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ABSTRACT. Let

$$
b_{n}=\binom{2 n}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$


#### Abstract

be the $n$th middle binomial coefficient and the $n$th Catalan number, respectively. Let $g>1$ be an integer. In this note, we study the base $g$ expansions of the numbers $b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$ and show that for almost all $n$ each of them has a lot of nonzero digits.


1. Introduction. For an integer $g \geq 2$ and a nonnegative integer $m$ we write $w_{g}(m)$ for the number of nonzero digits in the $g$-ary expansion of $m$. When $g=2, w_{2}(m)$ is called the Hamming weight of $m$.

In this paper, we put

$$
b_{n}=\binom{2 n}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}
$$

for the $n$th middle binomial coefficient and the $n$th Catalan number, respectively, and obtain lower bounds on $w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right)$ and $w_{g}\left(c_{n}\right)$ which hold on a set of positive integers $n$ of asymptotic density 1 . Our bounds show that $w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right)$ and $w_{g}\left(c_{n}\right)$ tend to infinity at a rate which is at least a power of the logarithm of $n$ for almost all $n$.
There is an extensive literature addressing $g$-ary expansions of certain sequences, such as linear recurrence sequences (see $[\mathbf{1 , 3 , 7 , 8 , 1 1 ]}$ and references therein). However, it appears that the sequences which we study in this paper have never been considered in this context.

[^0]2. Notation and preparations. Throughout the paper, we use the Landau symbols ' $O$ ' and ' $o$ ' as well as the Vinogradov symbols ' $<$,' ' $>$ ' and ' $\asymp$ ' with their usual meanings. We recall that $U=O(V)$, $U \ll V$ and $V \gg U$ are all three equivalent to the inequality $|U| \leq c V$ with some constant $c>0$, whereas $U=o(V)$ means that $U / V \rightarrow 0$. All the implied constants may depend on $g$.
We use $p$ and $q$, with or without a subscript, to denote prime numbers and $k, m$ and $n$ to denote positive integers.

As usual, we use $\omega(n)$ to denote the number of distinct prime factors of an integer $n \geq 1$. In particular, $\omega(1)=0$.

We need the following special case of a result of [10] which in turn follows from the Chebotarev density theorem.

Lemma 1. Let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be fixed nonzero rational numbers. Assume that there exists a sequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ of positive real numbers tending to infinity such that for each $k \geq 0$ the exponential congruence $\gamma^{x} \equiv \delta$ $(\bmod p)$ has an integer solution $x$ for all primes $p \in\left[n_{k}, 2 n_{k}\right]$ with at most $O(1)$ exceptions. Then $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are multiplicatively dependent.

The following result can be found in the proof of Proposition 1.1 in [9].

Lemma 2. If for some prime $p$ we have

$$
\left.\frac{g^{p}-1}{g-1} \right\rvert\, m
$$

then $w_{g}(m) \geq k$, where $k$ is any positive integer satisfying the inequality

$$
p \geq\left\lceil\frac{(g-1) k}{\log g}\right\rceil+2 k+1
$$

3. Three nonzero digits. It is clear that neither $b_{n}$ nor $c_{n}$ can be of the form $d g^{\alpha}$ for some $d \in\{1, \ldots, g-1\}$ when $n$ is large, since both these numbers are divisible by all primes in $[n+2,2 n]$. Thus, they have at least two nonzero digits in base $g$ if $n$ is large. We show, using Lemma 1, that in fact more is true.

Theorem 3. Let $g>1$ be fixed. Then

$$
w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right) \geq 3 \quad \text { and } \quad w_{g}\left(c_{n}\right) \geq 3
$$

hold for all but finitely many positive integers $n$.

Proof. Let $x_{n}$ be any one of $b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$. Assume that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=d_{1} g^{\alpha_{1}}+d_{2} g^{\alpha_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has infinitely many integer solutions $\left(n, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$, where $n>0$, $0 \leq \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$, and $d_{1}, d_{2} \in\{1, \ldots, g-1\}$. Since the pair $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ can take only at most $g^{2}$ values, it follows that there exists a fixed pair $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ such that the relation (1) holds for infinitely many triples ( $n, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ ). Furthermore, from the remark preceding Theorem 3, we may assume that $d_{1} d_{2} \neq 0$.
Since $x_{n}$ is divisible by all primes $p \in[n+2,2 n]$, we infer that for $n>g$, the congruence $g^{y} \equiv-d_{2} / d_{1}(\bmod p)$ has an integer solution $y$ (namely, $y=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$ ) for all primes $p \in[n+2,2 n]$. Applying Lemma 1 with $\gamma=g$ and $\delta=-d_{2} / d_{1}$, we get that $g=f^{u}$ and $-d_{2} / d_{1}=-f^{v}$ hold with some integer $f \geq 2$ and some coprime integers $u$ and $v$ with $u>0$. Thus, we get the congruence $f^{u \alpha-v} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ for all $p \in[n+2,2 n]$, where $\alpha=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}$. Note that $u \alpha-v \neq 0$, and $u \alpha-v=O(n)$.

In particular, $2(u \alpha-v) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \ell_{f}(p)\right)$, where we write $\ell_{f}(p)$ for the multiplicative order of $f$ modulo $p$. A classical result of Hooley [4] shows that the set $\mathcal{P}$ of primes $p \in[n+2,2 n]$ such that $\ell_{f}(p)<n^{1 / 2} / \log n$ has cardinality $\# \mathcal{P}=o(n / \log n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $P(m)$ denote the largest prime factor of $m$. A result of Fouvry [2] shows that if $n$ is large enough, then the set of primes $\mathcal{Q} \subset[n+2,2 n]$ such that $P(p-1)>p^{2 / 3}$ satisfies $\# \mathcal{Q} \gg n / \log n$. Put $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q} \backslash \mathcal{P}$. Thus,

$$
\# \mathcal{R} \geq \# \mathcal{Q}-\# \mathcal{P} \gg \pi(n)
$$

holds for all sufficiently large $n$. Let

$$
\mathcal{S}=\{P(p-1): p \in \mathcal{R}\}
$$

For each $q \in \mathcal{S}$, the number of primes $p \leq 2 n$ such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod q)$ is at most $2 n / q \leq 2 n^{1 / 3}$. Hence,

$$
\# \mathcal{S} \geq \frac{\# \mathcal{R}}{2 n^{1 / 3}} \gg \frac{n^{2 / 3}}{\log n}
$$

In particular, the inequality $\# \mathcal{S}>n^{1 / 2}$ holds once $n>n_{0}$. Since $\ell_{f}(p) \mid u \alpha-v$ for all $p \in \mathcal{R}$, and $u \alpha-v$ is a nonzero number of size $O(n)$, we get that

$$
n \gg u \alpha-v \geq \operatorname{lcm}\left[\ell_{f}(p): p \in \mathcal{R}\right] \geq \prod_{q \in \mathcal{S}} q \geq n^{2 \# S / 3} \geq n^{2 n^{1 / 2} / 3}
$$

which implies that $n \ll 1$. This contradicts the assumption that equation (1) has infinitely many solutions and concludes the proof of our theorem.

## 4. Many nonzero digits.

Theorem 4. Let $g>1$ be fixed, and let $\varepsilon(n)$ be a function tending to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then both inequalities

$$
w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right) \gg \varepsilon(n)(\log n)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{g}\left(c_{n}\right) \gg \varepsilon(n)(\log n)^{1 / 2}
$$

hold for all $n \leq X$ with at most $o(X)$ exceptions as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. As before, we again let $x_{n}$ be any one of the sequences $b_{n}$ and $c_{n}$.

We assume that the function $\varepsilon(t)$ is decreasing, that $\varepsilon(t)(\log t)^{1 / 2}$ is increasing, and that $\varepsilon(t)>(\log \log t)^{-1}$. We let $X$ be a large positive real number, put $Y=\varepsilon(X)(\log X)^{1 / 2}$ and let $p$ be the smallest prime with $p \geq Y$. Thus, $p \in[Y, Y+Y / \log Y]$ holds for large $X$, and so $p=(1+o(1)) Y$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

It is enough to show that $c_{n}$ is divisible by $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ for all $n \in[X / \log X, X]$ with $o(X)$ exceptions as $X \rightarrow \infty$, since then, by Lemma 2 and the fact that $c_{n} \mid x_{n}$, we have

$$
w_{g}\left(x_{n}\right) \gg p \geq Y=\varepsilon(X)(\log X)^{1 / 2}>\varepsilon(n)(\log n)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all such values of $n$.

Let

$$
\frac{g^{p}-1}{g-1}=\prod_{q} q^{\alpha_{q}}
$$

be the factorization in prime powers of $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$. We first estimate the number of $n \leq X$ such that $q \mid n+1$ for some $q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$. For a fixed $q$, the number of such $n \leq X$ is at most $X / q+1 \leq 2 X / q$ for large $X$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
q \leq g^{p} & =\exp (p \log g) \leq \exp (2 Y \log g) \leq \exp \left(O\left(\varepsilon(X)(\log X)^{1 / 2}\right)\right. \\
& =X^{o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$; therefore, the inequality $q<X$ holds for all sufficiently large $X$. We note that $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for all $q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ (since this divisibility means that $g$ is of order $p$ modulo $q$ ). We also have

$$
\omega\left(g^{p}-1\right) \ll \frac{\log \left(g^{p}-1\right)}{\log \log \left(g^{p}-1\right)} \ll \frac{p}{\log p} .
$$

Therefore, varying $q$, we get that the number of positive integers $n \leq X$ in this category is at most

$$
2 X \sum_{q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)} \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{2 X \omega\left(g^{p}-1\right)}{p} \ll \frac{X}{\log p} \ll \frac{X}{\log Y}=o(X)
$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$.
From now on, we work only with the positive integers $n \leq X$ such that $n+1$ is coprime to $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of such $n$.

It is a consequence of Kummer's well-known theorem (see [6]) that if $q$ is a prime and $\beta$ is a positive integer then $q^{\beta} \mid b_{n}$ (hence, $q^{\beta} \mid c_{n}$ also because $q$ is coprime to $n+1$ ) provided that $n$ has at least $\beta$ base $q$ digits which exceed $q / 2$. Thus, $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1) \mid c_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathcal{A}$, except for those $n$ such that there exist $q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ such that $n$ has less than $\alpha_{q}$ base $q$ digits which exceed $q / 2$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of such $n$. We now bound the cardinality $\# \mathcal{B}$.

Let $q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ be fixed. Since $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, we have $q>p \geq Y$. The number of digits of $n$ in base $q$ is $\lfloor\log n / \log q\rfloor+1$.

Since $n \in[X / \log X, X]$, it follows that the number of digits of $n$ in base $q$ belongs to the interval

$$
\mathcal{I}_{q}=\left[\frac{\log X-\log \log X}{\log q}, \frac{\log X}{\log q}+1\right] .
$$

Let $L$ be an integer in the above interval. Let $M<\alpha_{q}$ be some nonnegative integer. The number of $n \leq X$ having $L$ base $q$ digits of which at most $M$ exceed $q / 2$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q, L, M} \leq\binom{ L}{M} q^{M}\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)^{L-M} \leq q^{L}\binom{L}{M} \frac{1}{2^{L-M}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
q^{L} \ll q X
$$

Furthermore,

$$
M \leq \alpha_{q} \ll \frac{p}{\log q} \ll \frac{\varepsilon(X)(\log X)^{1 / 2}}{\log q}=o(L)
$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, summing up estimates (2) for all $M \leq \alpha_{q}$ and all $L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}$, we get that the number of $n \leq X$ for which $q^{\alpha_{q}}$ does not divide $c_{n}$ is at most

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{q} & =\sum_{\substack{M \leq \alpha_{q} \\
L \in \mathcal{I}_{p}}} N_{q, L, M} \ll \sum_{L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}} \sum_{M \leq \alpha_{q}} q^{L}\binom{L}{M} \frac{1}{2^{L-M}}  \tag{3}\\
& \ll q X \sum_{L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}} \frac{L^{\alpha_{q}}}{\alpha_{q}!} \frac{1}{2^{L-\alpha_{q}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the above estimate, we used the fact that for $k \leq M \leq \alpha_{q}=o(L)$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\frac{\binom{L}{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{L-(k+1)}}}{\binom{L}{k} \frac{1}{2^{L-k}}}=\frac{2(L-k)}{k+1}>2
$$

therefore, in the inner sums over $M$ in (3), the last term dominates the entire sum.

Now, by the Stirling formula, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{q} & \ll q X \sum_{L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}}\left(\frac{2 e L}{\alpha_{q}}\right)^{\alpha_{q}} \frac{1}{2^{L}} \\
& \leq q X 2^{-(\log X-\log \log X) / \log q}\left(\frac{2 e}{\alpha_{q}}\left(\frac{\log X}{\log q}+1\right)\right)^{\alpha_{q}} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{L \in \mathcal{I}_{q}} 1 \ll 1+\frac{\log \log X}{\log q} \ll 1+\frac{\log \log X}{\log p} \ll 1
$$

and

$$
\frac{\log X}{\log q} \geq \frac{\log X}{p \log g} \geq 1
$$

provided that $X$ is large enough, we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{q} & \ll q X 2^{-\log X / \log q}\left(\frac{2 e}{\alpha_{q}}\left(\frac{\log X}{\log q}+1\right)\right)^{\alpha_{q}} \\
& \leq q X 2^{-\log X / \log q}\left(\frac{4 e \log X}{\alpha_{q} \log q}\right)^{\alpha_{q}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\alpha_{q} \leq \frac{p \log g}{\log q}=o\left(\frac{(\log X)^{1 / 2}}{\log q}\right)
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{4 e \log X}{\alpha_{q} \log q}\right)^{\alpha_{q}} & \leq(\log X)^{p \log g / \log q}=\exp \left(\frac{p \log g}{\log q} \log \log X\right) \\
& =\exp \left((\log g+o(1)) \frac{Y}{\log q} \log \log X\right)  \tag{5}\\
& =\exp \left(o\left(\frac{\log X}{\log q}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which, after substitution in (4), yields that the inequality

$$
R_{q} \leq q X 2^{-(1+o(1)) \log X / \log q}
$$

holds uniformly over our primes $q$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$. Since $q \leq Y$, we also have

$$
q=\exp (o(\log X / \log q))
$$

In particular,

$$
R_{q} \ll X \exp \left(-\frac{\log X}{2 \log q}\right)
$$

It remains to bound the sum of the $R_{q}$ over $q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$. In order to do so, we put

$$
T_{0}=\left\lfloor(\varepsilon(X) \log g)^{-1}\right\rfloor
$$

and for $i \geq 1$ put $T_{i}=2^{i} T_{0}$. Let $S_{i}$ be the set of distinct prime factors $q$ of $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ such that $\log X / \log q \in \mathcal{J}_{i}=\left[T_{i}, 2 T_{i}\right]$. Clearly,

$$
\log X>p \log g>\log \left(g^{p}-1\right)>\# S_{i} \frac{\log X}{2 T_{i}}
$$

therefore, $\# S_{i} \ll T_{i}$. Thus, the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}$ is bounded above as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \mathcal{B} & \leq \sum_{i \geq 0} \sum_{q \in S_{i}} R_{q} \ll \sum_{q \mid\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)} X e^{-T_{i} / 2} \ll X \sum_{i \geq 0} \# S_{i} e^{-T_{i} / 2} \\
& \ll X \sum_{i \geq 0} T_{i} e^{-T_{i} / 2} \ll X \sum_{t \geq T_{0}} t e^{-t / 2}=o(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$, which concludes the proof of this theorem.
5. Remarks. It is easy to see that our results can be extended to sequences of the form $k_{n} c_{n}$ for a wide class of sequences $k_{n}$ (the results of Theorem 4 correspond to $k_{n}=1$ and $k_{n}=n+1$ ).

Note that the only key ingredient of the proof of Theorem 4 is Kummer's theorem which tells us what is the exponent of a prime dividing a binomial coefficient. In particular, results similar to Theorem 4 are likely to hold for other sequences satisfying Kummer type theorems like the ones studied by Knuth and Wilf in [5].

We conjecture that both $w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right)$ and $w_{g}\left(c_{n}\right)$ tend to infinity with $n$ but we have not been able to prove this. In what follows, we give a heuristic which backs up this conjecture. Let $X$ be large, let $Y$ be some
parameter depending on $X$ to be determined later, and again let $q^{\alpha_{q}}$ be an exact prime power dividing $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$, where $p<(\log X)^{1 / 2}$ is a prime. The argument from the proof of Theorem 4, based on Kummer's theorem, shows that the number of integers $n \leq X$ such that $q^{\alpha_{q}}$ does not divide $b_{n}$ is of order

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\lfloor\log X / \log q\rfloor+1}{\alpha_{q}} & \frac{q^{\alpha_{q}+1} X}{2^{\lfloor\log X / \log q\rfloor}} \ll \frac{q^{\alpha_{q}+1}(\log X)^{\alpha_{q}} X}{2^{\lfloor\log X / \log q\rfloor}}  \tag{6}\\
& \ll X 2^{-\log X / \log q+\left(\alpha_{q}+1\right)(\log q+\log \log X) / \log 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $\left(\alpha_{q}+1\right) \log q \leq 2 \alpha_{q} \log q \leq 2 p \log g$. Thus, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 p \log g)^{2} \leq \frac{\log 2}{3} \cdot \frac{\log X}{\log \log X} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that the above counting function is of order

$$
X 2^{-(\log X) /(3 \log q)} \leq X 2^{-c_{1}(\log X) / p}=X^{1-c_{2} / p}
$$

where $c_{1}=1 /(3 \log g)$ and $c_{2}=c_{1} \log 2$. Summing this up over all the

$$
\omega\left(\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)\right) \ll p<(\log X)^{1 / 2}
$$

possible prime factors $q$ of $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$, we get that the counting function of such $n \leq X$ is of order

$$
X^{1-c_{2} / p}(\log X)^{1 / 2}<X^{1-c_{3} / p}
$$

once $X$ is sufficiently large with $c_{3}=c_{2} / 2$. Thus, given $n$, the "expectation" that $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ does not divide $n$ is $O\left(n^{-c_{3} / p}\right)$. Assume now that these expectations are independent for varying $p$, and let $p$ vary between $Y$ and $Y^{c_{4}}$, where $c_{4}$ is a suitable constant. Then the expectation that $b_{n}$ is not a multiple of any of the $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ for $p$ in this range is of order

$$
\prod_{Y \leq p \leq Y^{c_{4}}} n^{-c_{3} / p}=n^{-c_{3}\left(\log \left(\log \left(Y^{c_{4}}\right)\right)-\log \log Y+o(1)\right)}=n^{-c_{3} \log c_{4}+o(1)}
$$

as $Y \rightarrow \infty$, where in the above argument we have applied Mertens's estimate

$$
\sum_{p \leq t} \frac{1}{t}=\log \log t+A+O\left(\frac{1}{\log t}\right)
$$

which holds for all $t \geq 3$ with a suitable constant $A$. Choosing $c_{4}$ such that $c_{4}=\exp \left(2 / c_{3}\right)$, we get that $c_{3} \log c_{4}=2$; therefore, for large $n$ the expectation that $b_{n}$ is not a multiple of any of the $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ for such $p$ is $n^{-2+o(1)}$. Since the sum of these expectations is a convergent series, we would expect only finitely many $n$ to have this property. Condition (7) is now satisfied for large $X$ when $p \leq Y^{c_{4}}$ if we take $Y=\left\lfloor(\log X)^{c_{5}}\right\rfloor$ with $c_{5}=1 /\left(2 c_{4}\right)-\varepsilon$ with any fixed $\varepsilon>0$. Note also that the inequality $Y^{c_{4}}<(\log X)^{1 / 2}$ holds for large $X$. Thus, the above heuristics seem to suggest that for all but finitely many $n$ there should be a prime $p \gg(\log n)^{c_{5}}$ such that $\left(g^{p}-1\right) /(g-1)$ divides $b_{n}$, and now Lemma 2 shows that $w_{g}\left(b_{n}\right) \gg(\log n)^{c_{5}}$. Similar heuristics apply to $c_{n}$. We do not enter into details.
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