

## On Selberg's trace formula

By Ramesh GANGOLLI and Garth WARNER

(Received Sept. 12, 1972)

### § 1. Introduction.

Let  $G$  be a connected, non-compact, semisimple Lie group with finite center, and let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $G$  such that the space  $G/\Gamma$  is compact. Fix a  $G$ -invariant measure  $d\dot{x}$  on  $G/\Gamma$ , and denote by  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$  the Hilbert space of measurable functions on  $G/\Gamma$  that are square-integrable with respect to this measure  $d\dot{x}$ . We shall view an element of  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$  as a function on  $G$ , invariant under right translations by elements of  $\Gamma$ .  $G$  acts on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$  by the left regular representation  $U$ . Thus for  $f \in L_2(G/\Gamma)$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $(U(x)f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ ,  $y \in G$ .  $U$  is a unitary representation of  $G$ , whose study is important in the theory of automorphic functions.

Under the hypothesis that  $G/\Gamma$  is compact, it is well-known (see e.g. Gelfand et al. [3]) that the representation  $U$  decomposes into a discrete direct sum of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ , and, moreover, that the multiplicity with which any given irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  occurs in this decomposition is finite. Except in special cases, not much is known about which representations occur in  $U$ , and what their multiplicities are.

Now let  $K$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $U_0, U_1, \dots$  be the inequivalent irreducible unitary representations of *class one* with respect to  $K$  that occur in  $U$ , and let  $n_0, n_1, \dots$  be their multiplicities. We can assume that  $U_0$  is the trivial representation of  $G$ , and so  $n_0=1$ . Our object in the present paper is to get some information about the multiplicities  $n_i$  ( $i=0, 1, \dots$ ).

Let  $G=KAN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a}$ =Lie algebra of  $A$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the space of complex valued linear functions on  $\mathfrak{a}$ , then for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  one has the elementary (zonal) spherical function  $\varphi_\lambda$  on  $G$ , defined by  $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \int_K \exp(\lambda - \rho)(H(xk)) dk$  ( $x \in G$ ), where  $\rho$  is the half-sum of the positive roots of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  and  $H(x)$  is the unique element of  $\mathfrak{a}$  such that  $x \in K \exp H(x)N$ . If  $W$  is the Weyl group of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ , then it is known that  $\varphi_{\lambda'} = \varphi_\lambda$  if and only if  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda$  are conjugate under  $W$ .

Returning to the representation  $U$ , let  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots$  be the positive definite elementary spherical functions that correspond to  $U_0, U_1, \dots$  etc. Then, by what we said above, we can find elements  $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{F}$  so that  $\varphi_j = \varphi_{\lambda_j}$ ,  $j=0, 1, \dots$ , each

$\lambda_j$  being determined up to an action of  $W$ . Let now  $\langle, \rangle$  be the complex bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{F}$  induced by the Cartan-Killing form on  $\mathfrak{a}$ , which we shall also denote by  $\langle, \rangle$ . Then we shall prove:

THEOREM I. *There exists an integer  $d$  such that*

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j (1 - \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{-d} < \infty.$$

It should be mentioned that since all the  $\varphi_j$  are positive definite, it is possible to show that  $-\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $j$ . Hence, if we view  $\lambda_j$  as tagging the representation  $U_j$ , the above result says that the spectrum  $\{n_j\}$  is "tempered" with respect to the parameter  $\lambda_j$ .

In particular, we see that if  $r$  is any positive real number, then the number of indices  $j$  for which  $-\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \leq r$  is finite. Since  $(\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)$  is just the eigenvalue by which the Casimir element of  $G$  acts on  $U_j$ , we get:

COROLLARY. *Let  $\omega_j$  be the eigenvalue by which the Casimir element  $\Omega$  acts on  $U_j$ . Then the numbers  $\omega_j$  are  $\leq 0$  and have no finite point of accumulation on the line.*

A word about proofs is appropriate here. We shall prove Theorem I by applying results of Trombi and Varadarajan [12]. It is also possible to prove Theorem I by appealing to classical results of Minakshisundaram and Pleijel. We prefer not to use this method. H. Garland [2] has proved a theorem in content similar to the above corollary. Our methods are different.

Actually, it is desirable to have the precise asymptotic behaviour of the spectral multiplicities  $\{n_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ . A valuable tool in studying these is the Selberg trace formula. In the situation we study, viz: the class one case, this may be described as follows (cf. Selberg [10], Tamagawa [11]). Let  $I_1(G)$  be the convolution algebra of  $K$ -biinvariant integrable functions. Thus  $I_1(G) = \{f \mid f \in L_1(G), f(k_1 x k_2) = f(x) \text{ for } x \in G, k_1, k_2 \in K\}$ . For  $f \in I_1(G)$ , the operator  $U(f) = \int_G f(x) U(x) dx$  is an integral operator on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$  with kernel

$$(1.1) \quad K_f(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$$

where the series converges absolutely for almost all pairs  $(x, y)$ . Following Selberg and Tamagawa, one says that  $f \in I_1(G)$  is *admissible* if the series on the right of (1.1) converges to a continuous function of the pair  $(x, y)$ , and if the operator  $U(f)$  is of the trace class. If  $f$  is admissible, then the trace of  $U(f)$  can be computed in two different ways. On the one hand it equals  $\int_{\mathfrak{D}} K_f(x, x) dx$  where  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ . On the other hand, one also has that  $\text{Trace}(U(f)) = \sum_{j \geq 0} n_j \text{Trace}(U_j(f))$ . Now, it is easy to see that  $\text{Trace}(U_j(f)) = \hat{f}(\lambda_j)$  where  $\hat{f}$  is the spherical Fourier transform of  $f$ ,

defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(x) \varphi_{-\lambda}(x) dx$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $\varphi_{-\lambda}$  is bounded. Thus Selberg's trace formula asserts that for admissible  $f$ , we have

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{D}} K_f(x, x) dx = \sum_{j \geq 0} n_j \hat{f}(\lambda_j),$$

with the series on the right converging absolutely.

Experience shows that it is valuable to have a large class of functions which are known to be admissible. For example, consider a function  $f \in I_c^\infty(G)$ , the space of  $K$ -biinvariant,  $C^\infty$  functions with compact support. It is known in this case that the left side of (1.1) is a continuous function of  $(x, y)$ , and that  $U(f)$  is Hilbert-Schmidt, so that  $\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j |\hat{f}(\lambda_j)|^2 < \infty$ . See e. g. Gelfand et al. [3]. However, unless one knows something about the absolute convergence of the right side of (1.3), it is not possible to apply Selberg's formula to such an  $f$ . As a result of Theorem I, we shall see that the class of admissible functions is really rather wide. In fact, we have:

**THEOREM II.** *There exists an integer  $p$  with the following property: If  $f$  is a continuous spherical function such that i)  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$  converges uniformly on compacta in  $G \times G$ ; ii)  $f$  is of class  $C^{2p}$ ; iii)  $f \in L_1(G)$  and  $\Omega^p f \in L_1(G)$ , then  $f$  is admissible.*

We should note that, in particular, every function in  $I_c^\infty(G)$  is admissible.

Next let us define the space  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  (cf. Trombi and Varadarajan [12]) as follows. Let us denote by  $\iota \circ \iota(x)$  the elementary spherical function  $\varphi_0(x)$ . Also, for any  $x \in G$ , let  $x = k \exp X$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is the orthogonal complement of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $K$  in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . Defining  $|\cdot|$  to be the norm on  $\mathfrak{p}$  induced by the Cartan-Killing form, we then write  $\sigma(x) = |X|$  where  $x = k \exp X$ . Now for any left invariant differential operator  $D$  on  $G$  and any integer  $r \geq 0$ , define the semi-norm  $\nu_{D,r}$  on  $I^\infty(G)$  by:  $\nu_{D,r}(f) = \sup_{x \in G} |(Df)(x)| (\iota \circ \iota(x))^{-2} (1 + \sigma(x))^r$ . Then

$$\mathcal{S}^1(G) = \{f \in I^\infty(G) \mid \text{for each } D, r, \nu_{D,r}(f) < \infty\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  clearly contains  $I_c^\infty(G)$ . It is the  $K$ -biinvariant,  $L_1$ -analogue of the Schwartz-space  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  of Harish-Chandra. It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{S}^1(G) \subset I_1(G)$ , and, for any  $D$ ,  $Df \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  if  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . Hence Theorem II implies immediately that every  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  is admissible. We then have:

**THEOREM III.** *The map  $f \rightarrow \text{Trace}(U(f))$  is continuous in the topology induced on  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  by the semi-norms  $\nu_{D,r}$ .*

## §2. Notation.

$G$  is a connected semisimple Lie group with finite center,  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$ ,  $K$  respectively, and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the Cartan-Killing form. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  will stand for the roots of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . For each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$  be the root space corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Fix an order on the real dual of  $\mathfrak{a}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_+$  be the positive roots in this order, and let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  be the simple roots, so that  $l = \dim \mathfrak{a}$ . We put  $\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \alpha_i(H) > 0 \ (i=1, \dots, l)\}$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , and let  $N$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n}$  is nilpotent,  $\exp$  maps  $\mathfrak{n}$  diffeomorphically onto  $N$ , and  $G = KAN$  is an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ . For any  $x \in G$ , write  $x = k(x) \exp H(x) n(x)$  with  $k(x) \in K$ ,  $H(x) \in \mathfrak{a}$ ,  $n(x) \in N$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_c$  etc. be the complexifications of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}$ , etc. and let us write  $\mathfrak{F}$  for the complex dual of  $\mathfrak{a}_c$ .

We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{F}_R$ , (resp.  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ ) the subspace of  $\mathfrak{F}$  consisting of linear functionals that are real (resp. purely imaginary) on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  will be extended to  $\mathfrak{a}_c$  as a bilinear form. As is well known, it is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{a}_c \times \mathfrak{a}_c$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ , we write  $H_\lambda$  for the unique element of  $\mathfrak{a}_c$  such that  $\langle H_\lambda, H \rangle = \lambda(H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}_c$ . On the other hand  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is known to be positive definite on  $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$ , hence also on  $\mathfrak{F}_R \times \mathfrak{F}_R$ . Thus using it we can define the structure of a Hilbert space on  $\mathfrak{a}_c \times \mathfrak{a}_c$  and also on  $\mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F}$ . We shall denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  the corresponding inner product and norm. Note that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  agree on  $\mathfrak{a}_c \times \mathfrak{a}_c$ .

A function  $f$  on  $G$  is *spherical* if  $f(k_1 x k_2) = f(x)$  for all  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ,  $x \in G$ . We shall denote by  $I^\infty(G)$  the space of spherical  $C^\infty$  functions on  $G$ , by  $I_c^\infty(G)$  those of compact support and by  $I_1(G)$  the space of absolutely integrable spherical functions.

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . We regard elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  as left-invariant differential operators on  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote the universal enveloping algebras of  $\mathfrak{k}_c$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_c$ , regarded as subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{D}$  the centralizer of  $\mathfrak{R}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . A spherical function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  is called *elementary* if  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and if it is an eigen-function of each differential operator  $q \in \mathfrak{D}$ . These functions can be given a neat description, as follows: Let  $\rho$  be the half-sum of the positive roots of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ , let  $\varphi_\lambda(x)$  be defined by  $\varphi_\lambda(x) = \int_K \exp(\lambda - \rho)(H(xk)) dk$ . Then, as  $\lambda$  varies over  $\mathfrak{F}$ , the functions  $\varphi_\lambda$  are precisely all the elementary spherical functions on  $G$ . Moreover,  $\varphi_{\lambda'} = \varphi_{\lambda''}$  if and only if  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda''$  are conjugate under the action of the Weyl group  $W$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . See for example Helgason [7, Chap. X].

Let  $f \in I_1(G)$ . Then its spherical Fourier transform is defined by the function  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(x) \varphi_{-\lambda}(x) dx$ . Here the domain of  $\hat{f}$  is the set of all  $\lambda$  for which the integral converges absolutely. In particular,  $\hat{f}$  is defined for all  $\lambda$  for which  $\varphi_{-\lambda}$  is bounded. It is known that  $\varphi_\lambda$  is a positive definite function when  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_I$ . Hence  $\hat{f}$  is defined at least on  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  for  $f \in I_1(G)$ .

If  $\alpha$  is any equivalence class of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$ , we say that  $\alpha$  is of *class one* if the restriction to  $K$  of any representation in  $\alpha$  contains the trivial representation of  $K$  in its reduction. It is known that if  $\alpha$  is of class one and if  $\pi$  is a representation in  $\alpha$ , then there is a unique subspace of  $H_\pi$  (the Hilbert space on which  $\pi$  acts) which is acted on trivially by  $\pi(k)$ ,  $k \in K$ . If  $v$  is any unit vector in this space and if we put  $\varphi_\alpha(x) = (\pi(x)v, v)$ , then it can be shown that  $\varphi_\alpha$  depends only on the class  $\alpha$  and not on the choice of the representation  $\pi$ , and that  $\varphi_\alpha$  is an elementary spherical function of positive definite type. Moreover the map  $\alpha \mapsto \varphi_\alpha$  is a bijection of the set of equivalence classes of class one irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  onto the set of elementary positive definite spherical functions. For these facts, see Helgason [7, Chap. X].

Now in the context of § 1, let  $U_0, U_1, \dots$  be the class one representations that occur in the decomposition of  $U$  on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ . We can and do assume that  $U_0$  is the trivial representation of  $G$ . Let  $n_0 (= 1), n_1, n_2, \dots$  be the multiplicities. Moreover, if  $\varphi_j$  is the elementary spherical function attached to  $U_j$  by the above remarks, we see that  $\varphi_j = \varphi_{\lambda_j}$  for a suitable  $\lambda_j \in \mathfrak{F}$ .  $\lambda_j$  is determined up to an action of  $W$  on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We fix a choice of  $\lambda_j$  for each  $j$ .

### § 3. A theorem of Trombi and Varadarajan.

We need to use a mild extension of a theorem proved by Trombi and Varadarajan [12]. We shall state their result and the extension to be used.

Let  $x \in G$ . Then  $x = k \exp X$ ,  $k \in K$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Put  $\sigma(x) = |X|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the norm induced on  $\mathfrak{p}$  by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Also write  $\mapsto(x) = \int_K \exp -\rho(H(xk)) dk$ . Let now  $p > 0$  be given. For any differential operator  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$  and any integer  $r > 0$ , we define for any  $f \in I^\infty(G)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \nu_{D,r}^p(f) = \sup_{x \in G} (1 + \sigma(x))^r \mapsto(x)^{-2/p} |Df(x)|.$$

The space  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$  is defined to be the space of those  $f \in I^\infty(G)$  such that for each  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$  and each integer  $r \geq 0$ , we have  $\nu_{D,r}^p(f) < \infty$ . When equipped with the topology given by the seminorms  $f \mapsto \nu_{D,r}^p(f)$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$  is a Fréchet space.  $I_c^\infty(G)$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$ . (When  $p = 2$ , the space  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$  is precisely that part of Harish-Chandra's Schwartz space  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  which consists of spherical

functions; cf. [6, p. 46]).

Our concern here will be with the space  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . Since it is known that for some integer  $r_0 \geq 0$  the function  $\mapsto (1+\sigma)^{-r_0}$  is in  $L_1(G)$ , it follows that for any  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , any  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ , and any integer  $r \geq 0$ , we have  $(1+\sigma)^r(Df) \in L_1(G)$ . Thus surely  $\hat{f}$  is defined on  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . Actually  $\hat{f}$  will have a holomorphic extension to a tube domain in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Specifically let  $\mathfrak{F}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F} \mid |\operatorname{Re} s\lambda(H)| \leq \rho(H) \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}^+, s \in W\}$ , and put  $\mathfrak{F}_R^1 = \mathfrak{F}^1 \cap \mathfrak{F}_R$  etc. Now, if  $S(\mathfrak{F})$  is the symmetric algebra over  $\mathfrak{F}$ , we regard each  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$  as giving a differential operator  $\partial(u)$  on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Now let  $Z(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  be the space of functions  $F$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$  satisfying the following conditions: i)  $F$  is holomorphic in the interior  $\operatorname{Int} \mathfrak{F}^1$  of  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ ; ii) If  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$  and  $l \geq 0$  is any integer, then  $\zeta_{u,l}(F) = \sup_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Int} \mathfrak{F}^1} (1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^l |(\partial(u)F)(\lambda)| < \infty$ . Also we let  $\bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  be the subset of  $Z(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  consisting of those  $F$  which are  $W$ -invariant.

The condition ii) implies easily that for any  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$  and  $F \in Z(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ ,  $\partial(u)F$  is continuous on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ . Evidently  $Z(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  is an algebra under pointwise multiplication. The seminorms  $\zeta_{u,l}$  define the structure of a Fréchet algebra on  $Z(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . We now have:

**THEOREM 3.1** (Trombi and Varadarajan [12, p. 283]). *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . Then the integral  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \int_G f(x)\varphi_{-\lambda}(x)dx$  converges absolutely for all  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^1$ . The function  $\hat{f}$  lies in  $\bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , and the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is a continuous map of  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  into  $\bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ .*

Conversely, given an element  $a \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , it is possible to ask if it is in the image of the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ . For this purpose, following Harish-Chandra, one defines for any  $a \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  the wave packet  $\varphi_a(x)$  by

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_a(x) = \frac{1}{w} \int_{\mathfrak{F}_I} a(\lambda)\varphi_\lambda \mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda$$

where  $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)$  is the well-known  $\mathbf{c}$ -function of Harish-Chandra, for which an explicit formula is known,  $w$  is the order of  $W$ , and  $d\lambda$  is the Euclidean measure on  $\mathfrak{F}_I$  induced by its isomorphism with  $\mathfrak{a}$ . (One knows, after the fashion of Gindikin and Karpelevič [19], that the function  $\mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1}$  is a tempered continuous function on  $\mathfrak{F}_I$ . An explicit formula is known for  $\mathbf{c}$ ). Having defined the wave packet  $\varphi_a$  as above, the main result of Trombi and Varadarajan may be described as follows; cf. [12; pp. 297-298].

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose  $a \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , and define  $\varphi_a$  as above. Let  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ , and let  $r$  be a nonnegative integer. Then there exists a continuous semi-norm  $\zeta_{D,r}$  on  $\bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad |D\varphi_a(x)| \leq \zeta_{D,r}(a) \mapsto (x)^2(1+\sigma(x))^{-r}.$$

*In particular,  $\nu_{D,r}(\varphi_a) < \infty$  for each  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ ,  $r \geq 0$ , so that  $\varphi_a \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . Moreover  $\hat{\varphi}_a = a$ , and the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is a topological isomorphism of  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  with  $\bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ .*

This result is, substantially, Theorem 3.10.1 in [12]. Actually a somewhat

more general result, involving arbitrary  $\mathcal{S}^p(G)$ ,  $0 < p < 2$  (and not merely  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ ) is proved there. We shall not, however, use it.

For our needs, we wish to focus on the estimate (3.3). An examination of the technique used in [12] shows that the assumption  $a \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  is not crucial for the derivation of (3.3) for a fixed  $D, r$ . It is in asserting that (3.3) holds for every choice of  $D, r$  that this assumption is utilized crucially, and this is what leads to the conclusion that  $\varphi_a \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . This observation allows us to formulate a mild extension of the above, which we now undertake. Let  $m, l$  be nonnegative integers, and let us put  $Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  for the space of functions  $F$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$  such that: i)  $F$  is holomorphic on  $\text{Int } \mathfrak{F}^1$ , and ii) If  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$  is any element such that  $\text{degree}(u) \leq m$ , then

$$\zeta_{u,l}^1(F) = \sup_{\lambda \in \text{Int } \mathfrak{F}^1} (1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^l |\partial(u)F(\lambda)| < \infty.$$

Also we put  $\bar{Z}_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  for the  $W$ -invariants in  $Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . It is clear that if  $m' \geq m, l' \geq l$ , then  $Z_{m',l'}(\mathfrak{F}^1) \subset Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Put  $Z_m(\mathfrak{F}^1) = \bigcap_{l \geq 0} Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Then  $Z(\mathfrak{F}^1) = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} Z_m(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Similar statements hold for  $\bar{Z}_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ .

Since  $c(\lambda)^{-1}c(-\lambda)^{-1}$  is tempered, it follows that there exists an integer  $l$  such that if  $a \in Z_{0,l}$  then the integral defining  $\varphi_a$  converges absolutely (cf. [12], Lemma 3.5.3).

Now suppose  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$  and the integer  $r \geq 0$  are given. We can then ask: What conditions on  $a$  will guarantee that  $\nu_{D,r}(\varphi_a) < \infty$ . Clearly it is not necessary to demand that  $a$  be in all the spaces  $Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Indeed if we examine the work in [12], we see that if  $a \in Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , then the larger  $m$  is, the more rapidly  $\varphi_a$  will decrease, while the larger the integer  $l$  is, the smoother the function  $\varphi_a$  will be. (The situation is analogous to the problem of determining conditions on a function  $g$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  in order that its Fourier transform  $\hat{g}$  and all derivatives of order  $\leq k$  of  $\hat{g}$  should decay faster than  $(1 + |x|)^{-r}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In that case also, we do not need to demand that  $g$  is in the Schwartz space.)

For any spherical  $f$  on  $G$ , and integer  $k \geq 0$ , let us agree to say that  $f$  has continuous derivatives of order up to  $k$  if given any  $D \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\text{degree}(D) \leq k$ ,  $Df$  exists and is continuous. Using the estimates in [12, §3] and bearing in mind the above remarks we get the following result immediately.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $k, r \geq 0$  be given integers. Then there exist integers  $m, l \geq 0$  (depending on  $k, r$ ) such that if  $a \in Z_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , then the integral defining  $\varphi_a$  exists, and  $\varphi_a$  has continuous derivatives of order up to  $k$ . Moreover, if  $D$  is any element of  $G$  such that  $\text{deg}(D) \leq k$ , then there exists an element  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$  such that  $\text{deg}(u) \leq m$  and*

$$(3.4) \quad |(D\varphi_a)(x)| \zeta_{u,l}^1(a) \longleftarrow (x)^2(1 + \sigma(x))^{-r}.$$

The proof of this result is substantially contained in §3 of [12]. There is

no point in reproducing it. It is only necessary to retrace the steps in §3 of [12], bearing in mind that at each stage,  $a$  need only belong to one of the spaces  $Z_{m,l}$  with a sufficiently high  $m, l$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let  $r_0$  be the smallest integer such that  $\mapsto\mapsto^2(x)(1+\sigma(x))^{-r_0}$  is in  $L_1(G)$ . Then there exist integers  $m_0, l_0$  such that if  $a \in Z_{m_0, l_0}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad |\varphi_a(x)| \leq C_{a, m_0, l_0} \mapsto\mapsto^2(x)(1+\sigma(x))^{-r_0}$$

where  $C_{a, m_0, l_0}$  is a constant.

This is immediate upon choosing  $D=1, r=r_0$  in (3.4).

**§4. Regular growth of certain functions.**

We make the following definition, following Selberg [10].

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $f$  be a nonnegative continuous function on  $G$ . We say that  $f$  is of *regular growth*, if there exists a neighbourhood  $V$  of the identity in  $G$  and a real number  $C_{V,f} > 0$  such that for all  $x \in G$ , we have,

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) \leq C_{V,f} \int_{xV} f(z) dz.$$

The relevance of this notion is brought out by the following well-known proposition; see e.g. Selberg [10] or Gelfand et al. [3].

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Suppose  $f, g$  are continuous functions on  $G$  such that: i)  $|f| < g$ ; ii)  $g \in L_1(G)$ , and iii)  $g$  is of regular growth.*

*Let  $U$  be the representation of  $G$  on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ , and let  $U(f)$  be the operator  $\int_G f(x)U(x)dx$  on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ . Then under the above conditions,  $U(f)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, with the continuous kernel  $K_f(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma} f(x\lambda y^{-1})$ , the series converging uniformly on compacta in  $G \times G$ .*

Our aim at present is to establish that a class of functions on  $G$  have regular growth. Let  $x \in G$ . Then it is well-known that  $x = k_1 \exp A(x) k_2$  where  $A(x) \in \text{closure}(\mathfrak{a}^+)$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_R$  be a real valued linear function on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then obviously  $x \mapsto \exp \lambda(A(x))$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $G$ .

LEMMA 4.3. *Let  $r \geq 0$  be an integer, and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_R$ . Then the function  $(1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(x))$  is of regular growth.*

PROOF. One knows [6] that  $\sigma(x^{-1}) = \sigma(x)$  and  $\sigma(xy) \leq \sigma(x) + \sigma(y), x, y \in G$ . From this we conclude easily that

$$(4.2) \quad (1+\sigma(x))^{-1} \leq (1+\sigma(y^{-1}x))(1+\sigma(y))^{-1}, \quad x, y \in G.$$

So,

$$(4.3) \quad (1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \leq (1+\sigma(y^{-1}x))^r (1+\sigma(y))^{-r}$$

and



$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad & (1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(x)) \\
 & \leq (1+\sigma(y^{-1}x))^r (1+\sigma(y))^{-r} \exp |\lambda(A(x)-A(y))| \exp \lambda(A(y)) \\
 & = (1+\sigma(y^{-1}x))^r \exp |\lambda(A(x)-A(y))| \cdot (1+\sigma(y))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\delta > 0$ , and, for each  $x \in G$ , put  $V_\delta(x) = \{y \in G \mid \sigma(y^{-1}x) \leq \delta\}$ . Clearly, if  $y \in V_\delta(x)$ , then  $1+\sigma(y^{-1}x) \leq 1+\delta$ . According to a Lemma of Langlands [9, p. 104], given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $y \in V_\delta(x)$ , then

$$|\lambda(A(x)-A(y))| \leq \varepsilon \|\lambda\|$$

for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_R$ . Fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and choose  $\delta$  with this property. Then, for  $y \in V_\delta(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad & (1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(x)) \\
 & \leq (1+\delta)^r \exp \varepsilon \|\lambda\| \cdot (1+\sigma(y))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this over  $V_\delta(x)$  with respect to  $y$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad & \text{Volume}(V_\delta(x)) \cdot (1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(x)) \\
 & \leq (1+\delta)^r \exp \varepsilon \|\lambda\| \cdot \int_{V_\delta(x)} (1+\sigma(y))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(y)) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now observe that  $V_\delta(x) = xV_\delta(e)$ , where  $e$  is the identity of  $G$ , so  $\text{Volume}(V_\delta(x)) = \text{Volume}(V_\delta(e))$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad & (1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(x)) \\
 & \leq (1+\delta)^r \exp \varepsilon \|\lambda\| (\text{Volume}(V_\delta(e)))^{-1} \int_{xV_\delta(e)} (1+\sigma(y))^{-r} \exp \lambda(A(y)) dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $V_\delta(e)$  is a neighborhood of the identity, the lemma follows. Q. E. D.

Combining this with the preceding observations, we are able to prove the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *There exist integers  $m_0, l_0 \geq 0$  such that if  $a \in Z_{m_0, l_0}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , then  $\varphi_a$  is well-defined and, further,  $|\varphi_a|$  has a continuous majorant  $g$  such that  $g$  is in  $L_1(G)$  and has regular growth.*

**PROOF.** Let  $r \geq 0$  be an integer. We have seen in §3 that we can find  $m, l \geq 0$  so that if  $a \in Z_{m, l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , then  $\varphi_a$  is well defined, and further that

$$(4.8) \quad |\varphi_a(x)| \leq C_a \mapsto(x)^2 (1+\sigma(x))^{-r}$$

where  $C_a > 0$  is a constant depending on  $a$ .

Now the function  $\mapsto(x)$  is spherical, whence  $\mapsto(x) = \mapsto(\exp A(x))$ , where  $A(x)$  was defined above. Since it is known that  $\mapsto(\exp(A(x))) \leq C \exp -\rho(A(x)) (1+\sigma(A(x)))^d$  for a suitable  $C > 0$  and integer  $d > 0$ , and since  $\sigma(A(x)) = \sigma(x)$ , it follows that

$$(4.9) \quad \mapsto \mapsto (x)^2(1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \leq C \exp -2\rho(A(x))(1+\sigma(x))^{d-r}.$$

We have already seen that the function  $\exp -2\rho(A(x))(1+\sigma(x))^{d-r}$  is of regular growth for  $r \geq d$ . On the other hand, there exists an integer  $p$  such that  $(\exp -2\rho(A(x))(1+\sigma(x))^{-p} \in L_1(G)$ ; see e. g. [5, p. 279]. Hence, if we choose  $r_0$  so that  $r_0 \geq p+d$  and choose  $m_0, l_0$  corresponding to this  $r_0$  so that (4.8) holds, we get the conclusion of the proposition immediately. Q. E. D.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *There exist integers  $m_0, l_0 \geq 0$  such that if  $a \in Z_{m_0, l_0}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ , and  $\varphi_a$  is the wave-packet corresponding to  $a$ , then the operator  $U(\varphi_a)$  on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.*

This follows easily from Propositions 4.2 and 4.4.

### § 5. An estimate for the spectrum.

We wish to convert the information provided by 4.5 into an estimate on the spectrum.

**LEMMA 5.1.** *Suppose  $a$  is a function on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$  such that: i)  $a$  is holomorphic on  $\text{Int } \mathfrak{F}^1$ ,  $C^\infty$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ ; ii)  $a \in \bar{Z}_{m, l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$  with  $m, l \geq 0$  so large that the integral defining  $\varphi_a$  converges absolutely for each  $x \in G$ , and  $\varphi_a \in L_1(G)$ ; iii) For each  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$ , there exists an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^1} (1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^{-k} |(\partial(u)a)(\lambda)| < \infty$ .*

Then  $\hat{\varphi}_a = a$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $b \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Then it follows from i), iii) that  $ab \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . Therefore  $\varphi_{ab} \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  by the theorem of Trombi and Varadarajan, and  $\hat{\varphi}_{ab} = ab$ . Also,  $\varphi_b \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_b = b$ . Now we claim that

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi_{ab} = \varphi_a * \varphi_b.$$

This is seen as follows. We have, by definition,

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi_a(x) = \frac{1}{w} \int_{\mathfrak{F}^1} a(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) \mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda.$$

Hence, since  $\varphi_b \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\varphi_a * \varphi_b)(x) &= \int_G \varphi_a(y) \varphi_b(y^{-1}x) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{w} \int_G \left( \int_{\mathfrak{F}^1} a(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(y) \mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right) \varphi_b(y^{-1}x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi_b \in L_1(G)$  and  $a(\lambda)$  satisfies hypothesis ii), we see that Fubini's theorem applies to this integral. Interchanging the order of integration, one gets

$$(5.4) \quad (\varphi_a * \varphi_b)(x) = \frac{1}{w} \int_{\mathfrak{F}^1} a(\lambda) \mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1} \int_G \varphi_\lambda(y) \varphi_b(y^{-1}x) dy d\lambda.$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad \int_G \varphi_\lambda(y) \varphi_b(y^{-1}x) dy &= \int_G \varphi_\lambda(xy^{-1}) \varphi_b(y) dy \\
&= \int_G \int_K \varphi_\lambda(xky^{-1}) dk \varphi_b(y) dy \\
&= \int_G \varphi_\lambda(x) \varphi_\lambda(y^{-1}) \varphi_b(y) dy \\
&= \varphi_\lambda(x) \int_G \varphi_\lambda(y^{-1}) \varphi_b(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the sphericity of  $\varphi_b$  at step 2, and the property  $\int_K \varphi_\lambda(xky) dk = \varphi_\lambda(x) \varphi_\lambda(y)$  of  $\varphi_\lambda$ . Now for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_I$ , we know that  $\varphi_\lambda(y^{-1}) = \overline{\varphi_\lambda(y)} = \varphi_{-\lambda}(y)$ , since in this case  $\varphi_\lambda$  is positive definite. It follows that the last term on the right in (5.5) is  $\varphi_\lambda(x) \hat{\varphi}_b(\lambda)$ . But  $\hat{\varphi}_b = b$ . Hence we get

$$(5.6) \quad \int_G \varphi_\lambda(y) \varphi_b(y^{-1}x) dy = \varphi_\lambda(x) b(\lambda)$$

and so (5.4) gives

$$(5.7) \quad (\varphi_a * \varphi_b)(x) = \frac{1}{w} \int_{\mathfrak{F}_I} a(\lambda) b(\lambda) \varphi_\lambda(x) \mathbf{c}(\lambda)^{-1} \mathbf{c}(-\lambda)^{-1} d\lambda = \varphi_{ab}(x).$$

This establishes (5.1).

Because  $ab \in \bar{Z}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ ,  $\varphi_{ab} \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{ab} = ab$ . On the other hand, since  $\varphi_a \in L_1(\widehat{G})$ ,  $\varphi_b \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , we have that  $\hat{\varphi}_a$  is defined and holomorphic on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_b = b$ . Thus  $\varphi_a * \varphi_b = \hat{\varphi}_a \hat{\varphi}_b = \hat{\varphi}_a b$ . It follows that  $ab = \hat{\varphi}_a b$ . All that we need to observe now is that  $b$  may be chosen so that it is never zero. For example we may take  $b(\lambda) = \exp -\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$ . We can thus conclude that  $\hat{\varphi}_a = a$  on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ . Q. E. D.

We remark that the hypotheses of this lemma could be weakened considerably, by using approximate identities in  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  whose Fourier transforms can be calculated.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}_R^1$  be the set of real parts of elements of  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{F}_R^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_R \mid |s\lambda(H)| \leq \rho(H) \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}^+, s \in W\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$  be the closed convex hull of the elements  $\{s\rho, s \in W\}$  in  $\mathfrak{F}_R$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_R^1 = \mathfrak{C}_\rho$ .*

PROOF. In view of the Helgason and Johnson [8] characterization of bounded, elementary, spherical functions, it follows from the work of Trombi and Varadarajan [12] that  $\mathfrak{F}_R^1$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$ . Consider, then, the reverse inclusion. Identify  $\mathfrak{a}$  with its dual  $\mathfrak{F}_R$  in the usual way. Let  ${}^+\mathfrak{a}$  be the dual cone to  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ , i. e. the set of all  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\langle H, H^+ \rangle > 0$  for all  $H^+ \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ . Then, as is known (cf. Helgason and Johnson [8]), the closure of the set

$$\bigcup_{s \in W} s\{\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap (-{}^+\mathfrak{a} + H_\rho)\}$$

is the closed, convex hull of the set of points  $H_{s\rho} (s \in W)$ , i. e. is  $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$ . This being so, fix an element

$$H \in t\{\mathfrak{a}^+ \cap (-^+ \mathfrak{a} + H_\rho)\} \quad (t \in W)$$

and write  $H = t(-^+ H + H_\rho)$  ( $^+ H \in ^+ \mathfrak{a}$ ). Let  $s \in W$ . Then we must prove that

$$|\langle sH, H^+ \rangle| \leq \langle H_\rho, H^+ \rangle$$

for all  $H^+$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle sH - H_\rho, H^+ \rangle &= \langle st(-^+ H + H_\rho) - (-^+ H + H_\rho) + (-^+ H + H_\rho) - H_\rho, H^+ \rangle \\ &= \langle st(-^+ H + H_\rho) - (-^+ H + H_\rho), H^+ \rangle - \langle ^+ H, H^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $-^+ H + H_\rho \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ , it follows from a general lemma (cf. Harish-Chandra [5, p. 280]) that

$$\langle st(-^+ H + H_\rho) - (-^+ H + H_\rho), H^+ \rangle \leq 0.$$

On the other hand,  $^+ H \in ^+ \mathfrak{a}$  and so, by definition,  $-\langle ^+ H, H^+ \rangle < 0$ . Therefore

$$\langle sH, H^+ \rangle \leq \langle H_\rho, H^+ \rangle.$$

Finally, let  $s_0$  be that element in  $W$  which takes  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  to  $-\mathfrak{a}^+$ . Then the map  $H^+ \mapsto -s_0 H^+$ , the so-called opposition involution, takes  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  bijectively onto itself. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle sH, H^+ \rangle &= \langle s_0 sH, -s_0 H^+ \rangle \\ &\leq \langle H_\rho, -s_0 H^+ \rangle \\ &= -\langle H_{s_0 \rho}, H^+ \rangle \\ &= -\langle H_{-\rho}, H^+ \rangle = \langle H_\rho, H^+ \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\langle sH, H^+ \rangle| \leq \langle H_\rho, H^+ \rangle,$$

as we wished to prove.

Q. E. D.

We now come to the main result of this section. Recall that the representation  $U$  contains the subrepresentations  $U_j$ ,  $j \geq 0$  of class one, occurring with multiplicities  $n_j$ . Recall also that  $\varphi_{\lambda_j}$  is the (positive definite) elementary spherical function associated with  $U_j$ .

**THEOREM 5.3.** *There exists an integer  $d$  such that  $\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j (1 - \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{-d} < \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $r$  be a positive integer and let  $a_r(\lambda)$  be the function  $(1 - \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{-r}$ . We claim that  $a_r(\lambda)$  is holomorphic on  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ . To see this it is enough to verify that for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^1$ ,  $1 - \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$  is never zero. Let  $\lambda = \lambda_R + i\lambda_I$ . Then  $1 - \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle = 1 + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle - \langle \lambda_R, \lambda_R \rangle + \langle \lambda_I, \lambda_I \rangle + 2i\langle \lambda_R, \lambda_I \rangle$ . Now  $\lambda_R \in \mathfrak{F}_R^1$  so  $\lambda_R \in \mathfrak{C}_\rho$  by Lemma 5.2. Hence, by the very definition of  $\mathfrak{C}_\rho$ ,  $\langle \lambda_R, \lambda_R \rangle \leq \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ . It follows that the real part of  $1 - \langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$  is always  $\geq 1$  for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}^1$  which proves our claim.

Clearly  $a_r(\lambda)$  is  $W$ -invariant. It is easy to prove that if  $m, l$  are any given integers  $\geq 0$ , then for large enough  $r$ ,  $a_r \in \bar{Z}_{m,l}(\mathfrak{F}^1)$ . It follows from Proposition

4.4 that for large enough  $r$ , the wave-packet  $\varphi_{a_r}$  is defined and  $|\varphi_{a_r}|$  admits an  $L_1$ -majorant of regular growth, so that by Proposition 4.2,  $U(\varphi_{a_r})$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ . Now, if  $U_j$  is the subrepresentation of  $U$  mentioned above, as we have remarked in § 1,  $\text{Trace}(U_j(f) * U_j(f)) = |\hat{f}(\lambda_j)|^2$  for any spherical integrable  $f$ . It follows that

$$\text{Trace}(U(\varphi_{a_r}) * U(\varphi_{a_r})) = \sum_{j \geq 0} n_j |\hat{\varphi}_{a_r}(\lambda_j)|^2 < \infty$$

since  $U(\varphi_{a_r})$  is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Now it is easy to check that if  $u \in S(\mathfrak{F})$ , then  $|\partial(u)a_r(\lambda)| \leq C_{u,r}(1 + \|\lambda\|^2)^{-r}$ . Hence the function  $a_r$  satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1 if  $r$  is large enough. It follows that  $\hat{\varphi}_{a_r} = a_r$ . Hence we see that  $\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j(1 - \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{-2r} < \infty$ , proving the assertion of the theorem. Q. E. D.

If  $\Omega$  is the Casimir operator of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then it is known that  $\Omega\varphi_\lambda = (\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)\varphi_\lambda$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If it also happens that  $\varphi_\lambda$  is positive definite, then one can show that the eigenvalue  $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$  is nonpositive; see, e. g. [1]. In our case all the  $\varphi_{\lambda_j}$  are positive definite. Hence  $-\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \geq 0$ . It follows from the convergence of the series  $\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j(1 - \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^{-a}$  that if  $r \geq 0$ , then the number of indices  $j$  for which  $-\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \leq r$  is finite. Since the numbers  $\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$  are precisely the eigenvalues by which the Casimir operator  $\Omega$  acts on  $U_j$ , we get:

**COROLLARY 5.5.** *Let  $\omega_j$  be the scalar by which the Casimir operator  $\Omega$  acts on  $U_j$ . Then  $\omega_j \leq 0$  and the numbers  $\{\omega_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  have no finite point of accumulation.*

**§ 6. Admissibility of functions in  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ .**

Recall the notion of admissibility used in § 1.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *There exists an integer  $p$  with the following property: If  $f$  is a continuous spherical function such that i)  $\sum_{r \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$  converges uniformly on compacta in  $G \times G$ ; ii)  $f$  is of class  $C^{2p}$ ; iii)  $f \in L_1(G)$  and  $\Omega^p f \in L_1(G)$ , then  $f$  is admissible.*

**PROOF.** All we need to do is to show that  $\sum_{j \geq 0} n_j \hat{f}(\lambda_j)$  converges absolutely. Now, the hypothesis  $\Omega^p f \in L_1(G)$  implies immediately that its Fourier transform  $\widehat{\Omega^p f}$  is bounded in absolute value. However  $(\widehat{\Omega^p f})(\lambda_j) = (\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle)^p \hat{f}(\lambda_j)$ . It follows that  $|\hat{f}(\lambda_j)| \leq C |\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle|^{-p}$  wherever  $\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle \neq 0$ . Since there are only a finite number of  $\lambda_j$  for which  $\langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle$  can be zero, we see that a suitable  $C' > 0$ , we have  $|\hat{f}(\lambda_j)| \leq C' |1 - \langle \lambda_j, \lambda_j \rangle + \langle \rho, \rho \rangle|^{-p}$ . The proposition now follows from Theorem 5.3. Q. E. D.

Now, if  $f$  is any  $C^{2p}$  function of compact support,  $f$  clearly fulfills the above hypothesis. Hence we get:

COROLLARY 6.2. *Let  $p$  be the integer of Proposition 6.1. Suppose that  $f$  is of class  $C^{2p}$ ,  $f$  is spherical and that  $f$  has compact support. Then  $f$  is admissible, so that  $U(f)$  is an integral operator of trace class on  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ , with continuous kernel  $K_f(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$ .*

We understand from Varadarajan that a more general version of this result has been proved by Harish-Chandra (unpublished) in the context of noncompact  $G/\Gamma$ , working on the discrete spectrum of  $L_2(G/\Gamma)$ . Our methods are, however, different.

We also have :

COROLLARY 6.3. *Every function  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$  is admissible.*

PROOF. If  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , it is obvious that  $f$  satisfies hypotheses ii) and iii) of Theorem 6.1. So all we need to do is to show that  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$  converges uniformly on compacta of  $G \times G$ .

Now, by the definition of the space  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , for any integer  $r \geq 0$  there exists a real number  $C_{f,r}$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad |f(x)| \leq C_{f,r} \mapsto (x)^2(1+\sigma(x))^{-r}.$$

If we choose  $r$  large enough, then the argument used in the proof of Proposition 4.4 shows that  $|f|$  has an  $L_1$  majorant of regular growth. From this it follows in a standard manner (cf. [3]) that  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma y^{-1})$  converges uniformly on compacta in  $G \times G$ . Q. E. D.

By virtue of this proposition, for each  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ ,  $\text{Trace}(U(f))$  is defined.

THEOREM 6.4. *The map  $f \mapsto \text{Trace}(U(f))$  is continuous in the topology of  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ .*

PROOF. Suppose  $f_n$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ . We wish to show that  $\text{Trace}(U(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$ .

For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , and integer  $r \geq 0$ , let  $\nu_r(f) = \sup_{x \in G} |f(x)| \mapsto (x)^{-2}(1+\sigma(x))^r$ . Clearly  $\nu_r(f)$  is finite for each  $r$ , and

$$(6.2) \quad |f(x)| \leq \nu_r(f) \mapsto (x)^2(1+\sigma(x))^{-r} \\ \leq \nu_r(f) C \exp -2\rho(A(x))(1+\sigma(x))^{d-r}$$

by virtue of (4.9).

However, by virtue of Lemma 4.3, it follows that if we write  $F_r(x)$  for the function  $e^{-2\rho(A(x))}(1+\sigma(x))^{d-r}$ , then we can find a constant  $C(r, \rho, \delta)$  such that

$$(6.3) \quad F_r(x) \leq C(r, \rho, \delta) \int_{xV_{\delta(e)}} F_r(y) dy.$$

It follows that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , then

$$(6.4) \quad |f(x)| \leq C \cdot C(r, \rho, \delta) \nu_r(f) \int_{V_{\delta(e)}} F_r(xy) dy$$

so

$$(6.5) \quad |f(x\gamma x^{-1})| \leq C C(r, \rho, \delta) \nu_r(f) \int_{V_\delta(e)} F_r(x\gamma x^{-1}y) dy$$

and

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |K_f(x, x)| &= \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x\gamma x^{-1}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |f(x\gamma x^{-1})| \\ &\leq C \cdot C(r, \rho, \delta) \nu_r(f) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{V_\delta(e)} F_r(x\gamma x^{-1}y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Gamma$  is discrete, and  $V_\delta(e)$  is compact, the set  $\Gamma \cap x^{-1}V_\delta(e)^{-1}V_\delta(e)x$  contains only a finite number of elements, say  $N$  ( $N$  independent of  $x$ ). It follows that for large  $r$ ,

$$(6.7) \quad |K_f(x, x)| \leq C \cdot C(r, \rho, \delta) \nu_r(f) N \int_G F_r(y) dy.$$

Hence

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\text{Trace}(U(f))| &= \left| \int_{\mathfrak{D}} K_f(x, x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \text{Vol}(\mathfrak{D}) C \cdot C(r, \rho, \delta) N \nu_r(f) \|F_r\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nu_r$  is a continuous seminorm on  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ ,  $\nu_r(f_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{S}^1(G)$ , from which our assertion follows. Q. E. D.

### Bibliography

- [ 1 ] R. Gangolli, Asymptotic behaviour of spectra of compact quotients of certain symmetric spaces, *Acta Math.*, 121 (1968), 151-192.
- [ 2 ] H. Garland, Arithmetic subgroups and boundary behaviour of associated harmonic forms (Manuscript).
- [ 3 ] I.M. Gelfand, et al., Representation theory and automorphic forms, W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 1969.
- [ 4 ] S. Gindikin and F. Karpelevič, Plancherel measure of Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-positive curvature, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 145 (1962), 252-255.
- [ 5 ] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semi-simple Lie group I, *Amer. J. Math.*, 80 (1958), 241-310.
- [ 6 ] Harish-Chandra, Discrete series for semi-simple Lie groups II, *Acta Math.*, 116 (1966), 1-111.
- [ 7 ] S. Helgason, Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [ 8 ] S. Helgason and K. Johnson, The bounded spherical functions on symmetric spaces, *Advances in Math.*, 3 (1969), 586-593.
- [ 9 ] R.P. Langlands, The dimension of spaces of automorphic forms, *Amer. J. Math.*, 85 (1963), 99-125.
- [10] A. Selberg, Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric

- Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series, *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 20 (1956), 47-87.
- [11] T. Tamagawa, On Selberg's trace formula, *J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo*, 8 (1960), 363-386.
- [12] P. Trombi and V. Varadarajan, Spherical transforms on semisimple Lie groups, *Ann. of Math.*, 74 (1971), 243-303.

Ramesh GANGOLLI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98195  
U.S.A.

Garth WARNER  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98195  
U.S.A.

---