

## ON AN ERROR TERM OF LANDAU - II

R. SITARAMACHANDRARAO

In memory of Ernst Straus and Robert A. Smith

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\phi(n)$  denote the Euler totient function. In 1900, E. Landau proved that  $\sum_{n \leq x} 1/\phi(n) = A(\log x + B) + E_0(x)$  where  $A > 0$  and  $B$  are constants and  $E_0(x) = O(\log x/x)$ . In an earlier paper, we sharpened this result and in the present paper prove, in particular, some average and  $\mathcal{Q}$ -type theorems for the error function  $E_0(x)$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\phi(n)$  denote the Euler totient function defined to be the number of positive integers  $\leq n$  and prime to  $n$ . We write

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} 1/\phi(n) = A(\log x + B) + E_0(x)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} n/\phi(n) = Ax - \frac{1}{2} \log x + E_1(x)$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad A = \frac{315\zeta(3)}{2\pi^4} \text{ and } B = \gamma - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p^2 - p + 1}.$$

Here  $\zeta$  denotes the Riemann zeta function,  $\gamma$  denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant and the sum on the extreme right of (1.3) extends over all primes  $p$ . In 1900, E. Landau (cf. [3, p. 184]) proved that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad E_0(x) = 0 (\log x/x).$$

A systematic study of the error function  $E_0(x)$  does not appear to have been made by later authors. In an earlier paper [5], using a theorem of A. Walfisz based on Weyl's inequality, we were able to improve upon (1.4) by proving that, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ,

---

*AMS(MOS) Subject Classification:* 10 H 25

*Keywords and phrases:* The Euler totient function and the Möbius  $\mu$ -function.

Received by the editors on January 1, 1984

Copyright © 1985 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$$(1.5) \quad E_0(x) = 0 ((\log x)^{2/3}/x).$$

In the present paper, we continue our study and consider the averages of the error function  $E_0(x)$  and also of the closely related function  $E_1(x)$ . In fact, we prove the following equations:

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} E_0(n) = \frac{(A + 1)}{2} \log x + O(1);$$

$$(1.7) \quad \int_1^x E_0(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \log x + O(1);$$

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} E_1(n) = \frac{(A - D)}{2} x + O(x^{4/5});$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \int_1^x E_1(t) dt = -\frac{D}{2} x + O(x^{4/5}),$$

where  $A$  is given by (1.3) and;

$$(1.10) \quad D = \gamma + \log(2\pi) + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}.$$

We also refer to Remark 2.1 for some  $Q$ -results.

Throughout the paper, the constants implied by the symbols  $O$  and  $\ll$  are absolute.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\mu$  denote the Möbius function,  $\phi$  be the Dedekind's  $\phi$ -function defined by  $\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^2(d)\delta$  and  $\theta(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^2(d)$ . Clearly

$$\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \text{ and } \theta(n) = 2^{\omega(n)}$$

where the product extends over all distinct prime factors of  $n$  and  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . Let  $[x]$  denote the largest integer  $\leq x$ ,  $\{x\} = x - [x]$  be the fractional part of  $x$  and  $P(x) = \{x\} - 1/2$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** (cf. [3], Lemma). *Let  $f(n)$  be an arithmetical function and suppose  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n) = g(x) + E(x)$  where  $g$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $g''(x)$  is of constant sign for  $x \geq 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} E(n) = \frac{1}{2} g(x) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - P(x)\right) E(x) + \int_1^x E(t) dt + O(|g'(x)| + 1).$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** (cf. [1], Lemma 5.2).

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ (m, n)=1}} \mu^2(m) = \frac{nx}{\zeta(2)\phi(n)} + O(\theta(n)x^{1/2}).$$

LEMMA 2.3.

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} = x + O(x^{1/2});$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} = \log x + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right); \text{ and}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{n > x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n \phi(n)} = \frac{1}{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{3/2}}\right).$$

PROOF. Since

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{n}{\phi(n)} = \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{n|p} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p-1}\right) = \sum_{d \delta=n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)},$$

we have by Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) \sum_{d \delta=n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} = \sum_{d \delta \leq x, (d, \delta)=1} \frac{\mu^2(d)\mu^2(\delta)}{\phi(d)} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\substack{\delta \leq x/d \\ (\delta, d)=1}} \mu^2(\delta) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \left\{ \frac{x}{\zeta(2)\phi(d)} + O\left(\theta(d)\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{1/2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)\psi(n)} + O\left(x \sum_{n>x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)\psi(n)}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(x^{1/2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\theta(n)}{n^{1/2}\phi(n)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Euler's infinite product factorization theorem (cf. [2, Theorem 264]),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)\psi(n)} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2-1}\right) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right)^{-1} = \zeta(2).$$

Also since  $\phi(n)\psi(n) = n^2 \prod_{p|n} (1 - (1/p^2)) > n^2 \prod_p (1 - 1/p^2)$ , the first 0-term on the right of (2.5) is  $O(x \sum_{n>x} 1/n^2) = O(1)$ . Further, since for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\theta(n) \ll_{\varepsilon} n^{\varepsilon}$  and  $n^{1-\varepsilon} \ll \phi(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta(n))/(n^{1/2}\phi(n))$  converges. Thus (2.1) follows from (2.5).

To prove (2.2), we write

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} = x + A(x).$$

Then, by the theorem of partial summation,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} &= \frac{x + \Delta(x)}{x} + \int_1^x \frac{(t + \Delta(t))}{t^2} dt \\ &= \log x + 1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/2})$  by (2.1). Thus (2.2) follows if we prove that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^2} dt = -1 + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}.$$

To see this, we have, for complex  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ , by partial summation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{s}{s-1} + s \int_1^\infty \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt.$$

But, by Euler's infinite product factorization theorem for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} \cdot \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)p^{s-1}} \right\}.$$

Thus on comparing the above two, we obtain for  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\Delta(t)}{t^{s+1}} dt &= -\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{s} \prod_p \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)p^{s-1}} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{1}{s} \zeta(s) h(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(s) = \prod_p \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)p^s} - \frac{1}{(p-1)p^{2s-1}} \right\}.$$

Clearly, the product defining  $h(s)$  converges uniformly and absolutely on compact subsets of  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ , and thus  $h$  is analytic in  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$  and  $h(1) = 1$ . Since  $\zeta(s)$  has a simple pole at  $s = 1$  with residue 1, the function on the right of (2.6) is analytic in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ . Also, since by (2.1),  $\Delta(x) = O(x^{1/2})$ , the integral on the left of (2.6) converges uniformly and absolutely on compact subsets of  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$  and as such defines an analytic function there. Thus (2.6) holds good in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ . Since  $\zeta(s) - 1/(s-1) \rightarrow \gamma$  as  $s \rightarrow 1$ , a simple calculation yields the asserted value of  $\int_1^\infty \Delta(t)t^{-2} dt$ . And (2.3) follows from (2.2) via partial summation.

**LEMMA 2.4.**  $E_1(x) = x E_0(x) + O(1)$ .

**PROOF.** This follows readily from

$$(2.7) \quad E_0(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \text{ and}$$

$$(2.8) \quad E_1(x) = - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right).$$

To prove (2.7), we first recall an easy consequence (cf. [5, Lemma 2.1]) of Euler-Maclaurin summation formula

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma - P(x)/x + O(1/x^2).$$

Hence, by (2.4),

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\delta \leq x/d} \frac{1}{\delta} \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d\phi(d)} \left\{ \log \frac{x}{d} + \gamma - \frac{P(x/d)}{x/d} + O\left(\frac{d^2}{x^2}\right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \log \frac{x}{n} + \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} + O\left(\sum_{n>x} \frac{1}{n\phi(n)}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{x^2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\sigma(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d$ , then  $\sigma(n)\phi(n) > n^2 \prod_p (1 - p^{-2})$  for all  $n$  and hence

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{n>x} \frac{1}{n\phi(n)} \ll \sum_{n>x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n^3} \ll \frac{1}{x}$$

on account of  $\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n) \ll x^2$  and partial summation. Also

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \ll \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} = \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{d \leq x/\delta} 1 \leq \sum_{\delta \leq x} \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \frac{x}{\delta} \ll x.$$

Further, on writing

$$(2.13) \quad \alpha := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)},$$

we have, by (2.3),

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \log \frac{x}{n} &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \int_n^x \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n \leq t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} dt \\ &= \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} \left( \alpha - \sum_{n>t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \right) dt \\ &= \alpha \log x - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n>t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} dt + O\left(\int_x^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2}\right) \\ &= \alpha \log x - \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n>t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.10) through (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)} = \alpha \log x + \alpha\gamma - \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n > t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} dt \\ - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Since, trivially,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \ll \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\phi(n)} \ll \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n^2} \ll \frac{\log x}{x},$$

a comparison of (2.15) with (1.1) and (1.4) yields

$$(2.16) \quad \alpha = A \text{ and } \alpha\gamma - \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n > t} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} dt = AB.$$

On substituting these values in (2.15) and again comparing it with (1.1), we obtain (2.7).

To prove (2.8), we have by (2.2), (2.3), (2.13), and (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} = \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \left[ \frac{x}{d} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} \left( \frac{x}{n} - P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= x \left( A - \sum_{n > x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \right) - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} \\ &= x \left( A - \frac{1}{x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^{3/2}}\right) \right) - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left( \log x + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \right) \\ &= Ax - \frac{1}{2} \log x - \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On comparing this with (1.2), we obtain (2.8) and this completes the proof of the lemma.

**REMARK 2.1.** Since  $\underline{\lim}(\phi(n) \log \log n)/n = e^{-r}$  (cf. [2], Theorem 382), it is clear that

$$(2.17) \quad E_0(x) = O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{x}\right)$$

which should be compared with (1.5). Now Lemma 2.4 yields

$$(2.18) \quad E_1(x) = O(\log \log x) \text{ and}$$

$$(2.19) \quad E_1(x) = O((\log x)^{2/3}).$$

It may be of interest to obtain sharper  $\mathcal{Q}$  and 0-estimates.

**3. Proofs of (1.6) and (1.7).** Since, for integral  $x$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \log n = x \log x - x + \frac{1}{2} \log x + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

we have, by (1.1), (1.2), and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} E_0(n) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{1}{\phi(m)} - A \log m - AB \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} (x+1-n) \frac{1}{\phi(n)} - A \sum_{n \leq x} \log n - ABx \\ &= (x+1)(A \log x + AB + E_0(x)) - \left( AX - \frac{1}{2} \log x + E_1(x) \right) \\ &\quad - A \left( x \log x - x + \frac{1}{2} \log x + O(1) \right) - ABx. \\ &= \left( \frac{A+1}{2} \right) \log x + x E_0(x) - E_1(x) + O(1) \\ &= \left( \frac{A+1}{2} \right) \log x + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

which is (1.6).

To prove (1.7), it is enough if we show that

$$\int_1^x E_0(t) dt = \sum_{n \leq x} E_0(n) - \frac{A}{2} \log x + O(1).$$

This follows readily on specializing Lemma 2.1 with  $f(n) = 1/\phi(n)$ ,  $g(x) = A \log x + B$  and  $E(x) = E_0(x)$  and noting that  $E_0(x) = O(1)$ , a consequence of (1.4).

**4. Proofs of (1.8) and (1.9).** Let  $0 < \rho = \rho(x) < 1$  be a function of  $x$  to be chosen suitably later but subject to the condition that  $\rho^{-1}$  is an integer. Then, by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \\ (4.1) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq x, \\ d \leq \rho x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} + \sum_{\substack{d \leq x, \\ d > \rho x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} - \sum_{\substack{d \leq \rho x, \\ d > x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \\ &= S_1 + S_2 - S_3, \end{aligned}$$

say. Now, by (2.3), (2.13), (2.16) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= \sum_{d \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\delta \leq x/d} 1 = \sum_{d \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \left[ \frac{x}{d} \right] \\
&= \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} \left( \frac{x}{n} - P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
&= x \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n \phi(n)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} - \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\
(4.2) \quad &= x \left( A - \frac{1}{\rho x} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\rho x)^{3/2}}\right) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left( \log \rho x + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\rho x)^{1/2}}\right) \right) - \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \\
&= Ax - \rho^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \rho x - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, by (2.2) and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
S_2 &= \sum_{\delta \leq \rho^{-1}} \sum_{d \leq x/\delta} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\phi(d)} \\
&= \sum_{\delta \leq \rho^{-1}} \left\{ \log x - \log \delta + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + O\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{x}\right)^{1/2}\right) \right\} \\
&= \rho^{-1} \log x - \left( \rho^{-1} \log \rho^{-1} - \rho^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log \rho^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O(\rho) \right) \\
&\quad + \left( \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \rho^{-1} + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2}) \\
(4.3) \quad &= \rho^{-1} \log(\rho x) + \left( 1 + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \rho^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \log \rho^{-1} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2} + \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3 &= \left( \log \rho x + \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + O(\rho^{-1/2} x^{-1/2}) \right) \rho^{-1} \\
(4.4) \quad &= \rho^{-1} \log \rho x + \left( \gamma + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \rho^{-1} + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4.1) through (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad &\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} = Ax - \frac{1}{2} \log x - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma + \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2} + \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n^2}{\phi(n)} &= \sum_{d \delta \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(d)d\delta}{\phi(d)} \\
 (4.6) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ d \leq \rho x}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d\delta}{\phi(d)} + \sum_{\substack{d\delta \leq x \\ \delta \leq \rho^{-1}}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d\delta}{\phi(d)} - \sum_{\substack{d \leq \rho x \\ \delta \leq \rho^{-1}}} \frac{\mu^2(d)d\delta}{\phi(d)} \\
 &= S'_1 + S'_2 - S'_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Then, by Lemma 2.3, (2.13) and (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 S'_1 &= \sum_{d \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\delta \leq x/d} \delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\phi(d)} \left[ \frac{x}{d} \right] \left( \left[ \frac{x}{d} \right] + 1 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} \left( \frac{x}{n} - P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{x}{n} - P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
 (4.7) \quad &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} \left( \frac{x^2}{x^2} - 2P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \frac{x}{n} + P^2\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \right) \\
 &= \frac{x^2}{2} \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} - x \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left( \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)n}{\phi(n)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{Ax^2}{2} - \frac{\rho^{-1}x}{2} - x \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O(\rho^{-3/2}x^{1/2} + \rho x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad S'_2 &= \sum_{\delta \leq \rho^{-1}} \delta \sum_{d \leq x/\delta} \frac{\mu^2(d)d}{\phi(d)} = \sum_{\delta \leq \rho^{-1}} \delta \left( \frac{x}{\delta} + O\left( \left( \frac{x}{\delta} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right) \\
 &= \rho^{-1}x + O(\rho^{-3/2}x^{1/2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad S'_3 &= \left( \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{n\phi(n)} \right) \left( \sum_{n \leq \rho^{-1}} n \right) = \frac{1}{2} (\rho x + O((\rho x)^{1/2}))(\rho^{-2} + \rho^{-1}) \\
 &= \frac{\rho^{-1}x}{2} + \frac{x}{2} + O(\rho^{-3/2}x^{1/2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (4.6) through (4.9),

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} = \frac{Ax^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} - x \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O(\rho^{-3/2}x^{1/2} + \rho x).$$

Hence, for integral  $x$ , we have, by (3.1), (4.5) and (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} E_1(n) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \left( \sum_{m \leq n} \frac{m}{\phi(m)} - An + \frac{1}{2} \log n \right) \\
&= \sum_{n \leq x} (x+1-n) \frac{n}{\phi(n)} - A \sum_{n \leq x} n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \log n \\
&= (x+1) \left\{ Ax - \frac{1}{2} \log x - \frac{1}{2} \left( \gamma + \log 2\pi + \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{-1/2} + \rho) \right\} \\
&\quad - \left\{ \frac{Ax^2}{2} - \frac{x}{2} - x \sum_{n \leq \rho x} \frac{\mu^2(n)}{\phi(n)} P\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + O\left(\rho^{-3/2} x^{1/2} + \rho x\right) \right\} \\
&\quad - \frac{A}{2}(x^2+x) + \frac{1}{2}(x \log x - x + O(\log x)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( A - \gamma - \log 2\pi - \sum_p \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} \right) x + O(\rho^{-3/2} x^{1/2} + \rho x + \log x).
\end{aligned}$$

On setting  $\rho = [x^{1/5}]^{-1}$  in the above, we obtain (1.8),

To prove (1.9), we proceed as in the proof of (1.7) and use (1.8) instead of (1.6).

Finally, we note that, in proving (1.6) and (1.8), we supposed that  $x$  is an integer but passage from integral  $x$  to real  $x$  could be easily affected.

**REMARK 4.1.** From (1.2) and (1.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} (x-n) \frac{n}{\phi(n)} &= \int_1^x \left( \sum_{n \leq t} \frac{n}{\phi(n)} \right) dt \\
&= \frac{A}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} x \log x + \left( \frac{1-D}{2} \right) x + O(x^{4/5}).
\end{aligned}$$

We conjecture that the order of the error function on the right of above is  $O_\epsilon(x^{1/4+\epsilon})$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. E. Cohen, *Arithmetical functions associated with the unitary divisors of an integer*, Math. Zeit. **74** (1960), 66–80.
2. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1960.
3. E. Landau, *Über die zahlentheoretische Funktion  $\phi(n)$  und ihre beziehung zum Goldbachschen Satz*, Nachr. koniglichen Gesellschaft wiss. Gottingen Math. Phys. klasse, 1900, 177–186.
4. S. L. Segal, *A note on the average order of number-theoretic error terms*, Duke Math. Jour. **32** (1965), 279–284; erratum, ibid. **32** (1965), 765; ibid. **33** (1966), 821.
5. R. Sitaramachandr Rao, *On an error term of Landau*, Indian Jour. Pure and Appl. Math. **13** (1982), 882–885.