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#### Abstract

The so-called subgroup commutativity degree $\operatorname{sd}(G)$ of a finite group $G$ is the number of permuting subgroups $(H, K) \in \mathrm{L}(G) \times \mathrm{L}(G)$, where $\mathrm{L}(G)$ is the subgroup lattice of $G$, divided by $|\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{G})|^{2}$. It allows to measure how $G$ is far from the celebrated classification of quasihamiltonian groups of K. Iwasawa. Here we generalize $\operatorname{sd}(G)$, looking at suitable sublattices of $L(G)$, and show some new lower bounds. More precisely, we define and study the subgroup S-commutativity degree of a group, which measures the probability that subnormal subgroups commute with maximal subgroups.


## 1 Introduction and terminology

All groups in the present paper are supposed to be finite. Noting that, given two subgroups $H$ and $K$ of a group $G$, the product $H K=\{h k \mid h \in H, k \in K\}$ is not always a subgroup of $G$, one says that the subgroups $H$ and $K$ permute if $H K=K H$, or equivalently, if $H K$ is a subgroup of $G$. The subgroup $H$ is said to be permutable (or quasinormal) in $G$ if it permutes with every subgroup of $G$. This notion can be strengthen in various ways, for example one can say that a subgroup $H$ is $S$-permutable (or S-quasinormal) in $G$, if $H$ permutes with all Sylow subgroups of $G$ (for all primes in the set $\pi(G)$ of the prime divisors of $|G|$ ). Historically, O. Kegel introduced the class of S-permutable subgroups in 1962, to generalize a well-known result of O. Ore of 1939, who proved that permutable

[^0]subgroups are subnormal (see [7, 13] for details). Several authors investigated the topic in the successive years and we mention here only $[1,2,12,13]$ for our aims.

The subgroup lattice $L(G)$ of a group $G$ is the set of all subgroups of $G$ and is a complete bounded lattice with respect to set inclusion, having initial element the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$ and final element $G$ itself (see [6, 13]). Its binary operations $\wedge, \vee$ are defined by $X \wedge Y=X \cap Y, X \vee Y=\langle X \cup Y\rangle$, for all $X, Y \in L(G)$. Furthermore, $L(G)$ is modular if all the subgroups of $G$ satisfy the modular law, and the group $G$ is modular whenever $L(G)$ is modular (see [13, Section 2.1]). This notion is important because of the following concept. A group $G$ is quasihamiltonian if all its subgroups are permutable. By a result of K. Iwasawa [13, Theorem 2.4.14], quasihamiltonian groups are classified, but, at the same time, these groups are characterized to be nilpotent and modular (see [13, Exercise 3, p.87]).

Now we recall some terminology from [12], which will be useful in the rest of the paper. Every non-empty subset of subgroups of $G$ generates a sublattice $S(G)$ of $L(G)$ in a natural way, closed with respect to $\wedge$ and $\vee$ (see [6] or [12, §1]). The symbol $S^{\perp}(G)$ denotes the sublattice of $L(G)$ containing all subgroups $H$ of $G$ which are permutable with all $S \in S(G)$. It may be helpful to note that $T \subseteq S^{\perp}(G)$ implies $S \subseteq T^{\perp}(G)$.

There is a wide literature when one chooses $S(G)$ to be equal to the sublattice $M(G)$ of $L(G)$ containing all maximal subgroups of $G$, or to the sublattice $\operatorname{sn}(G)$ containing all subnormal subgroups of $G$, or also to the sublattice $n(G)$ containing all normal subgroups of $G$. Consequently, $L^{\perp}(G)$ is the sublattice containing all permutable subgroups of $G, \mathrm{M}^{\perp}(G)$ the one containing all subgroups permutable with all maximal subgroups of $G$ and so on for $\mathrm{sn}^{\perp}(G)$ and $\mathrm{n}^{\perp}(G)=\mathrm{L}(G)$. Immediately, the role of the operator $\perp$ appears to be very intriguing for the structure of $G$ and several authors investigated this aspect. For instance, $G$ is quasihamiltonian if and only if $\mathrm{L}(G)=\mathrm{L}^{\perp}(G)$.

Finally let us note that the study of probability aspects of finite groups has enjoyed a rapid evolution in the last years as indicate the recent literature (e. g. $[3,5,8,9,10,11,15,16])$. New concepts appear in the form of probability that randomly chosen elements or subgroups satisfy some prescribed condition.

In this setting we will describe in Section 2 a notion of probability on $L(G)$, starting from groups in which the subgroups in $\operatorname{sn}(G)$ permute with those in $\mathrm{M}(G)$. The generality of the methods (we follow $[3,5,8,9,10,11,15]$ ) may be translated in terms of arbitrary sublattices, satisfying a prescribed restriction. Section 3 shows some consequences on the size of $|\mathrm{L}(G)|$.

## 2 Measure theory on subgroup lattices

The following notion has analogies with [5, Definitions 2.1,3.1,4.1] and [10, Equation 1.1] and will be treated as in [ $3,5,8,9,10,11,15$ ].

Definition 2.1. For a group $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spd}(G)=\frac{|\{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G) \mid X Y=Y X\}|}{|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the subgroup S-commutativity degree of $G$.

It is clear that two isomorphic groups have the same subgroup S-commutativity degree. The value $0<\operatorname{spd}(G) \leq 1$ denotes the probability that a randomly picked pair $(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G)$ is permuting, that is, $X Y=Y X$. The equality (2.1) may be rewritten, introducing the function $\chi: \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ defined by

$$
\chi(X, Y)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } X Y=Y X  \tag{2.2}\\ 0, & \text { if } X Y \neq Y X\end{cases}
$$

in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spd}(G)=\frac{1}{|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} \sum_{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G)} \chi(X, Y) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Definition 2.1 and (2.3), we may replace $\operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G)$ with $\mathrm{S}(G) \times \mathrm{T}(G)$, where $S(G)$ and $T(G)$ are two arbitrary sublattices of $L(G)$. For instance, [1, 2] describe the structure of the groups in which the subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups (called PST-groups). If $\operatorname{Syl}(G)$ is the set of all Sylow subgroups of $G$, we may consider $S(G)=\operatorname{sn}(G), \mathrm{T}(G)=\operatorname{Syl}(G)$, and we have already a classification for a group $G$ such that $\operatorname{sn}(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Syl}(G)^{\perp}$.

The formula (2.3) allows us to treat the problem from the point of view of the measure theory on groups. A computational advantage may be found in the calculation of $\operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$, where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two given groups.

Corollary 2.2. Let $G_{i}$ be a family of groups of coprime orders for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$. Then $\operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2} \times \ldots \times G_{k}\right)=\operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1}\right) \operatorname{spd}\left(G_{2}\right) \ldots \operatorname{spd}\left(G_{k}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is an application of (2.3). We illustrate only the case of two factors. In any lattice, in particular in $\mathrm{L}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$, we know that $\mathrm{L}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \neq$ $\mathrm{L}\left(G_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{L}\left(G_{2}\right)$ (see [6] or [13]), but, if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|G_{1}\right|,\left|G_{2}\right|\right)=1$, then $\mathrm{L}\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \mathrm{L}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is trivial, and the above passage is allowed. The same happens for the lattices $\mathrm{M}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)$, whenever $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|G_{1}\right|,\left|G_{2}\right|\right)=1$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)\right| \operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left|\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\left|\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{2}\right)\right|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \cdot \\
& \quad=\left(\mid \sum_{\left.\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)} \chi\left(\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad\left(\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1}\right)\left|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \sum_{\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{sn}\left(G_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(G_{1}\right)} \chi\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)\right) .\right. \\
& \left(\operatorname{sn}\left(G_{2}\right)\left|\left|\mathrm{M}\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \sum_{\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{sn}\left(G_{2}\right) \times \mathrm{M}\left(G_{2}\right)} \chi\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{spd}\left(G_{1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{spd}\left(G_{2}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.2 shows the stability with respect to forming direct products of $\operatorname{spd}(G)$; similar results can be found in $[3,5,8,10,11,15]$ in different contexts. Another basic property one could investigate is how to relate $\operatorname{spd}(G)$ to quotients and subgroups of $G$.

Let $G=N H$ for a normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ and a subgroup $H$ of $G$ isomorphic to $G / N$ (briefly, $H \simeq G / N)$. It is easy to check that $\operatorname{sn}(G / N)$ is lattice isomorphic to $\operatorname{sn}(H)($ briefly, $\operatorname{sn}(G / N) \sim \operatorname{sn}(H))$ and that $\mathrm{M}(G / N) \sim \mathrm{M}(H)$. Then (2.3) allows us to conclude

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G)} \chi(X, Y) & \geq \sum_{(X / N, Y / N) \in \operatorname{sn}(G / N) \times M(G / N)} \chi(X / N, Y / N)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\sum_{(Z, T) \in \operatorname{sn}(H) \times M(H)} \chi(Z, T) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, several groups of small order and computational evidences, suggested by [17], show that the following condition may be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times M(G)} \chi(X, Y) \geq \sum_{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(N) \times M(N)} \chi(X, Y) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are not saying that the above condition is always true, but that it is satisfied by large classes of groups. Consequently,

$$
\begin{gather*}
2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)| \operatorname{spd}(G) \geq \sum_{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(N) \times \mathrm{M}(N)} \chi(X, Y)+\sum_{(Z, T) \in \operatorname{sn}(H) \times \mathrm{M}(H)} \chi(Z, T) . \\
\quad=|\operatorname{sn}(N)||\mathrm{M}(N)| \operatorname{spd}(N)+|\operatorname{sn}(G / N)||\mathrm{M}(G / N)| \operatorname{spd}(G / N) . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similar techniques have been used by Tǎrnǎuceanu [15] in order to study the subgroup commutativity degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{sd}(G)=\frac{\left|\left\{(X, Y) \in \mathrm{L}(G)^{2} \mid X Y=Y X\right\}\right|}{|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2}}=\frac{1}{|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}} \sum_{(X, Y) \in \mathrm{L}(G)^{2}} \chi(X, Y) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, the paper [15] can be seen as a natural extension, in the context of lattice theory, of the concept of commutativity degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(G)=\frac{\left|\left\{(x, y) \in G^{2} \mid x y=y x\right\}\right|}{|G|^{2}}=\frac{1}{|G|^{2}} \sum_{x \in G}\left|C_{G}(x)\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{G}(x)=\{g \in G \mid g x=x g\}$. Several contributions on $d(G)$ can be found in $[3,5,8,9,10,11]$. The main strategy of investigation starts with a characterization of the case $d(G)=1$ (the abelian case), then one notes that a nonabelian group $G$ should have $d(G) \leq \frac{5}{8}$ and successively one studies what happens for the cases which are close to $d(G)=0$. Upper and lower bounds will then "measure" the distance from known classes of groups. For instance, $d(G)=1$ if and only if $G$ is abelian, and $\operatorname{sd}(G)=1$ if and only if $\mathrm{L}(G)=\mathrm{L}(G)^{\perp}$. Therefore, the next results are important steps for the rest of the paper.

Corollary 2.3. In a group $G$ we have spd $(G)=1$ if and only if $\mathrm{M}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{sn}^{\perp}(G)$.
Proof. It follows from the above considerations.

Corollary 2.4. Let $G$ be a group. If $G$ is nilpotent, then $\operatorname{spd}(G)=1$.
Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.3, noting that $\mathrm{M}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{n}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{sn}^{\perp}(G)$.
Corollary 2.5. In a group $G$ we have $\frac{|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}{|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2}} \operatorname{spd}(G) \leq \operatorname{sd}(G)$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G) \subseteq \mathrm{L}(G)^{2}$, we have that $\{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G) \mid X Y=$ $Y X\} \subseteq\left\{(X, Y) \in \mathrm{L}(G)^{2} \mid X Y=Y X\right\}$ and then

$$
\begin{gathered}
|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)| \operatorname{spd}(G)=|\{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(G) \times \mathrm{M}(G) \mid X Y=Y X\}| \\
\leq\left|\left\{(X, Y) \in \mathrm{L}(G)^{2} \mid X Y=Y X\right\}\right|=|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2} \operatorname{sd}(G)
\end{gathered}
$$

from which the inequality follows.
Corollary 2.4 clarifies the situation for nilpotent groups. Then we proceed to study solvable groups. Unfortunately, these cannot be described as in [15, Proposition 2.4], and different techniques are necessary.

We recall now that an abelian group $A$ of order $n=p_{1}^{n_{1}} p_{2}^{n_{2}} \ldots p_{m}^{n_{m}}$, for suitable powers of $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m} \in \pi(A)$, has a canonical decomposition of the form $A \simeq$ $A_{1} \times A_{2} \times \ldots \times A_{m}$, where $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}$ are positive integers and $A_{1}, A_{2} \ldots, A_{m}$ are the primary factors. It is well-known that, whenever the $p_{i}$ 's are all distinct (as in this case $),|\mathrm{L}(A)|=\left|\mathrm{L}\left(A_{1}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\mathrm{L}\left(A_{2}\right)\right| \cdot \ldots \cdot\left|\mathrm{L}\left(A_{m}\right)\right|$. On the other hand, $[16$, Proposition 3.2] shows that the number of maximal subgroups of the $p$-group $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{2}}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{k}}}$ is equal to $\frac{p^{k}-1}{p-1}$, for suitable integers $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq$ $\ldots \leq \alpha_{k}$ and $k \geq 1$.

We will also use the fact that a cyclic group of prime order $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has $\operatorname{sn}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=$ $\mathrm{L}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\left\{\{1\}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$, which is formed by only 2 elements, and $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\{\{1\}\}$, which is formed by the trivial subgroup.

Lemma 2.6. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{2}}}$ be a normal abelian subgroup of a group $G$ with $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}$. If $G=N H$ with $G / N \simeq H$ of prime order and (2.5) is satisfied, then

$$
\operatorname{spd}(G) \geq \frac{f\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}
$$

where $f\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{p^{2}-2 p+1}\left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+3}+2 p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right) p^{2}-6 p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right)\right)$ is a function depending on $N$.
Proof. Since (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfied, we may apply (2.6) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spd}(G) \geq \frac{|\operatorname{sn}(N)||\mathrm{M}(N)| \operatorname{spd}(N)+|\operatorname{sn}(G / N)||\mathrm{M}(G / N)| \operatorname{spd}(G / N)}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|\operatorname{sn}(G / N)|=2,|\mathrm{M}(G / N)|=1$ and $\operatorname{spd}(N)=\operatorname{spd}(G / N)=1$, we may apply Corollary 2.4 , and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&=\frac{|\operatorname{sn}(N)||\mathrm{M}(N)|}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}+\frac{2}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} \\
&=\frac{1}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}(|\operatorname{sn}(N)||\mathrm{M}(N)|+2) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now [16, Theorem 3.3] implies that $|\operatorname{sn}(N)|=|\mathrm{L}(N)|=\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\left[\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right) p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)\right]$, and, as noted above, $|\mathrm{M}(N)|=$ $\frac{p^{2}-1}{p-1}=p+1$. Hence the right hand side of (2.10) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} & \cdot\left(\frac { p + 1 } { ( p - 1 ) ^ { 2 } } \left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}\right.\right.  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left.\left.-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right) p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)\right)+2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In order to better write the expression above, let us introduce the coefficients

$$
C_{1}=\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1 ; C_{2}=\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1 ; C_{3}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3 ; C_{4}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1
$$

obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}\left(\frac{p+1}{(p-1)^{2}}\left(C_{1} p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-C_{2} p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-C_{3} p+C_{4}\right)+\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{(p-1)^{2}} \cdot 2\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|}\left(\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right)\left((p+1)\left(C_{1} p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-C_{2} p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-C_{3} p+C_{4}\right)+2(p-1)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{C_{1} p^{\alpha_{1}+3}+\left(C_{1}-C_{2}\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-C_{2} p^{\alpha_{1}+1}+\left(2-C_{3}\right) p^{2}+\left(C_{4}-C_{3}-4\right) p+\left(C_{4}+2\right)}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|(p-1)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Developing the computations in the brackets, we get $f\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$.
Lemma 2.6 may be adapted to $\operatorname{sd}(G)$ in the following way.
Lemma 2.7. Let $N=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{2}}}$ be a normal subgroup of a group $G$ with $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq$ $\alpha_{2}$. If $G=H N$ with $G / N \simeq H$ of prime order and (2.5) is satisfied, then

$$
s d(G) \geq \frac{g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)}{2|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}}
$$

where $g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{(p-1)^{4}}\left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right) p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)\right)^{2}+4$ is a function depending on $N$.
Proof. Since (2.4) and (2.5) are satisfied, (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
s d(G) \geq \frac{|\mathrm{L}(N)|^{2} \operatorname{sd}(N)+|\mathrm{L}(G / N)|^{2} \operatorname{spd}(G / N)}{2|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, from the assumptions, $|\mathrm{L}(G / N)|=2, \operatorname{sd}(G / N)=\operatorname{sd}(N)=1$. But, once again by [16, Theorem 3.3], we have that $|\mathrm{L}(N)|^{2}=\frac{1}{(p-1)^{4}}\left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right) p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)\right)^{2}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
=\frac{1}{2|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}} & \left(\frac { 1 } { ( p - 1 ) ^ { 4 } } \left(\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}+1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+2}-\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}-1\right) p^{\alpha_{1}+1}\right.\right.  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left.\left.-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+3\right) p+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+1\right)\right)^{2}+4\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where one sees the function $g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$, which we were looking for.

Let us denote, as usual, by $\operatorname{Fit}(G)$ the Fitting subgroup of $G$.
Theorem 2.8. Let $G$ be a solvable group in which $C=C_{G}(\operatorname{Fit}(G))=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{2}}}$, for $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}, p$ a prime and $|G: C|$ a prime.
(i) If (2.5) is satisfied, then $\operatorname{spd}(G) \geq \frac{f\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)}{2|\operatorname{sn}(G)| \mid M(G)}$, where $f\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ is a function depending on C .
(ii) If (2.5) is satisfied, $s d(G) \geq \frac{g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)}{2|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}}$, where $g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ is a function depending on C .

Proof. Since $G$ is solvable, it is well-known that $C$ is an abelian normal subgroup of $G$. Moreover, by hypothesis, we also have that $C=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{1}}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\alpha_{2}} \text {, with }} 1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq$ $\alpha_{2}, p$ prime and $G / C$ is of prime order. Now (i) is an application of Lemma 2.6 and (ii) of Lemma 2.7.

The lower bound in Lemma 2.7 for $\operatorname{sd}(G)$ is more precise than the following bound, which was the first to be presented in literature.

Corollary 2.9 (See [15], Corollary 2.6). A group G possessing a normal abelian subgroup of prime index has $|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2} \operatorname{sd}(G) \geq|\mathrm{L}(N)|^{2}+2|\mathrm{~L}(N)|+1$.

A different restriction is obtained when we multiply up (2.4) and (2.5).
Proposition 2.10. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of a group $G=$ NH satisfying (2.4) and (2.5). Then

$$
\operatorname{spd}(G) \geq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{sn}(G)||\mathrm{M}(G)|} \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(N) \times \mathrm{M}(N) \\(Z, T) \in \operatorname{sn}(H) \times \mathrm{M}(H)}} \chi(X, Y) \chi(Z, T)} .
$$

Proof. From (2.4), (2.5) and the Cauchy inequality for numerical series, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\operatorname{sn}(G)|^{2}|\mathrm{M}(G)|^{2} \operatorname{spd}(G)^{2} \geq \sum_{\substack{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(N) \times \mathrm{M}(N)}} \chi(X, Y) \cdot \sum_{(Z, T) \in \operatorname{sn}(H) \times \mathrm{M}(H)} \chi(Z, T) \\
\geq \sum_{\substack{(X, Y) \in \operatorname{sn}(N) \times \mathrm{M}(N) \\
(Z, T) \in \operatorname{sn}(H) \times \mathrm{M}(H)}} \chi(X, Y) \chi(Z, T) . \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since all the quantities are positive, then, extracting the square root, the result follows.

The next result answers in a certain sense to [15, Problem 4.1].
Corollary 2.11. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of a group $G=N H$, satisfying (2.4) and (2.5). Then

$$
s d(G) \geq \frac{1}{|\mathrm{~L}(G)|^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{\substack{(X, Y) \in \mathrm{L}(N)^{2} \\(Z, T) \in \mathrm{L}(H)^{2}}} \chi(X, Y) \chi(Z, T)}
$$

Proof. Mutatis mutandis, we may argue as in Proposition 2.10.

## 3 Applications and final considerations

The symmetric group on 3 elements $S_{3}=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\langle a, b| a^{3}=b^{2}=1, b^{-1} a b=$ $\left.a^{-1}\right\rangle$ has $\operatorname{sd}\left(S_{3}\right)=\frac{5}{6}$ (see [15, p.2510]), is metabelian and satisfies the description in Theorem 2.8, since (see below) it is an example of a primitive group of affine type [4]. This group was the origin of our investigation. In fact, a primitive group $P$ of affine type is a semidirect product with normal factor Fit $(P)$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{Fit}(P)$ turns out to be elementary abelian and $C_{P}(\operatorname{Fit}(P))=\operatorname{Fit}(P)$. This means that Theorem 2.8 gives a good description for the subgroup commutativity degree and for the subgroup S-commutativity degree of such groups. While [5, 9, 10, 11] show that we may classify a group, whenever restrictions on $d(G)$ are given, the problem remains still open for $s d(G)$ and $\operatorname{spd}(G)$. We illustrate here just one case, involving $s d(G)$. This is to justify the interest of Section 2 in the new bounds.

Corollary 3.1. A metabelian group $G$ with $\left|G^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|G / G^{\prime}\right|$ of prime orders is cyclic, whenever the bound in Corollary 2.9 is achieved with $\operatorname{sd}(G)=\frac{5}{6}$.
Proof. We begin from $|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2} \frac{5}{6}=|\mathrm{L}(N)|^{2}+2|\mathrm{~L}(N)|+1$, which becomes $|\mathrm{L}(G)|^{2} \frac{5}{6}=4+4+1=9$, then $2 \leq|\mathrm{L}(G)|=\sqrt{\frac{56}{5}}=\sqrt{11.2}<4$. This implies either $|\mathrm{L}(G)|=2$ or $|\mathrm{L}(G)|=3$. In the first case, $G$ is cyclic of prime order. In the second case, $G$ is lattice isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{2}}$ for a suitable prime $p$. In both cases $G$ is cyclic.

The control of $|\mathrm{L}(G)|$ was the main ingredient in the previous proof. Unfortunately, formulas for the growth of $L(G)$ are hard to find out and [14] helps our investigations. The Möbius number of $L(G)$ is a number which allows us to control the size of $|\mathrm{L}(G)|$. In case of a symmetric group $S_{n}$, it is denoted by $\mu\left(1, S_{n}\right)$ and was conjectured to be $(-1)^{n-1}\left(\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{n}\right)\right| / 2\right)$ for all $n>1$ (see [14, p.1]). For $n \leq 11$, this was proved by H. Pahlings. Recent progresses are summarized below.

Theorem 3.2 (See [14], Theorems 1.6, 1.8, 1.10).
(i) Let $p$ be a prime. Then $\mu\left(1, S_{p}\right)=(-1)^{p-1} \frac{p}{2}$.
(ii) Let $n=2 p$ and $p$ be an odd prime. Then

$$
\mu\left(1, S_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}-n!, & \text { if } n-1 \text { is prime and } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \\ \frac{n!}{2}, & \text { if } n=22, \\ -\frac{n!}{2}, & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

(iii) Let $n=2^{a}$ for an integer $a \geq 1$. Then $\mu\left(1, S_{n}\right)=-\frac{n!}{2}$.

Let $\mu(1, G) \in\left\{\mu\left(1, S_{p}\right), \mu\left(1, S_{n}\right)\right\}$, being $\mu\left(1, S_{p}\right)$ and $\mu\left(1, S_{n}\right)$ the values in Theorem 3.2 under the given restrictions on $n$ and $p$. Now we can be more precise.

Corollary 3.3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.8 , let $G$ be a solvable group such that $|\mathrm{L}(G)|=\mu(1, G)$. Then $\operatorname{sd}(G) \geq \frac{g\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)}{2 \mu(1, G)^{2}}$, where $g\left(p, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ is a function depending on $C_{G}(\operatorname{Fit}(G))$.
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