# ON TRIVIAL EXTENSIONS WHICH ARE QUASI-FROBENIUS ONES

By

#### Kazuhiko HIRATA

Recently Y. Kitamura has characterized a trivial extension which is a Frobenius extension in [2]. In this paper we characterize a trivial extension which is a quasi-Frobenius extension.

Let R be a ring with an identity and M an (R, R)-bimodule. The trivial extension S=(R, M) of R by M is the direct sum of additive groups R and M with the multiplication  $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2)=(r_1r_2, r_1m_2+m_1r_2)$  for  $(r_i, m_i)\in S$ . S is a ring containing R with the identification  $r \to (r, 0)$  for  $r \in R$ . Let \*S be the dual space of S as a left R-module. Then \*S is isomorphic to the direct sum of R and \*M= Hom  $(_RM, _RR): *S=[R, *M]$ . The action of an element  $[a, h]\in *S$  on S is given by [a, h]((r, m))=ra+h(m) for  $(r, m)\in S$ . \*S has the structure of an (S, R)-bimodule. This is given by (r, m)[a, h]=[ra+h(m), rh] and [a, h]r=[ar, hr] for  $(r, m)\in S$ ,  $[a, h]\in *S$  and  $r \in R$ .

Following to [3] a ring extension S over R is called a left quasi-Frobenius extension when S is left R-finitely generated projective and a direct summand of a finite direct sum of \*S as an (S, R)-bimodule.

Let S be the trivial extension of R by M, and assume that S is a left quasi-Frobenius extension of R. Then there exist (S, R)-homomorphisms  $\Phi: S \rightarrow *S \oplus \cdots \oplus *S$ and  $\Psi: *S \oplus \cdots \oplus *S \rightarrow S$  such that  $\Psi \circ \Phi = 1_S$ . Let  $\Phi((1, 0)) = ([a_1, h_1], \cdots, [a_n, h_n])$ . Then it is easily seen that  $h_i$  is contained in Hom  $(_RM_R, _RR_R)$  for all *i*. Next, we consider homomorphisms from \*S to S. Since S is left R-finitely generated projective, we have following isomorphisms

> Hom  $({}_{S}*S_{R}, {}_{S}S_{R}) =$  Hom  $({}_{S}$ Hom  $({}_{R}S, {}_{R}R)_{R}, {}_{S}S_{R})$  $\cong \{$ Hom  $(R_{R}, S_{R}) \otimes_{R}S\}^{S} \cong \{S \otimes_{R}S\}^{S}$

where  $\{S \otimes_R S\}^S$  means the set of elements in  $S \otimes_R S$  commuting to the elements of S. Explicitly, the correspondence is given by  $\sum (s_1 \otimes s_2)(f) = \sum s_1 f(s_2)$  for  $\sum s_1 \otimes s_2 \in \{S \otimes_R S\}^S$  and  $f \in S$ . Let  $\Psi_i$  be the restriction of  $\Psi$  to *i*-th component of  $*S \oplus \cdots \oplus *S$  and  $\sum_j (b_{ij}, m_{ij}) \otimes (c_{ij}, n_{ij})$  the corresponding element in  $\{S \otimes_R S\}^S$ . Then, for  $[a, h] \in S$ , we have

Received October 15, 1981.

#### Kazuhiko HIRATA

$$\Psi_i([a, h]) = (b_i a + h(n_i), m_i a + \Sigma_j m_{ij} h(n_{ij}))$$

where  $b_i = \sum_j b_{ij} c_{ij}$ ,  $n_i = \sum_j b_{ij} n_{ij}$  and  $m_i = \sum_j m_{ij} c_{ij}$ . Using the fact that  $\Psi_i$  is a left S-homomorphism, we see easily that  $m_i \in M^R = \{m \in M \mid rm = mr, \text{ for any } r \in R\}$ ,  $h(rn_i - n_i r) = 0$  for any  $h \in M$  and  $r \in R$ , and  $mh(n_i) = m_i h(m)$  for any  $h \in M$  and  $m \in M$ . Further, from  $(0, m) = \Psi \circ \Phi((0, m))$  we have  $m = \sum_i m_i h_i(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . This means that M is a direct summand of a finite direct sum of R as an (R, R)-bimodule:  $RM_R < \bigoplus_R (R \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus R)_R$ . From this and  $h(rn_i - n_i r) = 0$  above,  $n_i$  is in  $M^R$  for all i. We have proved the half direction of the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. Let S be the trivial extension of R by M. Then S is a left quasi-Frobenius extension of R if and only if M is an (R, R)-direct summand of a finite direct sum of R, and for a system of projective bases  $\{m_i, h_i\}$  of M there exist  $n_is$  in  $M^R$  such that, for all  $i, mh(n_i) = m_ih(m)$  hold for any  $m \in M^*$  and  $h \in M$ .

PROOF. We prove the converse. Assume that there are given  $\{m_i, h_i\}$  and  $n_i$  described in the proposition. Set  $e = \sum_i h_i(n_i)$ . Then *e* is in the centre *C* of *R*. Further we have  $me = \sum_i mh_i(n_i) = \sum_i m_i h_i(m) = m$  for any  $m \in M$ . In particular, since  $h_i(n_i) = h_i(n_i) = h_i(n_i) = e$  is a central idempotent.

Define the map  $\Psi_i: *S \to S$  by  $\Psi_i([a, h]) = ((1-e)a + h(n_i), m_i a)$ . Then  $\Psi_i$  is an (S, R)-homomorphism. Set  $\Psi = \Sigma_i \Psi_i$ , the map from  $*S \oplus \cdots \oplus *S$  to S. Next, define the map  $\Phi: S \to *S \oplus \cdots \oplus *S$  by  $\Phi((1, 0)) = ([1-e, h_1], [0, h_2], \cdots, [0, h_n])$ . Then we see that  $\Psi \cdot \Phi = 1_S$  and this completes the proof.

We continue the consideration. From the equation  $mh(n_i) = m_i h(m)$ , we have  $m_j h_j(n_i) = m_i h_j(m_j)$ , and so  $n_i = m_i t$  with  $t = \sum_j h_j(m_j)$ . Further, as  $h_i(n_i) = h_i(m_i)t$  we have  $e = t^2$  and  $n_i t = m_i$ .

As M is an (R, R)-direct summand of a finite direct sum of R, M is isomorphic to  $M^R \otimes_C R$  and  $M^R$  is C-(and also eC-) finitely generated projective (faithful) by [1] Theorem 1.2. Further, since there hold following isomorphisms

\*
$$M$$
= Hom ( $_{R}M, _{R}R$ )  $\cong$  Hom ( $_{R}(M^{R}\otimes_{C}R), _{R}R$ )  $\cong$  Hom ( $_{C}(M^{R}, \text{ Hom }(_{R}R, _{R}R))$ )  
 $\cong$  Hom ( $_{C}(M^{R}, R)$ )  $\cong$  Hom ( $_{C}(M^{R}, C)\otimes_{C}R$ ,

we may regard that Hom  $_{\mathcal{C}}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C})$  is in \*M. (Note that Hom $_{\mathcal{C}}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, e\mathbb{C})$ ). Therefore the relation  $mh(n_i) = m_ih(m)$  holds for any  $m \in M^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus we have  $mh(m_i) = m_ih(m)t$ . As  $M^{\mathbb{R}} = \sum_i m_i \mathbb{C}$ , we have mh(n) = nh(m)t for all  $m, n \in M^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{C}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, e\mathbb{C})$ . On the other hand, since we may consider  $mh(n) = (m \otimes h)(n)$  where  $m \otimes h \in M^{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{eC} \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, e\mathbb{C}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, M^{\mathbb{R}})$ , we conclude that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M^{\mathbb{R}}, M^{\mathbb{R}}) \cong e\mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $M^{\mathbb{R}}$  is an eC-finitely generated projective module of rank 1. Conversely, assume that e is a central idempotent of R and  $M_0$  is an eC-finitely generated projective module of rank 1. Then, as the canonical map  $eC \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M_0, M_0)$ is an isomorphism, for an element  $m \otimes h \in M_0 \otimes_{eC} \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M_0, eC)$  there exists  $a \in eC$  such that  $mh(n) = (m \otimes h)(n) = na$  for any  $n \in M_0$ . Let  $\{m_i, h_i\}$  be a system of projective bases for  $M_0$ . Then, since  $mh(m_i) = m_i a$ , we obtain  $h_i(m_i)a = h_i(m_i a) = h_i(mh(m_i)) = h_i(m)h(m_i) =$  $h(h_i(m)m_i)$ . Therefore ta = h(m) where  $t = \sum_i h_i(m_i)$ . Then nh(m) = nta = mh(n)t. As this holds for any n, h and m, we have  $nh(m) = mh(n)t = nh(m)t^2$ . Therefore, since  $M_0$  is faithful, we have  $n = nt^2$  for any  $n \in M_0$ , and  $t^2 = e$ . Put  $n_i = m_i t$ . Then  $mh(n_i) =$  $m_i ta = m_i h(m)$  for any  $m \in M_0$  and  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{eC}(M_0, eC)$ . Define  $M = M_0 \otimes_{eC} R(=M_0 \otimes_{C} R)$ . Then M is an (R, R)-direct summand of a finite direct sum of R and it is easily seen that there holds, for each  $i, mh(n_i) = m_i h(m)$  for any  $m \in M$  and  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}(_R M, _R R)$ . By Proposition 1, we proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let S be the trivial extension of R by M and C the centre of R. Then S is a left quasi-Frobenius extension of R if and only if M is isomorphic to  $M^R \otimes_C R$  and there exists a central idempotent e in R such that  $M^R$  is an eC-finitely generated projective module of rank 1.

REMARK. It can be shown that the element  $t = \sum_i h_i(m_i)$  in the proof of Theorem 2 is equal to e.

Since the condition discribed in Theorem 2 is left right symmetric, we have

COROLLARY 3. On a trivial extension, a left quasi-Frobenius extension is as well as a right quasi-Frobenius extension.

Y. Kitamura has proved that a trivial extension is a Frobenius extension if and only if M is isomorphic to eR for some central idempotent e. As M is isomorphic to eR if and only if  $M^R$  is isomorphic to eC, we have

COROLLARY 4. A trivial extension which is a quasi-Frobenius one is a Frobenius extension if and only if  $M^{\mathbb{R}}$  in Theorem 2 is eC-free of rank 1 for some central idempotent e in R.

## Kazuhiko HIRATA

### References

- [1] Hirata, K., Some types of separable extensions of rings, Nagoya Math. J. 33 (1968) 107-115.
- [2] Kitamura, Y., A characterization of a trivial extension which is a Frobenius one, Arch der Math. 34 (1980) 111-113.
- [3] Müller, B., Quasi-Frobenius Erweiterungen, Math. Z. 85 (1964) 345-368.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chiba University Yayoi-cho, Chiba-city 260 Japan.