S. KONAKAZAWA KODAI MATH. J. 10 (1987), 242–249

REMARKS ON GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES

By Seiji Konakazawa

0. Introduction.

Let Σ_0 denote the class of functions, analytic and univalent in |z| > 1 with the expansion

$$f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^{-n}.$$

On some coefficient problems, extremal functions are odd or have real coefficients. Leung and Schober [5, Lemma 2.3] proved that the extremal function for the problem $\max_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{Re}(b_3 + \lambda b_1)$ must be odd. And Jenkins [2, §6] presented a very simple proof of the inequality $|A_3| \leq 3$ in the familiar class S by showing that the extremal function for the problem $\max_S \operatorname{Re} A_3$ has real coefficients.

We represent such facts in terms of quadratic differentials by making use of Jenkins' General Coefficient Theorem. Then we give two applications. One is a simple proof of the fact that the third Ozawa number $B_3=3$ [1], [3] where $B_3=\inf\{t: \operatorname{Re}(tb_1-b_3) \leq t \text{ for all } f \in \Sigma_0\}$ [6]. The other is the coefficient inequality for the coefficient functional $b_3+(1/2)b_1^2+\lambda b_2$ with real λ .

1. Quadratic differentials.

We use the following two special cases of Jenkins' General Coefficient Theorem (e.g. [7, Theorem 8.12]).

LEMMA 1.1. Let $\psi(w) = w + a_1 w^{-1} + a_2 w^{-2} + \cdots$ be univalent and admissible for the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2 = (A_0w + A_1)dw^2$, $(A_0 \neq 0)$. Then

(1.1) $\operatorname{Re}(A_0a_2 + A_1a_1) \leq 0.$

If equality holds in (1.1), then $a_1=0$.

LEMMA 1.2. Let $\psi(w) = w + a_1 w^{-1} + a_2 w^{-2} + a_3 w^{-3} + \cdots$ be univalent and admissible for the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2 = (A_0w^2 + A_1w + A_2)dw^2$, $(A_0 \neq 0)$.

Received January 8, 1987

Then

(1.2)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{0}a_{3}+A_{1}a_{2}+A_{2}a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}A_{0}a_{1}^{2}\right) \leq 0.$$

If equality holds in (1.2), then $2A_0a_2+A_1a_1=0$.

The lemma of Leung-Schober [5, Lemma 2.3] can be slightly generalized as follows.

THEOREM 1.3. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^{-n}$ be in Σ_0 . If $\hat{C}-f(|z|>1)$ is on the trajectory arcs of the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2=(A_0w^2+A_2)dw^2$, $(A_0\neq 0)$, then f(z) is odd.

Since this can be proved by the same technique as theirs, we omit the proof.

COROLLARY 1.4. Put the coefficient functional

$$L(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m b_1^m + (\sum_{n=0}^{N} \beta_n b_1^n) b_3$$
,

 $f(z)=z+b_1z^{-1}+b_2z^{-2}+b_3z^{-3}+\cdots$, where α_m and β_n are complex constants. Then

$$\max_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{Re} L(f) = \max_{f: \operatorname{odd} \in \Sigma_0} \operatorname{Re} L(f).$$

Proof. Let $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^{-n}$ be an extremal function for the problem $\max_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{Re} L(f)$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{N}\beta_nb_1^n=0$, then $\max_{\Sigma_0}\operatorname{Re} L(f)=\operatorname{Re} L(g)=\operatorname{Re} (\sum_{m=1}^{M}\alpha_mb_1^m)$. Putting $\rho=|b_1|^{-1/2}$ and $\theta=-(1/2)\arg(b_1)$, we have $\rho^{-1}e^{-i\theta}k(\rho e^{i\theta}z)=z+\rho^{-2}e^{-2i\theta}z^{-1}=z+b_1z^{-1}$, where $k(z)=z+z^{-1}$. Hence we have $\max_{\Sigma_0}\operatorname{Re} L(f)=\operatorname{Re} L(g)=\operatorname{Re} L(\rho^{-1}e^{-i\theta}k(\rho e^{i\theta}z))=\max_{f:\operatorname{odd}\in\Sigma_0}\operatorname{Re} L(f)$. Now a ssume that $\sum_{n=0}^{N}\beta_nb_1^n\neq 0$. Then the Gâteaux differential of $L(\cdot)$ at g is given by

$$l(h) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \beta_n b_1^n\right) c_3 + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} m \alpha_m b_1^{m-1} + b_3 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n \beta_n b_1^{n-1}\right)\right) c_1,$$

 $h(z)=z+c_1z^{-1}+c_2z^{-2}+c_3z^{-3}+\cdots$. Thus the omitted set of w=g(z) lies on the trajectory arcs of the quadratic differential

$$l\left(\frac{1}{g-w}\right)dw^{2} = \left[\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N}\beta_{n}b_{1}^{n}\right)(w^{2}-b_{1}) + \left(\sum_{m=1}^{M}m\alpha_{m}b_{1}^{m-1}+b_{3}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}n\beta_{n}b_{1}^{n-1}\right)\right)\right]dw^{2}$$
(e. g. [8]).

Hence we know that g(z) is an odd function by the above theorem. Thus we have the desired result.

Next we give the real coefficients case.

THEOREM 1.5. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^{-n}$ be in Σ_0 . Let $\widehat{C}-f(|z|>1)$ be on the trajectory arcs of the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2=(A_0w^2+A_1w+A_2)dw^2$, $(A_0, A_1, A_2 \in \mathbf{R})$. If one of the following conditions is satisfied, then $\overline{f(\overline{z})}=f(z)$.

> 1) $A_0 \ge 0$ 2) $A_1 = 0$ and $|A_2| \ge 4 |A_0|$ 3) $A_2 = 0$ and $|A_1| \ge 4 |A_0|$

Proof. Case 1.1) $A_0=0$ and $A_1=0$. Then $Q(w)dw^2=A_2dw^2$. It is easy to see that $f(z)=z+z^{-1}$ when $A_2>0$ and $f(z)=z-z^{-1}$ when $A_2<0$.

Case 1.2) $A_0=0$ and $A_1\neq 0$. Then $Q(w)dw^2=(A_1w+A_2)dw^2$. By the assumption and Schwarz reflection principle we have

(1.3)
$$(A_1f(z) + A_2)z^2 f'(z)^2$$
$$= A_1z^3 + A_2z^2 - b_1A_1z - (3b_2A_1 + 2b_1A_2) - \bar{b}_1A_1z^{-1} + A_2z^{-2} + A_1z^{-3}.$$

We put $\psi(w) = \overline{f(f^{-1}(w))} = w + (b_1 - \bar{b}_1)w^{-1} + (b_2 - \bar{b}_2)w^{-2} + (b_3 - \bar{b}_3 + \bar{b}_1(b_1 - \bar{b}_1))w^{-3} + \cdots$. Applying Lemma 1.1 to the pair of $\psi(w)$ and $(A_1w + A_2)dw^2$, we have

 $b_1 = \bar{b}_1$.

Hence the coefficients of the right hand side of (1.3) are real. Comparing the coefficients of both sides of (1.3) we know that all b_n are real.

Case 1.3) $A_0 > 0$. By the assumption and Schwarz reflection principle we have

(1.4)

$$\begin{array}{c} (A_0f(z)^2 + A_1f(z) + A_2)z^2f'(z)^2 = A_0z^4 + A_1z^3 + A_2z^2 - (2b_2A_0 + b_1A_1)z \\ - (4b_3A_0 + 3b_2A_1 + 2b_1A_2 + 2b_1^2A_0) - (2\bar{b}_2A_0 + \bar{b}_1A_1)z^{-1} + A_2z^{-2} + A_1z^{-3} + A_0z^{-4} \end{array}$$

We denote the right hand side of (1.4) by $z^{-4}q(z)$. Applying Lemma 1.2 to the pair of $\psi(w)$ (see Case 1.2)) and $(A_0w^2 + A_1w + A_2)dw^2$, the left hand side of (1.2) becomes $\text{Re}(A_0(b_3 - \bar{b}_3) + A_1(b_2 - \bar{b}_2) + A_2(b_1 - \bar{b}_1) + (1/2)A_0(b_1^2 - \bar{b}_1^2)) = 0$. Thus

$$2b_2A_0+b_1A_1=2\bar{b}_2A_0+\bar{b}_1A_1$$
.

This means that the coefficients of q(z) are all real. By w=f(z) (1.4) becomes

(1.5)
$$(A_0w^2 + A_1w + A_2)dw^2 = z^{-6}q(z)dz^2 .$$

It follows from this equation that $(-\infty, -1)$ and $(1, +\infty)$, the components of the real axis in |z| > 1, are mapped by w = f(z) onto trajectory or orthogonal

244

trajectory arcs of $(A_0w^2 + A_1w + A_2)dw^2$ and that w = f(z) is on a trajectory of $(A_0w^2 + A_1w + A_2)dw^2$ for all sufficiently large real z because $A_0 > 0$. Since the conformal center $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 0$, the omitted set $\Gamma = f(|z| = 1)$ must contain the origin. In addition, $f((-\infty, -1))$ and $f((1, +\infty))$ are running from Γ to ∞ . These can be possible only when $f((-\infty, -1))$ and $f((1, +\infty))$ are on the real axis. Hence b_n are all real.

Case 2) $A_0 < 0$, $A_1 = 0$ and $|A_2| \ge 4 |A_0|$. Then $Q(w)dw^2 = (A_0w^2 + A_2)dw^2$. The distance between the critical points $\pm \sqrt{-A_2/A_0}$ is $2|\sqrt{-A_2/A_0}| \ge 4$. Moreover, f(z) is odd by Theorem 1.3. Hence it follows that $f(z) = z + z^{-1}$ when $A_2 > 0$ and $f(z) = z - z^{-1}$ when $A_2 < 0$.

Case 3) $A_0 < 0$, $A_2 = 0$ and $|A_1| \ge 4 |A_0|$. Then $Q(w)dw^2 = (A_0w^2 + A_1w)dw^2$. Since $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta = 0$ and $|-A_1/A_0| \ge 4$, the omitted set $\Gamma = f(|z| = 1)$ contains the origin and does not contain $-A_1/A_0$. There is z_0 on the real axis in |z| > 1 such that $f(z_0) = -A_1/A_0$ because the right hand side of (1.5) has real coefficients. Let J be one of the components of real axis in |z| > 1 such that $z_0 \in J$. Then f(J) is a smooth Jordan arc which is always on trajectory or orthogonal trajectory arcs of $Q(w)dw^2$ and goes from Γ to ∞ via $-A_1/A_0$. This can be possible only when f(J) is on the real axis. Hence b_n are all real. This completes the proof.

COUNTEREXAMPLE. Suppose that $Q(w)dw^2 = (A_0w^2 + A_2)dw^2$ with A_0 , $A_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $A_0 < 0$ and $|A_2| < 4|A_0|$. Let Γ be a continuum symmetric with respect to the origin and not symmetric with respect to the real axis which consists of the segment $[-\sqrt{-A_2/A_0}, \sqrt{-A_2/A_0}]$, which may degenerate, together with the trajectory arcs from $\pm \sqrt{-A_2/A_0}$. Since the distance between the critical points $\pm \sqrt{-A_2/A_0}$ is $2|\sqrt{-A_2/A_0}/<4$, we can take Γ with transfinite diameter 1. Hence there is an odd and not real coefficient function in the class Σ_0 whose omitted set is on the trajectory arcs of the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2$. The necessity of its oddness is known by Theorem 1.3, too.

2. Coefficient estimates.

It is known that the third Ozawa number $B_3=3$ by the results of Garabedian and Schiffer [1] and Kirwan and Schober [3]. Now we give its direct proof by making use of Löwner's method (e.g. [7, Chapter 6]).

Theorem 2.1.

SEIJI KONAKAZAWA

$$\max_{\Sigma_{0}} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda b_{1} - b_{3}) = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{for } 3 \leq \lambda \\ \lambda(t_{0} + 1)e^{-t_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}t_{0}^{2} - t_{0} - \frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-2t_{0}} & \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda < 3 \end{cases},$$

where t_0 is the root of $(3-t)e^{-t} = \lambda$.

Proof. It is sufficient to examine only odd functions in Σ_0 by Corollary 1.4. If $f(z)=z+b_1z^{-1}+b_3z^{-3}+\cdots$ is an odd function in Σ_0 , then $f(z^{-1/2})^{-2}=z-2b_1z^2$ $-(2b_3-3b_1^2)z^3+\cdots$ belongs to the familiar class S. We assume that this function has Löwner's coefficient representations, that is to say,

$$-2b_{1} = -2\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{i\theta(t)} dt \text{ and}$$
$$-(2b_{3} - 3b_{1}^{2}) = -2\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2t} e^{2i\theta(t)} dt + 4\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{i\theta(t)} dt\right)^{2}$$

where $\theta(t)$ is a continuous function on $(0, \infty)$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\lambda b_{1}-b_{3}\right)=\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \cos \theta(t) dt - \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2t} \cos 2\theta(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \cos \theta(t) dt \right)^{2} - \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \sin \theta(t) dt \right)^{2} \right) \\ \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \cos \theta(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} - 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2t} \cos^{2} \theta(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \cos \theta(t) dt \right)^{2}.$$

If we put $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-2t} \cos^2 \theta(t) dt = \left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{-2t}$ for some $t, 0 \le t < \infty$, then it follows from Valiron-Landau Theorem [4] that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda b_1 - b_3) \leq \lambda(t+1)e^{-t} + \frac{1}{2} - 2\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{2}(t+1)^2e^{-2t} \equiv \phi(t).$$

Then $(d/dt)\phi(t) = te^{-t}((3-t)e^{-t}-\lambda)$. Hence $(d/dt)\phi(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ if $3 \leq \lambda$. Thus we have $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda b_1 - b_3) \leq \phi(t) \leq \phi(0) = \lambda$ for $3 \leq \lambda$. Assume that $0 \leq \lambda < 3$. In this case $\phi(t) \leq \phi(t_0)$ for t_0 such that $(3-t_0)e^{-t_0}-\lambda=0$. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda b_1 - b_3) \leq \lambda (t_0 + 1) e^{-t_0} + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}t_0^2 - t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) e^{-2t_0} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda < 3.$$

If we take a piecewise continuous function $\nu(t)$ such that

$$\cos\nu(t) = \begin{cases} e^{t-t_0} & \text{for } 0 \le t \le t_0 \\ & \text{and } \sin\nu(t) = \begin{cases} (1-e^{2(t-t_0)})^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 \le t < t_1 \\ -(1-e^{2(t-t_0)})^{1/2} & \text{for } t_1 \le t \le t_0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t_0 < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

where t_1 is determined by the condition $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} \sin \nu(t) dt = 0$, then $e^{i\nu(t)}$ generates a function h, which belongs to the class S, whose square root inversion transformation $h(z^{-2})^{-1/2}$ is an extremal function for $\max_{\Sigma_0} \operatorname{Re}(\lambda b_1 - b_3) = \phi(t_0)$. This completes the proof.

Next we give an application of real coefficients case. It is well known that $|b_3+(1/2)b_1^2| \leq 1/2$ and the extremal functions are odd. The oddness of them is found in Corollary 1.4, too. The following estimate complements it in a sense.

THEOREM 2.2. Let
$$\lambda > 0$$
. Then

$$\max \operatorname{Re}\left(b_{s} + \frac{1}{2}b_{1}^{2} + \lambda b_{2}\right) = \frac{17}{864}\lambda^{4} - \frac{4}{27}\lambda^{3} + \frac{2}{9}\lambda^{2} + \frac{8}{27}\lambda + \frac{11}{54}$$

$$-\frac{\lambda^{4}}{64}\log\left\{\frac{1}{3\lambda}(\lambda - 4 + 2\sqrt{\lambda^{2} - 2\lambda + 4})\right\} - \left(\frac{17}{864}\lambda^{3} - \frac{7}{72}\lambda^{2} + \frac{1}{9}\lambda - \frac{4}{27}\right)\sqrt{\lambda^{2} - 2\lambda + 4}.$$

Extremal function is unique.

Proof. Let f(z) be an extremal function. Then its omitted set $\hat{C} - f(|z| > 1)$ is on the trajectory arcs of the quadratic differential $Q(w)dw^2 = w(w+\lambda)dw^2$. So f(z) must have real coefficients by Theorem 1.5 and its omitted set consists of three arcs emanating from the origin. Thus it follows by Schwarz reflection principle that $f(z)(f(z)+\lambda)z^2f'(z)^2$ has double zeros at the points 1, $e^{i\alpha}$ and $e^{-i\alpha}$, for some real α , which correspond to the three tips, and simple zeros at the points -r and $-r^{-1}$, for some r>1, which correspond to the point $-\lambda$. So we can put

(2.1)

$$f(z)(f(z)+\lambda)z^{2}f'(z)^{2}$$

$$=z^{4}+\lambda z^{3}-(\lambda b_{1}+2b_{2})z-2(2b_{3}+b_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\lambda b_{2})-(\lambda b_{1}+2b_{2})z^{-1}+\lambda z^{-3}+z^{-4}$$

$$=z^{-4}[(z-1)(z-e^{i\alpha})(z-e^{-i\alpha})]^{2}(z+r)(z+r^{-1})$$

for some real α and r (r>1). A comparison of coefficients gives

 $\lambda = -4\cos\alpha + 2(R-1),$

(2.3)
$$\cos^2 \alpha - 2(R-1) \cos \alpha - (R-1) = 0$$
,

(2.4)
$$\lambda b_1 + 2b_2 = -4\cos\alpha - 2(R-1)(2\cos\alpha + 1)(2\cos\alpha + 3)$$
 and

(2.5)
$$2b_{3}+b_{1}^{2}+\frac{3}{2}\lambda b_{2}=4\cos^{2}\alpha+1+2(R-1)(4\cos^{2}\alpha+4\cos\alpha+2)$$
with $R=(r+r^{-1})/2$.

We integrate (2.1) by using the correspondence 0=f(-1),

SEIJI KONAKAZAWA

$$\int_{0}^{w} \sqrt{w(w+\lambda)} dw = \int_{-1}^{z} z^{-3}(z-1)(z-e^{i\alpha})(z-e^{-i\alpha})\sqrt{(z+r)(z+r^{-1})} dz.$$

Then it follows that

(2.6)
$$\frac{1}{4}(\lambda+2w)\sqrt{w(w+\lambda)} + \frac{\lambda^2}{8}\log\{(\sqrt{w+\lambda}-\sqrt{w})/(\sqrt{w+\lambda}+\sqrt{w})\}\}$$
$$= \frac{F(z+z)(z+z)(z+z-1)}{8} - \frac{F(z+z)(z+z-1)}{8} - \frac{F(z+$$

$$=F(z+\sqrt{(z+r)(z+r^{-1})})-F(-1+\sqrt{(-1+r)(-1+r^{-1})}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} F(t) &= -\frac{a}{2}(t-1)^{-2} - b(t-1)^{-1} + A\log{(t-1)} \\ &- \frac{m}{2}(t+1)^{-2} - n(t+1)^{-1} + B\log{(t+1)} \\ &- \frac{p}{16}(t+R)^{-2} - \frac{q}{4}(t+R)^{-1} + \frac{C}{2}\log(2(t+R)) + \frac{t^2}{8} + kt \end{split}$$

with

$$a = -(R+1)^2, \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(R+1)(4\cos\alpha - R+1), \quad A = 2(R-1)\cos\alpha + \frac{1}{2}(R+1)^2 - 2R^2,$$

$$m = (R-1)^2, \quad n = \frac{1}{2}(R-1)(4\cos\alpha - R+3), \quad B = -\frac{1}{2}(R-1)(4\cos\alpha + R+3),$$

$$p = 2(R^2 - 1)^2, \quad q = -2(R^2 - 1)(2\cos\alpha + 1), \quad C = -(R-1)(4\cos\alpha + R+3)$$

and

$$k = -\frac{1}{4} (4 \cos \alpha - R + 2)$$

By (2.3) $\cos^2 \alpha = (R-1)(1+2\cos \alpha)$. Since $R = (r+r^{-1})/2 > 1$, we have $\cos \alpha > -1/2$. Hence it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

(2.7)
$$\cos \alpha = (-\lambda - 2 + \sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 4})/6$$
 and $R = (\lambda + 2 + 2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 4})/6$.

We substitute $w=z+b_1z^{-1}+b_2z^{-2}+b_3z^{-3}+\cdots$ into the left hand side of (2.6) and expand both sides of it around $z=\infty$. Then we obtain, using (2.7),

$$b_1 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{12} + \frac{2}{3}\lambda - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\lambda^2}{8}\log\left\{\frac{1}{3\lambda}(\lambda - 4 + 2\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 4})\right\} - \frac{1}{3}\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{4}\right)\sqrt{\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 4}$$

by comparing the constant terms. By this relation, (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain the desired estimate for $b_3+(1/2)b_1^2+\lambda b_2$. Expanding the left hand side of (2.1), we know that all of the coefficients of $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}b_nz^{-n}$ are represented in terms of λ . Thus the extremal function is unique.

248

References

- [1] GARABEDIAN, P.R. AND M. SCHIFFER, A coefficient inequality for schlicht functions, Ann. of Math. 61 (1955), 116-136.
- [2] JENKINS, J. A., On certain extremal problems for the coefficients of univalent functions, J. Analyse Math. 18 (1967), 173-184.
- [3] KIRWAN, W.E., AND G. SCHOBER, New inequalities from old ones, Math. Z. 180 (1982) 19-40.
- [4] LANDAU, E., Über die Blochsche Konstante und zwei verwandte Weltkonstanten, Math. Z. 30 (1929), 608-634.
- [5] LEUNG, Y.J. AND G. SCHOBER, Low order coefficient estimates in the class Σ , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. AI Math. 11 (1986), 39-61.
- [6] OZAWA, M., Coefficient estimates for the class Σ, Kodai Math. J. 9 (1986), 123-133.
- [7] POMMERENKE, Ch., Univalent Functions (With a chapter on quadratic differentials by G. Jensen), Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht in Göttingen, 1975.
- [8] SCHOBER, G., Univalent Functions-Selected Topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 478, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg. New York, 1975.

Department of Mathematics Science University of Tokyo Noda, Chiba, Japan.