REMARK CONCERNING TWO-STATE SEMI-MARKOV PROCESSES

By C. Derman¹

Columbia University

Let $\{X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of independent non-negative random variables where the X's have a common distribution function F and the Y's, a common distribution function G. Define

$$S_0 = 0,$$

$$S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k (X_i + Y_i), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$S'_k = S_k + X_{k+1}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and, for each t, $0 < t < \infty$,

$$Z(t) = 1$$
 if $S_k < t \le S'_k$ for some $k = 0, 1, \dots$,
$$= 0$$
 otherwise.

Z(t) is a two-state Semi-Markov Process (see Lévy [2], Pyke [3] and [5], Smith [6]). Such a process arises in work sampling, and also in counter models as treated, e.g., by Pyke [4].

Let P(t) = P(Z(t) = 1). From a result of Smith ([7], Theorem 1) it follows that, if H = F * G (the distribution function of X + Y) is non-lattice, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{EX}{EX + EY} & \text{if } EX < \infty, & EY < \infty \\ 0 & EX < \infty, & EY = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Our remark is directed toward the behavior of P(t) for large t, allowing that both EX and EY can be infinite. It consists in the following observation:

(1)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P(t) \ dt = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1 - f(s)}{1 - f(s)g(s)}, \qquad s > 0,$$

if either of the limits exist, where f(s) and g(s) are the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms of F and G. The truth of this remark is established by starting from a convolution representation of P(t) (see [4]), taking Laplace transforms, and bringing to bear the Abelian and Tauberian theorems on p. 182 and p. 192 of [9].

If f(s) and g(s) are known, (1) is directly applicable. The following examples demonstrate the applicability of (1) for other situations.

(a) Suppose $g(s) = f^k(s)$ for some $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the limit in (1) exists and is equal to 1/(k+1).

Received October 3, 1960; revised November 3, 1960.

¹ Work sponsored by the Office of Naval Research under Contract number Nonr 266 (55) (Nr 042-099).

(b) Suppose, as $t \to \infty$,

$$\int_{0}^{t} (1 - F(x)) dx \sim \frac{A}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} t^{1 - \alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1, \quad A > 0,$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} (1 - G(x)) dx \sim \frac{B}{\Gamma(2 - \beta)} t^{1 - \beta}, \quad 0 < \beta \le 1, \quad B > 0.$$

It can be shown, using the Abelian theorem on p. 182 of [9], that the limit in (1) is A/(A+B) (if $\alpha=\beta$), 1 (if $\alpha>\beta$), and 0 (if $\alpha<\beta$), a result also obtainable from [8].

The limit (1) could be studied from the point of view of Darling and Kac [1]. Possibly, their results would yield conditions on F and G for (1) to hold.

The behavior of P(t) itself, for large t, does not seem to be ascertainable by the method given here.

REFERENCES

- [1] DARLING, D. AND M. KAC, "On occupation times for Markoff processes," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 84 (1957), pp. 444-458.
- [2] LÉVY, P., "Processus semi-Markoviens," Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Amsterdam, Vol. 3 (1954), pp. 416-426.
- [3] PYKE, RONALD, "Markov renewal processes: definitions and preliminary properties," Technical Report under Contract Nonr 266(59) Columbia University, 1958. To appear in Ann. Math. Stat.
- [4] PYKE, RONALD, "On renewal processes related to type I and type II counter models," Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 29 (1958), pp. 737-754.
- [5] PYKE, RONALD, "Markov renewal processes with finitely many states," Technical Report under Nonr 266(59), Columbia University, 1958. To appear in Ann. Math. Stat.
- [6] SMITH, W. L. "Regenerative stochastic processes," Proc. Royal Soc. London, Ser. A., Vol. 232 (1955), pp. 6-31.
- [7] SMITH, W. L., "Asymptotic renewal theorems," Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Ser. A., Vol. 64, Part I (1954), pp. 9-48.
- [8] Takács, L., "On a sojourn time problem," Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen, Vol. 3 (1958), pp. 61-69.
- [19] WIDDER, D. V., The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

AN EXAMPLE OF AN ANCILLARY STATISTIC AND THE COMBINATION OF TWO SAMPLES BY BAYES' THEOREM

By D. A SPROTT

University of Waterloo, Ontario

1. Origin of the example. In [1], an example was given in which a fiducial distribution served as a distribution a priori to be combined with a different set of data (not capable of yielding probability statements), by Bayes' Theorem. In [2], it was shown that this procedure of combining samples, when each sample yielded a fiducial distribution, could lead to a contradiction. In [3], an attempt