

## ON THE SUM OF DIGITS OF PRIMES IN IMAGINARY QUADRATIC FIELDS

By

Ryuji KANEIWA and Iekata SHIOKAWA

**1. Introduction.** Let  $r \geq 2$  be a fixed integer. Any positive integer  $n$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$(1) \quad n = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j r^{k-j} = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k,$$

where each  $a_j$  is one of  $0, 1, \dots, r-1$  and

$$(2) \quad k = k(n) = \left[ \frac{\log n}{\log r} \right] + 1,$$

where  $[u]$  is the integral part of the real number  $u$ . We put

$$s(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j.$$

I. Kátaï [1] proved, assuming the validity of density hypothesis for the Riemann zeta function, that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} s(p) = \frac{r-1}{2 \log r} x + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log \log x)^{1/3}}\right),$$

where in the sum  $p$  runs through the prime numbers. The second-named author [6] proved, without any hypothesis, the result of Kátaï with an improved remainder term

$$(3) \quad O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

His method is to appeal to a simple combinatorial inequality (see Lemma in §4), and the deepest result on which he depends is the prime number theorem in a weak form

$$(4) \quad \sum_{p \leq x} 1 = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^2}\right).$$

E. Heppner [2] independently proved a more general result by making use of a Chebyshev's inequality to the sum of independent random variables (cf. [5] p. 387, Theorem 2): Let  $B$  be a set of positive integers such that

$$\log \frac{x}{B(x)} = o(\log x),$$

where

$$B(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in B}} 1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \in B}} s(n) = \frac{r-1}{2} \frac{\log x}{\log r} B(x) \left( 1 + O \left( \left( \frac{\log \log x + \log \frac{x}{B(x)}}{\log x} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right).$$

This together with (4) implies (3).

In the present paper we shall show that the estimate (3) is also valid, in some sense, for primes in each imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ , where  $m$  is any positive square free integer.

**2. Representation of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$  in the scale of  $r$ .** Let  $\mathfrak{o}$  be the ring of all integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . Any  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  can be expressed in a unique way as

$$\alpha = a + b\omega \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-m} & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{1 + \sqrt{-m}}{2} & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes as usual the set of all rational integers. So by means of the expressions

$$|a| = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{k(|a|)}, \quad |b| = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{k(|b|)}$$

given by (1), we can define coordinately the representation of  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  in the scale of  $r$ ; i. e.

$$(5) \quad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j r^{k-j} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_k,$$

where

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} k &= k(\alpha) = \max \{k(|a|), k(|b|)\}, \quad k(0) = 1, \\ \alpha_j &= \operatorname{sgn}(a) a_j + \operatorname{sgn}(b) b_j \omega, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\operatorname{sgn}(c) = c/|c|$  if  $c \neq 0$ ,  $= 0$  otherwise. We define

$$s(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j.$$

We write

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}; a \geq 0, b \geq 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{-a + b\omega \mid a + b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \{-a - b\omega \mid a + b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \{a - b\omega \mid a + b\omega \in \mathcal{A}_1\},$$

so that  $\mathfrak{o} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}_4$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{B}_i$  the set of all 'digits'  $\alpha_j$  needed for the expressions (5) of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_j$ . Then

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{c + d\omega \mid c, d = 0, 1, \dots, r-1\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{-c + d\omega \mid c + d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \{-c - d\omega \mid c + d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_4 = \{c - d\omega \mid c + d\omega \in \mathcal{B}_1\},$$

and  $\text{card } \mathcal{B}_i = r^2$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ). So we may say that the  $r$ -adic expression (5) of  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  is a kind of representation in the scale of  $r^2$ . For any fixed  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i$  we denote by  $F(\alpha, \beta)$  the number of  $\beta$  appearing in the expression (5) of an integer  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i$ . By definition

$$(7) \quad s(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_i} \beta F(\alpha, \beta) \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_i)$$

and

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} F(a + b\omega, c + d\omega) &= F(-a + b\omega, -c + d\omega) \\ &= F(-a - b\omega, -c - d\omega) = F(a - b\omega, c - d\omega) \quad (a, b \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

The norm of  $\alpha = a + b\omega \in \mathfrak{o}$  is a rational integer

$$N(\alpha) = \begin{cases} a^2 + mb^2 & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ a^2 + ab + \frac{m+1}{4}b^2 & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

so that for  $\alpha \neq 0$

$$(9) \quad \left| k(\alpha) - \frac{\log N(\alpha)}{2 \log r} \right| \leq c_1,$$

where  $c_1$  is a constant depending only on  $m$ , since by definition

$$\left| k(a) - \frac{\max(\log |a|, \log |b|)}{\log r} \right| \leq 1$$

(we mean that  $\max(\log 0, x) = x$ ) and

$$\begin{aligned} & |2 \max(\log |a|, \log |b|) - \log N(\alpha)| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \log(1+m) & \text{if } -m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \log\left(2 + \frac{m+1}{4}\right) & \text{if } -m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**3. A prime number theorem** (A. Mitsui [3], [4]). An integer  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$  is said to be prime if  $(\alpha)$  is a prime ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$ . Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  be two real numbers such that  $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$ . Then

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha: \text{prime} \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2}} 1 = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t} + O(x \exp(-c_2(\log x)^{3/5}(\log \log x)^{-1/5})),$$

where  $h$  is the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$  and

$$w = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } m=1, \\ 6 & \text{if } m=3, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We note that a weaker estimate  $O(x/(\log x)^2)$  is sufficient for the proof of our theorem.

**4. A combinatorial lemma** (I. Shiokawa [6]). Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_g$  be given  $g$  symbols and let  $A^j$  be the set of all sequences of these symbols of length  $j \geq 1$ . Denote by  $F_j(\alpha, \beta)$  the number of any fixed symbol  $\beta$  appearing in a sequence  $\alpha \in A^j$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  there exist a positive integer  $j_0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that the number of sequences  $\alpha \in A^j$  satisfying

$$\left| F_j(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{j}{g} \right| > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

is less than  $jg^j \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$  for all  $j \geq j_0$ , where  $c_3$  is an absolute constant.

**5. Theorem.** Let  $\varphi_1=0$ ,  $\varphi_5=2\pi$ ,  $\varphi_2=\arg \omega$ ,  $\varphi_3=\pi$ , and  $\varphi_4=\varphi_2+\pi$ . Then for any  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  satisfying  $\varphi_j \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq \varphi_{j+1}$  for some  $j$  we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha: \text{prime} \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2}} s(\alpha) = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \frac{(r-1)}{4 \log r} \lambda_j x + O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

where

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} 1+\omega & \text{if } j=1, \\ -1+\omega & \text{if } j=2, \\ -1-\omega & \text{if } j=3, \\ 1-\omega & \text{if } j=4, \end{cases}$$

and the  $O$ -constant depends at most on  $r$  and  $m$ .

**6. Proof of Theorem.** By (7) and (8) we may assume  $j=1$ . We define for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1$

$$(12) \quad D(\alpha, \beta) = \left| F(\alpha, \beta) - \frac{k(\alpha)}{r^2} \right|.$$

Put for brevity

$$\mathcal{C}(x) = \{\alpha \in \mathfrak{o} \mid \alpha : \text{prime}, N(\alpha) \leq x, \theta_1 \leq \arg \alpha \leq \theta_2\}.$$

Then by (7) and (12)

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} s(\alpha) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1} \beta \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} F(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \frac{r-1}{2} \lambda_1 \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha) + O\left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} D(\alpha, \beta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (9) and (10) we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha) = \frac{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)w}{2\pi h} \frac{x}{2 \log r} + O\left( \frac{x}{\log x} \right).$$

Put  $D(\alpha) = D(\alpha, \beta_0)$ , where  $\beta_0$  is any fixed integer in  $\mathcal{B}_1$ . We have from (9), (10), and (12)

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} D(\alpha) &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon} + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x) \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} D(\alpha) \\ &= O\left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(x)} (\log N(\alpha))^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right) = O\left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} D(\alpha) \right) \\ &= O(x \log x)^{\varepsilon-1/2} + O(\log x \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, using (9),

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 \leq \sum_{j \leq l(x)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ k(\alpha) = j \\ D(\alpha) > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1,$$

where

$$l(x) = \frac{\log x}{2 \log r} + c_1.$$

Applying now the lemma in § 4 with  $g=r^2$  and  $A^1=\mathcal{B}_1$ , we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ k(\alpha) = j \\ D(\alpha) > j^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 < j r^{2j} \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$$

for all  $j \geq j_0$ , which leads to

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ N(\alpha) \leq x \\ D(\alpha) > k(\alpha)^{1/2+\varepsilon}}} 1 = O(1) + \sum_{j_0 < j \leq l(x)} j r^{2j} \exp(-c_3 j^{2\varepsilon})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) + \sum_{j_0 < j \leq l(x)/2} + \sum_{l(x)/2 < j \leq l(x)} \\
&= O(x(\log x)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{c_3}{4} \left(\frac{\log x}{\log r}\right)^{2\varepsilon}\right)).
\end{aligned}$$

where the  $O$ -constant is uniform in  $\varepsilon$ .

If we take a constant  $c_4 = c_4(r)$  large enough and choose  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, r)$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  in such a way that

$$(\log x)^{2\varepsilon} = c_4 \log \log x$$

we obtain from (15) and (16)

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(x)} D(\alpha, \beta_0) = O\left(x \left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)^{1/2}\right).$$

This together with (13) and (14) yields the theorem.

### References

- [1] Kátai, I., On the sum of digits of prime numbers. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Roland Eötvös nom. Sect. Math., 10 (1967), 89-93.
- [2] Heppner, E., Über die summe der Ziffern natürlicher Zahlen. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Roland Eötvös nom. Sect. Math., 19 (1976), 41-43.
- [3] Mitsui, T., Generalized prime number theorem. Japanese J. Math., 26 (1956), 1-42.
- [4] Mitsui, T., Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto Univ., "Kokuyoroku" 294 (1977), in Japanese.
- [5] Rényi, A., Probability Theorey, North-Holland, Amsterdam-London, 1970.
- [6] Shiokawa, I., On the sum of digits of prime numbers. Proc. Japan Acad., 50, no. 8 (1974), 551-554.

Ryuji Kaneiwa  
 Institute of Liberal Arts  
 Otaru University of Commerce  
 Otaru, Hokkaido  
 047 Japan

Iekata Shiokawa  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Keio University  
 Yokohama  
 223 Japan