

Aleksandra Katafiasz, Instytut Matematyki WSP, Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064  
Bydgoszcz, Poland. e-mail: wspb04@@cc.uni.torun.pl

## IMPROVABLE DISCONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

### Abstract

In this paper the class of improvable functions is defined and the basic properties of such functions is examined. Moreover, a necessary and sufficient condition under which a set  $A$  is the set of points of continuity of some  $\alpha$ -improvable discontinuous function is given. and it is shown that the classes  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\beta$  are different if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

### 1 Introduction

If at some point  $x$   $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t)$  exists and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \neq f(x)$ , then we can say that  $f$  has an improvable discontinuity at the point  $x$ . If at each such point we change the value  $f(x)$  to  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t)$ , then we obtain a new function  $f_{(1)}$  with the “improved” improvable points of discontinuity of the function  $f$ . Repeating this process for the function  $f_{(1)}$  and so on, we can create a sequence (even the transfinite sequence)  $(f_{(\alpha)})$  in such a way that  $f_{(\alpha+1)}$  is obtained from  $f_{(\alpha)}$  by “improving”  $f_{(\alpha)}$ .

### 2 Preliminaries

The word “function” will mean a bounded real function of a real variable. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1** For each function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} C(f) &= \left\{ x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = f(x) \right\}, \\ U(f) &= \left\{ x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \neq f(x) \right\}, \\ L(f) &= \left\{ x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \text{ exists} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A15  
Received by the editors February 24, 1993

**Definition 2** A point  $x_0 \in U(f)$  is called an improvable point of discontinuity of the function  $f$ .

The following remark can be easily seen.

**Remark 1** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $U(f) \cap C(f) = \emptyset$  and  $L(f) = U(f) \cup C(f)$ .

The following proposition is well known. (Compare to [2].)

**Proposition 1** The set  $U(f)$  is countable.

We define the functions  $f_{(\alpha)}$  on the class of ordinal numbers.

**Definition 3** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $f_{(0)}(x) = f(x)$  for each  $x \in D$ . For every ordinal number  $\alpha$ , let

$$f_{(\alpha)}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \{\gamma < \alpha; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} = \emptyset, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma_0)}(t) & \text{if } x \in U(f_{(\gamma_0)}), \\ & \text{where } \gamma_0 = \min \{\gamma < \alpha; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\}. \end{cases}$$

This theorem will be very useful in the paper.

**Theorem 1** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal number. Then

(1, $\alpha$ ) for each  $x \in D$ ,  $\{\gamma < \alpha; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\}$  is the empty set or has only one element,

(2, $\alpha$ ) for each ordinal number  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma < \alpha$ ),

$$\{x \in D; f_{(\gamma)}(x) \neq f_{(\alpha)}(x)\} = \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha} U(f_{(\beta)}),$$

(3, $\alpha$ ) for each ordinal number  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma < \alpha$ ), if  $x \in L(f_{(\gamma)})$ , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma)}(t) = f_{(\alpha)}(x),$$

(4, $\alpha$ )  $\bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} L(f_{(\beta)}) \subset C(f_{(\alpha)})$ .

PROOF. It can be easily shown that (1,1), (2,1) and (3,1) hold. Let  $x_0 \in L(f_{(0)})$ . Then, by (3,1),  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f_{(0)}(t) = f_{(1)}(x_0)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ , if  $t \neq x_0$  then  $|f_{(0)}(t) - f_{(1)}(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We shall show that, for each  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ ,  $|f_{(1)}(t) - f_{(1)}(x_0)| < \epsilon$ . Let  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ . It may be assumed that  $t \neq x_0$  and  $f_{(0)}(t) \neq f_{(1)}(t)$ . Then, by (2,1),  $t \in U(f_{(0)}) \subset L(f_{(0)})$  and,

by (3,1),  $\lim_{z \rightarrow t} f_{(0)}(z) = f_{(1)}(t)$ . Since  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ , there exists  $z \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$  such that  $z \neq x_0$  and  $|f_{(0)}(z) - f_{(1)}(t)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Thus

$$|f_{(1)}(t) - f_{(1)}(x_0)| \leq |f_{(1)}(t) - f_{(0)}(z)| + |f_{(0)}(z) - f_{(1)}(x_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore  $x_0 \in C(f_{(1)})$  and (4,1) is proved.

Let  $\alpha_0 > 1$  be an ordinal number. Assume for each  $\alpha$  with  $1 \leq \alpha < \alpha_0$ , we have  $(1, \alpha)$ ,  $(2, \alpha)$ ,  $(3, \alpha)$ ,  $(4, \alpha)$ . Let  $x \in D$  and  $\{\gamma < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $\gamma_0 = \min \{\gamma < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\}$ . If  $\alpha_0 = \gamma_0 + 1$ , then

$$\{\gamma < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} = \{\gamma_0\}.$$

If  $\gamma_0 + 1 < \alpha_0$  and  $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \alpha_0$ , then

$$x \in U(f_{(\gamma_0)}) \subset L(f_{(\gamma_0)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \gamma_1} L(f_{(\beta)}).$$

Since  $\gamma_1 < \alpha_0$ , by (4,  $\gamma_1$ ),  $x \in \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \gamma_1} L(f_{(\beta)}) \subset C(f_{(\gamma_1)})$  and  $x \notin U(f_{(\gamma_1)})$ . Thus  $\gamma_1 \notin \{\gamma < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\}$ . Hence  $\{\gamma < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} = \{\gamma_0\}$  and we have  $(1, \alpha_0)$ .

Let  $\gamma < \alpha_0$ . First, assume that  $x \notin \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha_0} U(f_{(\beta)})$ . If  $\{\beta < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} \neq \emptyset$ , then, by  $(1, \alpha_0)$ , there exists  $\beta_0 < \gamma$  such that  $\{\beta < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \{\beta_0\}$ . Thus, by the definitions of the functions  $f_{(\alpha_0)}$  and  $f_{(\gamma)}$ , we have  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta_0)}(t) = f_{(\gamma)}(x)$ . If  $\{\beta < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \emptyset$ , then  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) = f(x) = f_{(\gamma)}(x)$ .

Now, let  $x \in \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha_0} U(f_{(\beta)})$ . Then, by  $(1, \alpha_0)$ , there exists  $\beta_0$  ( $\gamma \leq \beta_0 < \alpha_0$ ) such that  $\{\beta < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \{\beta_0\}$ . Thus

$$f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta_0)}(t) \neq f_{(\beta_0)}(x).$$

If  $\beta_0 = \gamma$ , then  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) \neq f_{(\gamma)}(x)$ . If  $\beta_0 > \gamma$ , then  $x \notin \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \beta < \beta_0} U(f_{(\beta)})$  and, by  $(2, \beta_0)$ ,  $f_{(\gamma)}(x) = f_{(\beta_0)}(x)$ . Therefore  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) \neq f_{(\gamma)}(x)$  and we have  $(2, \alpha_0)$ . Let  $\gamma < \alpha_0$  and  $x \in L(f_{(\gamma)})$ . Then  $x \in C(f_{(\gamma)}) \cup U(f_{(\gamma)})$ . First, we assume that  $x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})$ . Then, by  $(1, \alpha_0)$ ,  $\{\beta < \alpha_0; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \{\gamma\}$  and, by the definition of  $f_{(\alpha_0)}$ , we get  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma)}(t)$ . Now, let  $x \in C(f_{(\gamma)})$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma)}(t) = f_{(\gamma)}(x)$  and  $x \notin U(f_{(\gamma)})$ . If  $\eta$  is an ordinal number such that  $\gamma < \eta < \alpha_0$ , then  $x \in C(f_{(\gamma)}) \subset L(f_{(\gamma)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \eta} L(f_{(\beta)})$  and, by  $(4, \eta)$ ,  $x \in C(f_{(\eta)})$  and  $x \notin U(f_{(\eta)})$ . Thus  $x \notin \bigcup_{\gamma \leq \eta < \alpha_0} U(f_{(\eta)})$ . Then, by  $(2, \alpha_0)$ ,  $f_{(\gamma)}(x) = f_{(\alpha_0)}(x)$ . Therefore  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma)}(t) = f_{(\alpha_0)}(x)$  and we have  $(3, \alpha_0)$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha_0} L(f_{(\beta)})$ . Then there exists  $\beta_0 < \alpha_0$  such that  $x_0 \in L(f_{(\beta_0)})$ . Therefore, by  $(3, \alpha_0)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f_{(\beta_0)}(t) = f_{(\alpha_0)}(x_0)$ . If  $\beta_0 = 0$ , then,

by (4,1),

$$x_0 \in L(f_{(0)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \eta < 1} L(f_{(\eta)}) \subset C(f_{(1)}) \subset L(f_{(1)}).$$

Thus it may be assumed that  $\beta_0 \geq 1$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for each  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ , if  $t \neq x_0$ , then  $|f_{(\beta_0)}(t) - f_{(\alpha_0)}(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . We shall show that, for each  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ ,  $|f_{(\alpha_0)}(t) - f_{(\alpha_0)}(x_0)| < \epsilon$ .

Let  $t \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap D$ . We may assume that  $t \neq x_0$  and  $f_{(\alpha_0)}(t) \neq f_{(\beta_0)}(t)$ . Then, by (2,  $\alpha_0$ ),  $t \in \bigcup_{\beta_0 \leq \beta < \alpha_0} U(f_{(\beta)})$ . Let  $\beta_1$  be an ordinal number such that  $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 < \alpha_0$  and  $t \in U(f_{(\beta_1)})$ . Then, by (3,  $\alpha_0$ ),  $\lim_{z \rightarrow t} f_{(\beta_1)}(z) = f_{(\alpha_0)}(t)$ . Therefore there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $(t - \eta, t + \eta) \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ ,  $x_0 \notin (t - \eta, t + \eta)$  and, for each  $z \in (t - \eta, t + \eta) \cap D$ , if  $z \neq t$ , then  $|f_{(\beta_1)}(z) - f_{(\alpha_0)}(t)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Since either  $(t - \eta, t) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  or  $(t, t + \eta) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , we may assume that  $(t - \eta, t) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\beta_1 = \beta_0$ , we choose an arbitrary  $t_0 \in (t - \eta, t) \cap D$ . Now, we assume that  $\beta_0 < \beta_1 < \alpha_0$  and let  $J = (t - \eta, t) \cap D$ . We suppose that  $J \subset \bigcup_{\beta_0 \leq \beta < \beta_1} U(f_{(\beta)})$  and let  $\beta_2 = \min\{\beta_0 \leq \beta < \beta_1; J \cap U(f_{(\beta)}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then, by (1,  $\beta_1$ ), we have, for each  $z \in J$ ,  $\{\beta < \beta_2; z \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \emptyset$ ; so  $f(z) = f_{(\beta_2)}(z)$ . Let  $z_0 \in U(f_{(\beta_2)}) \cap J$ . Then  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} f_{(\beta_2)}(z) \neq f_{(\beta_2)}(z_0) = f(z_0)$ . Thus  $z_0 \in U(f)$  and, by (1,  $\beta_1$ ) and  $\beta_2 > 0$ ,  $z_0 \notin U(f_{(\beta_2)})$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $J \setminus \bigcup_{\beta_0 \leq \beta < \beta_1} U(f_{(\beta)}) \neq \emptyset$  and we choose  $t_0 \in J \setminus \bigcup_{\beta_0 \leq \beta < \beta_1} U(f_{(\beta)})$ . Then, by (2,  $\beta_1$ ),  $f_{(\beta_1)}(t_0) = f_{(\beta_0)}(t_0)$ . Thus

$$|f_{(\alpha_0)}(t) - f_{(\alpha_0)}(x_0)| \leq |f_{(\alpha_0)}(t) - f_{(\beta_0)}(t_0)| + |f_{(\beta_0)}(t_0) - f_{(\alpha_0)}(x_0)| < \epsilon.$$

Hence  $x_0 \in C(f_{(\alpha_0)})$ .

Thus we have shown that, for each ordinal number  $\alpha > 0$ , the conjunction of these conditions holds, so the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

The following remarks can be easily established.

**Remark 2** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number. Then

$$f_{(\alpha+1)}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{(\alpha)}(x), & \text{if } x \notin U(f_{(\alpha)}), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\alpha)}(t), & \text{if } x \in U(f_{(\alpha)}). \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be ordinal numbers such that  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta$ . Then  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) \subset C(f_{(\beta)})$ .

**Definition 4** For each ordinal number  $\alpha$ , we denote

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D\}.$$

We make the following remark.

**Remark 4** *The family  $(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq 0}$  has the following properties.*

1.  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the family of all continuous functions on  $D$ .
2. For each ordinal number  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta \subset \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ .

**Definition 5** *If a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \left(\bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta\right)$ , then it will be called an  $\alpha$ -improvable discontinuous function.*

**Theorem 2** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then, for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) \subset \text{cl}(L(f))$ .*

PROOF. Let  $H = D \setminus \text{cl}(L(f))$ . Then  $H$  is open in  $D$ . We suppose that  $\{\beta < \alpha; H \cap U(f_{(\beta)}) \neq \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\beta_0 = \min\{\beta < \alpha; H \cap U(f_{(\beta)}) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Since  $U(f) \subset L(f)$ , we have  $\beta_0 > 0$ . Then  $H \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \gamma < \beta_0} U(f_{(\gamma)}) = \emptyset$  and, by Theorem 1 (2,  $\beta_0$ ),  $H \subset \{x \in D; f(x) = f_{(\beta_0)}(x)\}$ . Thus  $H \cap U(f_{(\beta_0)}) = H \cap U(f) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\{\beta < \alpha; H \cap U(f_{(\beta)}) \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$  and  $H \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} U(f_{(\beta)}) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 1 (2,  $\alpha$ ),  $H \subset \{x \in D; f(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x)\}$ . Then

$$C(f_{(\alpha)}) \cap H = C(f) \cap H \subset L(f) \cap H = \emptyset \text{ and } C(f_{(\alpha)}) \subset \text{cl}(L(f)).$$

**Corollary 1** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number such that  $C(f_{(\alpha)})$  is a dense subset of  $D$ . Then  $L(f)$  is also a dense subset of  $D$ .*

**Definition 6** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . For each interval  $I = (a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ , the quantity  $\omega(f, I) = \sup_{x \in I} f(x) - \inf_{x \in I} f(x)$  is called the oscillation of  $f$  on  $I$ . For each fixed  $x$ , the function  $\omega(f, (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap D)$  decreases with  $\delta > 0$  and approaches a limit  $\omega(f, x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f, (x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap D)$  called the oscillation of  $f$  at  $x$ .*

We have shown that if  $C(f_{(\alpha)})$  is a dense subset of  $D$ , then  $L(f)$  is also a dense subset of  $D$ . We can ask whether  $C(f)$  is a dense subset of  $D$ . The answer in general is negative.

**Proposition 2** *There exists a subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $C(f) = \emptyset$  and  $C(f_{(1)}) = D$ .*

PROOF. Let  $D = \mathbb{Q}$  where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of all rational numbers. Let  $\mathbb{Q} = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $f(x_n) = \frac{1}{n}$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We observe that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f(x_n) > \lim_{t \rightarrow x_n} f(t) = 0$ ; so  $x_n \in U(f)$ . Hence  $C(f) = \emptyset$  and  $f_{(1)}(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in D$ . □

**Theorem 3** *Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number. If  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D$  and  $D$  is closed, then the set  $C(f)$  is a dense subset of  $D$ .*

PROOF. We suppose that the set  $C(f)$  is not dense in  $D$ . Then there exists  $(a, b)$  such that  $(a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  and  $(a, b) \cap D \cap C(f) = \emptyset$ . Thus

$$(a, b) \cap D \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in D; \omega(f, x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

Since  $(a, b) \cap D$  is a set of the second category in  $D \cap [a, b]$ , there exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and an open interval  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$ , such that

$$(c, d) \cap D \neq \emptyset \text{ and } (c, d) \cap D \subset \left\{ x \in D; \omega(f, x) \geq \frac{1}{n_0} \right\}.$$

Therefore  $(c, d) \cap D \cap L(f) = \emptyset$  and, by  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D$  and Corollary 1, we have a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 2** *If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number, then  $C(f)$  is a dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

It is interesting whether, for each function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $C(f)$  is a dense subset of  $D$ , there exists an ordinal number  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . The answer suggests the following proposition.

**Proposition 3** *There exists a closed  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $C(f)$  is a dense subset of  $D$  and there exist no ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ .*

PROOF. Put  $D = [0, 1]$ . Let  $K$  be the Cantor set and let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the characteristic function of  $K$ . Note that, for each  $x \in K$ ,

$$1 = f(x) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) \neq \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = 0$$

and, for each  $x \in D \setminus K$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = f(x) = 0$ . Thus  $U(f) = \emptyset$  and  $f_{(1)}(x) = f(x)$  for each  $x \in D$ . By Theorem 1 (2,  $\alpha$ ) and by transfinite induction, we have that  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f(x)$ , for each  $x \in D$  and for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4** *For each closed set  $D$ , for each function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and for every ordinal number  $\alpha$ , the set  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) \setminus C(f)$  is of the first category in  $D$ .*

PROOF. Put  $V = C(f_{(\alpha)}) \setminus C(f)$ . We suppose that the set  $V$  is of the second category in  $D$ . Since  $V$  has the Baire property in  $D$ , there exists  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$  and the set  $V$  is a residual subset of  $I = [a, b] \cap D$ .

Therefore  $I \cap C(f) \subset I \setminus V$  is a set of the first category in  $I$ . Since  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) \supset V$  is a dense subset of  $I$ , we have, by Theorem 2, that  $L(f) \cap I$  is also a dense subset of  $I$ . Therefore as in Theorem 3 we can prove that  $C(f) \cap I$  is also a dense subset of  $I$ . Thus  $C(f) \cap I$  is a residual subset of  $I$  and  $I \setminus C(f)$  is a set of the first category in  $I$ . Hence  $I = (I \setminus C(f)) \cup (I \cap C(f))$  is a set of the first category in  $I$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 7** Let  $K \subset D$ . Put  $K^{(0)} = K$ . Let

$$K^{(1)} = K^d = \{x \in D; x \text{ is an accumulation point of } K \text{ in } D\}$$

and  $K^* = K \setminus K^d$ . Let  $\alpha \geq 1$  be an ordinal number. Then

- $K^{(\alpha+1)} = (K^{(\alpha)})^d$ ;
- if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number, then  $K^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} K^{(\beta)}$ .

**Definition 8** Let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Set

$$r(f) = \min \{ \alpha; f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f_{(\alpha+1)}(x) \text{ for each } x \in D \}.$$

Now we show that, for each function  $f$ ,  $r(f)$  is countable.

**Theorem 5** If  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $r(f) < \omega_1$ .

PROOF. Let  $\alpha = r(f)$ . Then  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f_{(\alpha+1)}(x)$  for each  $x \in D$ . Let  $\beta > \alpha$  and we assume that, for each  $\gamma$  with  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ ,  $f_{(\gamma)}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x)$  for each  $x \in D$ . We suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $f_{(\beta)}(x_0) \neq f_{(\alpha)}(x_0)$ . Then, by Theorem 1 (2, $\alpha$ ),  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \gamma < \beta} U(f_{(\gamma)})$ . Therefore there exists  $\gamma_0$  with  $\alpha \leq \gamma_0 < \beta$  such that  $x_0 \in U(f_{(\gamma_0)})$  and  $f_{(\alpha)}(x_0) = f_{(\gamma_0)}(x_0) \neq f_{(\gamma_0+1)}(x_0)$ . If  $\gamma_0 + 1 < \beta$ , we have a contradiction to our assumption. Thus  $\gamma_0 + 1 = \beta$ . Since  $f_{(\gamma_0)}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x)$  for each  $x \in D$ , we have  $U(f_{(\gamma_0)}) = U(f_{(\alpha)}) = \emptyset$  and  $\{x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \neq f_{(\gamma_0)}(x)\} = U(f_{(\gamma_0)}) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence  $f_{(\beta)}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x)$  for each  $x \in D$  and, for each  $\beta > \alpha$ ,  $C(f_{(\beta)}) = C(f_{(\alpha)})$ .

Let  $D_1 = C(f_{(\alpha)})$  and, let for each  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $F_\beta = (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\beta)}))^d$ . Since, for each  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < \beta$ , by Theorem 1 (4, $\beta$ ),

$$C(f_{(\gamma)}) \subset L(f_{(\gamma)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 < \xi < \beta} L(f_{(\xi)}) \subset C(f_{(\beta)}),$$

. Therefore we have  $F_\beta \subset F_\gamma$ . Thus, by Theorem 32 (Cantor-Bendixon) [1], there exists an ordinal number  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  such that if  $\gamma > \alpha_0$ , then  $F_\gamma = F_{\alpha_0}$ .

We assume that  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ . Then  $\emptyset = (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha)}))^d = (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)}))^d$ . We shall show that  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + 1$ . Let  $x_0 \in D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)})$ . Then there exists

an open interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $D \cap (a, b) \cap (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)})) = \{x_0\}$ . We suppose that there exists a point  $x_1 \in ((D \cap (a, b)) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap \bigcup_{\alpha_0 \leq \xi < \alpha} U(f_{(\xi)})$ . Then there exists an ordinal number  $\xi_0$  with  $\alpha_0 \leq \xi_0 < \alpha$  such that  $x_1 \in U(f_{(\xi_0)}) \subset C(f_{(\xi_0+1)}) \subset C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D_1$  and  $x_1 \notin C(f_{(\alpha_0)}) \subset C(f_{(\xi_0)})$ . Therefore  $((D \cap (a, b)) \setminus \{x_0\}) \cap (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)})) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Hence, by Theorem 1 (2,  $\alpha$ ),

$$(D \cap (a, b)) \setminus \{x_0\} \subset \{x \in D; f_{(\alpha_0)}(x) = f_{(\alpha)}(x)\}.$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f_{(\alpha)}(t) = f_{(\alpha)}(x_0)$ , we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f_{(\alpha_0)}(t) = f_{(\alpha)}(x_0)$  and  $x_0 \in U(f_{(\alpha_0)})$ .

We have shown that  $D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)}) \subset U(f_{(\alpha_0)}) \subset C(f_{(\alpha_0+1)})$ . Hence

$$C(f_{(\alpha)}) = (D_1 \setminus C(f_{(\alpha_0)})) \cup C(f_{(\alpha_0)}) \subset C(f_{(\alpha_0+1)}) \subset C(f_{(\alpha)})$$

and  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + 1$ . Hence  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + 1 < \omega_1$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Definition 9** Put  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . If a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , then it will be called an improvable function.

**Definition 10** For  $A \subset D \subset \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \{f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f(A) = \{0\} \text{ and, for each } x \in D, f(x) \geq 0\}.$$

The following theorem will be very useful in the paper.

**Theorem 6** Let  $A$  be a dense subset of  $D$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$  be a function such that  $C(f) = A$ . Then  $g = |f - f_{(\alpha)}| \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  and for each  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ ,  $C(f_{(\beta)}) = C(g_{(\beta)})$ ,  $U(f_{(\beta)}) = U(g_{(\beta)})$  and  $g_{(\beta)} = |f_{(\beta)} - f_{(\alpha)}|$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ . Let  $g = |f - f_{(\alpha)}|$ . Of course, for each  $x \in D$ ,  $g(x) \geq 0$ . Let  $x \in A$  and  $g(x) = |f(x) - f_{(\alpha)}(x)|$ . Since  $C(f) = A$ , by Theorem 1 (2,  $\alpha$ ), for each  $x \in A$ ,  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f(x)$ ; so  $g(x) = 0$ . Thus  $g \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ .

Now, we show by the transfinite induction that, for each  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ ,

$$C(f_{(\beta)}) = C(g_{(\beta)}), U(f_{(\beta)}) = U(g_{(\beta)}) \text{ and } g_{(\beta)} = |f_{(\beta)} - f_{(\alpha)}|.$$

First, we show that  $L(f) = L(g)$ . Since  $D = C(f_{(\alpha)})$ ,  $L(f) \subset L(g)$ . Now, we assume that  $x_0 \in L(g)$ . Since  $A$  is a dense subset of  $D$  and  $g(A) = \{0\}$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} g(t) = 0$ . Therefore, by  $x_0 \in C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D$ , and

$$0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} g(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} |f(t) - f_{(\alpha)}(t)|,$$

we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} (f(t) - f_{(\alpha)}(t)) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} (f(t) - f_{(\alpha)}(t) + f_{(\alpha)}(t)) = f_{(\alpha)}(x_0).$$

Thus there exists  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} f(t)$  and  $x_0 \in L(f)$ . Hence  $L(f) = L(g)$ . It is easy to show that  $C(f) = C(g)$ . Hence, of course,  $U(f) = U(g)$ . Now, we assume that, for each ordinal number  $\xi$  with  $0 \leq \xi < \beta$ , we have shown that  $C(f_{(\xi)}) = C(g_{(\xi)})$ ,  $U(f_{(\xi)}) = U(g_{(\xi)})$  and  $g_{(\xi)} = |f_{(\xi)} - f_{(\alpha)}|$  for each  $x \in D$ . First, we show that  $g_{(\beta)} = |f_{(\beta)} - f_{(\alpha)}|$ . Let  $x \in D$  be a point such that  $\{\xi < \beta; x \in U(g_{(\xi)})\} = \emptyset$ . Then  $\{\xi < \beta; x \in U(f_{(\xi)})\} = \emptyset$ ; so  $f(x) = f_{(\beta)}(x)$ . Thus  $g(x) = |f(x) - f_{(\alpha)}(x)| = |f_{(\beta)}(x) - f_{(\alpha)}(x)|$ . If  $\xi_0 = \min \{\xi < \beta; x \in U(g_{(\xi)})\}$ , then  $x \in U(g_{(\xi_0)})$  and, of course,  $x \in U(f_{(\xi_0)})$ . Therefore  $\xi_0 = \min \{\xi < \beta; x \in U(f_{(\xi)})\}$ . Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} g_{(\xi_0)}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} |f_{(\xi_0)}(t) - f_{(\alpha)}(t)| = |f_{(\beta)}(x) - f_{(\alpha)}(x)|.$$

Since

$$g_{(\beta)}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } \{\xi < \beta; x \in U(g_{(\xi)})\} = \emptyset, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow x} g_{(\xi_0)}(t), & \text{if } x \in U(g_{(\xi_0)}), \\ & \text{where } \xi_0 = \min \{\xi < \beta; x \in U(g_{(\xi)})\}, \end{cases}$$

we have  $g_{(\beta)}(x) = |f_{(\beta)}(x) - f_{(\alpha)}(x)|$ . Since  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) = D$  and  $C(g) = C(f) = A$ , we can show that  $L(f_{(\alpha)}) = L(g_{(\alpha)})$  and  $C(f_{(\alpha)}) = C(g_{(\alpha)})$ . Then  $U(f_{(\alpha)}) = U(g_{(\alpha)})$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3** *Let  $A$  be a dense subset of  $D$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$  be a function such that  $C(f) = A$ . Then, for each  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ ,*

$$g_{(\beta)} \in \mathcal{M}(C(f_{(\beta)})).$$

### 3 $\alpha$ -improvable Discontinuous Functions

First we give a necessary and sufficient condition under which a set  $A$  is the set of all points of continuity of some  $\alpha$ -improvable discontinuous function.

**Theorem 7** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $D$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{R}$  is closed. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a function  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f \in \mathcal{M}(A) \cap \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$  and  $C(f) = A$ .*

- (2)  $\text{cl } A = D$  and there exist two ascending sequences of sets  $(C_\beta)_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha}$  and  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $C_0 = A$ ,  $C_\alpha = D$  and, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $C_\beta \neq C_{\beta+1}$  and

$$D \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1}) \cup C_\beta \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \left( F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi) \right)^d.$$

PROOF. We assume that condition (1) is satisfied. By Theorem 3,  $\text{cl } A = D$ . For each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ , put  $C_{(\beta)} = C(f_{(\beta)})$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n = \{x \in D; f(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then  $C_0 = A$ ,  $C_\alpha = D$  and, for each  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ),  $C_\beta \neq C_{\beta+1}$ . It is obvious that  $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an ascending sequence. By Remark 3, we know that the sequence  $(C_\beta)_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha}$  is ascending, also. Let  $\beta$  ( $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ) be an ordinal number. Since, for each  $x \in D$ ,  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = 0$ , by Theorem 1 (2, $\alpha$ ), we know that

$$\{x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) > 0\} = \{x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \neq f_{(\alpha)}(x)\} = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} U(f_{(\xi)}).$$

By Theorem 1 (2, $\beta$ ) and (4, $\beta$ ), we have that

$$\{x \in D; f(x) \neq f_{(\beta)}(x)\} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \beta} U(f_{(\xi)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \beta} L(f_{(\xi)}) \subset C(f_{(\beta)}).$$

Therefore, for each  $x \in D \setminus C_\beta$ ,  $f(x) = f_{(\beta)}(x)$ .

We shall show that  $L(f_{(\beta)}) = C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1})$ . Since  $C_\beta \subset L(f_{(\beta)})$ , we suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in D \setminus L(f_{(\beta)})$  such that  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta))$ . Then  $f_{(\beta+1)}(x_0) = f_{(\beta)}(x_0) = f(x_0) > 0$  and  $x_0 \notin C(f_{(\beta+1)}) = C_{\beta+1}$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1}) \subset L(f_{(\beta)})$ . If  $x_0 \in L(f_{(\beta)})$ , then  $x_0 \in C_\beta$  or  $x_0 \in C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta$  and there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_{(\beta)}(x_0) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore  $x_0 \in C_\beta$  or  $x_0 \in C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta$  and  $f(x_0) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . Hence  $x_0 \in C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1})$ . Thus

$$L(f_{(\beta)}) = C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1}).$$

We fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $H = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ x \in D; f(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap H &\subset \left\{ x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} U(f_\xi) \\ &\subset \left\{ x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap H \\ &\subset \left\{ x \in D; f(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cap H. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(\{x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\})^d = (F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi))^d$  and

$$\begin{aligned} D \setminus \left( C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1}) \right) &= D \setminus L(f_{(\beta)}) \\ &= \left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta)}(t) > 0 \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \left\{ x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \right)^d \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi) \right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved condition (2).

Now, we assume that condition (2) holds. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N}; x \in F_m \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi) \right\} = \emptyset, \\ \text{otherwise,} \\ \frac{1}{n}, & \text{where } n = \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N}; x \in F_m \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi) \right\}. \end{cases}$$

We observe that, for each  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,

$$\left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f_{|D \setminus C_\beta}(t) > 0 \right\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi) \right)^d.$$

Since  $f(A) = f(C_0) = \{0\}$  and since  $\text{cl } A = D$ , we have that

$$\left\{ x \in D; \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = 0 \right\} = D.$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) > 0 \right\} &= \left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f|_{D \setminus C_0}(t) > 0 \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( F_n \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}) \right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by our assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f) &= \left\{ x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f(t) = 0 \right\} = C_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap C_1) \\ &= C_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_1 \setminus C_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $C(f) = C_0$ ,  $U(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_1 \setminus C_0))$ . Let  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ . We assume that, for each  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < \beta$ ,

$$C(f_{(\gamma)}) = C_{\gamma}, \quad U(f_{(\gamma)}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_{\gamma+1} \setminus C_{\gamma}))$$

and  $L(f_{(\gamma)}) = \{x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma)}(t) = 0\}$ . Let  $x \in C_{\beta}$ .

- If  $\{\gamma < \beta; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f_{(\beta)}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\gamma_0)}(t) = 0$  where  $\gamma_0 = \min\{\gamma < \beta; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\}$ .
- If  $\{\gamma < \beta; x \in U(f_{(\gamma)})\} = \emptyset$ , then, for each  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < \beta$ ,  $x \notin U(f_{(\gamma)}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_{\gamma+1} \setminus C_{\gamma}))$  and, by  $x \in C_{\beta}$ , we have that  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}))$ .

Therefore  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}))$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \beta} U(f_{(\xi)})$ . So  $f_{(\beta)}(x) = f(x) = 0$ . Hence  $f_{(\beta)}(C_{\beta}) = \{0\}$ . Since  $A = C_0 \subset C_{\beta}$  and  $\text{cl } A = D$ , therefore  $\{x \in D; \liminf_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta)}(t) = 0\} = D$ . We observe that

$$\left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta)}(t) > 0 \right\} = \left\{ x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f|_{(D \setminus C_{\beta})}^{(\beta)}(t) > 0 \right\}.$$

By Theorem 1 (2, $\beta$ );

$$\{x \in D; f_{(\beta)}(x) \neq f(x)\} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \beta} U(f_{(\xi)}) \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq \xi < \beta} C_{\xi+1} \subset C_{\beta}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f_{|(D \setminus C_\beta)}(\beta)(t) > 0\right\} &= \left\{x \in D; \limsup_{t \rightarrow x} f_{|D \setminus C_\beta}(t) > 0\right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(F_n \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi)\right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by our assumption, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} L(f_{(\beta)}) &= \left\{x \in D; \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta)}(t) = 0\right\} = C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap C_{\beta+1}) \\ &= C_\beta \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $C(f_{(\beta)}) = C_\beta$  and  $U(f_{(\beta)}) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta))$ .

We shall show that, for each  $x \in D$ ,  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = 0$ . If there exists  $\beta_0$  with  $0 \leq \beta_0 < \alpha$  such that  $x \in U(f_{(\beta_0)})$ , then  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f_{(\beta_0)}(t) = 0$  where  $\beta_0 = \min\{\beta < \alpha; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\}$ . If  $\{\beta < \alpha; x \in U(f_{(\beta)})\} = \emptyset$ , then, for each  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta))$ . Therefore  $x \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F_n \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta))$  and  $f_{(\alpha)}(x) = f(x) = 0$ . Hence  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** *Let  $(C_\beta)_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha}$  be an ascending sequence of sets such that  $clC_0 = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $C_\alpha = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $H$  be an arbitrary set such that, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,*

$$D \setminus ((H \cap C_{\beta+1}) \cup C_\beta) = \left(H \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_\xi)\right)^d$$

and  $C_\beta \neq C_{\beta+1}$ . Then the characteristic function of the set  $H$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$ .

PROOF. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = H$ . Then, as in the proof of Theorem 10, we can prove that the characteristic function of the set  $H$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  and, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $C_\beta = C(f_{(\beta)})$  and  $U(f_{(\beta)}) = H \cap (C_{\beta+1} \setminus C_\beta)$ . Since, by our assumption, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $C(f_{(\beta)}) \neq C(f_{(\beta+1)})$ , we have that  $f \notin \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following theorem shows that we can construct an  $\alpha$ -improvable discontinuous function for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . To prove this theorem we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cup \{0\}$  where, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_n$  is a closed set,  $A_n \subset \left[\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right]$ ,  $\frac{1}{n+1} \in A_n$  and  $\frac{1}{n}$  is a left-side isolated point in the set  $A$ . Then, for each ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)}$ .*

PROOF. If  $\alpha = 0$ , then the lemma is true.

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be an ordinal number and we assume that, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $A^{(\beta)} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\beta)}$ . Consider two possibilities.

1. Let  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number and let  $x_0 \in A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_0 \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$  and there exists a sequence  $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset A^{(\gamma)}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_0$ . Since  $\frac{1}{n+1}$  is a left-side isolated point of  $A$  and  $A^{(\gamma)} \subset A$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each  $k > k_0$ ,  $x_k \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Hence  $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^{(\gamma)}$ ; so  $x_0 \in \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^{(\gamma)}\right)^d = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i^d \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^d$ . Thus  $A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)}$ .  
Since, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_n \subset A$ ; so  $A_n^{(\alpha)} \subset A^{(\alpha)}$ . Hence  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)} \subset A^{(\alpha)}$  and since, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \notin A_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \notin A_n^{(\alpha)}$ ; so  $0 \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)}$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)} \subset A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\}$ .
2. Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal number and let  $x_0 \in A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_0 \geq \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Let  $\gamma < \alpha$  be an ordinal number. Then  $x_0 \in A^{(\gamma+1)}$ . Thus there exists a sequence  $(x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset A^{(\gamma)}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x_0$ . As above we can show that  $x_0 \in A_n^{(\gamma+1)}$ . Hence  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \alpha} A_n^{(\gamma+1)} \subset \bigcap_{\gamma < \alpha} A_n^{(\gamma)} = A_n^{(\alpha)}$ . Thus  $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)}$ . Similarly to the first part, we can show that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^{(\alpha)} \subset A^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 8** *For each ordinal number  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exists a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$ .*

PROOF. For each set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $aA + b = \{ax + b; x \in A\}$ . By transfinite induction, we define a sequence of sets  $(W_\alpha)_{0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1}$  in the following way:  $W_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $W_1 = \{0\}$ ,  $W_2 = \{\frac{1}{n}; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  and, for each ordinal number  $\alpha$  with  $3 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ ,

1. if  $\alpha = \gamma + 2$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number, then put

$$[W_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \cup \{0\},$$

2. if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number, then

$$W_\alpha = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\alpha_n} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \cup \{0\}$$

where  $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of ordinal numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha_n < \alpha$  and  $\alpha_n$  is not a limit ordinal number,

3. if  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , where  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal number, then put  $W_\alpha = W_\gamma$ .

We shall show that, for each ordinal number  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < \omega_1$ ,

- (i)  $W_\alpha$  is a closed nowhere dense set and  $W_\alpha \subset [0, 1]$ ,
- (ii) if  $\alpha > 1$ , then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{1}{n} \in W_\alpha$  and there exists  $\delta_n^{(\alpha)} > 0$  such that  $\left( \frac{1}{n} - \delta_n^{(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \cap W_\alpha = \emptyset$ ,
- (iii) if  $\alpha > 0$ , then, for each  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $0 \in W_\alpha^{(\beta)}$ ,
- (iv) if  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal number, then  $W_\alpha^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$  and if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number, then  $W_\alpha^{(\alpha)} = \{0\}$ .

The above conditions are obvious for  $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$ . Let  $\alpha$  with  $2 < \alpha < \omega_1$  be an ordinal number. We assume that conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) are satisfied for each ordinal number  $\beta < \alpha$ .

1. We assume that  $\alpha = \gamma + 2$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number. Since  $W_{\gamma+1}$  is a closed nowhere dense set and  $W_{\gamma+1} \subset [0, 1]$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{n+1}$  is a closed nowhere dense set and  $\frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \subset \left[ \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n} \right]$ . Therefore  $W_\alpha$  is a closed nowhere dense set and  $W_\alpha \subset [0, 1]$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $1 \in W_{\gamma+1}$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \subset W_\alpha.$$

By our assumption, there exists  $\delta_1^{(\gamma+1)} > 0$  such that  $(1 - \delta_1^{(\gamma+1)}, 1) \cap W_{\gamma+1} = \emptyset$ . We put  $\delta_n^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \delta_1^{(\gamma+1)}$ . Then  $\left( \frac{1}{n} - \delta_n^{(\alpha)}, \frac{1}{n} \right) \cap W_\alpha = \emptyset$ . Let  $\beta$  be an ordinal number such that  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ . By the above, we have that the assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} W_\alpha^{(\beta)} \setminus \{0\} &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{(\beta)} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} W_{\gamma+1}^{(\beta)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption,  $0 \in W_{\gamma+1}^{(\gamma)}$ . Therefore, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{1}{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n(n+1)}W_{\gamma+1}^{(\gamma)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \subset W_{\alpha}^{(\gamma)}$ . Thus  $0 \in W_{\alpha}^{(\gamma+1)} \subset W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ . We know that  $W_{\gamma+1}^{(\gamma+1)} = \emptyset$ . Hence

$$W_{\alpha}^{(\gamma+1)} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)}W_{\gamma+1}^{(\gamma+1)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right) = \emptyset$$

and  $W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ .

- Now we assume that  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal number. As to above we may show that conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. Additionally, by Lemma 1, we have that, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,

$$W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)}W_{\alpha_n}^{(\beta)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$$

Let  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\alpha_n > \beta$ . By our assumption, for each  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $0 \in W_{\alpha_n}^{(\beta)}$  and  $\frac{1}{n+1} \in \frac{1}{n(n+1)}W_{\alpha_n}^{(\beta)} + \frac{1}{n+1} \subset W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ . Thus  $0 \in W_{\alpha}^{(\beta+1)} \subset W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$  and  $0 \in \bigcap_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} = W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}$ . We know that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $W_{\alpha_n}^{(\alpha)} \subset W_{\alpha_n}^{(\alpha_n)} = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} \setminus \{0\} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = \{0\}$ .

- Now we assume that  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal number. It is obvious that conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are satisfied. Additionally

$$W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = W_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)} = \left( W_{\gamma}^{(\gamma)} \right)^d = (\{0\})^d = \emptyset.$$

Now, we consider the following possibilities.

- Let  $\alpha = \gamma + 2$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number. In Corollary 4, we put  $H = W_{\alpha}$  and, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ ,  $C_{\beta} = \mathbb{R} \setminus W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ . Then

$$\left( H \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}) \right)^d = \left( W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \right)^d$$

and  $\mathbb{R} \setminus ((H \cap C_{\beta+1}) \cup C_{\beta}) = W_{\alpha}^{(\beta+1)}$ . Therefore the characteristic function of the set  $H$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ .

- Let  $\alpha$  be a limit ordinal number. Put  $H = W_{\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$  and,  $C_0 = \mathbb{R} \setminus W_{\alpha}$ , for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta < \alpha$ ,  $C_{\beta} = \mathbb{R} \setminus W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ ,  $C_{\alpha} = \mathbb{R}$ . We

show that all assumptions of Corollary 4 are satisfied. We observe that

$$\bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}) = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (W_{\alpha}^{(\xi)} \setminus W_{\alpha}^{(\xi+1)}).$$

Since  $W_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = \{0\}$ , we have  $\bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (W_{\alpha}^{(\xi)} \setminus W_{\alpha}^{(\xi+1)}) = W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left( H \cap \bigcup_{\beta \leq \xi < \alpha} (C_{\xi+1} \setminus C_{\xi}) \right)^d &= \left( (W_{\alpha} \cap W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}) \setminus \{0\} \right)^d \\ &= \left( W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)} \right)^d = W_{\alpha}^{(\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbb{R} \setminus ((H \cap C_{\beta+1}) \cup C_{\beta}) = \{0\} \cup W_{\alpha}^{(\beta+1)} = W_{\alpha}^{(\beta+1)}$ , by Corollary 4, we have that, the characteristic function of the set  $H$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ .

3. Let  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal number. Put  $H = W_{\alpha}$  and, for each ordinal number  $\beta$  with  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ ,  $C_{\beta} = \mathbb{R} \setminus W_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ . As in the first part, we can show that the characteristic function of the set  $H$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ .

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. S. Alexandroff, *Введение в теорию множеств и общую топологию*, Издательство Наука, Москва, p. 162–163, 1977.
- [2] W. H. Young, *La symétrie de structure des fonctions des variables réelles*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques, **52** (2) (1928), 265–280.