

# MOODY'S INDUCTION THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

BY

GERALD CLIFF AND ALFRED WEISS

**Dedicated to the Memory of Irving Reiner**

## 1. Introduction

Our purpose is to give a proof of the recent remarkable induction theorem of John Moody [1], a proof that is straightforward and more or less self contained. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated abelian by finite group, and let  $S * \Gamma$  be a crossed product of a left noetherian ring  $S$  with  $\Gamma$ . Let  $G_0(S * \Gamma)$  denote the Grothendieck group of the category of all finitely generated  $S * \Gamma$ -modules. For any subgroup  $F$  of  $\Gamma$ , there is a map  $G_0(S * F) \rightarrow G_0(S * \Gamma)$  given by sending the class  $[M]$  of an  $S * F$ -module  $M$  to the class  $[S * \Gamma \otimes_{S * F} M]$  of the induced module.

**MOODY'S THEOREM.** *Let  $\alpha$  be the sum of the maps from  $\Sigma G_0(S * F)$  to  $G_0(S * \Gamma)$ , where  $F$  varies over all finite subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\alpha$  is surjective.*

As an application to  $G_0$  of group rings, let  $H$  be a polycyclic by finite group, and let  $k$  be a noetherian ring.

**MOODY'S THEOREM FOR POLYCYCLIC BY FINITE GROUPS.** *The map from  $\Sigma G_0(kF)$  to  $G_0(kH)$ , given by the sum of inductions from finite subgroups  $F$  of  $H$ , is surjective.*

To prove this, let  $H_1$  be a normal subgroup of  $H$  of smaller Hirsch length than  $H$ , such that  $H/H_1 = \Gamma$  is abelian by finite, and write the group ring  $kH$  as a crossed product  $(kH_1) * (H/H_1)$ . Then use induction on the Hirsch length.

Here is an outline of our proof of Moody's Theorem. Let  $A$  be a finitely generated free abelian normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  of finite index, and let  $G$  denote

---

Received September 2, 1987.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by NSERC of Canada.

the factor group  $\Gamma/A$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module. Then  $A$  is contained as a subgroup of finite index  $n$  in a group  $B$  which is a free  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module. In Section 2 we show that the matrix ring  $M_n(S * A)$  is graded by  $B$ , in a way which is compatible with the action of  $G$ ; then, picking a positive cone  $B_+$  in  $B$ , we define a certain subring  $R$  of  $M_n(S * \Gamma)$  generated by  $G$  and  $B_+$ , and  $R$  is then graded by the non-negative integers. Moreover we can identify  $R_0$  as a direct sum of full matrix rings over certain finite subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . That  $G_0(R) \cong G_0(R_0)$  follows from work of Quillen [3]. In Section 3 we show that our map  $\alpha: \Sigma G_0(S * F) \rightarrow G_0(S * \Gamma)$  is the composition of four other maps, two of which come from Morita equivalences, one from Quillen's theorem, and one from localization. In Section 4 we give a proof of Quillen's theorem; we are able to avoid the use of Quillen's topological machinery, since we are only interested in  $G_0$ , and not in higher  $K$ -theory. At this point, Moody's theorem will follow, under the assumption that  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module; if not, we form a semi-direct product of  $\Gamma$  with a free abelian group  $N$  to get a group  $\Gamma_1$  for which Moody's theorem will have been proved, and then show in Section 5 that we can reduce back to  $\Gamma$ . In Section 6 we deal with the Goldie rank problem for the group ring of a polycyclic by finite group over a division ring  $k$ .

We would like to thank John Moody for sending us a copy of his thesis.

## 2. The grading on $M_n(S * \Gamma)$

Let  $S$  be a ring and  $\Gamma$  a group. (All rings here are associative with 1.) Suppose that for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there is an automorphism of  $S$ , denoted  $s \mapsto \gamma s$  for  $s \in S$ . A ring is called a *crossed product* of  $S$  with  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $S * \Gamma$ , if it has a basis as a left  $S$ -module  $\{\bar{\gamma}: \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  indexed by  $\Gamma$ , with multiplication given by  $\bar{\gamma}s = \gamma s \bar{\gamma}$  for  $s \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and  $\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta} = f(\gamma, \delta)\bar{\gamma\delta}$  for  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ , where  $f(\gamma, \delta)$  is some unit of  $S$ .

Let  $A$  be a finitely generated free abelian group, contained as a normal subgroup of finite index in the group  $\Gamma$ , and let  $G$  denote the factor group  $\Gamma/A$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module. Suppose, for now, that  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module. Let  $B$  be a free  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module containing  $A$  as a submodule of finite index  $n$ . (Explicitly, one may take a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -basis of  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  contained in  $1 \otimes A$  and let  $A_1$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}G$  span of this basis; then multiply  $A_1$  by a rational number so that it contains  $1 \otimes A$ , letting the result be  $B$ , and identify  $1 \otimes A$  with  $A$ .) The extension  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  by  $A$  leads to an extension  $\Delta$  of  $G$  by  $B$ . Since  $B$  is a free  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module, the extension  $\Delta$  splits, and we shall regard  $G$  as a subgroup of  $\Delta$ .

Let  $X$  be a set of representatives of right cosets of  $A$  in  $B$ . Then  $X$  has cardinality  $n$ , and is also a set of representatives of the right cosets of  $\Gamma$  in  $\Delta$ . Let  $V$  be a free right  $S * \Gamma$ -module with basis  $\{v_x: x \in X\}$  indexed by  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} = \text{End}_{S * \Gamma}(V)$ . Then using the basis  $\{v_x: x \in X\}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to

$M_n(S * \Gamma)$ , and is therefore an  $(S * \Gamma, S * \Gamma)$ -bimodule. We shall show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded ring.

For  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\sigma_{x,y} \in \mathcal{E}$  be the map which sends  $v_x$  to  $v_y$  and which sends  $v_z$  to 0 for  $z \in X, z \neq x$ , so  $\mathcal{E}$  is a free  $S * \Gamma$ -module with basis  $\{\sigma_{x,y}\}$ . Let  $e_x = \sigma_{x,x}$ . For  $\delta \in \Delta$ , define  $\phi(\delta) \in \mathcal{E}$  as follows:

$$\phi(\delta)(v_x) = v_y \bar{\gamma}, \text{ where } \delta x = y\gamma \text{ for some } y \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

From this definition, it follows that

$$e_y \phi(\delta) = \phi(\delta) e_x. \tag{1}$$

For  $x, y \in X$ , let  $\delta = yx^{-1} \in \Delta$ ; then  $\delta x = y$ , and  $\sigma_{x,y} \bar{1} = \phi(\delta) e_x$ , so it follows that

$$\{\phi(\delta) e_x : \delta \in \Delta, x \in X\}$$

is an  $S$ -basis of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

For  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ , to form the product  $\phi(\delta_1)\phi(\delta_2)$ , take  $x \in X$  and write  $\delta_2 x = y\gamma_1$ , for some  $y \in X$  and  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ ; then write  $\delta_1 y = z\gamma_2$  for some  $z \in X$  and  $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . From the definition of  $\phi$ , we find that

$$\phi(\delta_1)\phi(\delta_2)(v_x) = v_z \bar{\gamma}_2 \bar{\gamma}_1, \quad \phi(\delta_1 \delta_2)(v_x) = v_z \overline{\gamma_2 \gamma_1},$$

which implies that

$$\phi(\delta_1)\phi(\delta_2) e_x = \phi(\delta_1 \delta_2) s e_x \text{ for some } s \in S \text{ which depends on } x. \tag{2}$$

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$  be a  $G$ -invariant basis of the free abelian group  $B$ . Define

$$d(\prod b_i^{n_i}) = \sum n_i,$$

so it follows that for  $b, b' \in B$  we have  $d(bb') = d(b) + d(b')$  and for  $g \in G$  we have  $d(gbg^{-1}) = d(b)$ . For  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we may write  $\delta$  uniquely in the form  $\delta = bg$ , for some  $b \in B, g \in G$ . Then define

$$\deg(\phi(bg) e_x s) = d(b), \quad b \in B, g \in G, x \in X, s \in S.$$

It follows from formulas (1) and (2) that this makes  $\mathcal{E}$  into a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded ring. Let

$$B_+ = \{b = \prod b_i^{n_i} \in B : n_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and let  $R$  be the subring of  $\mathcal{E}$  given by

$$R = \left\{ \sum s\phi(bg)e_x : s \in S, b \in B_+, g \in G, x \in X \right\}.$$

Then  $R$  is  $N$ -graded. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \left\{ \sum s\phi(b)e_x : s \text{ a unit of } S, b \in B_+, x \in X \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a multiplicatively closed set of elements of  $R$  invertible in  $\mathcal{E}$ , and is an Ore set by formulas (1) and (2). Moreover every element of  $\mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $t^{-1}r$  for some  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $r \in R$ .

Let us now consider the degree 0 part  $R_0$  of  $R$ . From the definition of the grading,  $R_0$  has  $S$ -basis

$$\{ \phi(g)e_x : g \in G, x \in X \}.$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that  $G$  permutes the set of orthogonal idempotents  $\{e_x : x \in X\}$  via  $\phi$ . For  $x \in X$ , let  $G_x$  denote the stabilizer of  $e_x$  in  $G$  and let  $T_x$  be a set of representatives of the left cosets of  $G_x$  in  $G$ . Let  $\varepsilon_x = \sum_{g \in T_x} \phi(g)e_x \phi(g)^{-1}$  be the sum of the idempotents in the  $G$ -orbit of  $e_x$ . Then  $R_0$  is the direct sum of the two-sided ideals  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  as  $x$  varies over a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of representatives of the distinct  $G$ -orbits of  $X$ . From the definition of  $\phi$ , if  $g \in G_x$  then  $gx = x\gamma_g$  for some  $\gamma_g \in \Gamma$ ; let  $F_x$  denote the set of all the resulting elements  $\gamma_g$  as  $g$  varies over  $G_x$ . Then  $x^{-1}G_x x = F_x$ , so  $F_x$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, since  $\phi(g)e_x = e_x \overline{\gamma_g}$  for  $g \in G_x$ , it follows that  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  is closed under right multiplication by  $S * F_x$ , and  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  is an  $(R_0\varepsilon_x, S * F_x)$ -bimodule. We have  $\{\phi(g)e_x : g \in G\}$  as a basis of  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  as a right  $S$ -module, and since  $\phi(g)e_x = e_x \overline{\gamma_g}$  for  $g \in G_x$ , we see that

$$\{ \phi(g)e_x : g \in T_x \}$$

is a basis of  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  as a right  $S * F_x$ -module. Then left multiplication by  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  on the  $(R_0\varepsilon_x, S * F_x)$ -bimodule  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  shows that  $R_0\varepsilon_x$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End}_{S * F_x}(R_0\varepsilon_x)$  which in turn is isomorphic to the full matrix ring of degree  $|G : G_x|$  over  $S * F_x$ .

Returning to  $R$ , we see that  $R$  is finitely generated as an  $R'$ -module over the subring  $R'$  generated over  $S$  by

$$\{ \phi(b)e_x : b \in B_+, x \in X \}.$$

Then  $R'$  is a skew polynomial ring over  $R'_0 = \sum_{x \in X} S e_x$  in the variables  $\{\phi(b_1), \dots, \phi(b_m)\}$ , so  $R'$  and hence  $R$  are noetherian. We shall need to know that  $R_0$  has finite projective dimension as a right  $R$ -module. Using a skew version of Hilbert's syzygy theorem, (see [2, 13.4.4]) we see that  $R'_0$  has finite

projective dimension as a right  $R'$ -module. Take a finite projective right  $R'$ -resolution  $\{P_i\}$  of  $R'_0$  and apply the functor  $-\otimes_{R'} R$ , which is exact since  $R$  is a free left  $R'$ -module, having basis  $\mathcal{G} = \{\phi(g): g \in G\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is also a left basis of  $R_0$  over  $R'_0$ , then  $R$  is a crossed product  $R' * G$  and  $R_0$  is a crossed product  $R'_0 * G$ . Then

$$R'_0 \otimes_{R'} R \cong R'_0 \otimes_{R'} (R' * G) \cong R'_0 * G = R_0$$

so  $R_0$  has finite projective dimension as a right  $R$ -module, as desired.

### 3. The commutative diagram

We shall keep the same notation as in the previous section.

We recall that  $G_0$  of a ring  $R$  is defined by taking the free abelian group on the isomorphism classes  $[M]$  of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, and factoring out the relations  $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$  for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ . In this section we consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} G_0(S * F_x) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G_0(S * \Gamma) & & \\ \beta \downarrow & & \uparrow \varepsilon & & \\ G_0(R_0) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & G_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & G_0(\mathcal{E}) \end{array}$$

We first define the maps. In this section, we only deal with the generators of  $G_0$ , so we shall suppress the brackets around our modules. The top horizontal map  $\alpha$  comes from sending a left  $S * F_x$ -module  $M$  to  $S * \Gamma \otimes_{S * F_x} M$ , and is well defined since  $S * \Gamma$  is free over  $S * F_x$ . The left vertical map  $\beta$  comes from Morita equivalence, but we need a precise version. For  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  we have the  $(R_0, S * F_x)$ -bimodule  $R_0 e_x$ , which is free as a right  $S * F_x$ -module, and we define  $\beta$  by sending a left  $S * F_x$ -module  $M$  to  $R_0 e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M$ . The ring  $R$  is a free right  $R_0$ -module with basis  $\{\phi(b): b \in B_+\}$ , and we get the map  $\gamma$  by sending a left  $R_0$ -module  $M$  to  $R \otimes_{R_0} M$ . The ring  $\mathcal{E}$  is gotten from  $R$  by localizing at the Ore set  $\mathcal{T}$ , so  $\mathcal{E}$  is flat as a right  $R$ -module, and  $\delta$  is defined by sending a left  $R$ -module  $M$  to  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_R M$ . Fix an element  $y$  of  $X$ . Then  $e_y \mathcal{E}$  is an  $(S * \Gamma, \mathcal{E})$ -bimodule, and since  $e_y$  is idempotent, then  $e_y \mathcal{E}$  is projective as a right  $\mathcal{E}$  module, so we get the map  $\varepsilon$  by sending a left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module  $M$  to the left  $S * \Gamma$ -module  $e_y \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M$ .

Next we prove that the diagram commutes. Starting with the left  $S * F_x$ -module  $M$ ,  $\beta$  sends  $M$  to  $R_0 e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M$  and  $\gamma$  sends this to

$$R \otimes_{R_0} R_0 e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M \cong R e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M.$$

Then  $\delta$  sends this to  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_R Re_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M \cong \mathcal{E}e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M$  and  $\varepsilon$  maps this to

$$e_y \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M \cong e_y \mathcal{E}e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M.$$

Since  $e_y \mathcal{E}e_x \cong S * \Gamma$  as an  $(S * \Gamma, S * F_x)$ -bimodule, then

$$e_y \mathcal{E}e_x \otimes_{S * F_x} M \cong S * \Gamma \otimes_{S * F_x} M.$$

We have therefore proved that the diagram commutes.

To prove Moody's Theorem, we must show that  $\alpha$  is surjective. To do this, we shall show that  $\beta, \gamma, \delta,$  and  $\varepsilon$  are surjective. Indeed  $\beta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are isomorphisms since they come from Morita equivalences. We shall prove that  $\gamma$  is an isomorphism in the next section. For  $\delta$ , let  $M$  be a finitely generated left  $\mathcal{E}$ -module, with a finite set of generators  $Y$ . Then let  $M'$  be the  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by  $Y$ , and it is clear that  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_R M' \cong M$ .

#### 4. Quillen's Theorem

In this section we prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $R$  be a left noetherian graded ring such that  $R$  is flat as a right  $R_0$ -module and such that for each left  $R$ -module  $M$  there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq m$ . Then the map  $\gamma: G_0(R_0) \rightarrow G_0(R)$  given by sending the class  $[M]$  of a left  $R_0$ -module  $M$  to  $[R \otimes_{R_0} M]$  is an isomorphism.*

This is a special case of Quillen's Theorem 7 in [3]. Quillen considers all higher  $K$  groups of the category of finitely generated  $R$ -modules, not just  $G_0$ . For Moody's Theorem, we only need surjectivity of  $\gamma$ ; the ring  $R$  in the previous section satisfies the Tor hypothesis above since  $R_0$  has finite projective dimension as a right  $R$ -module.

Before giving the proof, we shall consider two lemmas, the first of which will also be needed in the next section.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R_0$  be a subring of a ring  $R$ , such that  $R$  is flat as a right  $R_0$ -module. Further, let  $R'$  be another ring and let  $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$  be a ring homomorphism, so  $R'$  is then a right  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is a left  $R_0$ -module, then  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R', R \otimes_{R_0} M) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_0}(R', M)$  for all  $i > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Take a projective left  $R_0$ -resolution  $\{P_i\}$  of  $M$ . To compute  $\text{Tor}^{R_0}(R', M)$ , apply the functor  $R' \otimes_{R_0} -$  obtaining the complex  $\{R' \otimes_{R_0} P_i\}$  and take homology. Since  $R$  is flat as an  $R_0$ -module,  $\{R \otimes_{R_0} P_i\}$  is a

projective  $R$ -resolution of  $R \otimes_{R_0} M$ . To compute

$$\text{Tor}^R(R', R \otimes_{R_0} M),$$

apply the functor  $R' \otimes_R -$  to this resolution, obtaining

$$\{ R' \otimes_R R \otimes_{R_0} P_i \cong R' \otimes_{R_0} P_i \}.$$

It is now clear that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R', R \otimes_{R_0} M) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_0}(R', M)$  for all  $i > 0$ , and the proof is complete.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $R$  be a left noetherian graded ring, and let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded left  $R$ -module. Suppose that there is an integer  $j$  such that  $M$  is generated by its  $j$ -th homogeneous component, i.e.,  $M = RM_j$ . Suppose further that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$ . Then  $M \cong R \otimes_{R_0} M_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = \sum_{i>0} R_i$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by the elements of positive degree. Then  $R_0 \otimes_R M$  is naturally isomorphic to  $M/IM$ . We have a graded map  $\psi$  from  $R \otimes_{R_0} M_j$  onto  $M$  given by  $\psi(r \otimes m) = rm$  for  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M_j$ , hence an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi \rightarrow R \otimes_{R_0} M_j \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $R_0 \otimes_R -$  yields

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi / I \ker \psi \rightarrow M_j \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow 0$$

since  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$  and  $R_0 \otimes_R R \otimes_{R_0} M_j \cong M_j$ . Since  $M = \sum_{i=j}^\infty M_i$ , then  $M_j \cap IM = 0$ , so we deduce that  $M_j \cong M/IM$  and therefore  $\ker \psi / I \ker \psi = 0$ . Then  $\ker \psi = I \ker \psi$ , from which it follows that  $\ker \psi = 0$ , since  $\ker \psi$  is graded and finitely generated (because  $R$  is noetherian.) Then  $R \otimes_{R_0} M_j \cong M$ . This completes the proof.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $M$  be a finitely generated left  $R$ -module. We first assume that  $M$  is graded, and we shall prove that  $[M]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ . We have a positive integer  $i$  such that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, M) = 0$ . If  $i > 1$ , let  $\sigma$  be a graded homomorphism from a finitely generated free  $R$ -module  $F$  onto  $M$ , and let  $M'$  be the kernel of  $\sigma$ , giving us the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $[F]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ , in order to prove that  $[M]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ , it suffices to prove that  $[M']$  is. But  $\text{Tor}_{i-1}^R(R_0, M') = \text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, M) = 0$ . Then by induction, we may assume that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$ .

Next, write  $M = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} M_i$  for some integer  $j$ , with  $M_j \neq 0$ . If  $M = RM_j$ , then Lemma 2 tells us that  $[M]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ . If  $M \neq RM_j$ , define  $M(l) = \sum_{i=j}^l RM_i$ . Since  $M$  is finitely generated, there is an integer  $l > j$  with the property that  $M = M(l)$  but  $M \neq M(l - 1)$ . We shall show that  $[M]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ , for graded  $R$ -modules  $M$  satisfying  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$ , by induction on  $l - j$ . We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M(l - 1) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M(l - 1) \rightarrow 0. \tag{3}$$

Let  $N = M/M(l - 1)$ . Apply  $R \otimes_{R_0}$ , obtaining the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^R(R_0, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M(l - 1)) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, N) \\ \rightarrow M(l - 1)/IM(l - 1) \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow N/IN \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We claim that

$$M(l - 1)/IM(l - 1) \rightarrow M/IM$$

is injective. To prove this, we must show that if  $x \in M(l - 1) \cap IM$  then  $x \in IM(l - 1)$ . We have

$$M(l - 1) = \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} RM_i = \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} (R_0 + I)M_i = \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} M_i + IM(l - 1).$$

Then  $x = y + z$  where  $y \in \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} M_i$  and  $z \in IM(l - 1)$ . But  $x$  and  $z$  are in  $IM$ , so  $y$  is too, hence  $y \in \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} IM_i$  for some  $t$ . Since  $y \in \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} M_i$  it follows that  $t < l - 1$ , so

$$y \in \sum_{i=j}^{l-1} IM_i,$$

and the claim holds. Since  $M(l - 1)/IM(l - 1) \rightarrow M/IM$  is injective and  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$ , it follows from (4) that  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, N) = 0$ . Since  $M = M(l)$  and  $N = M/M(l - 1)$ , we have  $N = RN_l$ . Then Lemma 2 implies that  $N \cong R \otimes_{R_0} N_l$ , and Lemma 1, with  $R' = R_0$ , gives

$$\text{Tor}_2^R(R_0, N) \cong \text{Tor}_2^{R_0}(R_0, N_l) = 0$$

(since  $R_0$  is a flat  $R_0$ -module.) Since  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M) = 0$ , it now follows from (4) that

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R_0, M(l - 1)) = 0,$$

so the induction hypothesis applies to  $M(l - 1)$ . Therefore  $[M(l - 1)]$  is in the



image of  $\gamma$ . Since  $[N]$  is as well, we deduce from (3) that  $[M]$  is in the image of  $\gamma$ , as desired.

For a non-graded module  $M$ , we shall proceed (as does Quillen) as in Swan [4, p. 131]. Let  $z$  be an indeterminate, and consider the polynomial ring  $R[z]$ , which is graded by assigning the monomial  $r_i z^j$  the degree  $i + j$ , for  $r_i \in R_i$ , so  $(R[z])_0 = R_0$ . We shall check that  $R[z]$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. It is noetherian by Hilbert's basis theorem, and it is free over  $R$ , hence flat over  $R_0$ . Let  $L$  denote a left  $R[z]$ -module. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R[z] \xrightarrow{\kappa} R[z] \xrightarrow{\lambda} R \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\kappa$  is left multiplication by  $z$  and  $\lambda$  sends  $z$  to 0. We then have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R[z] \otimes_R L \rightarrow R[z] \otimes_R L \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, in order to prove that  $\text{Tor}_i^{R[z]}(R_0, L) = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $i$ , it suffices to show that

$$\text{Tor}_i^{R[z]}(R_0, R[z] \otimes_R L) = 0.$$

But Lemma 1 tells us that  $\text{Tor}_i^{R[z]}(R_0, R[z] \otimes_R L) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, L)$ , which is 0 for large  $i$  by hypothesis. Thus  $R[z]$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem.

Let us return to our  $R$ -module  $M$ , and let  $F$  be a free  $R$ -module of finite rank which maps onto  $M$ , with  $K$  being the kernel of this map. Then  $F$  is a graded  $R$ -module, by assigning the free generators any convenient degree. Fix a finite set  $Y$  of generators of  $K$ . Take  $y \in Y$  and write  $y$  in the form

$$y = \sum_{i=j}^l f_i, \quad f_i \in F_i.$$

Then define  $\hat{y} \in F[z]$  by

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{i=j}^l f_i z^{l-i}$$

so  $\hat{y}$  is a homogeneous element of the graded  $R[z]$ -module  $F[z]$ . Let  $\hat{K}$  be the graded  $R[z]$ -submodule of  $F[z]$  generated by the set  $\{\hat{y} : y \in Y\}$ . If  $L$  is a graded  $R[z]$ -module, then left multiplication on  $L$  by  $1 - z$  is injective, so the functor  $\Phi$  which assigns  $L$  the  $R$ -module  $L/R[z](1 - z)L$  is exact. It follows that  $\Phi(F[z]/\hat{K}) \cong M$ . We have already proved that  $F[z]/\hat{K}$  is in the image of the map  $G_0(R_0) \rightarrow G_0(R[z])$ . Then apply the functor  $\Phi$ , to see that  $\gamma$  is surjective.

To show that  $\gamma$  is injective, we construct a left inverse. For a finitely generated left  $R$ -module  $L$ , define  $\tau[L] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, L)]$ . Using the fact that  $R$  is noetherian, it follows that  $\text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, L)$  is finitely generated as an  $R_0$ -module. The long exact Tor sequence shows that  $\tau$  respects the relations of  $G_0$ , and the sum is finite by hypothesis, so  $\tau$  is indeed a homomorphism. For a finitely generated left  $R$ -module  $M$ , it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R_0, R \otimes_{R_0} M) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0,$$

so  $\tau\gamma[M] = [R_0 \otimes_R R \otimes_{R_0} M] = [M]$ . Thus  $\tau$  is a left inverse for  $\gamma$ , and the proof is complete.

### 5. The second commutative diagram

We have completed the proof of Moody's Theorem under the assumption that  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module. We now discuss the general case. Since  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} A$  is projective as a  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module, there exists a finitely generated  $\mathbf{Z}G$ -module  $N$  such that  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (A \oplus N)$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}G$ -module. Let  $\Gamma_1$  denote the semidirect product  $N \rtimes \Gamma$ . Then we have the crossed product  $S * \Gamma_1$ , which may be considered as the crossed product  $(SN) * \Gamma$ , where  $SN$  denotes the group ring of  $N$  over  $S$ . Moreover Moody's Theorem has been proved for  $S * \Gamma_1$ . We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum G_0(S * F) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & G_0(S * \Gamma_1) \\ \zeta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ \sum G_0(S * FN/N) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G_0(S * \Gamma) \end{array}$$

In the upper left corner,  $F$  varies over finite subgroups of  $\Gamma_1$ , and  $\alpha_1$  is the sum of inductions, which we have proved surjective. Since  $N$  is torsion-free, we have  $FN/N \cong F$ ; then if  $M$  is an  $S * F$ -module, we define  $\zeta[M] = [M]$ , where the  $M$  on the right is considered as an  $S * FN/N$ -module. The map  $\alpha$  is the sum of inductions. For an  $S * \Gamma_1$ -module  $M$ , we define  $\eta[M]$  to be

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_i^{S * \Gamma_1}(S * \Gamma, M)],$$

analogous to the left inverse map defined in the proof of Quillen's Theorem. Since  $S * \Gamma_1$  is noetherian, in order to show that  $\eta$  is well defined we must only check that this sum of Tors is a finite sum. By Hilbert's syzygy theorem,  $S$  has finite projective dimension as an  $SN$ -module. Then it follows by inducing that

$S * \Gamma$  has finite projective dimension as an  $SN * \Gamma$ -module, and  $SN * \Gamma = S * \Gamma_1$ . Thus  $\eta$  is well defined.

We now prove that the diagram commutes. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $S * F$ -module, where  $F$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma_1$ . We apply Lemma 1 of the previous section, with  $R = S * \Gamma_1$ ,  $R_0 = S * F$ , and  $R' = S * \Gamma$ , with the ring homomorphism  $\phi$  coming from the natural homomorphism  $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ . We find that  $\text{Tor}_i^{S * \Gamma_1}(S * \Gamma, S * \Gamma_1 \otimes_{S * F} M) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , so

$$\eta\alpha[M] = [S * \Gamma \otimes_{S * F} M] = \alpha\zeta[M],$$

and the diagram commutes.

Since we have proved that  $\alpha_1$  is surjective, and since  $\zeta$  clearly is, in order to prove that  $\alpha$  is surjective, we must prove that  $\eta$  is. Let  $M$  be a left  $S * \Gamma$ -module; then we claim that  $[M] = \eta[S * \Gamma_1 \otimes_{S * \Gamma} M]$ . This follows from Lemma 1 once more, this time with  $R' = S * \Gamma$  and  $R, R_0$ , and  $\phi$  as before. This completes the proof of Moody's Theorem.

### 6. Goldie ranks

In this section we use Moody's Theorem to solve the Goldie rank problem for the group ring  $kH$  of a polycyclic by finite group  $H$  over an arbitrary division ring  $k$ . (See also Rosset [4].) We assume that  $H$  has no finite normal subgroup. Then  $kH$  is a prime noetherian ring, and therefore has a classical (left) ring of quotients, which we shall denote by  $k(H)$ , which is a simple artinian ring, isomorphic to the full matrix ring  $M_n(D)$  over some division ring  $D$ . (This is proved in [2] for commutative  $k$ .) The size  $n$  of the matrix ring is called the Goldie rank of  $H$ .

**THEOREM.** *The Goldie rank  $n$  of  $kH$  is equal to the least common multiple of the orders of the finite subgroups of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is a finitely generated left  $kH$ -module, then  $k(H) \otimes_{kH} M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of a certain number, say  $m$ , copies of the simple  $k(H)$ -module; let  $r_H(M) = m/n$ , so  $r_H(kH) = 1$ . Then  $r_H$  gives rise to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued function on  $G_0(kH)$ . Let  $H_1$  be a torsion-free normal subgroup of  $H$  of finite index  $l$ ; then  $kH$  is a free left  $kH_1$ -module of rank  $l$ , and  $k(H)$  is a free  $k(H_1)$ -module of rank  $l$ . It follows that

$$r_H(M) = r_{H_1}(M_{H_1})/|H : H_1|,$$

where  $M_{H_1}$  denotes the restriction of  $M$  to  $kH_1$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subgroup of

$H$  and let  $L$  be a finitely generated left  $kF$ -module. From Mackey's formula,

$$(kH \otimes_{kF} L)_{H_1} \cong \sum_x kH_1 \otimes_{k(xFx^{-1} \cap H_1)} xL$$

where the sum is over representatives of the  $(H_1, F)$ -double cosets of  $H$ . Since  $F$  is finite and  $H_1$  is torsion-free,  $xFx^{-1} \cap H_1 = 1$ , and since  $H_1$  is normal,  $H_1xF = xH_1F$ . Then each summand on the right is isomorphic to  $\dim_k L$  copies of  $kH_1 \otimes_k k$ , and there are  $|H : H_1F|$  such summands. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_H(kH \otimes_{kF} L) &= \frac{1}{|H : H_1|} r_{H_1}((kH \otimes_{kF} L)_{H_1}) \\ &= \frac{|H : H_1F|}{|H : H_1|} \dim_k L \\ &= \frac{\dim_k L}{|F|}. \end{aligned}$$

Pick  $L$  to have  $k$ -dimension 1; then  $r_H(kH \otimes_{kF} L) = 1/|F|$ , and this is some multiple of  $1/n$ , so  $n$  is divisible by the order of each finite subgroup. Let  $M$  be a  $kH$ -module with the property that  $k(H) \otimes_{kH} M$  is a simple  $k(H)$ -module, so  $r_H(M) = 1/n$ . Moody's Theorem implies that

$$r_H(M) = \sum_i \pm r_H(kH \otimes_{kF_i} L_i),$$

for certain  $kF_i$ -modules  $L_i$ , where the  $F_i$  are finite subgroups of  $H$ . Then

$$1/n = \sum_i \pm \dim_k L_i / |F_i|,$$

and it follows that  $n$  divides  $\text{lcm}|F_i|$ . This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. J.A. MOODY, *Induction theorems for infinite groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, 1986.
2. D.S. PASSMAN, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.
3. D. QUILLEN, "Higher algebraic  $K$ -theory: I" in *Algebraic K-theory I*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 341, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 77-139.
4. S. ROSSET, "The Goldie rank of virtually polycyclic groups" in *Groupe de Brauer*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 844, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 35-45.
5. R. SWAN, *Algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 76, Springer, Berlin, 1968.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA  
EDMONTON, CANADA