elements of $G'_i(2R)$ to $B_R(x_0, R)$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{d(\psi(p), \psi(q))}{d(p, q)} < 2$$

for $p, q \in B_R(x_0, X_0)$, and $i > i(R)$, since $(B_R(x_0, X), d_i)$ converges to $(B_R(x_0, X), d)$ with respect to the Lipschitz distance, and the elements of $G_i(R)$ are isometries for d_i . In other words $G_i(R)$ is contained in the set

$$L(R) = \left\{ \psi: B_R(x_0, X) \rightarrow B_{4R}(x_0, X) \mid \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{d(\psi(p), \psi(q))}{d(p, q)} \leq 2 \right\}.$$

We define a metric on $L(R)$ by

$$d(\psi, \psi') = \sup\{d(\psi(p), \psi'(p)) \mid p \in B_R(x_0, X)\}.$$

Ascoli-Arzelà's Theorem implies the compactness of $(L(R), d)$. Therefore, we may assume, by taking a subsequence if necessary, that $G_i(R)$ converges to a subset $G(R)$ with respect to the Hausdorff distance in $L(R)$. Set $R < R'$. Since $f_i \phi_i$ is of maximal rank on the ball of radius D_i , it follows that every element of $G_i(R)$ is a restriction of an element of $G_i(R')$ if i is sufficiently large. Hence we have an injective homomorphism $I_R^{R'}: G_i(R) \rightarrow G_i(R')$ such that $I_R^{R'}(\psi) = \psi$ on $B_R(x_0, X)$. These maps induce an inclusion $I: G(R) \rightarrow G(R')$. We put $\bigcup_R G(R) = G$. It is easy to see that G is a group of isometries of (X, d) . We put a compact open topology on G .

Lemma 2.1. X/G is isometric to Y , the limit of M_i .

The proof is a pseudogroup version of [6, Theorem 2-1] and is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.2. *The connected component G_0 of G is a Lie group. The quotient group G/G_0 equipped with the quotient topology is discrete.*

Proof. Remark that G is a closed subgroup of the group of all isometries of X . Hence, in the case when the metric \hat{g}_∞ on X is of C^∞ -class, the conclusion follows immediately from [18, Theorem 3.4]. In the general case, we can use [2] in a way similar to [8, §1] to obtain a smooth Riemannian G -manifold (X'_0, \hat{g}'_∞) such that (X_0, G) and (X'_0, \hat{g}'_∞) are equivariantly diffeomorphic. Therefore the lemma follows immediately from the case where \hat{g}_∞ is smooth.

Lemma 2.3. *G_0 is nilpotent.*

This is a consequence of Margulis' lemma. The proof is similar to [8, §4], and hence is omitted.

3. Splitting X_0 to a direct product

In this section, we shall prove that X_0/G is a Riemannian orbifold of nonpositive curvature. We shall work under the following conditions.

(3.1.1) X_0 is a complete and simply connected $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian manifold satisfying Lemmas 1.1–1.4.

(3.1.2) G is a group of isometries of X_0 , and X_0/G is compact.

(3.1.3) G/G_0 is discrete, where G_0 is the connected component of G .

(3.1.4) G_0 is a nilpotent Lie group.

For $g \in G$ and $p \in X$ we put $\delta_g(p) = d(p, g(p))$. For $A \subset G$, we define

$$C_A X_0 = \{p \in X \mid \delta_g(p) = \inf_{x \in X} \delta_g(x) \text{ for every } g \in A\}.$$

We take a sequence of subgroups $G^{(i)}$ of G_0 such that

(3.1.5.1) $G^{(0)}$ is trivial and $G^{(N)} = G_0$,

(3.1.5.2) $G^{(i)}$ is normal in G , and $G^{(i+1)}/G^{(i)}$ is the center of $G_0/G^{(i)}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume (3.1.1)–(3.1.5). Then :*

(3.2.1) $C_{G_0} X_0 = X_0$,

(3.2.2) G_0 is isomorphic to \mathbf{R}^{n-m} ,

(3.2.3) X_0 is isometric to a direct product $X \times \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$, where X is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian manifold,

(3.2.4) for $(x, a) \in X \times \mathbf{R}^{n-m} = X_0$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$, we have $b(x, a) = (x, a + b)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $\dim X_0$.

Sublemma 3.3. *For each $g \in G^{(1)}$, the set $C_{\{g\}} X_0$ is nonempty.*

Proof. Put $A = \{h^{-1}gh | h \in G\}$. Since $G^{(1)}$ is the center of G_0 , and G/G_0 is discrete, it follows that A is discrete. Put

$$\delta(p) = \inf\{d(p, f(p)) | f \in A\}.$$

In view of the compactness of X_0/G and the G -invariance of A , the function δ assumes its minimum at some point p . Then the discreteness of A implies that there exists $h \in G$ such that $p \in C_{\{h^{-1}gh\}}X_0$. Therefore $h(p)$ is contained in $C_{\{g\}}X_0$.

Let $G' \subset G_0$ denote the set of all semisimple elements of G_0 . (Here we recall that the isometry γ is said to be semisimple if $C_{\{\gamma\}}X_0$ is nonempty.) Sublemma 3.3 implies that $G' \neq \{1\}$. On the other hand, [1, p. 88, Lemma] implies that

(3.4.1) G' is a normal subgroup of G_0 ,

(3.4.2) $C_{G'}X_0$ is nonempty,

(3.4.3) $C_{G'}X_0$ splits as $X' \times \mathbf{R}^s$,

(3.4.4) G' is isomorphic to \mathbf{R}^s and it acts on $C_{G'}X_0$ as the translation of the second factor.

Remark that the proof in [1] uses only the properties which we proved in §1, and hence it can be applied to our case, where the metric is not smooth.

It is easy to see that G' is also a normal subgroup of G , so that $C_{G'}X_0$ is a G -invariant subset. Since $C_{G'}X_0$ is convex and X_0/G is compact, it follows that $X_0 = C_{G'}X_0$. Hence $X_0 = X' \times \mathbf{R}^s$. Since G' is a normal subgroup of G and the \mathbf{R}^s factor is generated by G' -action, the splitting of X_0 is preserved by G -action. Therefore G/G' acts on X' by isometry, and this action satisfies (3.1.1)–(3.1.5). We apply the induction hypothesis to this action and conclude:

(3.5.1) $G_0/G' \simeq \mathbf{R}^t$,

(3.5.2) $X' = X \times \mathbf{R}^t$,

(3.5.3) G_0/G' acts on X' as translation of the second factor.

Therefore we have:

(3.6.1) $X_0 = X \times \mathbf{R}^{t+s}$,

(3.6.2) G preserves the splitting,

(3.6.3) the action of G_0 on the first factor, X , is trivial,

(3.6.4) the action of G_0 on the second factor is free.

(3.2.1)–(3.2.4) follow immediately.

Lemma 3.7. X is diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space.

Proof. Fix a point p_0 on X . Take a neighborhood U of p_0 , which is diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space. Take a smooth function on X ,

which is equal to 1 outside U and vanishes in a neighborhood of p_0 . For each $p \in X$, let $V(p) \in T_p(X)$ be the unit vector tangent to the unique minimal geodesic connecting p and p_0 . fV is a vector field of C^0 -class. We take a smooth approximation of fV , and let Φ_t be the one-parameter group of transformations associated to the vector field. Then, for each compact subset K of X , there exists t such that $\Phi_{-t}(U) \supset K$. The lemma follows immediately.

Finally we remark that the following lemma is proved in a way similar to the C^∞ -case proved in [12], [19].

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a complete and simply connected $C^{1,\alpha}$ manifold with nonpositive curvature, and let Γ be a properly discontinuous group of isometries of X . Assume that Γ is solvable and that, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the set $C_{\{\gamma\}}X$ is nonempty. Then we have a subgroup Γ' of Γ such that*

$$(3.8.1) \quad [\Gamma : \Gamma'] < \infty,$$

$$(3.8.2) \quad \Gamma' \text{ is a free abelian,}$$

$$(3.8.3) \quad \text{there exists a totally geodesic flat } \Gamma'\text{-invariant subspace } Z \text{ of } X \text{ such that } Z/\Gamma' \text{ is compact.}$$

4. Proof of Theorem 0.1

In §§1–3, we proved that (M_i, g_i) converges to $(\mathbf{R}^m, \bar{g})/\Gamma$ for the Hausdorff distance, where \bar{g} is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric and Γ is a properly discontinuous group of isometries. We recall the results of [8] here. Let FM_i be the set of all orthonormal frames of M_i . In an obvious way, g_i induces a metric h_i on FM_i . By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that (FM_i, h_i) converges with respect to the Hausdorff distance. It is easy to see that the limit is isometric to $(FX, h_\infty)/G$, where h_∞ is a metric induced from the metric \hat{g}_∞ on X . Since $FX \simeq \mathbf{R}^n \times O(n)$, $G/G_0 \simeq \Gamma$, and $G_0 \simeq \mathbf{R}^{n-m}$, it follows that FX/G is isometric to $(\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n))/\Gamma$. By [8, §6], we see that the action of Γ on $\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n)$ is free. By [8, §10], there exists the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FM_i & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_i} & (\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n))/\Gamma \\ \downarrow /O(n) & & \downarrow /O(n) \\ M_i & \xrightarrow{\pi_i} & \mathbf{R}^m/\Gamma \end{array}$$

Here the map $\tilde{\pi}_i$ is a fibration (in the usual sense). Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}_i$ is an

$O(n)$ -map. Now we put

$$FE_i = \{(q, y) \in FM_i \times (\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n)) \mid \tilde{\pi}_i(q) \equiv y \pmod{\Gamma}\},$$

$$E_i = FE_i/O(n).$$

Remark that $O(n)$ acts freely on FE_i . Then there exists the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & FM_i & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_i} & (\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n))/\Gamma \\
 FE_i & \nearrow & \downarrow & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}^m \times O(n) \\
 & & M_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{R}^m/\Gamma \\
 & \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
 E_i & \nearrow & & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}^m
 \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{\pi}_i$ is a fibration, so is the map $FE_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m \times O(n)$. Hence $FE_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is a submersion, and $E_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is a fibration. Since $FE_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m \times O(n)$ is also an $O(n)$ -map, the fiber of $E_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ is equal to that of $FM_i \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n))/\Gamma$, and is therefore diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold. Thus that E_i is diffeomorphic to the product of the Euclidean space and an infranilmanifold.

Since $\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}^m \times O(n))/\Gamma$ is a covering map, so is the map $FE_i \rightarrow FM_i$. Hence $FE_i \rightarrow M_i$ is a submersion, and $E_i \rightarrow M_i$ is a covering map. Thus, we conclude that the universal covering space of M_i is diffeomorphic to one of E_i , which is the Euclidean space. The proof of Theorem 0.1 is complete.

5. Preliminary discussion on fundamental groups and structure groups

To prove Theorem 0.2, we rewrite condition (0.3.4) in terms of the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration. For this purpose, we recall several facts concerning reductions of the structure groups of fibrations. Let F be a topological space and let $H(F)$ be the group of homeomorphisms equipped with compact open topology. We shall define a homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}: H(F)/H_0(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))/\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$, where $H_0(F)$ stands for the connected component of $H(F)$, and $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))$ and $\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$ are the groups of all automorphisms and all inner automorphisms of the fundamental group of F , respectively. Fix $p_0 \in F$ and $\varphi \in H(F)$. Then we have $\varphi_*: \pi_1(F, p_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(F, \varphi(p_0))$. Using a path connecting p_0 and $\varphi(p_0)$, we have an isomorphism $\pi_1(F, \varphi(p_0)) \rightarrow \pi_1(F, p_0)$. If we change the choice of the path, the isomorphism changes by an element of $\text{Int}(\pi_1(F, p_0))$. Thus we have a homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}: H(F) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))/\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$. Clearly $\tilde{\Phi}$ induces a map Φ .

Next, let $F \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$ be a smooth fiber bundle with structure group G , a Lie group. Then, using the holonomy of a G -connection, we obtain a homomorphism $\Psi: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow G/G_0$, where G_0 is the connected component of G . Let $I: G/G_0 \rightarrow H(F)/H_0(F)$ be the homomorphism induced by the action of G on F . Thus, we obtain a homomorphism $\Phi I \Psi: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))/\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$. We shall describe this homomorphism in terms of the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration. For simplicity, we assume $\pi_0(F) = \pi_2(Y) = \{1\}$. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(Y)$. Choose $\tilde{\gamma} \in \pi_1(M)$ satisfying $\pi_*(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$. We can define an element $\text{conj}(\tilde{\gamma}) \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))$ by $\text{conj}(\tilde{\gamma})(\mu) = \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \mu \tilde{\gamma}$. The equivalence class in $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))/\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$ of the element $\text{conj}(\tilde{\gamma})$ is independent of the choice of $\tilde{\gamma}$ and depends only on γ . Thus, we obtain a homomorphism $\text{conj}: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(F))/\text{Int}(\pi_1(F))$, and also have

Lemma 5.1. $\text{conj} = \Phi I \Psi$.

We omit the proof.

Thirdly, we recall the following result (see [18, pp. 83–91]).

Lemma 5.2. *Let G' be a subgroup of G such that $\Psi(\pi_1(Y)) = G'/G_0$. Then the structure group of the fibration $F \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y$ can be reduced to G' .*

Fourthly we remark that the preceding arguments can be applied also to the singular fibration $F \rightarrow M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ which we study in the preceding sections. (We replace $\pi_1(Y)$ by Γ .) For example, the homotopy exact sequence is obtained by applying the nine-lemma to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 1 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \pi_1(\text{O}(n)) & = & \pi_1(\text{O}(n)) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(FM) & \rightarrow & \pi_1((X \times \text{O}(n))/\Gamma) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \pi_1(F) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \rightarrow & \Gamma & \rightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Now, we can rewrite (0.3.4) in terms of the fundamental groups. (Remark that the result of §4 and [10] imply (0.3.1)–(0.3.3).) Let $H/\Lambda \rightarrow M \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ satisfy conditions (0.3.1), (0.3.2), and (0.3.3). Then, Λ is

a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$. We define a homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ by $\gamma \rightarrow (\mu \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}\mu\gamma)$. In the case when subgroups $\Lambda^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, are normal in $\pi_1(M)$, we can compose this map and $J: L \rightarrow \prod \text{SL}(k_m; \mathbf{Z})$, to obtain a homomorphism $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \prod \text{SL}(k_i; \mathbf{Z})$. We denote this map also by J . Then, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 imply the following.

Lemma 5.3. (0.3.4) is equivalent to the following:

(5.4) We can choose $\Lambda^{(m)}$ so that $J(\pi_1(M))$ is finite.

6. Limit of universal covering spaces

Let M_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ with $K(M_i) < i^{-2}$. We study the limit space of the universal covering space \tilde{M}_i of M_i . Fix a point x_i and \tilde{M}_i . By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that (\tilde{M}_i, x_i) converges to a pointed metric space (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) for the pointed Hausdorff distance. In this section, we shall prove the following lemma which is needed in the next section.

Lemma 6.1. \tilde{X} is isometric to the space X_0 constructed in §1.

Since M_i is a $K(\pi, 1)$ -space by our Theorem 0.1, Lemma 3.2 in [9] shows that \tilde{X} has dimension n . By [15, 8.39], the injectivity radius at x_i is uniformly bounded away from zero. Thus Lemma 4.2 of [9] implies that \tilde{X} is contractible.

For the proof of Lemma 6.1, we need the following.

Lemma 6.2. For each point x in \tilde{X} , the exponential map \exp_x^∞ of \tilde{X} is bijective.

When the metric of \tilde{X} is of class C^2 , Lemma 6.2 is nothing but the Cartan-Hadamard Theorem. But, since the metric of \tilde{X} is only of class $C^{1,\alpha}$, it is a priori nontrivial that the exponential map is even a local homeomorphism.

For the point $x \in \tilde{X}$, take $y_i \in \tilde{M}_i$ so that (\tilde{M}_i, y_i) converges to (\tilde{X}, x) for the pointed Hausdorff distance. To prove Lemma 6.2, we use a center of mass technique to obtain an imbedding $\varphi_i: B_{3i}(x, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow B_{4i}(y_i, \tilde{M}_i)$ such that the induced metric $\hat{g}_i = \varphi_i^* \tilde{g}_i$, where \tilde{g}_i is the metric on \tilde{M}_i , converges to the metric \hat{g}_∞ of \tilde{X} on compact subsets.

For simplicity, we identify \mathbf{R}^n with the vector space $(T_x \tilde{X}, \hat{g}_\infty)$. We fix a positive number R and a large i_0 with $i_0 > R$. Let $\exp_x^i: B(2i_0) \rightarrow B_{3i_0}(x, \tilde{X})$ be the \tilde{g}_i -exponential map. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the sequence $(B(2i_0), h_i)$, $h_i = \exp_x^{i*}(\tilde{g}_i)$, converges to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian n -manifold for the Hausdorff distance.

It should be remarked that h_i does not converge in general. Hence we use the center of mass technique again to obtain an imbedding $\psi_i^*: B(i_0) \rightarrow B(2i_0)$, so that the induced metric $\hat{h}_i = \psi_i^* h_i$ converges to a $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric \hat{h}_∞ on $B(i_0)$. From the center of mass construction, ψ_i can be chosen so as to satisfy the following conditions:

$$(6.3.1) \quad e^{-\delta(i_0)} |v|_{h_{i_0}} < |d\psi_i(v)|_{h_i} < e^{\delta(i_0)} |v|_{h_{i_0}} \quad \text{for every tangent vector } v, \\ \text{where } \lim_{i_0 \rightarrow \infty} \delta(i_0) = 0.$$

$$(6.3.2) \quad \psi(B(i_0)) \text{ includes } B(R).$$

If we set $F_i = \exp_x^i \psi_i$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B(i_0), \hat{h}_i) & & \\ \psi_i \downarrow & \searrow F_i & \\ B(2i_0) & \xrightarrow{\exp_x^i} & (B_{3i_0}(x, \tilde{X}), \hat{g}_i) \end{array}$$

Sublemma 6.4. *The map ψ_i uniformly converges to a homeomorphism ψ_∞ of $B(i_0)$ into $B(2i_0)$ satisfying $\psi_\infty(B(i_0)) \supset B(R)$.*

Proof. By Rauch's comparison theorem and the convergence $\hat{g}_i \rightarrow \hat{g}_\infty$, we have

$$C(i_0)^{-1} |w|_e < |w|_{h_i} < C(i_0) |w|_e$$

for every tangent vector w to $B(2i_0)$, where $| \cdot |_e$ is the norm induced by the inner product \hat{g}_∞ on \mathbf{R}^n . Hence (6.3.1) yields

$$C^{-1} |v|_{h_{i_0}} < |d\psi_i(v)|_e < C |v|_{h_{i_0}}$$

for a uniform constant $C = C(i_0)$. It follows from Ascoli-Alzera's Theorem that ψ_i uniformly converges to a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism ψ_∞ . Property (6.3.2) of ψ_i passes to the limit.

If we set $F_\infty = \exp_x^\infty \psi_\infty$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B(i_0), \hat{h}_\infty) & & \\ \psi_\infty \downarrow & \searrow F_\infty & \\ B(2i_0) & \xrightarrow{\exp_x^\infty} & (B_{3i_0}(x, \tilde{X}), \hat{g}_\infty) \end{array}$$

Sublemma 6.5. *The map F_∞ carries \hat{h}_∞ -geodesics to \hat{g}_∞ -geodesics in the length-preserving way.*

Proof. For an \hat{h}_∞ -geodesic σ in $B(i_0)$, take an \hat{h}_i -geodesic σ_i so that $\lim \sigma_i = \sigma$. Since $F_i: (B(i_0), \hat{h}_i) \rightarrow (B_{3i_0}(x, \tilde{X}), \hat{g}_i)$ is a local isometry, $F_i \sigma_i$ is a \hat{g}_i -geodesic. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume

that $F_i\sigma_i$ converges to a \hat{g}_∞ -geodesic τ . Thus by the uniform convergence of F_i to F_∞ , we have $\tau = F_\infty(\sigma)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length } \tau &= \lim \text{length } F_i\sigma_i = \lim \text{length } \sigma_i = \text{length } \sigma, \\ \text{length } \tau &= \lim \text{length } F_i\sigma_i = \lim \text{length } \sigma_i = \text{length } \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.2. For any u in $B(i_0)$, put $z = F_\infty(u)$. Take a small $\delta > 0$ so that the ball $B_\delta(z, X_0)$ is convex. Then Sublemma 6.5 implies that F_∞ maps $B_\delta(u, \hat{h}_\infty)$ onto $B_\delta(z, X_0)$ homeomorphically. Together with Sublemma 6.4, this implies that \exp_x^∞ is a local homeomorphism, because R is arbitrary. Since \exp_x^∞ is surjective and \hat{X} is simply connected, this yields the injectivity of \exp_x^∞ by a standard covering argument.

Sublemma 6.6. *The injectivity radius of M_i goes to infinity as $i \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then, by the curvature assumption, for large i , there is a geodesic loop γ_i in \hat{M}_i with uniformly bounded length. Since $d(M_i) < D$, we may assume that the distance between y_i and the base point of the geodesic loop is less than a constant independent of i . Hence, the \hat{g}_i -geodesic $\varphi_i^{-1}(\gamma_i)$ converges to a \hat{g}_∞ -geodesic loop. This contradicts Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.1 is an immediate consequence of Sublemma 6.6.

7. Covering spaces along fibers

In this and the next sections, we shall prove Theorem 0.2. Let M_i be a sequence of Riemannian manifolds such that $M_i \in \mathcal{M}(n, 1)$, $K_{M_i} < 1/i$, and let X/Γ be the limit of M_i . It suffices to show that, for each sufficiently large i , there exists $\pi_i: M_i \rightarrow X/\Gamma$ satisfying (0.3.1)–(0.3.4). In §§1–4, we have constructed π_i satisfying (0.3.1) and (0.3.2). [10] implies that this map satisfies (0.3.3). Let H_i/Λ_i denote the fibers. We take the upper central series $\Lambda_i^{(0)} \subset \dots \subset \Lambda_i^{(N_i)}$ of $\Lambda_i \cap H_i$. In other words, $\Lambda_i^{(j+1)}/\Lambda_i^{(j)}$ is the center of $\Lambda_i^{(N_i)}/\Lambda_i^{(j)} = (\Lambda_i \cap H_i)/\Lambda_i^{(j)}$. We shall refine this series so that (0.3.4) is satisfied. Fix m , and consider m th component $J_{i,m}: \pi_i(M_i) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Lambda_i^{(m)}/\Lambda_i^{(m-1)}) = \text{SL}(k_i^{(m)}, \mathbf{Z})$. Hereafter we shall omit the symbol m and write $J_i, \hat{\Lambda}_i$, and $\bar{\Lambda}_i$ in place of $J_{i,m}, \Lambda_i^{(m)}$, and $\Lambda_i^{(m-1)}$. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $k_i^{(m)}$ does not depend on i . We put $k = k_i^{(m)}$. Let \bar{k} denote the rank of the nilpotent group $(\Lambda_i \cap H_i)/\Lambda_i^{(m)}$, which, we assume, is also independent of i . In this section, we shall prove the following.

Lemma 7.1. *There exist subgroups $\Lambda_{i,j}, \Lambda'_{i,j}, j = 0, \dots, N$, of $\hat{\Lambda}_i$, Riemannian manifolds Y_j , and positive integers s_j , such that the following holds (by $M_{i,j}$ and $M'_{i,j}$, we denote the covering spaces of M_i corresponding to $\Lambda_{i,j}$ and $\Lambda'_{i,j}$, respectively):*

$$(7.2.1) \quad \Lambda_{i,0} = \hat{\Lambda}_i, \quad \Lambda_{i,N} = \bar{\Lambda}_i, \quad \Lambda_{i,j+1} \subset \Lambda'_{i,j} \subset \Lambda_{i,j}.$$

(7.2.2) Y_j is isometric to the direct product of $X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}+s_0+\dots+s_{j-1}}$ and an s_j -dimensional flat torus.

(7.2.3) $\Lambda_{i,j}$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(M_i)$.

$$(7.2.4) \quad \Lambda_{i,j}/\Lambda_{i,j+1} \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{s_j}.$$

(7.2.5) $[\Lambda_{i,j}; \Lambda'_{i,j}] < \infty$. Furthermore $\Lambda'_{i,j}/\Lambda_{i,j+1} = l \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{s_j} \subset \mathbf{Z}^{s_j} = \Lambda_{i,j}/\Lambda_{i,j+1}$ for some number l depending on i and j .

(7.2.6) We can find $p_{i,j} \in M_{i,j}$, such that $(M_{i,j}, p_{i,j})$ converges to the universal covering space $X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}+s_0+\dots+s_{j-1}}$ of Y_{j-1} , with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.

(7.2.7) We can find $p'_{i,j}$ so that $M'_{i,j}$ converges to Y_j with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.

Proof. Let $M_{i,0}$ be the covering space of M_i corresponding to $\hat{\Lambda}_i$. Then, in view of Lemma 6.1, we can prove the following.

Sublemma 7.3. *We can take $p_{i,0} \in M_{i,0}$ such that $(M_{i,0}, p_{i,0})$ converges to $(X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}}, p_{\infty,0})$ with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.*

Therefore, by [7], there exists a submersion $\hat{\pi}_{i,0}: B_2(p_{i,0}, M_{i,0}) \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}}$ for each sufficiently large i . Set $\bar{M}_{i,0} = (\hat{\pi}_{i,0})^{-1}(B_1(p_{\infty,0}, X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}}))$.

By restricting $\hat{\pi}_{i,0}$, we obtain a fibration $\bar{\pi}_{i,0}: \bar{M}_{i,0} \rightarrow B_1(p_{\infty,0}, X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}})$.

We put $\tilde{Y}_0 = X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{0,c} = B_1(p_{\infty,0}, X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}})$. Then, we can prove

Sublemma 7.4. $\pi_1(\bar{M}_{i,0}) = \hat{\Lambda}_i$.

Let $\Lambda_{i,0}(l)$ be the subgroup satisfying $\Lambda_{i,0}(l)/\bar{\Lambda}_i = l \cdot \mathbf{Z}^k \subset \mathbf{Z}^k = \hat{\Lambda}_i/\bar{\Lambda}_i$, and denote by $\bar{M}_{i,0}(l)$ the covering space of $\bar{M}_{i,0}$ corresponding to $\Lambda_{i,0}(l)$. Then we have a fibration $\bar{\pi}_{i,0}(l): \bar{M}_{i,0}(l) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{0,c}$. Put

$$\alpha_{i,l} = \text{diam}((\bar{\pi}_{i,0}(l))^{-1}(p_{\infty,0})).$$

Then

$$(7.5.1) \quad \alpha_{i,l+1}/\alpha_{i,l} < C \quad \text{for some constant } C \text{ independent of } i,$$

$$(7.5.2) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{i,l} = \infty.$$

Hence, we can choose l_i so that $1 < \alpha_{i,l_i} < C$. Put $\Lambda'_{i,0} = \Lambda_{i,0}(l_i)$, and let $M'_{i,0}$ and $\overline{M}'_{i,0}$ denote the covering spaces of M_i and $\overline{M}_{i,0}$ corresponding to $\Lambda'_{i,0}$, respectively. In other words $\overline{M}'_{i,0} = M_{i,0}(l_i)$. Let $\overline{\pi}'_{i,0} = \pi_{i,0}(l_i): \overline{M}'_{i,0} \rightarrow \check{Y}_{0,c}$. Since

$$(7.6) \quad 1 < \text{diam}((\overline{\pi}'_{i,0})^{-1}(p_{\infty,0})) < C,$$

and $\overline{\pi}'_{i,0}$ is an almost Riemannian submersion, we have $\text{diam}(\overline{M}'_{i,0}) < 2(4+C)$. Therefore, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\overline{M}'_{i,0}$ converges to a metric space \overline{Y}_1 with respect to the Hausdorff distance. We fix a point $p'_{i,0}$ on $(\overline{\pi}'_{i,0})^{-1}(p_{\infty,0})$. We may assume that $(M'_{i,0}, p'_{i,0})$ converges to a metric space $(Y_1, p^{(1)})$ with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance. Remark $Y_1 \supset \overline{Y}_1$. Let G be as in §2. Then, by construction, there exists a closed subgroup G_1 of G such that Y_1 is isometric to X/G_1 . By §§3 and 4, Y_1 is therefore a nonpositively curved orbifold of $C^{1,\alpha}$ -class. Using [7], we thus have the following.

Sublemma 7.7. *After perturbing $\overline{Y}_1 \subset Y_1$ and $\overline{M}'_{i,0} \subset M'_{i,0}$ in a small neighborhood of their boundaries, we can construct fibrations $\hat{\pi}'_{i,0}: \overline{M}'_{i,0} \rightarrow \overline{Y}_1$ and $P_1: \overline{Y}_1 \rightarrow \check{Y}_{1,c}$, such that $\overline{\pi}'_{i,0} = P_1 \hat{\pi}'_{i,0}$.*

Sublemma 7.8. *The inclusion $\overline{Y}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ induces isomorphisms on fundamental groups.*

Sublemma 7.7 implies that $\pi_1(\overline{Y}_1)$ is nilpotent, so that so is $\pi_1(Y_1)$. Since Y_1 is a nonpositively curved Riemannian orbifold and every element of $\pi_1(Y_1)$ is represented by a closed geodesic, Lemma 3.8 implies

Sublemma 7.9. *$\pi_1(Y_1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{s_0}$. Furthermore Y_1 is isometric to the direct product of $X \times \mathbf{R}^{\overline{k}}$ and an s_0 -dimensional flat torus.*

Let $\Lambda_{i,1} = \pi_1((\hat{\pi}'_{i,0})^{-1}(p^{(1)}))$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$(7.10) \quad 1 \rightarrow \Lambda_{i,1} \rightarrow \Lambda'_{i,0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{s_0} \rightarrow 1.$$

(Recall that $\Lambda'_{i,0} = \pi_1(\overline{M}'_{i,0})$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{s_0} = \pi_1(\overline{Y}_1)$, and that (7.10) is the homotopy exact sequence associated to the fibration $\hat{\pi}'_{i,0}$.) Remark that $\Lambda_{i,1} \supset \overline{\Lambda}_i$. If $\Lambda_{i,1} = \overline{\Lambda}_i$ (or equivalently $s_0 = k$), we finish the construction. If not, we will continue by proving the following sublemma. By $M_{i,1}$, we denote the covering space of M_i corresponding to $\Lambda_{i,1}$.

Sublemma 7.11. *We can find $p_{i,1} \in M_{i,1}$ such that $(M_{i,1}, p_{i,1})$ converges to $(X \times \mathbf{R}^{\bar{k}+s_0}, p_{\infty,1})$ with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.*

Using Sublemma 7.11 in place of Sublemma 7.3, we obtain $\bar{M}_{i,1} \subset M_{i,1}$ and a fibration $\bar{\pi}_{i,1}: \bar{M}_{i,1} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{1,c}$. Define $\Lambda_{i,1}(l)$ by $\Lambda_{i,1}(l)/\bar{\Lambda}_i = l \cdot \mathbf{Z}^{k-s_1} \subset \mathbf{Z}^{k-s_1} = \Lambda_{i,1}/\bar{\Lambda}_i$, and denote by $M_{i,1}(l)$ and $\bar{M}_{i,1}(l)$ the covering spaces of $M_{i,1}$ and $\bar{M}_{i,1}$ corresponding to $\Lambda_{i,1}(l)$. We take $l_{i,1}$ satisfying a condition similar to (7.6). Let $\Lambda'_{i,1} = \Lambda_{i,1}(l_{i,1})$, $M'_{i,1} = M_{i,1}(l_{i,1})$, and $\bar{M}'_{i,1} = \bar{M}_{i,1}(l_{i,1})$, and define the fibration $\bar{\pi}'_{i,1}: \bar{M}'_{i,1} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}_{1,c}$ similarly. Choose $p'_{i,1} \in (\bar{\pi}'_{i,1})^{-1}(p_{\infty,1}) \subset \bar{M}'_{i,1} \subset M'_{i,1}$. Then, by taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $\bar{M}'_{i,1}$ converges to a space \bar{Y}_2 with respect to the Hausdorff distance and that $(M'_{i,1}, p'_{i,1})$ converges to $(Y_2, p^{(2)})$ with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance. Therefore, by proving sublemmas similar to 7.7–7.9, we can find $\Lambda_{i,2}$ and s_1 . Repeating this, we obtain $\Lambda_{i,j}$, $\Lambda'_{i,j}$, s_j and Y_j . Remark that $1 < \alpha_{i,l_i}$ implies $s_0 > 0$. Similarly $s_j > 0$. Hence our construction stops after finitely many repetitions. We omit the verification of properties (7.2.1)–(7.2.7). The proof of Lemma 7.1 is now complete.

8. Finiteness of $J(\pi_1(M_i))$

Property (7.2.3) implies that the map $J_i: \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(k; \mathbf{Z})$ induces a homomorphism $J'_i: \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \prod \mathrm{SL}(s_j; \mathbf{Z})$. (Remark that $\sum s_j = k$.) Let $J_{i,j}: \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(s_j; \mathbf{Z})$ be the j th component, and γ be an arbitrary element of Γ . Choose elements $\gamma_i \in \pi_1(M_i)$ such that $(\pi_i)_*(\gamma_i) = \gamma$. It suffices to show that $J_{i,j}(\gamma_i)$ is of finite order for sufficiently large i . Let $\Lambda_{i,j}(\gamma)$ and $\Lambda'_{i,j}(\gamma)$ denote the subgroups generated by γ_i and $\Lambda_{i,j}$, $\Lambda'_{i,j}$, respectively. The covering spaces of M_i corresponding to $\Lambda_{i,j}(\gamma)$ and $\Lambda'_{i,j}(\gamma)$ are denoted by $M_{i,j}(\gamma)$ and $M'_{i,j}(\gamma)$, respectively. By definition, \mathbf{Z} acts on $M_{i,j}$ and $M'_{i,j}$ by isometry such that the quotient spaces $M_{i,j}/\mathbf{Z}$ and $M'_{i,j}/\mathbf{Z}$ are isometric to $M_{i,j}(\gamma)$ and $M'_{i,j}(\gamma)$, respectively. We can find a \mathbf{Z} -action on Y_j such that $(M'_{i,j}, \mathbf{Z}, p'_{i,j})$ converges to (Y_j, \mathbf{Z}) with respect to the \mathbf{Z} -pointed Hausdorff distance (see [8, 1.12]). We put $Y_j(\gamma) = Y_j/\mathbf{Z}$. We can replace $Y_j(\gamma)$ by its homotopy equivalent compact subset, and construct a homomorphism $\Lambda'_{i,j}(\gamma) = \pi_1(M'_{i,j}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y_j(\gamma))$ such that the following diagram is

commutative and exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{i,j+1} & \rightarrow & \Lambda'_{i,j} & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z}^{S_j} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{i,j+1} & \rightarrow & \Lambda'_{i,j}(\gamma) & \rightarrow & \pi_1(Y_j(\gamma)) \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 1 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \simeq & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}$$

Now, we consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z}^{S_j} & \rightarrow & \pi_1(Y_j(\gamma)) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \Lambda'_{i,j} & \rightarrow & \Lambda'_{i,j}(\gamma) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{i,j} & \rightarrow & \Lambda_{i,j}(\gamma) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & \Lambda_i & \rightarrow & \pi_1(M_i) & \rightarrow & \Gamma \rightarrow 1
 \end{array}$$

It follows from the commutativity of the diagram that $J_{i,j}(\gamma_i)$ coincides with the action of $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$ on \mathbf{Z}^{S_j} induced from the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{S_j} \rightarrow \pi_1(Y_j(\gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 1.$$

On the other hand, since $Y_j(\gamma)$ is complete and of nonpositive curvature, and every element of $\pi_1(Y_j(\gamma))$ is represented by a closed geodesic, it follows from Lemma 3.8 that $Y_j(\gamma)$ has a finite covering $\tilde{Y}_j(\gamma)$ such that $\pi_1(\tilde{Y}_j(\gamma)) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{S_j} \times \mathbf{Z}$. Consequently $J_{i,j}(\gamma)$ is of finite order. This completes the proof of Theorem 0.2.

9. Construction of almost nonpositively curved metrics

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 0.4. First we assume that $X/\Gamma = N$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold. Our construction is a modification of one in [10, §6], but is not exactly the same because we need a metric satisfying more restrictive curvature assumptions than those in [10, §6]. We have a fibration $H/\Lambda \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ whose structure group is contained in $T = C(H)/(C(H) \cap \Lambda) \tilde{\times} L$, where L is a group satisfying (0.3.4). We have a T -connection of π , which gives a decomposition of $T_x(M)$ to its horizontal subspace $H_x(M)$ and its vertical subspace

$V_x(M) = T_x(\pi^{-1}\pi(x))$. Put

$$(9.1.1) \quad g_\varepsilon(V, W) = g_N(\pi_*(V), \pi_*(W)) \quad \text{if } V, W \in H_x(M),$$

$$(9.1.2) \quad g_\varepsilon(V, W) = 0 \quad \text{if } V \in H_x(M) \text{ and } W \in V_x(M).$$

We shall define $g_\varepsilon(V, W)$ for $V, W \in V_x(M)$. Let $\pi_1: P_1 \rightarrow N$ be the principal T -bundle associated to π , and let $\pi_2: P_2 \rightarrow N$ be the principal L -bundle induced from P_1 . (In other words, $P_2 = P_1/(C(H)/C(H) \cap \Lambda)$). Let $\Lambda^{(m)}$ be as in (0.3.4), and denote by H_m the Zariski closure of $\Lambda^{(m)}$ in H . Let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}_m be the Lie algebras of H and H_m , respectively. Since $\Lambda^{(m)}$ is L -invariant, so is \mathfrak{h}_m . Hence, we can define vector bundles \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_m by $\mathcal{H} = P_2 \times_L \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{(m)} = P_2 \times_L \mathfrak{h}_m$. We put $\mathcal{L}^{(m)} = \mathcal{H}^{(m)}/\mathcal{H}^{(m-1)}$. Since L is discrete, our vector bundles \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, and \mathcal{L} are flat. Furthermore, (0.3.4) implies that the holonomy group of the flat bundle $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ is finite. Hence there exists a metric h_m on $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ compatible with its flat structure. In other words, for each small open set U of N , there exist sections a_1, \dots, a_{k_m} of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ such that $h_m(a_i, a_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ and $\nabla a_i = 0$, where ∇ is the covariant derivative associated to the flat structure of $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$, the symbol $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's delta, and $k_m = \text{rank } \mathcal{L}^{(m)}$. We define a metric h on \mathcal{H} so that the metric induced on $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ from h is equal to h_m . Then, we can easily prove the following lemma. We set $o'(i) = m$ if $k_{m-1} < i \leq k_m$. Put $k = \text{rank } \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 9.2. *Let $p \in N$, and let U be a neighborhood of p . Then we can find C^∞ -sections $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v'_1, \dots, v'_k$, of \mathcal{H} such that*

(9.3.1) $\nabla v'_i = 0$, where ∇ is the connection associated to the flat structure of \mathcal{H} ,

(9.3.2) (v_1, \dots, v_k) is an orthonormal base of \mathcal{H} at each point of U ,

(9.3.3) $v_i, v'_i \in \mathcal{H}^{(o'(i))}$,

(9.3.4) $v_i - v'_i \in \mathcal{H}^{(o'(i)-1)}$.

Now, we fix p and U . Let $\varphi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times H/\Lambda$ be a local trivialization of π . Since P_2 is a fiber bundle induced from the associate principal bundle P_1 of π , it follows that φ determines a local trivialization $\varphi': \mathcal{H}|_U \rightarrow U \times \mathfrak{h}$ of \mathcal{H} . The trivialization φ' and the metric h determine a quadratic form h_x on \mathfrak{h} for each $x \in U$. Formula (9.3.1) implies that the second component of $\varphi'(v'_i(x))$ (which is contained in \mathfrak{h}) does not depend on x . We put $\varphi'(v_i(x)) = (x, \tilde{v}_i(x))$. Then by Lemma

9.2 we have

$$(9.4.1) \quad \varphi'(v_i) = (x, \tilde{v}_i(x)),$$

$$(9.4.2) \quad \varphi'(v'_i) = (x, \tilde{v}'_i),$$

$$(9.4.3) \quad \tilde{v}'_i - \tilde{v}_i(x) \in \mathfrak{h}_{o'(i)-1}.$$

Putting $o(i) = o'(k) - o'(i)$, we define a quadratic form $h_{x,\varepsilon}$ on \mathfrak{h} by

$$(9.5) \quad h_{x,\varepsilon}(v'_i(x), v'_i(x)) = \delta_{i,j} \cdot \varepsilon^{3^{o(i)}}.$$

Since $\Lambda/(H \cap \Lambda)$ is finite, we may assume that h_x is $\Lambda/(H \cap \Lambda) \subset \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ invariant, so that so is $h_{x,\varepsilon}$. Therefore, $h_{x,\varepsilon}$ induces a Riemannian metric on H/Λ . Using this metric on the vertical direction and using (9.1.1) and (9.1.2) on other directions, we obtain a Riemannian metric on $U \times H/\Lambda \simeq \pi^{-1}(U)$. It is straightforward to show that these metrics can be patched together to give a metric g_ε on M . Clearly g_ε satisfies (0.5.2) and (0.5.3). Thus we need only to show (0.5.1). To see this, since the problem is local, it suffices to estimate the curvature on $U \times H$. By e'_1, \dots, e'_l , we denote the orthonormal frame of the tangent bundle on U , and by e_1, \dots, e_l we denote their horizontal lifts to $U \times H$. The elements $v_i(x)$ define vector fields on $\{x\} \times H$. Hence we get vector fields f_i on $U \times H$. The element \tilde{v}'_i of \mathfrak{h} induces a vector field f'_i on $U \times H$. By definition, $(e_1, \dots, e_l, f_1, \dots, f_k)$ is an orthonormal frame of the Riemannian manifold $(U \times H, g_1)$, and $(e_1, \dots, e_l, \varepsilon^{-3^{o(1)}} \cdot f_1, \dots, \varepsilon^{-3^{o(k)}} \cdot f_k)$ is one of $(U \times H, g_\varepsilon)$. We shall calculate the commutators of e_i and f_i . Since π is a T -connection, it follows that

$$(9.6.1) \quad [e_i, e_j] = \sum_{q=1}^l a_{i,j}^q \cdot e_q + \sum_{q=1}^k b_{i,j}^q \cdot f_q,$$

where $a_{i,j}^q$ and $b_{i,j}^q$ are functions on U . (In other words, they do not depend on H factors.) Since $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}_k] \subset \mathfrak{h}_{k-1}$, we have

$$(9.6.2) \quad [f_i, f_j] = \sum_{\substack{o(q) > o(i) \\ o(q) > o(j)}} c_{i,j}^q \cdot f_q,$$

where $c_{i,j}^q$ is a function on U . We shall calculate $[e_i, f_j]$. First remark that f'_j is a vector field generated by an element of \mathfrak{h} . On the other hand, since our connection of π is a T -connection, it follows that the horizontal lifts e_i are H -invariant. Therefore

$$(9.7.1) \quad [e_i, f'_j] = 0.$$

Second, (9.4.3) implies that there exists a function $\alpha_{i,j}$ on U such that

$$(9.7.2) \quad f_i = f'_i + \sum_{o(j) > o(i)} \alpha_{i,j} \cdot f'_j.$$

We can regard U as an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n . We put

$$e'_i = \sum_{j=1}^l \beta_{i,j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}.$$

Hence, by (9.7.1) and (9.7.2) we have

$$[e_i, f_j] = \sum_{\substack{o(q) > o(j) \\ l=1, \dots, n}} \beta_{i,l} \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha_{j,q}}{\partial x^l} \cdot f'_q.$$

Consequently, we have

$$(9.7.3) \quad [e_i, f_j] = \sum_{o(q) > o(j)} d_{i,j}^q \cdot f_q,$$

where $d_{i,j}^q$ is a function on U . (Compare [10, formula (6.4.3)], where the right-hand side was $\sum_{o(q) \geq o(j)} d_{i,j}^q \cdot f_q$.) Now, we put $f_{i,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-3^{o(i)}} f_i$. Let $(e^1, e^2, \dots, e^n, f_\varepsilon^1, f_\varepsilon^2, \dots, f_\varepsilon^k)$ be the dual base to $(e_1, \dots, e_n, f_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, f_{k,\varepsilon})$. Formulas (9.6.1), (9.6.2), and (9.7.3) imply

$$(9.8.1) \quad de^i = \sum a_{j,q}^i \cdot e^j \wedge e^q,$$

$$df_\varepsilon^i = \sum_{\substack{o(i) > o(j) \\ o(i) > o(q)}} c_{j,q}^i \cdot \varepsilon^{3^{o(i)} - 3^{o(j)} - 3^{o(q)}} \cdot f_\varepsilon^j \wedge f_\varepsilon^q$$

$$(9.8.2) \quad + \sum_{\substack{o(i) > o(q) \\ j=1, \dots, n}} d_{j,q}^i \cdot \varepsilon^{3^{o(i)} - 3^{o(q)}} e^j \wedge f_\varepsilon^q$$

$$+ \sum b_{j,k}^i \cdot \varepsilon^{3^{o(i)}} e^j \wedge e^k.$$

We remark that all the coefficients in formula (9.8.2) tend to 0 when ε goes to 0. On the other hand, we can calculate the curvatures of $(U \times H, g_\varepsilon)$ by symmetrizing the coefficients of (9.8.1) and (9.8.2). Hence, when ε goes to 0, the sectional curvatures of g_ε tend to 0 except those coming from the symmetrization of $a_{i,j}^q$. Since $[e'_i, e'_j] = \sum a_{i,j}^q \cdot e'_q$ and e'_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is an orthonormal frame of the Riemannian manifold U , the symmetrization of $a_{i,j}^q$ gives the curvature of U . Inequality (0.5.3) follows immediately.

Thus, we have verified Theorem 0.4 in the case when X/Γ is nonsingular. We shall deal with the general case. Let $S \subset X/\Gamma$ be the set of the singular points. Vector bundles \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ on $X/\Gamma - S$ can be constructed in a similar way. Let $p \in S$, and let U be a neighborhood of p in X/Γ . There exist a finite group Θ and a Riemannian manifold V on which Θ acts by isometry such that $V/\Theta = U$. Let $P: V \rightarrow U$ be the natural projection. Vector bundles \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{(m)}$ and the metric h can be lifted to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(m)}$, and \tilde{h} on $V - P^{-1}(S)$ respectively. By construction, they can be uniquely extended to V , which are denoted by the same symbols. We have a fibration $\tilde{\pi}: W \rightarrow V$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H/\Lambda & \rightarrow & W & \rightarrow & V \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{P} & & \downarrow P \\ H/\Lambda & \rightarrow & \pi^{-1}(U) & \rightarrow & U \end{array}$$

Here Θ acts on W such that $W/\Theta \simeq V$, and \tilde{P} is a covering map. Using $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(m)}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{(m)}$, and \tilde{h} in a similar way, we can construct Riemannian metrics on W . By construction, these metrics are Θ -invariant. Hence they induce Riemannian metrics on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. It is straightforward to see that these metrics can be patched together to give Riemannian metrics satisfying (0.5.1), (0.5.2), and (0.5.3). The proof of Theorem 0.4 is now complete.

Finally, we shall prove Corollary 0.7. By assumption and Theorem 0.2, the $C^{1,\alpha}$ metric on X can be approximated by Γ -invariant C^∞ metrics \bar{g}_ε such that $-1 < K(\bar{g}_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$. This fact combined with Theorem 0.4 implies the conclusion.

10. Aspherical manifolds with almost nonnegative curvature

In this section, we shall prove Corollary 0.9. Let M_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, be $K(\pi, 1)$ -spaces in $\mathcal{M}(n, D)$ satisfying $-1/i < K(M_i) \leq 1$. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that M_i converges to a metric space with respect to the Hausdorff distance. As was discussed in §6, the universal covering \tilde{M}_i of M_i converges to a contractible $C^{1,\alpha}$ Riemannian n -manifold \tilde{X} with respect to the pointed Hausdorff distance.

Lemma 10.1. *\tilde{X} is isometric to the flat Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n .*

We assume the lemma for the moment. Let G_i be the deck transformation group of the covering $\tilde{M}_i \rightarrow M_i$, and let G be the limit of G_i , which is a subgroup of isometries of \tilde{X} . The argument in §§2 and 3 shows that the identity component G_0 of G is nilpotent and acts freely on \tilde{X} (see

also [9, §4]). Lemma 10.1 implies that G_0 consists of translations, and that the quotient \tilde{X}/G , the limit of M_i , is a flat orbifold. Lemma 10.1 also enables us to use the technique developed in §§7 and 8 to construct a fibration $\pi_i: M_i \rightarrow \tilde{X}/G$ satisfying (0.3.1)–(0.3.4). Therefore, Theorem 0.4 shows that M_i admits almost flat metrics. By [13], [21], M_i is diffeomorphic to an infranilmanifold H/Λ .

To prove Lemma 10.1, let $c: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a geodesic ray, and let δ be the Busemann function associated with $c: \delta(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t - d(c(t), x)$. Although the metric of \tilde{X} is only of class $C^{1,\alpha}$, using the curvature assumption $K(M_i) > -1/i$, we can develop the basic construction of [4] on \tilde{X} (see [4, Theorems 1.2 and 1.10]) to prove the following.

Sublemma 10.2. δ is convex.

Since \tilde{X}/G is compact, there is a line c in \tilde{X} . Let δ_+ and δ_- be the Busemann functions associated with rays $c|_{[0, \infty)}$ and $c^{-1}|_{[0, \infty)}$ respectively. By the triangle inequality, $\delta_+ + \delta_- \leq 0$. It follows from Sublemma 10.2 that $\delta_+ = -\delta_-$ is linear. Thus \tilde{X} splits isometrically as $\tilde{X} = X_1 \times \mathbf{R}$. Using the action of G , we can easily show that X_1 has a line. Repeating this process finitely many times completes the proof of Lemma 10.1.

Remark 10.3. The authors are certain that Corollary 0.9 is still valid for manifolds of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature. The proof is not yet complete.

References

- [1] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov & V. Schroeder, *Manifold of nonpositive curvature*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1985.
- [2] J. Bemelmans, Min-Oo, & E. A. Ruh, *Smoothing Riemannian metrics*, Math. Z. **188** (1984) 69–74.
- [3] P. Buser and D. Gromoll, *On the almost negatively curved metrics on S^3* , Geometry and Analysis on Manifolds (T. Sunada, ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1339, Springer, Berlin, 78–85.
- [4] J. Cheeger & D. Gromoll, *On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972) 413–443.
- [5] F. T. Farrell & W. C. Hsiang, *Topological characterization of flat and almost flat Riemannian manifolds M^n ($n \neq 3, 4$)*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983) 641–672.
- [6] K. Fukaya, *Theory of convergence for Riemannian orbifolds*, Japanese J. Math. **12** (1986) 121–160.
- [7] ———, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions*, J. Differential Geometry **25** (1987) 139–156.
- [8] ———, *A boundary of the set of the Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvatures and diameters*, J. Differential Geometry **28** (1988) 1–21.
- [9] ———, *A compactness theorem of a set of aspherical Riemannian orbifolds*, A Fete of Topology (Y. Matsumoto, T. Mizutani, and S. Morita, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1988, 391–413.

- [10] ———, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimension. II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **41** (1989) 333–356.
- [11] R. E. Greene & H. Wu, *Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **131** (1988) 119–141.
- [12] D. Gromoll & J. A. Wolf, *Some relations between the metric structure and the algebraic structure of the fundamental group in manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971) 545–552.
- [13] M. Gromov, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **13** (1978) 231–241.
- [14] ———, *Synthetic geometry in Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Internat. Congr. Math. (Helsinki, 1978), Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, 1980, 415–419.
- [15] M. Gromov, J. Lafontaine & P. Pansu, *Structure métrique pour les variétés riemanniennes*, Cedric/Fernand Nathan, Paris, 1981.
- [16] K. Grove & H. Karcher, *How to conjecture C^1 -close actions*, Math. Z. **132** (1973) 11–20.
- [17] A. Katsuda, *Gromov's convergence theorem and its application*, Nagoya Math. J. **100** (1985) 11–48.
- [18] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. I*, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [19] H. B. Lawson & S. T. Yau, *Compact manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **7** (1972) 211–228.
- [20] S. Peters, *Convergence of Riemannian manifolds*, Compositio Math. **62** (1987) 3–16.
- [21] E. Ruh, *Almost flat manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982) 1–14.
- [22] W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ.
- [23] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [24] T. Yamaguchi, *Manifolds of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **28** (1988) 157–167.

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

SAGA UNIVERSITY