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#### Abstract

Let $\rho$ denote the pseudohyperbolic metric in the unit disk $\mathbf{D}$ in the complex plane. We give examples of analytic functions $g$ satisfying the condition $|g(z)| \simeq$ $\rho(z, \Gamma)(1-|z|)^{-\alpha}, z \in \mathbf{D}$, in the case when $\Gamma$ are $A^{p}$ zero sets considered by Horowitz and Luecking. This helps to solve directly interpolating and sampling problems for these sequences.


1. Introduction. For $0<p<\infty$, the Bergman space $A^{p}$ is the set of functions analytic in the unit disk $\mathbf{D}$ with

$$
\|f\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\mathbf{D}}|f(z)|^{p} d A(z)\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

where $d A$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbf{D}$.
A sequence $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ of distinct points in $\mathbf{D}$ is an interpolation sequence for $A^{p}$, if the interpolation problem

$$
f\left(z_{k}\right)=w_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

has a solution $f \in A^{p}$ provided

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|w_{k}\right|^{p}<\infty
$$

A sequence $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ of distinct points in $\mathbf{D}$ is a sampling sequence for $A^{p}$ if there exist positive constants $K_{1}, K_{2}$ such that

$$
K_{1}\|f\|_{p}^{p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left|z_{k}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}\left|f\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{p} \leq K_{2}\|f\|_{p}^{p}
$$
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Sufficient and necessary conditions for a sequence to be interpolation or sampling for $A^{p}$ are given in terms of pseudohyperbolic densities. These characterizations are due to Seip for the case $p=2$. Extensions for general values of $p$ can be found in $[\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}]$ and in the book $[\mathbf{1}]$. Let $\rho$ denote the pseudohyperbolic metric in $\mathbf{D}$, that is,

$$
\rho(z, \zeta)=\left|\frac{\zeta-z}{1-\bar{\zeta} z}\right|, \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbf{D}
$$

We say that a sequence of points $\Gamma=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbf{D}$ is uniformly discrete if

$$
\delta(\Gamma)=\inf _{j \neq k} \rho\left(z_{j}, z_{k}\right)>0
$$

For the uniformly discrete set $\Gamma$, the lower uniform density of $\Gamma$ is

$$
D^{-}(\Gamma)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\inf _{\zeta \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{0}^{r} n(\Gamma, \zeta, s) d s}{\log (1 /(1-r))}
$$

and the upper uniform density of $\Gamma$ is

$$
D^{+}(\Gamma)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\sup _{\zeta \in \mathbf{D}} \int_{0}^{r} n(\Gamma, \zeta, s) d s}{\log (1 /(1-r))}
$$

where $n(\Gamma, \zeta, s)$ denotes the number of points of $\Gamma$ that lie in the pseudohyperbolic disk $\{z: \rho(\zeta, z)<s\}$. The following theorems are due to Seip (for the case $p=2$ ).

Theorem S1. For $0<p<\infty$, a sequence $\Gamma$ of distinct points in the unit disk is an interpolation sequence for $A^{p}$ if and only if it is uniformly discrete and $D^{+}(\Gamma)<1 / p$.

Theorem S2. For $0<p<\infty$, a sequence $\Gamma$ of distinct points in the unit disk is a sampling sequence for $A^{p}$ if and only if it is a finite union of uniformly discrete subsequences and it has a uniformly discrete subsequence $\Gamma^{\prime}$ for which $D^{-}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)>1 / p$.

Unfortunately, lower and upper uniform densities can be quite difficult to compute. Duren, Schuster and Seip [2] calculated directly lower and upper uniform densities of the sequence $\Gamma$ defined as follows. Let

$$
d \mu(z)=\frac{a d A(z)}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad a>0
$$

and divide the unit disk into disjoint annuli

$$
R_{n}=\left\{z: t_{n-1} \leq|z|<t_{n}\right\}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

such that $\mu\left(R_{n}\right)=2^{n-1}$. Next divide each annulus into $2^{n-1}$ cells $Q_{n j}$ by placing radial segments at angles $j 2^{-n+2} \pi, j=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n-1}$, set $\zeta_{n j}=\int_{Q_{n j}} z d \mu(z)$ and let $\Gamma$ be an enumeration of $\zeta_{n j}$. Duren, Schuster and Seip [2] proved that

$$
D^{-}(\Gamma)=D^{+}(\Gamma)=\frac{a}{2} .
$$

Next using some additional lemmas they have been able to find the uniform densities of $A^{p}$ zero sequences considered by Horowitz and Luecking. Horowitz $[4,5]$ considered the sequence consisting of $2^{n}$ equally spaced points on the circle $|z|=(1 / \mu)^{2^{-n}}, \mu>1$. Luecking [6] considered the set consisting of $\left\lfloor\beta^{n}\right\rfloor$ equally spaced points on each circle of radius $r_{n}=1-\gamma \beta^{-n}, \beta>1, \gamma>0$.
If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are nonnegative functions in $\mathbf{D}$, then we write $f(z) \simeq g(z)$ if there are positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
C_{1} f(z) \leq g(z) \leq C_{2} f(z) \quad \text { for all } \quad z \in \mathbf{D} .
$$

However, if a uniformly discrete sequence $\Gamma$ admits an analytic function $g$ with the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(z)| \simeq \rho(z, \Gamma)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha>0$, then a sequence $\Gamma$ is an interpolation sequence for $A^{p}$ if and only if $\alpha<1 / p$, and $\Gamma$ is a sampling sequence for $A^{p}$ if and only if $\alpha>1 / p$. Then also

$$
D^{+}(\Gamma)=D^{-}(\Gamma)=\alpha
$$

Moreover, in the case when (1) holds with $\alpha<1 / p$, using the function $g$, one can construct directly the function $f$ solving the interpolation problem for $A^{p}$. In the case when (1) holds with $\alpha>1 / p$, any $f \in A^{p}$ can be represented in terms of $g$ (see, e.g., [1] for details).

One example of family of sequences and the corresponding function $g$ satisfying (1) was obtained by Seip in 1993 [10]. For $a>1$ and $b>0$, Seip considered the set of points in the upper half-plane of the form

$$
\Lambda(a, b)=\left\{a^{m}(b n+i): m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma(a, b)=\psi(\Lambda(a, b)) \subset \mathbf{D}
$$

where $\psi(\zeta)=(\zeta-i) /(\zeta+i)$, and constructed a function $g$ such that

$$
|g(z)| \simeq\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{-\beta} \rho(z, \Gamma(a, b))
$$

where $\beta=(2 \pi) /(b \log a)$.
Here, we prove that in the case when $\Gamma$ is the Horowitz sequence, the function $g$ defined by Horowitz in [5, p. 330] satisfies (1). We also construct a function that has property (1) for the Luecking sets. Our proofs are independent of results obtained in [2].
2. Main results. Let $\Gamma$ be the Horowitz set of points equally spaced on the circles $|z|=(1 / \mu)^{2^{-n}}, n=1,2, \ldots$, such that $z^{2^{n}}=1 / \mu, \mu>1$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-z^{2^{n}} \mu}{1-(1 / \mu) z^{2^{n}}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $H$ was defined by Horowitz in his paper [5]. Horowitz also showed that there is a constant $C$ such that

$$
|H(z)| \leq \frac{C}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

where $\alpha=\log \mu / \log 2$.
We will prove the following
Theorem 1. If $H$ is the function defined by (2), then

$$
|H(z)| \simeq \rho(z, \Gamma)(1-|z|)^{-\alpha}
$$

with $\alpha=\log \mu / \log 2$.

Proof. We first show that there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(z)| \leq C \rho(z, \Gamma) \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, put $\beta=1 / \mu$ and for a positive integer $n$ define

$$
H_{n}(z)=\frac{\beta-z^{2^{n}}}{1-z^{2^{n}} \beta}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{H(z)}{H_{n}(z)}=\mu^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta-z^{2^{k}}}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta} \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(z^{2^{k}} / \beta\right)}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta}
$$

Note first that if $z$ is in the annulus $A_{n}=\left\{z: \beta^{2^{-n+(1 / 2)}} \leq|z| \leq\right.$ $\left.\beta^{2^{-n-(1 / 2)}}\right\}$, then the modulus of the last product is bounded above by a constant independent of $n$. Thus

$$
\left|\frac{H(z)}{H_{n}(z)}\right| \leq C \mu^{n}
$$

Since $z \in A_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\log \mu}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot 2^{-n} \leq \log \frac{1}{|z|} \leq(\sqrt{2} \log \mu) \cdot 2^{-n}
$$

we see that

$$
(1-|z|) \leq \log \frac{1}{|z|} \leq(\log \mu) \sqrt{2} \cdot 2^{-n}
$$

and consequently, $2^{n} \leq(\sqrt{2} \log \mu) /(1-|z|)$. This implies that if $z \in A_{n}$, then

$$
\left|\frac{H(z)}{H_{n}(z)}\right| \leq C \mu^{n}=C 2^{n(\log \mu / \log 2)} \leq C\left(\frac{\log \mu}{1-|z|}\right)^{\log \mu / \log 2}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $n$.
Let $z \in \mathbf{D}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then there is an $n$ such that $z \in A_{n}$ and there is a $z_{k} \in \Gamma$ such that $\rho(z, \Gamma)=\left|\left(z-z_{k}\right) /\left(1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right)\right|=\rho\left(z, z_{k}\right)$.

If $z_{k}$ is in $A_{n}$, then $z_{k}$ is one of the roots of the equation $z^{2^{n}}=\beta$. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{2^{n}}$ denote the distinct roots of this equation. Then

$$
\left|H_{n}(z)\right|=\left|\frac{\left(z-\beta_{1}\right) \cdots\left(z-\beta_{2^{n}}\right)}{\left(1-\bar{\beta}_{1} z\right) \cdots\left(1-\bar{\beta}_{2^{n}} z\right)}\right| \leq\left|\frac{z-\beta_{i}}{1-\bar{\beta}_{i} z}\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}
$$

and (3) follows from the last two inequalities. Now note that each annulus $A_{n}$ contains the pseudohyperbolic disks with centers at $\beta_{i}$ and a positive radius $\delta$. (One can show that $\delta>\beta / 7$ ). So, if $z \in A_{n}$ and $z_{k}$ is not equal to any $\beta_{i}$, then $\rho(z, \Gamma)=\rho\left(z, z_{k}\right)>\delta$ and consequently

$$
|H(z)| \leq C \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \delta \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{C}{\delta} \rho(z, \Gamma) \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}
$$

Our aim is now to prove the other inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(z)| \geq C \rho(\Gamma, z) \frac{1}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first show that for $z \in A_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{H(z)}{H_{n}(z)}\right| \geq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C$ independent of $n$. As above we write

$$
\frac{H(z)}{H_{n}(z)}=\mu^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta-z^{2^{k}}}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta} \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(z^{2^{k}} / \beta\right)}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta}
$$

and claim that for $z \in A_{n}$ the modulus of each of the last two products is bounded below. Indeed, for $|z| \leq \beta^{2^{-n-(1 / 2)}}$,

$$
\left|\prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\left(z^{2^{k}} / \beta\right)}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta}\right| \geq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\beta^{2^{k-1 / 2}-1}}{1-\beta^{2^{k-1 / 2}+1}}
$$

and the last product converges. On the other hand, if $|z| \geq \beta^{2^{-n+(1 / 2)}}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{z^{2^{k}}-\beta}{1-z^{2^{k}} \beta}\right| & \geq \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta^{2^{k-n+1 / 2}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2^{k-n+1 / 2}}}=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k+1 / 2}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2^{-k+1 / 2}}} \\
& \geq \frac{\beta^{1 / \sqrt{2}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{1 / \sqrt{2}}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2-k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $n_{0}=\lfloor\log \mu / \log 2\rfloor$, and write

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2-k}}=\prod_{k=1}^{n_{0}} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{-k}} \prod_{k=n_{0}+1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2^{-k}}}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{2^{-k}}}=1-\frac{(1+\beta)\left(1-\beta^{2^{-k}}\right)}{1-\left(\beta^{2^{-k}}\right)^{\left(2^{k}+1\right)}}>1-\frac{1+\beta}{\left(1+2^{k}\right) \beta}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=n_{0}+1}^{n-2} \frac{\beta^{2^{-k}}-\beta}{1-\beta \beta^{-k}} & =e^{\sum_{k=n_{0}+1}^{n-2} \log \left(1-(1+\beta) /\left(\left(1+2^{k}\right) \beta\right)\right)} \\
& >e^{-C \sum_{k=n_{0}+1}^{n-2}(1+\beta) /\left(\left(1+2^{k}\right) \beta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and our claim is the consequence of the convergence of the series $\sum_{k}(1+\beta) /\left(\left(1+2^{k}\right) \beta\right)$. Now, to obtain (5) similar reasoning to that in the proof of the first inequality can be applied.

Let $z \in \mathbf{D}$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then there is an $n$ such that $\beta^{2^{-n+(1 / 2)}} \leq|z| \leq \beta^{2^{-n-(1 / 2)}}$ and $\beta_{i}$, where $\beta_{i}$ is a root of $z^{2^{n}}=\beta$, such that $\left|\arg z-\arg \beta_{i}\right| \leq 2 \pi / 2^{n+1}$. Let $z_{k} \in \Gamma$ be such that $\rho(z, \Gamma)=\left|\left(z-z_{k}\right) /\left(1-\bar{z}_{k} z\right)\right|=\rho\left(z, z_{k}\right)$. If $z_{k}=\beta_{i}$, then note that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow \beta_{i}} \frac{\left|H_{n}(z)\right|}{\left|\left(z-\beta_{i}\right) /\left(1-\bar{\beta}_{i} z\right)\right|}=\frac{2^{n} \beta\left(1-\beta^{2^{-n+1}}\right)}{\beta^{2-n}\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{2^{n} \beta\left(1-\beta^{2^{-n+1}}\right)}{\beta^{2-n}\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)}>\beta^{-2^{-n}+1}>\beta
$$

It is also clear that the function $H_{n}(z) /\left[\left(z-\beta_{i}\right) /\left(1-\bar{\beta}_{i} z\right)\right]$ is analytic and nonvanishing in the cell

$$
\left\{z: \beta^{2^{-n+(1 / 2)}} \leq|z| \leq \beta^{2^{-n-(1 / 2)}},\left|\arg z-\arg \beta_{i}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2^{n}}\right\}
$$

Thus its modulus attains minimum on the boundary. Moreover,

$$
\frac{\left|H_{n}(z)\right|}{\left|\left(z-\beta_{i}\right) /\left(1-\bar{\beta}_{i} z\right)\right|} \geq\left|H_{n}(z)\right|
$$

and one can easily show that on the boundary of the cell $\left|H_{n}(z)\right|>\beta / 7$. So, in the case when $\rho(z, \Gamma)=\rho\left(z, \beta_{i}\right)$, inequality (4) holds. If $z_{k} \neq \beta_{i}$, then $\rho\left(z, z_{k}\right)<\rho\left(z, \beta_{i}\right)$, so (4) also holds. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.

For $\beta>1$ and $\gamma \in(0,1)$, set

$$
r_{k}=1-\gamma \beta^{-k}, \quad N_{k}=\left\lfloor\beta^{k}\right\rfloor,
$$

and let $\Lambda$ consist of $N_{k}$ equally spaced points on each circle $|z|=r_{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots$. Then for each $k$ there is $\theta_{k}$ such that points in $\Lambda$ lying on the circle $|z|=r_{k}$ are of the form $z_{k j}=r_{k} e^{i \theta_{k}} \zeta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N_{k}$, where $\zeta_{j}$ are the distinct $N_{k}$ th roots of unity. Analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 can be applied to obtain the following

Theorem 2. If $\Lambda$ is as above and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}} e^{-i N_{k} \theta_{k}}}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}} e^{-i N_{k} \theta_{k}}\right)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
|G(z)| \simeq \rho(z, \Lambda)(1-|z|)^{-\alpha}
$$

with $\alpha=\gamma / \log \beta$.

We start with showing the following

Lemma 1. If the function $G$ is defined by (6), then there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha=\gamma / \log \beta$.

Proof. Assume that $\theta_{k}=0, k=1,2, \ldots$. We first show that (7) holds for $|z|=r_{n}=1-\gamma \beta^{-n}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G(z)| & =\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| \\
& \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} & =-\sum_{k=1}^{n} N_{k} \log \left(1-\gamma \beta^{-k}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} N_{k} \frac{\gamma \beta^{-k}}{1-\gamma \beta^{-k}} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma \beta^{-k}}=n \gamma+\gamma^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\beta^{-k}}{1-\gamma \beta^{-k}} \\
& \leq n \gamma+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{(1-\gamma)(\beta-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \leq C e^{n \gamma}
$$

On the other hand, a calculation shows that

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\alpha}}=\gamma^{-(\gamma / \log \beta)} \cdot e^{n \gamma}
$$

Moreover, if $|z|=r_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\prod_{k \geq n+1} \frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}\right)}\right| \\
& \quad \leq \prod_{k \geq n+1} \frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}+r_{n}^{N_{k}}}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}\left(1+r_{k}^{N_{k}} r_{n}^{N_{k}}\right)} \leq \prod_{k \geq n+1}\left(1+\left(\frac{r_{n}}{r_{k}}\right)^{N_{k}}\right) \\
& \quad=e^{\sum_{k \geq n+1} \log \left(1+\left(r_{n} / r_{k}\right)^{N_{k}}\right)} \leq e^{\sum_{k \geq n+1}\left(r_{n} / r_{k}\right)^{N_{k}}} \\
& \leq e^{C \sum_{k \geq n+1} r_{n}^{N_{k}}} \leq e^{(C /(1-\gamma)) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma \beta^{k}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the one before the last inequality follows from the fact that $\left\{r_{n}^{N_{n}}\right\}$ converges asymptotically to $e^{-\gamma}$. In the case when $r_{n} \leq|z| \leq r_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G(z)| & \leq \sup _{|z|=r_{n+1}}|G(z)| \leq \frac{C}{\left(1-r_{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}}=C \gamma^{-(\gamma / \log \beta)} \cdot e^{(n+1) \gamma} \\
& =\frac{C e^{\gamma}}{\left(1-r_{n}\right)^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{C e^{\gamma}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is also clear that the same proof can be applied for a general case when not all $\theta_{k}$ are zeros.

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we can assume that all $\theta_{k}$ are zeros. For a positive integer $n$, put

$$
G_{n}(z)=\frac{r_{n}^{N_{n}}-z^{N_{n}}}{1-r_{n}^{N_{n}} z^{N_{n}}}
$$

and $r_{n-1 / 2}=1-\gamma \beta^{-n+1 / 2}$. We will show that if $z \in L_{n}=\{z$ : $\left.r_{n-1 / 2} \leq|z| \leq r_{n+1 / 2}\right\}$, then there is a positive constant $C$ independent of $n$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{G(z)}{G_{n}(z)}\right| \leq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}
$$

with $\alpha=\gamma / \log \beta$. Since there are positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ independent of $n$ such that for $z \in L_{n}$,

$$
\frac{C_{1}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \leq e^{\gamma n} \leq \frac{C_{2}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}
$$

to prove this claim the reasoning similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 1 can be used. Now our aim is to prove that

$$
\left|\frac{G(z)}{G_{n}(z)}\right| \geq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \quad \text { for } \quad z \in L_{n}
$$

To this end we write
(8) $\left|\frac{G(z)}{G_{n}(z)}\right|=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| \cdot \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right|$.

We first note that

$$
\log \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \geq n \gamma-\frac{\gamma}{\beta-1}
$$

which means that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \geq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}}
$$

provided that $z \in L_{n}$. Now we observe that for $z \in L_{n}$ each factor in the second product in (8) is bounded below by a constant dependent only on $\beta$ and $\gamma$. Indeed, for $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| & \geq \frac{r_{n-1 / 2}^{N_{k}}-r_{n-1}^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{n-1 / 2}^{N_{k}} r_{n-1}^{N_{k}}} \geq(1-\gamma) \frac{r_{n-1 / 2}-r_{n-1}}{1-r_{n-1 / 2} r_{n-1}} \\
& \geq(1-\gamma) \frac{\sqrt{\beta}-1}{\sqrt{\beta}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\left|G_{k}(z)\right|} & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\left|G_{k}(z)\right|\right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(1+r_{k}^{N_{k}}\right)\left(1-r_{n-1 / 2}^{N_{k}}\right)}{1-r_{n-1 / 2}^{N_{k}} r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\left(1-\gamma \beta^{-n+1 / 2}\right)^{N_{k}}\right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} N_{k} \beta^{-n+1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{C \gamma \sqrt{\beta}}{\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $r_{k}^{N_{k}}$ is bounded away from 1 . This proves our claim. Finally, to see that the third product in (8) is bounded below in the annulus $L_{n}$, note first that each factor in this product is bounded below by a positive constant independent of $n$ for all $z \in L_{n}$. Indeed, if $z \in L_{n}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| \geq \frac{1-\left(r_{n+1 / 2} / r_{k}\right)^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} r_{n+1 / 2}^{N_{k}}} \geq 1-\left(\frac{r_{n+1 / 2}}{r_{n+1}}\right)^{N_{n+1}}
$$

and since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1 / 2}^{N_{n+1}}=e^{-\gamma \sqrt{\beta}}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1}^{N_{n+1}}=e^{-\gamma}$, our claim follows. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}}\left|\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-z^{N_{k}}}{1-r_{k}^{N_{k}} z^{N_{k}}}\right| \\
& \quad \geq e^{-C} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(1-\left(1 / r_{k}^{N_{k}}\right)\left[\left(r_{k}^{N_{k}}-|z|^{N_{k}}\right) /\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}}|z|^{N_{k}}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{r_{k}^{N_{k}}-|z|^{N_{k}}}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}}|z|^{N_{k}}\right)}\right)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{N_{k}}\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}}\right)}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}\left(1-r_{k}^{N_{k}}|z|^{N_{k}}\right)} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{N_{k}}}{r_{k}^{N_{k}}} \leq C \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} r_{n+1 / 2}^{N_{k}}=C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\gamma \beta^{-n-1 / 2}\right)^{\left\lfloor\beta^{k+n}\right\rfloor}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since an annulus $L_{n}$ contains pseudohyperbolic disks with centers $r_{n} \zeta_{j}$, where $\zeta_{j}$ are $N_{n}$ th roost of unity, and radius $(\sqrt{\beta}-$ 1) $/(\sqrt{\beta}+1)$, the inequality

$$
|G(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \rho(z, \Lambda)
$$

can be derived from the proved inequality in much the same way as it is in the proof of Theorem 1. To see that the inequality

$$
|G(z)| \geq \frac{C}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha}} \rho(z, \Lambda)
$$

also holds, notice that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow r_{n} \zeta_{j}} \frac{\left|G_{n}(z)\right|}{\left|\left(z-r_{n} \zeta_{j}\right) /\left(1-z r_{n} \bar{\zeta}_{j}\right)\right|} \geq 1-\gamma
$$

and that $\left|G_{n}(z)\right|$ is bounded below by a constant independent of $n$ and $j=1, \ldots, N_{n}$ on the boundary of a cell

$$
\left\{z: r_{n-1 / 2} \leq|z| \leq r_{n+1 / 2},\left|\arg z-\arg \zeta_{j}\right| \leq \pi / N_{n}\right\}
$$

## REFERENCES

1. P. Duren and A. Schuster, Bergman spaces, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2004.
2. P. Duren, A. Schuster and K. Seip, Uniform densities of regular sequences in the unit disk, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 3971-3980.
3. H. Hedenmalm, S. Richter and K. Seip, Interpolating sequences and invariant subspaces of given index in the Bergman spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 447 (1996), 13-30.
4. C. Horowitz, Zeros of functions in the Bergman spaces, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 693-710.
5. Some conditions on Bergman space zero sets, J. Analyse Math. 62 (1994), 323-348.
6. D.H. Luecking, Zero sequences for Bergman spaces, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 30 (1996), 345-362.
7. A. Schuster, On Seip's description of sampling sequences for Bergman spaces, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 42 (2000), 347-367.
8. A. Schuster and D. Varolin, Sampling sequences for Bergman spaces for $p<1$, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 47 (2002), 243-253.
9. K. Seip, Regular sets of sampling and interpolation for weighted Bergman spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 117 (1993), 213-220.
10.     - Beurling type density theorems in the unit disk, Invent. Math. 113 (1994), 21-39.

Instytut Matematyki UMCS, Pl. Marii Curie-SkŁodowskiej 1, 20-031
Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: artur.kukuryka@umcs.lublin.pl
Instytut Matematyki UMCS, Pl. Marii Curie-SkŁodowskiej 1, 20-031
Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: nowakm@golem.umcs.lublin.pl
Instytut Matematyki UMCS, Pl. Marii Curie-SkŁodowskiej 1, 20-031
Lublin, Poland
E-mail address: ptsob@golem.umcs.lublin.pl


[^0]:    2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30H05, 32A36.

