

Vasile Ene, Ovidius University Constanța, Romania
Current address: 23 August 8717, Jud. Constanța, Romania
e-mail: ene@univ-ovidius.ro

RIESZ TYPE THEOREMS FOR GENERAL INTEGRALS

Abstract

The author gives a general descriptive definition for integration, denoted by \mathcal{P} , which has as special cases the Lebesgue integral for bounded measurable functions, the Lebesgue integral, the Denjoy-Perron integral \mathcal{D}^* , the wide Denjoy integral \mathcal{D} , the Foran integral, the Iseki integral and the $S\mathcal{F}$ -integral ([5]). This \mathcal{P} -integral will admit Riesz type representation theorems (introducing an Alexiewicz norm, and identifying f with g whenever $f = g$ a.e. on $[a, b]$). The classical Riesz representation theorem for the linear and continuous functionals on $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a consequence of Theorem 2.

In addition it is shown that the space of \mathcal{P} -integrable functions is of the first category in itself (see Section 5). Also a characterization of the weak convergence on this space is given.

1 Introduction

Our purpose is to define a suitable general descriptive definition for integration, denoted by \mathcal{P} , which has as special cases the Lebesgue integral for bounded measurable functions, the Lebesgue integral, the Denjoy-Perron integral \mathcal{D}^* , the wide Denjoy integral \mathcal{D} , the Foran integral, the Iseki integral and the $S\mathcal{F}$ -integral ([5]). This \mathcal{P} -integral will admit Riesz type representation theorems, i.e., introducing an Alexiewicz norm on the space of all \mathcal{P} -integrable functions, and identifying f with g whenever $f = g$ a.e. on $[a, b]$ (see Section 3) we obtain a characterization of the linear and continuous functionals on this space, see

Key Words: Alexiewicz norm, completion, essentially bounded variation, bounded slope variation, weak convergence

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A39, 26A46, 26A45, 46A50, 54E52

Received by the editors August 21, 1996

Lemma 4 and Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 2 is based on Theorem 1, and to prove Theorem 1 we use a technique of [12], p. 75. As a consequence of Theorem 2 it follows the classical Riesz representation theorem for the linear and continuous functionals on $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

Further, we also prove that the space of \mathcal{P} -integrable functions is of the first category in itself (see Section 5) and we give a characterization of the weak convergence on it.

2 Essentially Bounded Variation and the Bounded Slope Variation

Definition 1. ([14]). Let $P \subset [a, b]$ be a set of positive measure, and let $f : P \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a measurable function, finite *a.e.*.

- f is said to be essentially upper bounded if there exists a real number M such that the set $\{x \in P : f(x) > M\}$ has measure zero.
- f is said to be essentially lower bounded if the function $-f$ is essentially upper bounded.
- f is said to be essentially bounded if it is simultaneously essentially upper bounded and essentially lower bounded, i.e., there exists $M > 0$ such that the set $\{x \in P : |f(x)| > M\}$ is of measure zero.
- Let $\sup_{ess}(f; P) = \inf\{M : \{x \in P : f(x) > M\} \text{ has measure zero}\}$ if f is essentially upper bounded and $\sup_{ess}(f; P) = +\infty$ if not. Define $\inf_{ess}(f; P)$ similarly .
- Let $\mathcal{O}_{ess}(f; P) = \sup_{ess}(f; P) - \inf_{ess}(f; P)$.
- Let $\mathcal{O}_{ess}(f; X) = 0$, whenever X is a null subset of P .
- f is said to be of essentially bounded variation (abbreviated $f \in EVB$) on P , if there exists $M > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{ess}(f; [a_i, b_i] \cap P) < M$, whenever $[a_i, b_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are nonoverlapping closed intervals with endpoints in P .
- Let $EV(f; P) = \inf\{M : M \text{ is as above}\}$ if $f \in EVB$ on P and let $EV(f; P) = +\infty$ if not.
- Let $V(f; P) = \inf\{M : \sum_{i=1}^n (f(b_i) - f(a_i))/(b_i - a_i) < M, \text{ whenever } [a_i, b_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ are nonoverlapping closed intervals with endpoints in } P\}$ if $f \in VB$ on P and let $V(f; P) = +\infty$ otherwise.

Lemma 1. *Let P be a dense subset of $[a, b]$ and let $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in VB$. Then there exists $\tilde{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f} \in VB$ on $[a, b]$, $\tilde{f}|_P = f$ and $V(\tilde{f}; [a, b]) = V(f; P)$.*

PROOF. Let $x \in [a, b] \setminus P$. Then $\lim_{y \searrow x, y \in P} f(y)$ exists and is finite (because f is bounded on P). Suppose that the above limit does not exist; then there exists $\epsilon_o > 0$ such that whenever $\delta > 0$ there exist $x', x'' \in (x, x + \delta) \cap P$ such that $|f(x') - f(x'')| \geq \epsilon_o$. For $\delta = 1$ there exist $a_1, b_1 \in (x, x + 1) \cap P$, $a_1 < b_1$ such that $|f(a_1) - f(b_1)| \geq \epsilon_o$. For $\delta = a_1 - x$ there exist $a_2, b_2 \in (x, x + \delta) \cap P$, $a_2 < b_2$ such that $|f(a_2) - f(b_2)| \geq \epsilon_o$. Inductively we obtain a sequence $\{[a_n, b_n]\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, of nonoverlapping closed intervals with endpoints in P such that $b_1 > a_1 > b_2 > a_2 > \dots > b_n > a_n \dots$ and $|f(a_n) - f(b_n)| \geq \epsilon_o$. Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(a_n) - f(b_n)| = \infty$, which contradicts the fact that f is VB on P . Similarly we can prove that $\lim_{y \nearrow x, y \in P} f(y) = f(x+)$ and $\lim_{y \nearrow x, y \in P} f(y) = f(x-)$. Define $\tilde{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in P \\ f(x+) & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \setminus P \\ f(b-) & \text{if } b \notin P \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{f}|_P = f$ and $V(f; P) \leq V(\tilde{f}; [a, b])$. Suppose on the contrary that $V(f; P) < V(\tilde{f}; [a, b])$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\epsilon + V(f; P) < V(\tilde{f}; [a, b])$. Then there exists $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}(t_i) - \tilde{f}(t_{i-1})| > \epsilon + V(f; P)$. We may suppose without loss of generality that each t_i does not belong to P . Then for each t_i with $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ it follows that there exists $t'_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1}) \cap P$ such that $|\tilde{f}(t_i) - \tilde{f}(t'_i)| < \epsilon/(4n)$ and for t_n there exists $t'_n \in (t_{n-1}, t_n) \cap P$ such that $|\tilde{f}(t_n) - \tilde{f}(t'_n)| < \epsilon/(4n)$. Therefore $\epsilon + V(f; P) < \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}(t_i) - \tilde{f}(t_{i-1})| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\tilde{f}(t_i) - f(t'_i) + f(t'_i) - f(t'_{i-1}) + f(t'_{i-1}) - \tilde{f}(t_{i-1})| < 2n \cdot \epsilon/(4n) + \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t'_i) - f(t'_{i-1})| < \epsilon/2 + V(f; P)$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a measurable function. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in EVB$ on $[a, b]$,
- (ii) There exists $\tilde{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $\tilde{f} \in VB$ and $\tilde{f} = f$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
Moreover $EV(f; [a, b]) \leq V(\tilde{f}; [a, b]) \leq 2 \cdot EV(f; [a, b])$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) We may suppose that $[a, b] = [0, 1]$. For $n \geq 2$ let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi'_n &= \left\{ \left[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right] \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1; \\ \pi''_n &= \left\{ \left[0, \frac{1}{2^n} \right], \left[\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right], \left[\frac{2^n-1}{2^n}, 1 \right] \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1; \\ M'_{n,i} &= \sup_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right] \right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1; \\ m'_{n,i} &= \inf_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right] \right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1; \\ M''_{n,i} &= \sup_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right] \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1; \\ m''_{n,i} &= \inf_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right] \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1; \\ A'_{n,i} &= \left\{ x \in \left(\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right) : m'_{n,i} \leq f(x) \leq M'_{n,i} \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1; \\ A''_{n,i} &= \left\{ x \in \left(\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right) : m''_{n,i} \leq f(x) \leq M''_{n,i} \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1} - 1; \\ A'_n &= \cup_{i=1}^{2^n-1} A'_{n,i}; \\ A''_n &= A'_{n,0} \cup A'_{n,2^n-1} \cup \left(\cup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} A''_{n,i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For example, each set

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ x \in \left(\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right) : f(x) > M'_{n,i} \right\} = \\ &\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x \in \left(\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right) : f(x) \geq M'_{n,i} + \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1$, is therefore a countable union of null sets. Thus A'_n, A''_n are measurable sets and $|A'_n| = |A''_n| = 1$. Let $A = \cap_{n=2}^{\infty} (A'_n \cup A''_n)$. Then A is measurable and $|A| = 1$.

We show that $F \in VB$ on A . Since $F \in EVB$ on $[a, b]$, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \mathcal{O}_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{i}{2^n}, \frac{i+1}{2^n} \right] \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} (M'_{n,i} - m'_{n,i}) < M, \quad n \geq 2 \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} \mathcal{O}_{ess} \left(f; \left[\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i+1}{2^n} \right] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}-1} (M''_{n,i} - m''_{n,i}) < M, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Let $\{[\alpha_k, \beta_k]\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ be a finite set of nonoverlapping closed intervals with endpoints in A . Then there exists a positive integer n_o such that each α_k and β_k is contained in the interior of exactly one component interval of the partition π'_{n_o} . Let $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{2^{n_o}-1}$ be such that

$$x_i \in A \cap \left(\frac{i}{2^{n_o}}, \frac{i+1}{2^{n_o}} \right), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n_o} - 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2^{n_o}-1}\} \supseteq \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_n\}.$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^p |f(\beta_k) - f(\alpha_k)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{[x_{i-1}, x_i] \subseteq [\alpha_k, \beta_k]} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \leq \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_o}-1} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n_o}-1} |f(x_{2i+1}) - f(x_{2i})| < \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n_o-1}-1} (M'_{n_o-1,i} - m'_{n_o-1,i}) < M$$

(because $2i/2^{n_o} < x_{2i} < (2i+1)/2^{n_o} < x_{2i+1} < (2i+2)/2^{n_o}$, so $i/(2^{n_o-1}) < x_{2i} < x_{2i+1} < (i+1)/(2^{n_o-1})$) and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_o-1}-1} |f(x_{2i}) - f(x_{2i-1})| < \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n_o-1}-1} (M''_{n_o,i} - m''_{n_o,i}) < M$$

(because $(2i-1)/2^{n_o} < x_{2i-1} < 2i/2^{n_o} < x_{2i} < (2i+1)/2^{n_o}$). It follows that $\sum_{k=1}^p |f(\beta_k) - f(\alpha_k)| < 2M$, hence $f \in VB$ on A . Moreover $V(f; A) \leq 2 \cdot EV(f; [a, b])$. By Lemma 2, it follows that there exists $\tilde{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f} = f$ on A and $V(\tilde{f}; [a, b]) = V(f; A)$. Therefore $V(\tilde{f}; [a, b]) \leq 2 \cdot EV(f; [a, b])$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $M > 0$ be given by the fact that $\tilde{f} \in VB$ on $[a, b]$. Let $\{[a_i, b_i]\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be a set of nonoverlapping closed subintervals of $[a, b]$. Then $M > \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(f; A \cap [a_i, b_i]) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{ess}(f; [a_i, b_i])$. It follows that $f \in EVB$ on $[a, b]$. Moreover $EV(f; [a, b]) \leq V(\tilde{f}; [a, b])$. \square

Remark 1. Lemma 2 is in fact an observation of [14] (p. 81). It was used for example in the proof of Sargent’s Theorem 50 (see [3], p. 45) without demonstration, but with the warning of Peter Bullen (see [3], p. 309) that a more complete proof of it is in [16].

Definition 2. A function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of bounded slope variation (abbreviated $F \in BSV$) on a subset P of $[a, b]$, if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| < M$$

whenever $a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{2n} < b_{2n}$ are points in P . (1)

Let $SV(F; P) = \inf\{M : (1) \text{ holds.}\}$ If $F \notin BSV$ on P let $SV(F; P) = +\infty$.

Lemma 3. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$.
- (ii) There exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \left| \frac{F(x_{i+2}) - F(x_{i+1})}{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}} - \frac{F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| < M,$$

whenever $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n = b$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let M be given by the fact that $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \left| \frac{F(x_{i+2}) - F(x_{i+1})}{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}} - \frac{F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=\text{even}}}^{n-2} \left| \frac{F(x_{i+2}) - F(x_{i+1})}{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}} - \frac{F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i=\text{odd}}}^{n-2} \left| \frac{F(x_{i+2}) - F(x_{i+1})}{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}} - \frac{F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| < M + M = 2M. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) We may suppose without loss of generality that $a < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_{2n} < b_{2n} < b$. Let’s rename these points $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 <$

$\dots < x_{4n+1} = b$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{F(a_{2i}) - F(b_{2i-1})}{a_{2i} - b_{2i-1}} \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(a_{2i}) - F(b_{2i-1})}{a_{2i} - b_{2i-1}} - \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{4n-1} \left| \frac{F(x_{i+2}) - F(x_{i+1})}{x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}} - \frac{F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i)}{x_{i+1} - x_i} \right| < M. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. Lemma 3, (ii) is in fact Definition 12.5 of [12] (p. 74) for the condition *BSV* on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 1. *With the above notations we have the following results:*

(i) *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f \in EBV$ and let $F(x) = (\mathcal{L}) \int_a^x f(t) dt$. Then $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$ and $SV(F; [a, b]) \leq EV(f; [a, b])$.*

(ii) *Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F \in BSV$ and let*

$$F^*(x) = \begin{cases} F'(x) & \text{where } F \text{ is derivable} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then F satisfies the Lipschitz condition L , $F^ \in EBV$ on $[a, b]$ and $EV(F^*; [a, b]) \leq SV(F; [a, b])$.*

PROOF. (i) Clearly f is essentially bounded on $[a, b]$; so f is summable on $[a, b]$ and $F(x) = (\mathcal{L}) \int_a^x f(t) dt$ is Lipschitz. Let $a \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{2n} < b_{2n} \leq b$. We have

$$\inf_{ess}(f; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}]) \leq \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} \leq \sup_{ess}(f; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}])$$

and

$$\inf_{ess}(f; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}]) \leq \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \leq \sup_{ess}(f; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}]).$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| < \mathcal{O}_{ess}(f; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}]).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(b_{2i}) - F(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{F(b_{2i-1}) - F(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| < \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{ess}(F; [a_{2i-1}, b_{2i}]) < (\epsilon + EV(f; [a, b])).$$

Hence $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$. Since ϵ was arbitrary, $SV(F; [a, b]) \leq EV(f; [a, b])$.

(ii) We show that F is bounded on $[a, b]$. Suppose for example that F is upper unbounded. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ such that $F(x_n) > n$ for each n . For $F(x_n) > \max\{|F(a)|, |F(b)|\}$ we have

$$\left| \frac{F(b) - F(x_n)}{b - x_n} - \frac{F(x_n) - F(a)}{x_n - a} \right| > \frac{F(x_n) - F(a)}{x_n - a} > \frac{n - a}{b - a} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence $F \notin BSV$ on $[a, b]$, a contradiction.

Suppose on the contrary that $F \notin L$ on $[a, b]$. For each positive integer n , there exist $x_n, y_n \in [a, b]$, $x_n < y_n$, such that $|F(y_n) - F(x_n)|/(y_n - x_n) > n$. Since F is bounded, $y_n - x_n \rightarrow 0$. But $\{x_n\}_n$ is a bounded sequence; so it contains a convergent subsequence. Hence, we may suppose without loss of generality that $\{x_n\}_n$ converges to x_o . Then $\{y_n\}_n$ converges to x_o too. We have two cases:

1) If $x_o = a$, then there exists n_o such that $y_n < (a + b)/2$ for each $n \geq n_o$. It follows that $[x_n, y_n]$ and $[(a + b)/2, b]$ are nonoverlapping closed intervals for each $n \geq n_o$. We have

$$\left| \frac{F(b) - F((a + b)/2)}{(b - a)/2} - \frac{F(y_n) - F(x_n)}{y_n - x_n} \right| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts the fact that $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$.

2) If $x_o \neq a$, then there exists n_o such that $x_n > (a + x_o)/2$, for each $n > n_o$. It follows that $[a, (a + x_o)/2]$ and $[x_n, y_n]$ are nonoverlapping closed intervals for each $n \geq n_o$. We have

$$\left| \frac{F(y_n) - F(x_n)}{y_n - x_n} - \frac{F((a + x_o)/2) - F(a)}{(x_o - a)/2} \right| \rightarrow +\infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts the fact that $F \in BSV$ on $[a, b]$.

Therefore we have obtained that $F \in L$ on $[a, b]$. It follows that F is derivable a.e. on $[a, b]$. Let $A = \{x \in [a, b] : F \text{ is derivable at } x\}$. Clearly $F^* = F'$ on A . We show that $F' \in VB$ on A . Let $a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n < b_n$ be points in A . For $\epsilon > 0$ let $[c_i, d_i] \subset (a_i, b_i)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{F(c_i) - F(a_i)}{c_i - a_i} - F'(a_i) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2n} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{F(b_i) - F(d_i)}{b_i - d_i} - F'(b_i) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2n}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |F'(b_i) - F'(a_i)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(c_i) - F(a_i)}{c_i - a_i} - F'(a_i) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(b_i) - F(d_i)}{b_i - d_i} - F'(b_i) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{F(c_i) - F(a_i)}{c_i - a_i} - \frac{F(b_i) - F(d_i)}{b_i - d_i} \right| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} + (\epsilon + SV(F; [a, b])). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F^* \in VB$ on A . Since ϵ was arbitrary, $V(F^*; A) \leq SV(F; [a, b])$. Let $\{[a_i, b_i]\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be a set of nonoverlapping closed intervals of $[a, b]$. Then $V(F^*; A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}(F^*; A \cap [a_i, b_i]) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{ess}(F^*; [a_i, b_i])$. Therefore $EV(F^*; [a, b]) \leq SV(F; [a, b])$. \square

Corollary 1. *A function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the indefinite Lebesgue integral of a VB function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if and only if $F \in BVS$ on $[a, b]$.*

PROOF. See Theorem 1 and Lemma 2. \square

Remark 3. If in Corollary 1 “ $F \in BVS$ ” is replaced by “ $F \in BSV \cap L$ ” we obtain a result of Riesz (Lemma 12.6 of [12], p.75). As we see from our Theorem 1 “ $F \in BSV \cap L$ ” is superfluous, because “ $BSV \in L$ ”. Let’s mention that in the prove of Theorem 1 we used some techniques of Riesz’ lemma.

3 A General Descriptive definition for Integration

Definition 3. A class of functions $\mathcal{P}([a, b]) \subset \{F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \text{ is continuous on } [a, b] \text{ and approximately derivable a.e. on } [a, b]\}$ is called a general class of primitives on $[a, b]$ if it satisfies the following properties :

- (i) $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$ is a real linear space ;
- (ii) If $F'_{ap} = G'_{ap}$ a.e. on $[a, b]$ and $F, G \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, then $F - G$ is a constant on $[a, b]$;

(iii) If $F \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ and $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a VB function on $[a, b]$, then $H \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, where $H(x) = F(x) \cdot g(x) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^x F(t) dg(t)$ and (\mathcal{RS}) stands for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

(iv) $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$ contains the class $Lip([a, b]) = \{F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \text{ is Lipschitz}\}$.

Definition 4. A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be \mathcal{P} -integrable on $[a, b]$ if there exists a function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F'_{ap}(x) = f(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. We will write $(\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)dt = F(b) - F(a)$. We refer to F as \mathcal{P} -primitive of f on $[a, b]$.

Remark 4. Note the following:

- (i) From Definition 3 (ii) it follows that the \mathcal{P} -integral is well defined.
- (ii) By Definition 3 (i) it follows that the set of all \mathcal{P} -integrable functions on $[a, b]$ is a real linear space.
- (iii) If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{P} -integrable, then f is measurable (see [15], p. 299).
- (iv) We will define on the set of all \mathcal{P} -integrable functions on $[a, b]$ an equivalence relation : $f \sim g$ if $f(x) = g(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (v) We denote the set of all classes of equivalence with $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$. With the usual operations with classes the set $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$ becomes a real linear space. We shall denote the equivalence class of f also by f .
- (vi) Let $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]) = \{F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \in \mathcal{P}([a, b]), F(a) = 0\}$.
- (vii) Formula $\|F\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |F(x)|$ defines a norm on each of the following linear spaces: $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$, $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$, $C([a, b])$, $C_o([a, b])$ (here $C([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous}\}$ and $C_o([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous and } f(a) = 0\}$).
- (viii) Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$ and let $F \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ be the unique \mathcal{P} primitive of f . The formula $\|f\| = \|F\|_\infty$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$.
- (ix) We denote by $VB([a, b]) = \{g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : g \in VB \text{ on } [a, b]\}$. With the usual operations with functions and with the norm $\|g\|_{VB} = |g(b)| + V_a^b(g)$, the set $VB([a, b])$ becomes a real Banach space.

4 Riesz representation theorems for the \mathcal{P} integration

Definition 5. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \times VB([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by the formula $\langle f, g \rangle = (\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt$. (That $f \cdot g$ is \mathcal{P} -integrable on $[a, b]$ follows by Definition 3 (iii) and the fact that $H'_{ap}(x) = f(x)g(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$, see the proof of Theorem 5.23.2 of [5].)

Lemma 4. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$ and $g \in VB([a, b])$. Then we have :

(i) $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is bilinear

(ii) $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|_{VB}$

(iii) $T : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T(f) = \langle f, g \rangle$ is a continuous linear functional and $\|T\| \leq \|g\|_{VB}$.

PROOF. By Definitions 3 and 5, $\langle f, g \rangle = F(b)g(b) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t)$, where $F \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ is the unique \mathcal{P} -primitive of f .

(i) This follows by the fact that the \mathcal{RS} -integral is linear in the first argument and in the second argument.

(ii) We have $|\langle f, g \rangle| = |F(b)g(b) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t)| \leq |F(b)| \cdot |g(b)| + \|F\|_\infty \cdot V(g; [a, b]) \leq \|F\|_\infty \cdot (|g(b)| + V(g; [a, b])) = \|f\| \cdot \|g\|_{VB}$.

(iii) This follows by (i) and (ii). \square

Lemma 5. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be normed real spaces and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that:

a) $\langle \cdot, y \rangle$ is linear in the first variable, for each $y \in Y$;

b) $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|_1 \cdot \|y\|_2$, whenever $x \in X$, $y \in Y$.

If $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous linear functional and if there exist $y_o \in Y$ and a dense subset X_o of X such that $f(x) = \langle x, y_o \rangle$ for each $x \in X_o$, then $f(x) = \langle x, y_o \rangle$ on X and $\|f\| \leq \|y_o\|_2$.

PROOF. Since $\overline{X_o} = X$, for $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset X_o$ such that $\|x_n - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $|\langle x_n, y_o \rangle - \langle x, y_o \rangle| = |\langle x_n - x, y_o \rangle| \leq \|x_n - x\|_1 \cdot \|y_o\|_2$ (see a) and b)). Since f is continuous, $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y_o \rangle = \langle x, y_o \rangle$. Hence $f(x) = \langle x, y_o \rangle$, for each $x \in X$ and $\|f\| \leq \|y_o\|_2$ (see a) and b)). \square

Theorem 2. Let $T : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous linear functional. Then there exists $g \in VB$ such that

$$T(f) = \langle f, g \rangle = (\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}V(g; [a, b]) \leq \|L\| \leq \|g\|_{VB}. \tag{3}$$

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}([a, b]) = \{s : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : s \text{ is a step function of the form } s(t) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i K_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]} + \alpha_n K_{[t_{n-1}, t_n]} \text{ for some positive integer } n, \\ \text{where each } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b\}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here K_E denotes the characteristic function of the set E .) We show that $\overline{\mathcal{S}([a, b])} = \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$ and let $F \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ the unique primitive of f . Then $F(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$. Let $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$, $x_i - x_{i-1} = (b - a)/n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $F_n(x_i) = F(x_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and let F_n be linear on each closed interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$. Then $F_n \xrightarrow{[unif]} F$ on $[a, b]$ and each F_n is Lipschitz. By Definition 3 (iv), each F_n is in $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$. Let

$$s_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})}{x_i - x_{i-1}} & \text{if } x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \\ \frac{F(x_n) - F(x_{n-1})}{x_n - x_{n-1}} & \text{if } x \in [x_{n-1}, x_n] \end{cases}$$

Then $s_n \in \mathcal{S}([a, b])$ and $\|s_n - f\| = \|F_n - F\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ (because $F_n \xrightarrow{[unif]} F$ on $[a, b]$). Therefore $\mathcal{S}([a, b])$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$.

Let $G(t) = T(K_{[a,t]})$ and let $a \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{2n} < b_{2n} \leq b$. Since T is linear and continuous,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{G(b_{2i}) - G(a_{2i})}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} - \frac{G(b_{2i-1}) - G(a_{2i-1})}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \right| = \\ \sum_{i=1}^n |T(\varphi_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i T(\varphi_i) = T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \varphi_i\right) \leq \|T\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \varphi_i \right\| \leq \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_i = \text{sign } T(\varphi_i)$ and

$$\varphi_i = \frac{1}{b_{2i} - a_{2i}} \cdot K_{(a_{2i}, b_{2i}]} - \frac{1}{b_{2i-1} - a_{2i-1}} \cdot K_{(a_{2i-1}, b_{2i-1}]}$$

It follows that $G \in BSV$ and

$$SV(G; [a, b]) \leq \|T\|. \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 1, (ii) $G^* \in EBV$ and

$$EV(G^*, [a, b]) \leq SV(G; [a, b]). \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 2 it follows that there exists a function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g \in VB$, $g = G^*$ a.e. on $[a, b]$ and

$$EV(G^*; [a, b]) \leq V(g; [a, b]) \leq 2 \cdot EV(G^*; [a, b]) \quad (6)$$

Clearly $G(t) = (\mathcal{L}) \int_a^t G^*(x) dx = (\mathcal{L}) \int_a^t K_{[a,t]}(x) G^*(x) dx = T(K_{[a,t]})$. Since T is linear, it follows that $T(s) = \langle s, g \rangle$ whenever $s \in \mathcal{S}([a, b])$. By Lemma 5 we have $T(f) = \langle f, g \rangle$ for every $f \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$ and $\|T\| \leq \|g\|_{VB}$. By (5) and (4), $EV(G^*; [a, b]) \leq \|T\|$. Hence $EV(G^*; [a, b]) \leq \|T\| \leq \|g\|_{VB}$. Now by (6) it follows that $\frac{1}{2} \cdot V(g; [a, b]) \leq \|T\| \leq \|g\|_{VB}$ \square

Remark 5. Theorem 2 extends Alexiewicz' Theorem 12.7 of [12] (see also [1]).

Lemma 6. *The normed spaces $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ are isomorph.*

PROOF. Let $\Phi : (\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, $\Phi(f) = F$ where F is the unique \mathcal{P} -primitive of f which is contained in $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$. It is easy to verify that Φ is well defined, linearly, bijective and $\|\Phi(f)\|_\infty = \|f\|$ \square

Lemma 7. *We have the following results:*

- (i) *The completion of $(\mathcal{P}([a, b]); \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.*
- (ii) *The completion of the isomorphic spaces $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$.*

PROOF. We prove only (ii). Let $F \in C_o([a, b])$. By the Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_n$ of polynomials on $[a, b]$ such that $\|P_n - F\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ if $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $Q_n(x) = P_n(x) - P_n(a)$. Then for each n , $Q_n(a) = 0$, Q_n is Lipschitz (hence $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$), and $\|Q_n - F\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark 6. In the proof of Lemma 7 instead of Q_n we can use B_n the Bernstein polynomial of degree n for the function F on $[a, b]$, i.e.,

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n F(a + \frac{k}{n}(b-a)) C_n^k \left(\frac{x-a}{b-a} \right)^k \left(\frac{b-x}{b-a} \right)^{n-k}$$

(see [13], Definition 1 and Theorem 1, p. 108 and the proof of Theorem 2, p. 109).

Theorem 3. *We have the following results:*

(i) *Let $T : (\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous linear functional. Then there exists $g \in VB$ on $[a, b]$ such that $T(F) = (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t)$, whenever $F \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$.*

(ii) *Assertion (i) remains true if $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ is replaced by $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$.*

PROOF. (i) Let $T^* : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T^* = T \circ \Phi$, where Φ is the isomorphism defined in the proof of Lemma 6. Since T is a continuous linear functional, it follows that T^* is also a continuous linear functional. By Theorem 2, there exists $G : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G \in VB$, such that $T^*(f) = (\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)G(t) dt$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ and $f = \Phi^{-1}(F)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(F) &= T^*(f) = (\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)G(t) dt = F(b)G(b) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dG(t) \\ &= G(b) \cdot (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dK_{\{b\}}(t) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dG(t) = (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t) \end{aligned}$$

, where $g(t) = G(b) \cdot K_{\{b\}}(t) - G(t)$ (clearly $g \in VB$).

(ii) Let $\mathbb{I} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{I}(x) = 1$. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ and $F_o(x) = F(x) - F(a) \cdot \mathbb{I}(x)$. Then $F_o \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$. By (i),

$$\begin{aligned} T(F) &= T(F_o) + F(a) \cdot T(\mathbb{I}) = (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F_o(t) dg(t) + F(a)T(\mathbb{I}) \\ &= (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t) - F(a)(g(b) - g(a) - T(\mathbb{I})) \\ &= (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t) + (g(b) - g(a) - T(\mathbb{I})) \cdot (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dK_{\{a\}}(t) \\ &= (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dG(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $G(x) = g(x) + (g(b) - g(a) - T(\mathbb{I})) \cdot K_{\{a\}}(x)$ (clearly $G \in VB$). \square

Corollary 2 (The Riesz Representation Theorem [12]).

Let $T : (C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous linear functional. Then there exists $g \in VB$ on $[a, b]$ such that $T(F) = (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t)$, whenever $F \in C([a, b])$.

PROOF. Since $\mathcal{P}([a, b])$ is dense in $C([a, b])$ (see for example Lemma 7 (i)), for each $F \in C([a, b])$ there exists a sequence $\{F_n\}_n$, $F_n \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$, such

that $F_n \xrightarrow{[unif]} F$ on $[a, b]$. Applying the Uniform Convergence Theorem for the \mathcal{RS} -integral and Theorem 3 (ii), we obtain $T(F) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(F_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F_n(t) dg(t) = (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b F(t) dg(t)$. \square

Remark 7. In Corollary 1 we may replace the linear space $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ by $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

5 The Category of $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$

Lemma 8. ([7], p. 49). *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let X_o be a dense subset of X . Let $\tau_o = \tau|_{X_o}$. If X_o is of the second category in (X_o, τ_o) , then X_o is of the second category in (X, τ) .*

Lemma 9 (Jarnik). ([2], p.224). *Let $(C([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous and } f \text{ is nowhere approximately differentiable}\}$. Then $C([a, b]) \setminus \mathcal{A}$ is of the first category in $C([a, b])$.*

Theorem 4. *We have the following results:*

- (i) $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is of first category on itself.
- (ii) $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$ is of first category on itself.

PROOF. It suffices to prove only (ii) (because the proof of (i) is contained in (ii)). Suppose to the contrary that $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$ is of the second category in itself. Since the spaces $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$ and $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ are isomorphic (see Lemma 6), they are also homeomorphic. It follows that $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is of the second category in itself. By Lemma 7 (ii), $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ is dense in $C_o([a, b])$. By Lemma 8, $(\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is of second category in $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, and by Lemma 9, $\mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ is of first category in $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. This contradicts the fact that $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach space. \square

6 Weak Convergence in $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$

Theorem 5. ([11], p. 259). *Let $f, f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be such that f, f_n are continuous and $|f_n(x)| < M$ for some M , for every $x \in [a, b]$ and each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g \in VB$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ on $[a, b]$, then $(\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b f(t) dg(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b f_n(t) dg(t)$.*

Lemma 10 ([4] or [10], Theorem 2, # 1 of Chapter VIII).

$x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly in a normed space if and only if $\sup_n \|x_n\| < +\infty$ and $\{f : f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)\}$ is a dense set of functionals in X^ .*

Theorem 6. *Let $f, f_n \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$, and let $F, F_n \in \mathcal{P}_o([a, b])$ be the unique \mathcal{P} -primitives of $f, f_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly on $(\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]), \|\cdot\|)$;
- (ii) 1) $|F_n(x)| \leq M$ for some M , for every $x \in [a, b]$ and each $n = 1, 2, \dots$;
 2) $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ for every $x \in [a, b]$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly, by Lemma 10 we obtain $\|f_n\| = \|F_n\|_\infty \leq M$ for some positive number M . So we have 1) of (ii). For $x \in [a, b]$ let $T_x : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous linear functional defined by $T_x(f) = F(x)$ (because clearly T_x is linear and $|T_x(f)| = |F(x)| \leq \|F\|_\infty = \|f\|$). Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly it follows that $T_x(f_n) \rightarrow T_x(f)$, hence $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$. Therefore we have condition 2) of (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $T : \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous linear functional. By Theorem 2 there exists $g_T \in VB$ on $[a, b]$ such that $T(f) = (\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b f(t)g_T(t) dt$, for every $f \in \mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$. We show that $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$. Indeed, $|T(f_n) - T(f)| = |(\mathcal{P}) \int_a^b (f_n - f)(t)g_T(t) dt| = |(F_n - F)(b) \cdot g_T(b) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^b (F_n - F)(t) dg_T(t)| \rightarrow 0$ (see Theorem 5). Therefore we have (i). \square

Remark 8. We observe the following:

- (i) Our proof parallels the proof of Theorem 3, # 3, Chapter VIII of [10].
- (ii) Using Theorem 3 (i) (respectively Remark 7) instead of Theorem 2 and $T_x : \mathcal{P}_o([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (respectively $T_x : C_o([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$), $T_x(F) = F(x)$, we can prove also the following theorem .
Let $F, F_n \in (\mathcal{P}_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ (respectively $(C_o([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$), $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $F_n \rightarrow F$ weakly if and only if $|F_n(t)| < M$ for some M , whenever $t \in [a, b]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $F_n(t) \rightarrow F(t)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$.

7 Applications

In what follows we shall use the definitions given in [5] for the following classes of functions: $\mathcal{C}, AC, AC^*, AC_n, SAC_n, AC^*G, SACG, \mathcal{F}, SF$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{ae} &= \{F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \text{ is derivable a.e. on } [a, b]\} \Delta_{apae} \\ &= \{F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \text{ is approximately derivable a.e. on } [a, b]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11 (A slight reformulation of Lemma 1 of [3], p. 31). *Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g \in VB$, and let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function which is \mathcal{RS} -integrable on $[a, b]$ with respect to g . Let $H : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, H(x) = F(x)g(x) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^x F(t) dg(t)$. Then*

- (i) $|H(\beta) - H(\alpha)| \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| \cdot |F(\beta) - F(\alpha)| + V(g; [\alpha, \beta]) \mathcal{O}(F; [\alpha, \beta])$
whenever $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{O}(H; P) \leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| \cdot \mathcal{O}(F; P) + V(g; [\alpha, \beta]) \cdot \mathcal{O}(F; [\alpha, \beta])$, whenever $P \subseteq [\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [a, b]$.

PROOF. (i) $|H(\beta) - H(\alpha)| = |(F(\beta) - F(\alpha)) \cdot g(\beta) + (g(\beta) - g(\alpha)) \cdot F(\alpha) - (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} F(t) dg(t)| = |(F(\beta) - F(\alpha)) \cdot g(\beta) + (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}) \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (F(\alpha) - F(t)) dg(t)| \leq |F(\beta) - F(\alpha)| \cdot \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| + V(g; [\alpha, \beta]) \cdot \mathcal{O}(F; [\alpha, \beta])$.

(ii) This follows by the definition of the oscillation and applying (i) to each $\alpha', \beta' \in P$, where $\alpha \leq \alpha' < \beta' \leq \beta$. \square

Lemma 12. Let $F, g, H : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that F is continuous, $g \in VB$ and $H(x) = F(x)g(x) - (\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}) \int_a^x F(t) dg(t)$. Let $P \subseteq [a, b]$ and let n be a positive integer. Then each of the following hold.

- (i) H is continuous on $[a, b]$.
- (ii) If F is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$, then H is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$.
- (iii) If $F \in AC$ on P , then $H \in AC$ on P .
- (iv) If $F \in AC^*$ on P , then $H \in AC^*$ on P .
- (v) If $F \in AC_n$ on P , then $H \in AC_n$ on P . (This is a slight extension of Lemma 5.23.1 of [5].)
- (vi) If $F \in SAC_n$ on P , then $H \in SAC_n$ on P . (This is a slight extension of Lemma 5.24.1 of [5].)

PROOF. (i) This follows immediately from Lemma 11.

(ii) Let $c > 0$ be a constant given by the fact that F is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$. Let $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [a, b]$. Since F is continuous on $[a, b]$, there exists $[\alpha_o, \beta_o] \subseteq [\alpha, \beta]$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}(F; [\alpha, \beta]) = |F(\beta_o) - F(\alpha_o)| \leq c(\beta_o - \alpha_o) \leq c(\beta - \alpha). \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 11 (i) and (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(\beta) - H(\alpha)| &\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| \cdot c(\beta - \alpha) + V(g; [\alpha, \beta]) \cdot c(\beta - \alpha) \\ &\leq (\beta - \alpha) \cdot c \cdot \left(\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| + V(g; [\alpha, \beta]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore H is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$.

(iii) and (iv) follow by Lemma 11 (see also Lemma 2 of [3], p. 31).

(v) Let $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| + V(g; [\alpha, \beta])$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $F \in AC_n$ on P , by Proposition 2.28.1 of [5], it follows that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $\{I_k\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$ are nonoverlapping closed intervals with each $P \cap I_k \neq \emptyset$, and $\sum_{k=1}^s |I_k| < \delta$, then for each k there exists $\{P_{kj}\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that $P \cap I_k = \cup_{j=1}^n P_{kj}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}(F; P_{kj}) < \epsilon/(2M)$. Let $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}(F; I) < \epsilon/(2nM) = \epsilon_1$, whenever I is a closed subinterval of $[a, b]$ with $|I| < \eta$. (This is possible because F is continuous on $[a, b]$.) Let $\delta_1 = \min\{\delta, \eta\}$. Then $\mathcal{O}(F; I_k) < \epsilon_1$, for each k . By Lemma 11 (ii) it follows that $\mathcal{O}(H; P_{kj}) \leq M \cdot \mathcal{O}(F; P_{kj}) + V(g; I_k) \cdot \mathcal{O}(F; I_k)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}(H; P_{kj}) &\leq M \cdot \sum_{k=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{O}(F; P_{kj}) + n\epsilon_1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^s V(g; I_k) \\ &\leq M\epsilon/(2M) + n\epsilon_1 M < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $H \in AC_n$ on P .

(vi) The proof is similar to that of (v) using Proposition 2.34.1 of [5] instead of Proposition 2.28.1 of [5]. \square

Theorem 7. Let $F, g, H : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that F is continuous, $g \in VB$ and $H(x) = F(x)g(x) - (\mathcal{RS}) \int_a^x F(t) dg(t)$. Then each of the following hold.

- (i) H is continuous on $[a, b]$.
- (ii) If F is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$, then H is Lipschitz on $[a, b]$ and $H'(x) = g(x)F'(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (iii) If $F \in AC$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in AC$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'(x) = g(x)F'(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (iv) If $F \in ACG^*$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in ACG^*$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'(x) = g(x)F'(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (v) If $F \in ACG$ on $[a, b]$ and is derivable a.e. on $[a, b]$, then $H \in ACG$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'(x) = g(x)F'(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (vi) If $F \in ACG$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in ACG$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'_{ap}(x) = g(x)F'_{ap}(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (vii) If $F \in \mathcal{F}$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in \mathcal{F}$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'_{ap}(x) = g(x)F'_{ap}(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
- (viii) If $F \in SF$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in SF$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'_{ap}(x) = g(x)F'_{ap}(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.

(ix) If $F \in SACG$ on $[a, b]$, then $H \in SACG$ on $[a, b]$ and $H'_{ap}(x) = g(x)F'_{ap}(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.

PROOF. That $H'_{ap}(x) = g(x)F'_{ap}(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$ or $H'(x) = g(x)F'(x)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$ follows easily (see for example [5], Theorem 5.23.3). Now the other assertions follow by the linearity of the \mathcal{RS} -integral in the second argument, and by Lemma 12. \square

Remark 9. Here are some special cases of $\mathcal{P}_{int}([a, b])$.

- (i) $AC_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is Lebesgue integrable on } [a, b]\}$.
- (ii) $Lip_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is measurable and bounded a.e. on } [a, b]\}$.
- (iii) $(AC^*G \cap \mathcal{C})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is } \mathcal{D}^*\text{-integrable on } [a, b]\}$.
- (iv) $(ACG \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta_{a.e.})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is Khintchine-integrable on } [a, b]\}$.
- (v) $(ACG \cap \mathcal{C})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is } \mathcal{D}\text{-integrable on } [a, b]\}$.
- (vi) $(\mathcal{SF} \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta_{ap a.e.})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is } \mathcal{SF}\text{-integrable on } [a, b]\}$. (For the definition of the \mathcal{SF} -integral see [5], p. 210.)
- (vii) $(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta_{ap a.e.})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is Foran-integrable on } [a, b]\}$. (For the Foran integral \mathcal{F} , see [6] or [5], p. 207.)
- (viii) $(SACG \cap \mathcal{C} \cap \Delta_{ap a.e.})_{int}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} : f \text{ is } SACG\text{-integrable on } [a, b]\}$ ($SACG$ is the Iseki sparse integral, see [8] and [9]).

References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz, *Linear functionals on Denjoy integrable functions*, Coll. Math. **1** (1948), 289-293.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [3] V. G. Čelidze and A. G. Džvaršeišvili, *The theory of the Denjoy integral and some applications*, World Scientific, 1978.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Interscience, 1958.
- [5] V. Ene, *Real functions - current topics*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1603, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

- [6] J. Foran, *An extension of the Denjoy integral*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 359–365.
- [7] R. Henstock, *Linear analysis*, Butterworth London, 1968.
- [8] K. Iseki, *On the normal integration*, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. **37** (1986), 1–34.
- [9] K. Iseki, *On the sparse integration*, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. **37** (1986), 91–99.
- [10] L. V. Kantorovici and G. P. Akilov, *Analiză funcțională*, Editura științifică și enciclopedică, 1986, (in romanian).
- [11] H. Kestelman, *Modern theories of integration*, 2nd ed. ed., Dover New York, 1960.
- [12] P. Y. Lee, *Lanzhou lectures on Henstock integration*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.
- [13] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, 2nd. rev. ed., I Ungar, New York, 1961.
- [14] K. Ostaszewski, *Topology for the spaces of Denjoy integrable functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **9** (1983-1984), no. 1, 79–85.
- [15] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, 2nd. rev. ed., vol. PWN, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1937.
- [16] W. L. C. Sargent, *On the integrability of a product*, J. London Math. Soc. **23** (1948), 28–34.