

WEIGHTED AVERAGES OF SUBMARTINGALES

NORIIHIKO KAZAMAKI AND TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA

(Received April 20, 1967)

Let $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a submartingale. For a given sequence of positive numbers w_1, w_2, \dots , we consider the weighted averages

$$s_n = (w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n) / W_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where $W_n = w_1 + \dots + w_n$. Although the sequence $\{s_n\}$ need not be a submartingale, we may expect some similar properties to the original submartingale.

THEOREM 1. *For the submartingale $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$, using the above notations, suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n = \infty$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent to each other:*

$$(1) \quad \sup_n E\{|s_n|\} < \infty,$$

$$(2) \quad \sup_n E\{|x_n|\} < \infty.$$

By the classical submartingale convergence theorem, the condition (2) is sufficient to insure the almost sure convergence of $\{x_n\}$, hence so is the condition (1).

PROOF. It is easy to get (1) from (2), in fact,

$$E\{|s_n|\} \leq E\left\{\frac{w_1|x_1| + \dots + w_n|x_n|}{W_n}\right\} \leq \sup_j E\{|x_j|\}.$$

To show that (1) implies (2) we consider the two cases of martingale and submartingale.

(i) Let $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a martingale. If $m < n$, we have by the definition of conditional expectations and the martingale equality

$$\begin{aligned} E\{|s_n|\} &= E\{E\{|s_n| | \mathfrak{F}_m\}\} \\ &\geq E\{|E\{s_n | \mathfrak{F}_m\}|\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= E \left\{ \left| \frac{w_1 x_1 + \cdots + w_m x_m}{W_n} + \frac{w_{m+1} + \cdots + w_n}{W_n} x_m \right| \right\} \\
&\cong \frac{w_{m+1} + \cdots + w_n}{W_n} E\{|x_m|\} - \frac{w_1 E\{|x_1|\} + \cdots + w_m E\{|x_m|\}}{W_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Making $n \rightarrow \infty$, m being fixed,

$$\sup_n E\{|s_n|\} \cong E\{|x_m|\}$$

since $W_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(w_{m+1} + \cdots + w_n)/W_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we get (2) in this case.

(ii) Now let $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a submartingale. Then we can write ([1] p. 296)

$$x_n = x'_n + \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j,$$

$$\Delta_1 = 0, \quad \Delta_j = E\{x_j | \mathfrak{F}_{j-1}\} - x_{j-1} \quad (j \geq 2)$$

where $\{x'_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale, and $\Delta_j \geq 0$ ($j \geq 1$). Denote

$$\delta_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_j \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence of positive random variables and is monotone increasing with respect to n . Denote further by s_n, s'_n and t_n the weighted averages of x_n, x'_n , and δ_n respectively, so that $s_n = s'_n + t_n$, and we find

$$\begin{aligned}
E\{s_n\} &= E\{s'_n\} + E\{t_n\} \\
&= E\{x'_1\} + E\{t_n\},
\end{aligned}$$

since by the martingale equality $E\{x'_n\} = E\{x'_1\}$ for all n .

Hence, from the assumption (1) we get

$$\sup_n E\{t_n\} \leq \sup_n E\{|s_n|\} + E\{|x'_1|\} < \infty$$

and again from the inequality

$$E\{|s'_n|\} \leq E\{|s_n|\} + E\{t_n\}$$

we find $\sup_n E\{|s'_n|\} < \infty$. Hence applying the case (i) to the martingale $\{x'_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ we get $\sup_n E\{|x'_n|\} < \infty$. As $\{\delta_n\}$ is an increasing sequence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E\{\delta_n\} = E\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n\} = E\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n\} = \sup_n E\{t_n\},$$

and then $\sup_n E\{\delta_n\} < \infty$, so that

$$\sup_n E\{|x_n|\} \leq \sup_n E\{|x'_n|\} + \sup_n E\{\delta_n\} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a submartingale. Let w_1, w_2, \dots be positive numbers such that $W_n = w_1 + \dots + w_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and let \mathfrak{F}_∞ be the Borel field generated by the field $\bigcup_m \mathfrak{F}_m$. Suppose that any $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty$*

satisfies the following condition:

(H): for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist an integer m and a set $\Lambda_m \in \mathfrak{F}_m$ such that $\Lambda_m \subset \Lambda$ and $P\{\Lambda - \Lambda_m\} < \varepsilon$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and the sequence of their weighted averages $s_n = (w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n) / W_n$ are equiconvergent almost surely.

PROOF. It is enough to prove that if $\{s_n\}$ converges on Λ , then $\{x_n\}$ does almost surely on Λ , since the converse is evident. Further we shall show the almost sure convergence of $\{x_n\}$ on Λ under weaker assumption that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is bounded almost surely on Λ .

Clearly we may suppose $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty$ and $P\{\Lambda\} > 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a positive constant M and a set $\Lambda^* \in \mathfrak{F}_\infty$ such that

$$\Lambda^* = \Lambda \cap \{|s_n| < M \text{ for all } n\}$$

and $P\{\Lambda - \Lambda^*\} < \varepsilon/2$. By the assumption (H) we can find an integer m and a set $\Lambda_m \in \mathfrak{F}_m$ such that $\Lambda_m \subset \Lambda^*$ and $P\{\Lambda^* - \Lambda_m\} < \varepsilon/2$. Therefore we have $P\{\Lambda - \Lambda_m\} < \varepsilon$, $\Lambda_m \in \mathfrak{F}_m$ and on Λ_m , $|s_n| < M$ for all n .

The process $\{x_n 1_{\Lambda_m}, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq m\}$ is a submartingale, since for $n > m$,

$$E\{x_n 1_{\Lambda_m} | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}\} = 1_{\Lambda_m} E\{x_n | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}\} \geq x_{n-1} 1_{\Lambda_m}.$$

From the preceding fact the weighted averages of this martingale $s_n 1_{\Lambda_m}$ satisfy that

$$M \geq \int_{\Lambda_m} |s_n| dP = E\{|s_n 1_{\Lambda_m}|\}$$

for all $n \geq m$. Hence by Theorem 1 $\sup_n E\{|x_n 1_{\Lambda_m}|\} < \infty$, and by the classical theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n 1_{\Lambda_m}$ exists and finite almost surely, or so does $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ on Λ_m . As ε is arbitrary we complete the proof.

REMARK. We give an example which shows that the condition (H) in Theorem 2 cannot be suppressed. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$ be the Wiener probability space with $\Omega = [0, 1[$, \mathfrak{B} the class of all linear Borel sets in Ω and P the Lebesgue measure. Let $w_n = 1$ for all n and define

$$x_1(\omega) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } 0 \leq \omega < 1/2 \\ 1 & \text{for } 1/2 \leq \omega < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$x_2(\omega) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } 0 \leq \omega < 1/2 \\ 7 & \text{for } 1/2 \leq \omega < 5/8 \\ -1 & \text{for } 5/8 \leq \omega < 1 \end{cases}$$

and generally

$$x_{n+1}(\omega) = \begin{cases} x_n(\omega) & \text{for } 0 \leq \omega < a_n \\ (-1)^{n+1}(2^{n+1} + 3) & \text{for } a_n \leq \omega < a_{n+1} \\ (-1)^n & \text{for } a_{n+1} \leq \omega < 1 \end{cases}$$

where $a_1 = 1/2$, $a_n = 1/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1/2^{k+2}$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$). Let \mathfrak{F}_n be the Borel field induced by x_1, \dots, x_n , then $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale. The set $\Lambda = [3/4, 1[$ does not belong to the field $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{F}_n$, but is contained in \mathfrak{F}_∞ and $P\{\Lambda\} > 0$. Moreover on Λ , $x_n = (-1)^n$, and hence $s_n = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)/n$ converges to zero whereas x_n diverges.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $p \geq 1$ be a constant. Under the same assumption of Theorem 1, the two conditions $\sup_n E\{|s_n|^p\} < \infty$ and $\sup_n E\{|x_n|^p\} < \infty$ are equivalent to each other.*

PROOF. We get easily the conclusion along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1 after a suitable use of the Minkowski inequality.

Instead of the weighted averages we shall consider those of the Nörlund type

$$\bar{s}_n = (w_n x_1 + w_{n-1} x_2 + \dots + w_1 x_n) / W_n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

then we can show the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. For a submartingale $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$, let $p \geq 1$ be a constant and suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\text{Max}_{1 \leq j \leq n} w_j) / W_n = 0$$

If $\sup_n E\{|\bar{s}_n|^p\} < \infty$, then $\sup_n E\{|x_n|^p\} < \infty$, and conversely; under the condition (H), if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{s}_n$ exists and is finite almost surely on Λ , then so does $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, and conversely.

PROOF. If we follow the corresponding lines of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, the only points to be noticed are:

$$(w_n + w_{n-1} + \dots + w_{n-N+1}) / W_n \rightarrow 0$$

and $(w_{n-N} + \dots + w_1) / W_n \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for N fixed. These facts are immediate consequences of the additional assumption of $\{w_n\}$.

Finally we mention the Abel mean analogue to the above results. Denote the Abel mean of $\{x_n\}$ by

$$A_r = (1-r) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r^j x_j \quad (0 < r < 1).$$

COROLLARY 3. For a submartingale $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$, if $\sup_{0 < r < 1} E\{|A_r|^p\} < \infty$, then $\sup_n E\{|x_n|^p\} < \infty$ and conversely, where $p \geq 1$ is constant. Under the condition (H) of Theorem 2, if $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} A_r$ exists and is finite almost surely on Λ , then so does $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, and conversely.

PROOF. Let m be a fixed positive integer. If $\{x_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a martingale, then

$$\begin{aligned} E\{|A_r|^p\} &= E\{E\{|A_r|^p | \mathfrak{F}_m\}\} \\ &\geq E\left\{ \left| E\left((1-r) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r^j x_j + (1-r) \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} r^j x_j \mid \mathfrak{F}_m \right) \right|^p \right\} \\ &= E\left\{ \left| (1-r) \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r^j x_j + (1-r) x_m \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} r^j \right|^p \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\cong 2^{1-p} r^{m p} \mathbf{E}\{|x_m|^p\} - (1-r)^p \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} r^j x_j\right|^p\right\}.$$

Make $r \rightarrow 1-0$ for fixed m , and we get

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} \mathbf{E}\{|A_r|^p\} \cong 2^{1-p} \mathbf{E}\{|x_m|^p\}.$$

In this case the first conclusion of Corollary was proved; the submartingale case is treated along the similar way to the proof of Theorem 1, and so are the other conclusions.

REFERENCE

- [1] J. L. DOOB, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York, 1953.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE,
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
SENDAI, JAPAN.