# PERIODIC $L_{p}$ FUNCTIONS WITH $L_{q}$ DIFFERENCE FUNCTIONS ${ }^{\dagger}$ 


#### Abstract

Let $0<p<q<\infty$. We investigate the following question: For which subsets $H$ of the circle group $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ is it true that if $f \in L_{p}$ and $\Delta_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x) \in L_{q}$ for any $h \in H$, then $f \in L_{q}$ ? We prove that this is not true for pseudo-Dirichlet sets. Evidence is gathered for the conjecture that the class of counter-examples is precisely the class of $N$-sets.


## 1 Introduction

In [7] the following notion was introduced. Let $\mathcal{F}$ es $\mathcal{G}$ be classes of functions on the circle group $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{G}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ the class of those subsets $H$ of $\mathbb{T}$, for which a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ can have difference functions $\Delta_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x)$ in $\mathcal{G}$ for every $h \in H$ without $f$ belonging to $\mathcal{G}$. That is,

$$
\mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})=\left\{H \subset \mathbb{T}:(\exists f \in \mathcal{F} \backslash \mathcal{G})(\forall h \in H) \Delta_{h} f \in \mathcal{G}\right\}
$$

We denote by $L_{0}$ the class of measurable real functions on $\mathbb{T}$, and $L_{p}$ denotes the class of those measurable real functions $f$ on $\mathbb{T}$ for which $\|f\|_{p}=$ $\left(\int_{T}|f|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty$. It was proved in [7] (Theorem 4.10) that for any $0 \leq p<$ $q<\infty$ we have $\mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ where $\mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ denotes the family of those subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ that can be covered by a proper $F_{\sigma}$ subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$.

The classes $\mathfrak{H}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ are often related to some classes of thin sets in harmonic analysis. Now we define those classes that will arise in our results. Detailed explanation of this topic can be found in the monographs [2], [10], in the recent research papers [4] and [5] or in the recent topical survey [3].

[^0]- A set $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a Dirichlet set if there exists an increasing sequence of integers $\left(q_{n}\right)$ and a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ converging to zero such that for any $x \in H$ we have $\left|\sin q_{n} \pi x\right|<\varepsilon_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- A set $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a pseudo-Dirichlet set if there exist an increasing sequence of integers $\left(q_{n}\right)$ and a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ converging to zero such that for any $x \in H$ there exists a $k(x)$ such that $\left|\sin q_{n} \pi x\right|<\varepsilon_{n}$ if $n \geq k(x)$.
- A set $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called an $N$-set if there exists a trigonometric series on $\mathbb{T}$ that is absolutely convergent on $H$ but is not absolutely convergent everywhere.

The family of Dirichlet sets, pseudo-Dirichlet sets and N -sets are denoted by $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{N}$, respectively. It is known ([5],[9]) that

$$
\mathfrak{D} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D} \subsetneq \mathfrak{N} \subsetneq \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma} .
$$

In this paper we prove that for and $0<p<q$ we have $\mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right)$. We also investigate the possible improvement of the earlier mentioned inclusion $\mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ of [7].

## 2 The Main Result

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $0<p<q<\infty$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of positive reals such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}<\infty \text { and } \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq C / k^{N} \text { for fixed } C>0 \text { and } N \geq 2
$$

Then there exists sequence of positive reals $\left(c_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{p}<\infty  \tag{A}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{q}=\infty \tag{B}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(\max \left(\left|c_{j}-c_{j-1}\right|,\left|c_{j+1}-c_{j}\right|\right)\right)^{q}<\infty \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we define a sequence of integers $0=n_{0}<n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=n_{k}}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq C^{\prime} / k^{N} \text { for infinitely many } k \text { for a fixed } C^{\prime}>0 \text { and } \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=n_{k}+1}^{\infty} a_{j}<C / k^{N} \quad \text { for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that $n_{k-1}$ is already defined. If $\sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq C / k^{N}$, then let $n_{k}=\max \left\{i: \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq C / k^{N}\right\}$. Otherwise let $n_{k}=n_{k-1}+1$. Then clearly $n_{k}>n_{k-1}$ and (ii) holds. If $\sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq C / k^{N}$ for infinitely many $k$, then (i) clearly holds with $C^{\prime}=C$. Otherwise, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_{k}=n_{m}+(k-m)$ for every $k \geq m$. Then for every $k \geq m$,

$$
\sum_{j=n_{k}}^{\infty} a_{j}=\sum_{j=n_{m}+k-m}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq \frac{C}{\left(n_{m}+k-m\right)^{N}} \geq \frac{C}{\left(\left(n_{m}+1-m\right) k\right)^{N}}
$$

Thus (i) holds for $C^{\prime}=\frac{C}{\left(n_{m}+1-m\right)^{N}}$.
Let $b_{k}=a_{n_{k}+1}+a_{n_{k}+2}+\ldots+a_{n_{k+1}} \quad(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$, and $\alpha=\sup \{\beta:$ $\left.\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} k^{\beta}<\infty\right\}$. We claim that $1 \leq \alpha \leq N$.
$1 \leq \alpha$ : By (ii),

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} k=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n_{k}+1}^{\infty} a_{j}<\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C / k^{N}<\infty
$$

$\alpha \leq N$ : Let $\varepsilon>0$ arbitrary. If (i) holds for $k \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} n^{N+\varepsilon} & \geq \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} b_{n} n^{N+\varepsilon} \geq \sum_{n=k-1}^{\infty} b_{n}(k-1)^{N+\varepsilon} \\
& =(k-1)^{N+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=n_{k-1}+1}^{\infty} a_{j} \geq(k-1)^{N+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=n_{k}}^{\infty} a_{j} \\
& \geq(k-1)^{N+\varepsilon} \frac{C^{\prime}}{k^{N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $k$ can be arbitrarily big this implies that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} n^{N+\varepsilon}=\infty$. Thus $\alpha \leq N$.

Choose $\gamma$ such that $\alpha / q<\gamma<\min (\alpha / p,(\alpha / q)+1)$, and let $c_{n}=k^{\gamma}$ for any $n_{k}<n \leq n_{k+1}(k=0,1, \ldots)$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{p}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(k^{\gamma}\right)^{p}<\infty$, since $\gamma p<\alpha$. We also have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{q}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(k^{\gamma}\right)^{q}=\infty$, since $\gamma q>\alpha$. If $\gamma \leq 1$, then $\left|c_{j+1}-c_{j}\right| \leq 1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$; so we have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(\max \left(\left|c_{j}-c_{j-1}\right|,\left|c_{j+1}-c_{j}\right|\right)\right)^{q} \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j}<\infty
$$

If $\gamma>1$, then, applying the mean value theorem, we have

$$
\max \left(\left|k^{\gamma}-(k-1)^{\gamma}\right|,\left|(k+1)^{\gamma}-k^{\gamma}\right|\right) \leq \gamma(k+1)^{\gamma-1} \leq \gamma(2 k)^{\gamma-1}
$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=n_{1}+1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(\max \left(\left|c_{j}-c_{j-1}\right|,\left|c_{j+1}-c_{j}\right|\right)\right)^{q} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(\max \left(\left|k^{\gamma}-(k-1)^{\gamma}\right|,\left|(k+1)^{\gamma}-k^{\gamma}\right|\right)\right)^{q} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}\left(\gamma(2 k)^{\gamma-1}\right)^{q}=\left(2^{\gamma-1} \gamma\right)^{q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} k^{q(\gamma-1)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

since $q(\gamma-1)<\alpha$.
Notation 2.2. If $A, B \subset \mathbb{T}$, then we put $A+B=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}$. The sets $A-B$ and $-A$ are defined similarly. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the $k$-fold sum $A+\ldots+A$ is denoted by $k A$. The Lebesgue outer measure of $H$ is denoted by $|H|$. By the measure of a set we mean its outer Lebesgue measure. Sometimes we identify $\mathbb{T}$ with $[0,1)$. If $x \in \mathbb{T}$, then by $|x|$ we mean $\min (x, 1-x)$.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $A$ and $H$ are closed subsets of $\mathbb{T}, A=-A, 0 \in A$, $H$ has positive measure and there exist constants $C>0$ and $N \geq 2$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H+k A| \leq 1-C / k^{N} \quad(\forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A \in \mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right)$ for any $0<p<q<\infty$.
Proof. For given $0<p<q<\infty$ we construct a function $g \in L_{p} \backslash L_{q}$ such that $\Delta_{h} g \in L_{q}$ for any $h \in A$. The construction is a modification of the construction of Balcerzak, Buczolich and Laczkovich ([1], proof of the (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) part of Theorem 1.1). Using the same notation, we let $H_{j}=H+j A$, $H_{\infty}=\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} H_{j}$. If $A$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{T}$, then an arbitrary periodic function $g \in L_{p} \backslash L_{q}$ with period $1 / m$ (where $m$ is a common denominator of
the elements of $A$ ) satisfies the conditions. Otherwise, since $A=-A, H_{\infty}$ has infinitely many periods; thus $|H|>0$ implies $\left|H_{\infty}\right|=1$.

Let $a_{j}=\left|H_{j} \backslash H_{j-1}\right|(j \in \mathbb{N})$. Then, using $\left|H_{\infty}\right|=1$ and (1), we get

$$
\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n}=\left|H_{\infty} \backslash H_{k-1}\right|=\left|\mathbb{T} \backslash H_{k-1}\right| \geq\left|\mathbb{T} \backslash H_{k}\right| \geq C / k^{N}
$$

Then, according to Lemma 2.1, there exists a sequence of positive reals $\left(c_{j}\right)$ such that (A), (B) and (C) hold.

Let $g(x)=c_{j}$. If $x \in H_{j} \backslash H_{j-1}(j \in \mathbb{N})$, and $g(x)=0$ for $x \in \mathbb{T} \backslash H_{\infty}$, then, using $(\mathrm{A})$ and $(\mathrm{B})$, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}}|g|^{p}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{p}<\infty \text { and } \int_{\mathbb{T}}|g|^{q}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} c_{j}^{q}=\infty
$$

Therefore $g \in L_{p} \backslash L_{q}$.
On the other hand, if $h \in A, x \in H_{j_{x}} \backslash H_{j_{x}-1}$ and $y=x+h \in H_{j_{y}} \backslash H_{j_{y}-1}$, then $\left|j_{y}-j_{x}\right| \leq 1$. Thus $|f(x+h)-f(x)| \leq \max \left(\left|c_{j_{x}}-c_{j_{x}-1}\right|,\left|c_{j_{x}+1}-c_{j_{x}}\right|\right)$. Hence, using (C), for any $h \in A$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|\Delta_{h} g\right|^{q} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j}\left(\max \left(\left|c_{j}-c_{j-1}\right|,\left|c_{j+1}-c_{j}\right|\right)\right)^{q}<\infty
$$

Therefore $\Delta_{h} g \in L_{q}$.
The following lemma was proved by Géza Kós ([8]).
Lemma 2.4. For any pseudo-Dirichlet set $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ there exists a Dirichlet set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that the group generated by $\Lambda$ contains $H$.

Proof. Take sequences $q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and a function $k$ : $H \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ according to the pseudo-Dirichlet property of $H$. Taking a suitable subsequence we can assume that $\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$. Then, denoting $|\sin \pi x|$ by $\|x\|$, we have $\left\|q_{n} x\right\|<\frac{1}{n}$ for any $x \in H$ and $n>k(x)$.

First we show that the sequence $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots$ can be replaced by a sequence $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots$ such that (i) for $n>k(x)$ we still have $\left\|r_{n} x\right\|<\frac{1}{n}$, (ii) each $r_{n}$ divides $r_{n+1}$, and (iii) $r_{n+1} \geq 2(n+1) r_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. We define the sequence $\left(r_{n}\right)$ by induction. Let $r_{1}=q_{1}$ and $r_{n+1}=2(n+1) r_{n} q_{2(n+1)^{2} r_{n}}$. Then clearly $r_{n}$ divides $r_{n+1}$ and $r_{n+1} \geq 2(n+1) r_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. For $n+1>k(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|r_{n+1} x\right\| & =\left\|2(n+1) r_{n} q_{2(n+1)^{2} r_{n}} x\right\| \\
& \leq 2(n+1) r_{n}\left\|q_{2(n+1)^{2} r_{n}} x\right\|<2(n+1) r_{n} \frac{1}{2(n+1)^{2} r_{n}}=\frac{1}{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can define $\Lambda$. Let $\Lambda=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}: \forall n\left\|r_{n} x\right\|<\frac{1}{n}\right\}$. It is clear that $\Lambda$ is a Dirichlet set. We need to show that any element of $H$ can be written as a finite sum of elements in $\Lambda$.

Let $x \in H$ and $m>k(x)$. Clearly $x$ can be written in the form of $x=$ $\frac{a}{r_{m}}+y$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\|y\| \leq \frac{\pi}{2 r_{m}}$. We have $y \in \Lambda$, since if $n \geq m$, then

$$
\left\|r_{n} y\right\|=\left\|r_{n}\left(x-\frac{a}{r_{m}}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|r_{n} x\right\|+\left\|a \frac{r_{n}}{r_{m}}\right\|=\left\|r_{n} x\right\|<\frac{1}{n}
$$

and if $n<m$, then

$$
\left\|r_{n} y\right\| \leq r_{n}\|y\| \leq r_{m-1} \frac{\pi}{2 r_{m}} \leq r_{m-1} \frac{\pi}{4 m r_{m-1}}=\frac{\pi}{4 m}<\frac{1}{n}
$$

On the other hand $\frac{1}{r_{m}} \in \Lambda$, as well, since if $n \geq m$, then $\left\|r_{n} \frac{1}{r_{m}}\right\|=0$, and if $n<m$, then $0<r_{n} \frac{1}{r_{m}} \leq \frac{r_{m-1}}{r_{m}} \leq \frac{1}{2 m}<\frac{1}{n}$. Thus $x$ is indeed in the subgroup generated by $\Lambda$.

Theorem 2.5. For any $0<p<q<\infty$,

$$
\mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right) \supset \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D} .
$$

That is, for any pseudo-Dirichlet set $H_{0}$ there exists a function $g \in L_{p} \backslash L_{q}$ such that $\Delta_{h} g \in L_{q}$ for any $h \in H_{0}$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.4, there exists a Dirichlet set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that the group generated by $\Lambda$ contains $H_{0}$. Then clearly it is enough to prove that $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right)$.

Take a sequence $q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots$ and a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ according to the Dirichlet property of $\Lambda$. Taking a suitable subsequence of $\left(q_{n}, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ we can assume that $\varepsilon_{n}<C / 2 n^{3}$, where $C<1 /\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 / n^{2}\right)\left(=3 / \pi^{2}\right)$ is fixed.

Let

$$
A=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}:(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})\left|\sin q_{n} \pi \alpha\right| \leq \varepsilon_{n}\right\}
$$

Then clearly $0 \in A, A=-A, A$ is closed and $\Lambda \subset A$. Thus, according to Lemma 2.3, it is enough to find a closed set $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ with positive measure having property (1). Denoting $\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, we let

$$
B_{n}=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{n}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{n}}, \frac{C}{n^{2} q_{n}}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

where $S(x, r)$ denotes the open neighborhood of $x$ with radius $r$. Let

$$
B=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} \text { and } H=\mathbb{T} \backslash B
$$

Then $H$ is clearly closed. In addition,

$$
|B| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|B_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{n} 2 \frac{C}{n^{2} q_{n}}=C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 / n^{2}<1
$$

thus $|H|>0$. Therefore we only need to prove (1).
We claim that if $\left|q_{n} \beta\right|<\varepsilon$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}+\beta \supset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{n}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{n}}, \frac{C}{n^{2} q_{n}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q_{n}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since (on $\mathbb{T}$ ) $\beta=p_{n, \beta} / q_{n}+\left(q_{n} \beta\right) / q_{n}$ (for a proper $p_{n, \beta} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{n}}$ ),

$$
S\left(\frac{j}{q_{n}}, \frac{C}{n^{2} q_{n}}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q_{n}}\right) \subset S\left(\frac{j-p_{n, \beta}}{q_{n}}, \frac{C}{n^{2} q_{n}}\right)+\beta
$$

For any $\alpha \in A$ we have $\left|q_{k} \alpha\right| \leq\left|\sin \left(\pi q_{k} \alpha\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{k}<C / 2 k^{3}$. Hence, if $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in A$, then $\left|q_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{k}\right)\right| \leq k C / 2 k^{3}=C / 2 k^{2}$. Therefore, by (2), for any $\beta \in k A$,

$$
B+\beta \supset B_{k}+\beta \supset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{k}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{k}}, \frac{C}{k^{2} q_{k}}-\frac{\left(C / 2 k^{2}\right)}{q_{k}}\right)=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{k}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{k}}, \frac{C}{2 k^{2} q_{k}}\right)
$$

Thus, for any $\beta \in k A, H+\beta \subset \mathbb{T} \backslash \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{k}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{k}}, \frac{C}{2 k^{2} q_{k}}\right)$. Therefore $H+k A \subset$ $\mathbb{T} \backslash \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{q_{k}}} S\left(\frac{j}{q_{k}}, \frac{C}{2 k^{2} q_{k}}\right) ;$ so

$$
|H+k A| \leq 1-q_{k} 2 \frac{C}{2 k^{2} q_{k}}=1-C / k^{2}
$$

Combining the previous theorem with the result of [7] mentioned in the Introduction, we get the following.
Corollary 2.6. For any $0<p<q<\infty, \mathfrak{p} \mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$.

## 3 Evidence for a Conjecture

Notation 3.1. A Borel set $F \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a weak Dirichlet set (see e.g. in [4] p. 48), if for every probability measure $\mu$ supported by $F$,

$$
\limsup _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|\hat{\mu}(n)|=1, \text { where } \hat{\mu}(n)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} e^{2 \pi i n t} d \mu(t)
$$

Theorem 3.2. If $H \subset \mathbb{T}$ is not an $N$-set, $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, and $\Delta_{h} f \in L_{\infty}$ for any $h \in H$, then $f \in L_{p}$ for any $p>0$.

Proof. Let $K_{m}=\left\{h:\left|\Delta_{h} f\right| \leq m\right.$ a. e. $\}(m \in \mathbb{N})$. Then clearly $H \subset$ $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m}$; so $H \notin \mathfrak{N}$ implies $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m} \notin \mathfrak{N}$. It is easy to prove (see e.g. [7], proof of Proposition 4.2) that $K_{m}$ is closed. Thus $\left(K_{m}\right)$ is an increasing sequence of compact sets.

It is known (see e.g. in [5] p. 190) that for each increasing sequence $\left(K_{m}\right)$ of compact weak Dirichlet sets, $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{m} \in \mathfrak{N}$. Therefore, in our case, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K_{m}$ is not a weak Dirichlet set. Dividing $f$ by $m$, we can assume that $m=1$. Then, denoting $K_{1}$ by $K$, we have

$$
K=\left\{h:\left|\Delta_{h} f\right| \leq 1 \text { a. e. }\right\}
$$

and there exists a probability measure $\mu$ supported by $K$ such that

$$
\limsup _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|\hat{\mu}(n)|<1
$$

Thus there exists an $\eta>0$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \hat{\mu}(n) \leq 1-\eta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with at most finitely many exceptions. If, for any $n \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} \hat{\mu}(n)=1$, then $e^{2 \pi i n t}=1$ $\mu$-a.e.; so for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ also $e^{2 \pi i n k t}=1 \mu$-a.e., which is impossible, since $\lim \sup _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|\hat{\mu}(n)|<1$. Therefore we can assume, with a suitable $\eta>0$, that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \hat{\mu}(n) \leq 1-\eta \quad(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})
$$

It is proved in [9] that if there exists a probability measure $\mu$ supported on $K$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \hat{\mu}(n) \leq 1-\eta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, then $K$ is "essentially ejective", which means that for every $x \in(0,1]$,

$$
\zeta_{K}(x) \geq \eta x(1-x), \text { where } \zeta_{K}(x)=\inf _{|A|=x} \sup _{h \in K}|(A+h) \backslash A|
$$

Therefore in our case $\zeta_{K}(x) \geq \eta x(1-x)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{h \in K}|(A+h) \backslash A| \geq \eta|A|(1-|A|) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $A \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $|A|>0$.
Now we define a sequence $A_{n_{0}}, A_{n_{0}+1}, \ldots$ of subsets of $\mathbb{T}$ by induction. Since $f$ is measurable there exists an $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
A_{n_{0}}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{T}:|f(x)|<n_{0}\right\}
$$

has positive measure. Assume that $A_{n}$ is already defined ( $n \geq n_{0}$ ). By (3), there exists a $h_{n} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(A_{n}+h_{n}\right) \backslash A_{n}\right| \geq \frac{\eta}{2}\left|A_{n}\right|\left(1-\left|A_{n}\right|\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then let $A_{n+1}=A_{n} \cup\left(A_{n}+h_{n}\right)$. Let $C_{n}=\{x \in \mathbb{T}:|f(x)| \geq n\}$ and $c_{n}=$ $\left|C_{n}\right| \quad(n=0,1, \ldots)$. By the definition of $K$, it is easy to see by induction that $|f(x)|<n$ for a. e. $x \in A_{n}$, which means that $c_{n} \leq\left|\mathbb{T} \backslash A_{n}\right|\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)$. Using the notation $b_{n}=\left|\mathbb{T} \backslash A_{n}\right|$, we use (4) to get

$$
b_{n}-b_{n+1} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}\left(1-b_{n}\right) b_{n} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}\left(1-b_{n_{0}}\right) b_{n} \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
b_{n+1} \leq b_{n}\left(1-\frac{\eta}{2}\left(1-b_{n_{0}}\right)\right) \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)
$$

Therefore, denoting $1-\frac{\eta}{2}\left(1-b_{n_{0}}\right)$ by $\lambda$, we have

$$
b_{n} \leq b_{n_{0}} \lambda^{n-n_{0}} \quad\left(n \geq n_{0}\right)
$$

Since $\eta>0$ and $1-b_{n_{0}}=\left|A_{n_{0}}\right|>0$ we have $\lambda<1$. Let $p>0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}}|f|^{p} & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{C_{n-1} \backslash C_{n}}|f|^{p} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(c_{n-1}-c_{n}\right) n^{p} \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{m}\left((m+1)^{p}-m^{p}\right) \\
& \leq O(1)+\sum_{m=n_{0}}^{\infty} b_{m}\left((m+1)^{p}-m^{p}\right) \\
& \leq O(1)+\sum_{m=n_{0}}^{\infty} b_{n_{0}} \lambda^{m-n_{0}}(m+1)^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$<\infty$.

Remark 3.3. This proof is based on the "ejectivity" property of a compact non- $N$-set (see [9]). On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 2.5 uses the "non-ejectivity" of a pseudo-Dirichlet set: the argument of the proof of Theorem 3.2 shows that the condition (1) of Lemma 2.3 cannot be satisfied if $H$ is ejective. Since a set is non-ejective if and only if its closure is an $N$-sets (see [9] p. 162), this motivates the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4. For any $0<p<q<\infty, \mathfrak{H}\left(L_{p}, L_{q}\right)=\mathfrak{N}$.

## References

[1] M. Balcerzak, Z. Buczolich and M. Laczkovich, Lipschitz differences and Lipschitz functions, Colloq. Math., 72 (1997), 319-324.
[2] N. K. Bary, Trigonometric series (in Russian), Moscow, 1961; English translation: A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, Macmillan, New York, 1964.
[3] L. Bukovský, N. N. Kholshchevnikova and M. Repický, Thin sets of harmonic analysis and infinite combinatorics, Real Analysis Exchange, 20 (1994-1995), 454-509.
[4] B. Host, J-F. Méla and F. Parreau, Non singular transformations and spectral analysis of measures, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 119 (1991), 3390.
[5] S. Kahane, Antistable classes of thin sets in harmonic analysis, Illinois J. Math. 37 (1993), 186-223.
[6] T. Keleti, Difference functions of periodic measurable functions, PhD thesis, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, 1996 (http://www.cs.elte.hu/phd_th/).
[7] T. Keleti, Difference functions of periodic measurable functions, Fund. Math., to appear.
[8] Géza Kós, private communication, June of 1996.
[9] M. Laczkovich and I. Z. Ruzsa, Measure of sumsets and ejective sets I., Real Analysis Exchange 22 (1996/7), 153-166.
[10] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series Vol. I-II, Cambridge University Press, 1959.


[^0]:    Key Words: $L_{p}$ function, measurable function, difference function, circle group, pseudoDirichlet set, $N$-set

    Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 28A99; Secondary 39A70, 42A28, 43A15, 43A46

    Received by the editors February 19, 1997
    *Supported by OTKA grant F 019468.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Miklós Laczkovich for his advice and encouragement to the completion of a PhD dissertation [6] on which this paper is based.

