

J. M. Burgués\*, Dept. de Matemàtiques, Univ. Autònoma de Barcelona,  
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain. email: josep@mat.uab.es

## DEFINING FUNCTIONS FOR OPEN SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^n$

### Abstract

In this note we give, for any open subset in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , a function describing the boundary of this set with exact regularity and being, globally, as regular as possible.

### 1 Introduction.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

For many purposes it is convenient to describe  $\Omega$  by means of a function  $r$  whose zero level set is  $\partial\Omega$ , and is negative exactly in  $\Omega$ . (See for example [2], [4]). Let us call such a function a defining function for  $\Omega$ .

This approach is very useful in the case where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain and  $\partial\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^2$  at every point, because then  $r$  can be chosen to agree with the (signed) distance function to  $\partial\Omega$  in a neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$ . Then the geometry of  $\partial\Omega$  can be understood in terms of the derivatives up to the order two of  $r$ . (See [2], Appendix). In [3], Krantz and Parks showed that the distance function has the same regularity as the boundary, whenever it is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  for  $k > 1$ , and also studied the validity of this assertion in the case  $k = 1$ . (See also [5]).

The focus of this note is on the non regular open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , so is, open subsets whose boundary is not an embedded  $\mathcal{C}^k$  submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (although it can have eventually regular pieces). For any given open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , we construct, for each degree of regularity  $k$ , a defining function for  $\Omega$  that is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  in the  $\mathcal{C}^k$  part of the boundary with non vanishing gradient there, and smooth away of this part. In some (weak) sense, this function is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  equivalent to the distance function to the  $\mathcal{C}^k$  part of the boundary.

---

Key Words: defining function, non regular, differentiable function  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26E99; Secondary: 58C05  
Received by the editors August 19, 2004  
Communicated by: Zoltán Buczolich

\*Partially supported by the grants BMF2002-04072 and 2001SGR-00172

Let us notice that by means of this function is possible to obtain (applying Sard's theorem) a family of smooth domains whose boundaries approximate  $\partial\Omega$  in a controlled way.

Now we give precise statements of the concepts and assertions in the previous paragraphs. First we recall the standard notion of regular point of  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Definition.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  or  $k = \infty$ . For a given point  $P_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  is said to be  $\mathcal{C}^k$  at  $P_0$  if and only if there exist a neighborhood  $V_{P_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $P_0$ , a neighborhood  $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of 0 and a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphism  $\Phi : U_0 \rightarrow V_{P_0}$ , such that if  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are the standard coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in  $U_0$ , then  $V_{P_0} \cap \partial\Omega = \Phi(U_0 \cap \{t_n = 0\})$  and  $V_{P_0} \cap \Omega = \Phi(U_0 \cap \{t_n < 0\})$ .

(This is the description of  $\partial\Omega$  as a locally embedded submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . See [4] for equivalent definitions and the relationship among these.)

For  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  or  $k = \infty$  let  $R_k$  stand for the set of points in  $\partial\Omega$  where  $\partial\Omega$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  and  $S_k = \partial\Omega \setminus R_k$ . So  $\partial\Omega$  is the disjoint union of  $R_k$  and  $S_k$ . Note that one of the two sets (or both) can be empty.

This note is devoted to the proof of the following fact.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , open, and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  or  $k = \infty$ . There exists a function  $r \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\Omega = \{r < 0\}$  and  $\partial\Omega = \{r = 0\}$ . Moreover  $\nabla r \neq 0$  in  $R_k$  and  $r \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{R}_k)$ .*

In the particular case of  $\Omega$  bounded domain and  $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ , the result follows from an elementary application of the implicit function theorem and a finite partition of the unit.

On the other extreme, if  $S_k = \partial\Omega$ , the result is a consequence of the following theorem due to Whitney (See [1], [4].):

**Theorem (Whitney).** *Let  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  closed. There exists a positive function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \varphi(x) = 0\}$ .*

**Remark.** The proof is given by constructing the function  $\varphi$  in a completely general setting. That includes the case of  $F$  being the complement of an open set  $\Omega$  whose boundary has no regular points, (the bounded part of the complement of the Von Koch's snowflake in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is an example of such domains, as can be deduced from its properties of self-similarity and non rectifiability. Cf. [7]), so  $\varphi = 0$  in  $F$  at the infinite order.

**Corollary** (of Whitney's theorem). *For any open set  $\Omega$  there exists a real valued function  $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\Omega = \{\kappa < 0\}$  and  $\partial\Omega = \{\kappa = 0\}$ .*

PROOF. Applying Whitney's theorem to  $F = \bar{\Omega}$  we obtain a function  $\varphi^+ \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , non negative, such that  $F = \{\varphi^+ = 0\}$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^+$  vanishes at  $F$  up to the infinite order. By the same procedure one has a function  $\varphi^- \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , non negative, vanishing at  $\Omega^c$  up to the infinite order. Finally take  $\kappa = \varphi^+ - \varphi^-$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** As observed above,  $\varphi = 0$  in  $\partial\Omega$  at the infinite order. The main property of the function  $r$  constructed in Theorem 1 is that  $r$  defines globally  $\Omega$  and  $\nabla r \neq 0$  in  $R_k$ , so it defines  $\Omega$  near  $R_k$  as a sub-manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mathcal{C}^k$  boundary, and is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  in the complement of  $\overline{R_k}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is a non trivial combination of these facts, and is developed in the forthcoming sections.

## 2 The Regular Part.

The set  $R_k$  is a relatively open subset of  $\partial\Omega$ . If it is nonempty we have, from the definition of  $R_k$ , a defining function in a neighborhood of each point of  $R_k$ . The construction of a global defining function in a neighborhood of  $R_k$  makes use of a suitable covering, supporting a partition of the unit.

The precise results are contained in the next two lemmas.

### 2.1 The Auxiliary Tools.

The following lemma is a variant of the classical Besicovitch covering lemma for open balls, after the comments in [6].

**Lemma 1** (Covering lemma). *Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and a function  $r_0 : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ . Consider the family of open balls*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B_r(x) : x \in A, r \leq r_0(x)\}.$$

*There exists a countable family  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$  covering  $A$ , such that every point  $x \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}'} B$  has a neighborhood intersecting only a finite number of balls in the family  $\mathcal{B}'$ .*

Next, we consider a partition of unity adapted to this covering. It is a well known construction, and we just recall it to stress the properties of the constructed functions used later.

**Lemma 2** (Partition of unity). *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$  the covering of  $A$  given by the previous lemma. There is a family of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  functions  $\{\chi_l; l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , positive in  $B_l$  such that  $\text{spt } \chi_l \subset \overline{B_l}$  and  $\sum_l \chi_l(x) = 1$ , for any  $x \in A$ .*

## 2.2 The Defining Function for $R_k$ .

Now we can construct a defining function for  $\Omega$  near  $R_k$ .

**Lemma 3.** *There exists an open set  $V = V_{R_k} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , containing  $R_k$ , and a  $C^k$  function  $r : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\{r < 0\} = V \cap \Omega$ ,  $\{r = 0\} = R_k$ ,  $\{r > 0\} = V \cap \bar{\Omega}^c$  and  $0 < \|\nabla r(x)\| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in V$ .*

PROOF. For any  $P \in R_k$  there exists  $\rho_0(P) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0(P))$ , we can find  $r \in C^k(B_\rho(P))$  satisfying that  $\{r < 0\} = B_\rho(P) \cap \Omega$ ,  $\{r = 0\} = B_\rho(P) \cap \partial\Omega$  and  $dr(x) \neq 0$  in  $B_\rho(P)$ .

Take  $\mathcal{B}_0$  the family of such balls, for all  $P \in R_k$  and all  $\rho \in (0, \rho_0(P))$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{B}_0$  covering  $R_k$  given by the Covering lemma and call  $B_j = B_{\rho_j}(P_j)$  a typical ball in this family.

Take  $\{\chi_j\}$  the  $C^\infty$  partition of the unit for  $R_k$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ , provided by Lemma 2.

For any  $j$ , pick  $r_j$  a  $C^k$  function defining  $\Omega$  in  $B_j$ , and put

$$\alpha_j = \max\{1, \sup\{\|\nabla r_j(x)\| : x \in B_j\}\}.$$

If  $V = \cup_{B_j \in \mathcal{B}} B_j$  and  $r = \sum_j \alpha_j^{-1} r_j \chi_j$ , since every point in  $V$  has a neighborhood where the function  $\rho(x) = \#\{B \in \mathcal{B}' : x \in B\}$  is locally bounded, the function  $r$  is in  $C^k(V)$ . Moreover, since for  $x \in B_j$  we have  $r_j(x) = 0$  if  $x \in R_k$ ,  $r_j(x) < 0$  if  $x \in \Omega$  and  $r_j(x) > 0$  if  $x \in \bar{\Omega}^c$ , and also

$$\nabla r(x) = \sum_j' \alpha_j^{-1} (\chi_j(x) \nabla r_j(x) + r_j(x) \nabla \chi_j(x)),$$

then for  $x \in R_k$ ,  $\nabla r(x) = \sum_j' \alpha_j^{-1} \chi_j(x) \nabla r_j(x)$ . Also, as  $\nabla r_j(x) = c_j \eta(x)$  with  $c_j > 0$  and  $\eta$  the exterior normal unit vector, we have  $\nabla r(x) \neq 0$ . And we have this for any  $x \in R_k$ . Then shrink  $V$  if necessary.  $\square$

In order to extend  $r$  to a neighborhood of  $S_k$ , we need to modify the function near  $\bar{R}_k \setminus R_k$ :

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $V$  the neighborhood of  $R_k$  obtained in Lemma 3. There exists a function  $\phi \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that:*

1.  $\phi \equiv 0$  in  $V^c$ .
2.  $\{\phi < 0\} = V \cap \Omega$  and  $\{\phi > 0\} = V \cap \overset{\circ}{\bar{\Omega}^c}$ .
3.  $\nabla \phi(x) \neq 0$ , for any  $x \in R_k$ .

PROOF. Take  $r$  and  $V$  as in Lemma 3 and choose a family of compact sets  $\{K_l; l \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $K_0 = \emptyset$ ,  $K_l \subset \overset{\circ}{K}_{l+1}$ ,  $\cup K_l = V$ . Take  $\psi_l \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , such that  $\psi_l \geq 0$ , and  $\psi_l \equiv 1$  in  $K_l$  and  $\psi_l \equiv 0$  in  $K_{l+1}^c$ . Let  $A_{l,s} = 1 + \|r\|_{\mathcal{C}^s(K_l)}$ ,  $C_{l,s} = \|\psi_l\|_{\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$  and

$$\beta_l = \frac{1}{2^l \gamma_l C_{l-1,l} c(n,l)}$$

where  $c(n,l) = \sum_{j \leq l} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \sum_{\beta \subset \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!}$ , and  $\gamma_l \geq 1$ . Define  $\psi = \sum_l \beta_l \psi_l$ . The series converges uniformly in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (because of the choice of  $\beta_l$ ) and  $\psi$  is a continuous function supported in  $\bar{V}$ . Also, for  $l \geq 1$ , if  $x \in K_l \setminus K_{l-1}$ , we have that for any  $j > l$ ,  $\psi_j \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ , and for any  $j < l-1$ ,  $\psi_j \equiv 0$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ . Then

$$\psi(x) = \beta_{l-1} \psi_{l-1}(x) + \beta_l \psi_l(x) + \sum_{j \neq l, l-1} \beta_j \psi_j(x),$$

and for  $|\alpha| > 0$

$$D^\alpha \psi(x) = \beta_{l-1} D^\alpha \psi_{l-1}(x) + \beta_l D^\alpha \psi_l(x) = \beta_{l-1} D^\alpha \psi_{l-1}(x),$$

if we assume that  $\overset{\circ}{K}_l = K_l$ , for then  $\beta_l D^\alpha \psi_l(x) = 0$  on  $K_l$ . This implies that  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for  $x \in K_l \setminus K_{l-1}$  and any  $\alpha$ ,

$$|D^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,|\alpha|}.$$

Now, for any fixed  $k > 0$ , since for  $|\alpha| \leq k$  and  $x \in K_l \setminus K_{l-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha(r\psi)(x)| &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} |D^{\alpha-\beta} r(x)| |D^\beta \psi(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} |D^{\alpha-\beta} r(x)| \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,|\beta|} \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} A_{l,|\alpha-\beta|} \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,|\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

For  $l \geq k$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|r\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\overline{K_l \setminus K_{l-1}})} &\leq A_{l,k} \sum_{j \leq l} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,|\beta|} \\ &\leq A_{l,k} \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,l} \sum_{j \leq l} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!(\alpha-\beta)!} \\ &\leq A_{l,k} \beta_{l-1} C_{l-1,l} c(n,l) \leq \frac{A_{l,k}}{2^l \gamma_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the choice of  $\gamma_l = A_{l,k}$  if  $r \in \mathcal{C}^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $\gamma_l = A_{l,l}$  if  $r \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$  implies that for  $l \geq k$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|r\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^k(\overline{K_l \setminus K_{l-1}})} \leq \frac{1}{2^{l-1}}$$

Now define

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in V^c \\ r(x)\psi(x) & \text{if } x \in V. \end{cases}$$

The estimates above imply that  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Also, since  $\psi > 0$  in  $V$ , we have that  $\{\phi < 0\} \cap V = \{r < 0\}$  and  $\{\phi = 0\} \cap V = \{r = 0\}$ . And since  $r = 0$  and  $\nabla r \neq 0$  on  $R_k$ , we have that

$$\nabla\phi(x) = \nabla(r\psi)(x) = \nabla r(x)\psi(x) + r(x)\nabla\psi(x) = \nabla r(x)\psi(x) \neq 0,$$

for any  $x \in R_k$ . □

### 3 The Defining Function for $\Omega$ .

Now the proof of the Theorem 1 amounts to modifying  $\phi$  to a function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defining  $\Omega$ . To do so, we will distinguish the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  case from the others.

#### 3.1 The $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ Case.

This case is a direct consequence of the constructions in the previous sections. Once we have a function  $\phi$  as in Lemma 4, we take a defining function  $\kappa$  for  $\Omega$  as in Whitney's theorem above. The function  $r = \kappa + \phi$  is strictly positive outside  $\Omega$ , because  $\kappa$  is, and  $\phi$  is non negative there, and strictly negative inside, for analogous reasons. Moreover, if  $x \in R_\infty$  then  $\nabla\phi(x) \neq 0$  and  $\nabla\kappa(x) = 0$ , and if  $x \in S_\infty$  then both terms are 0.

#### 3.2 The Case $k < \infty$ .

The construction made in section 3.1 provides a function satisfying the requirements of Theorem 1, except for the fact that the resulting function is at least  $\mathcal{C}^k$  in a neighborhood of  $R_k$  and we want it to be in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{R}_k)$ .

Since the function  $\tilde{r} = \kappa + \phi \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the  $k$ -jet  $(f_\alpha(x))_{|\alpha| \leq k}$ , where  $f_\alpha(x) = D^\alpha \tilde{r}(x)$ , is a Whitney jet in  $F = \Omega^c$ . This means that for any  $x_0 \in F$

and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in B_\delta(x_0) \cap F$ , and  $|\alpha| \leq k$ ,

$$\left| f_\alpha(y) - \sum_{0 \leq |\beta| \leq k - |\alpha|} \frac{f_{\alpha+\beta}(x)}{\beta!} (y-x)^\beta \right| \leq \epsilon \|y-x\|^{k-|\alpha|}.$$

Whitney's extension of this jet to  $\Omega$  provides a function  $\rho$  which is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  in  $\Omega$ , but the property  $\rho < 0$  is not guaranteed now. So we need a modification of the method.

Let us recall the main features of the Whitney's method, as given in [8], Chap VI. Let  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed set.  $F^c$  can be covered by a countable family  $\mathcal{F}^* = \{Q_l^* : l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of open cubes with their sides parallel to the axes, such that

$$\frac{9}{64} \text{diam}(Q_l^*) \leq d(F, Q_l^*) \leq \frac{4}{3} \text{diam}(Q_l^*)$$

and every  $x_0 \in F^c$  belongs to, at most,  $(12)^n$  of these cubes. There is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  partition of unity for  $F^c$ , namely  $\Phi = \{\varphi_l^*\}$ , such that  $\varphi_l^* \geq 0$ ,  $\text{spt}(\varphi_l^*) \subset Q_l^*$ , and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha$   $n$ -index

$$|D^\alpha \varphi_l^*(x)| \leq A_\alpha \text{diam}(Q_l^*)^{-|\alpha|},$$

where  $A_\alpha$  is a constant depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $n$ . Finally, one chooses, for any  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a point  $p_l \in F$  such that  $d(p_l, Q_l^*) = d(F, Q_l^*)$ .

Also, in general, if  $h \in \mathcal{C}^k$ , for any  $x_0$  there is a ball  $B_\lambda(x_0)$  such that for  $x, a \in B_\lambda(x_0)$ ,

$$h(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{D^\alpha h(a)}{\alpha!} (x-a)^\alpha + R_k^h(x, a)$$

where  $R_k^h(x, a)$  is the  $k$ 'th Taylor remainder term and satisfies

$$|R_k^h(x, a)| \leq \omega_k^h(a, \|x-a\|) \|x-a\|^k$$

and  $\omega_k^h(a, \delta) = \sup\{|D^\alpha h(\zeta) - D^\alpha h(a)| : \|\zeta - a\| \leq \delta, |\alpha| = k\}$ , for  $x \in F^c$ .

Back to our case, if  $F$  is  $\Omega^c$  and  $f_\alpha(x) = D^\alpha \tilde{r}(x)$ , define, as usual,

$$g_k(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_l (\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{f_\alpha(p_l)}{\alpha!} (x-p_l)^\alpha) \varphi_l^*(x) & \text{if } x \in F^c \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in F. \end{cases}$$

Since any given  $x \in F^c$  has a neighborhood contained in, at most,  $(12)^n$  fixed cubes, the sum converges at every point and  $g_k \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(F^c)$ , and as the classical

proof of Whitney shows,  $g_k$  is a  $C^k$  extension of  $\tilde{r}|_{F^c}$ . Since for  $x \in F^c$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x) &= \sum_l \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \frac{D^\alpha \phi(p_l)}{\alpha!} (x - p_l)^\alpha \right) \varphi_l^*(x) \\ &= \phi(x) - \sum_{l: p_l \in R_k} R_\alpha^\phi(x, p_l) \varphi_l^*(x), \end{aligned}$$

the function  $g_k$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $\Omega$  because every  $\varphi_l^*$  is, and the sum refers only to finitely many functions at every point. Moreover, since  $|R_\alpha^\phi(x, p_l)| \leq \omega_k^\phi(p_l, \frac{7}{3} \text{diam}(Q_l^*)) (\frac{7}{3} \text{diam}(Q_l^*))^k$  whenever  $x \in Q_l^*$ , if we define

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_l \omega_k^\phi(p_l, 3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*)) (3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*))^k \varphi_l^*(x) & \text{if } x \in F^c \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in F, \end{cases}$$

the function  $\varphi$  is clearly  $C^\infty$  in  $\Omega$ .

Moreover, since for  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$D^\alpha \varphi(x) = \sum_l \omega_k^\phi(p_l, 3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*)) (3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*))^k D^\alpha \varphi_l^*(x)$$

and the estimates above imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha \varphi(x)| &\leq \sum_l \omega_k^\phi(p_l, 3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*)) (3 \text{diam}(Q_l^*))^k A_\alpha \text{diam}(Q_l^*)^{-|\alpha|} \\ &\leq 3^k A_\alpha (8 d(x, F))^{k-|\alpha|} \sum_l \omega_k^\phi(p_l, 8d(x, p_l)), \end{aligned}$$

and since for any  $x_0 \in F$  and  $\delta > 0$  we have, for  $x \in B_\delta(x_0) \cap \Omega$ , that  $\|x - p_l\| \leq 9\|x - x_0\|$ , and  $\omega_k^\phi(p_l, 8d(x, p_l)) \leq 2\omega_k^\phi(x_0, 72d(x, x_0))$ , then

$$|D^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq (12)^n 3^k A_\alpha (8\|x - x_0\|)^{k-|\alpha|} 2\omega_k^\phi(x_0, 72\|x - x_0\|).$$

This implies that  $D^\alpha \varphi(x) \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow x_0 \in F} 0$ . So  $\varphi$  is a  $C^k$  function in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $D^\alpha \varphi(x_0) = 0$  for any  $|\alpha| \leq k$  and  $x_0 \in F$ . In fact, if  $x_0 \in S_k \setminus \bar{R}_k$ , then there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $B_\lambda(x_0) \cap \bar{R}_k = \emptyset$ . Since for  $x \in B_{\frac{\lambda}{9}}(x_0) \cap \Omega$ , any Whitney cube  $Q^*$  containing  $x$  is in  $B_\lambda(x_0) \cap \Omega$ , then  $\varphi(x) = 0$ . So  $\varphi \equiv 0$  in  $B_{\frac{\lambda}{9}}(x_0)$  and  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{R}_k)$ .

Also, by construction, for any  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{l: p_l \in R_k} R_\alpha^\phi(x, p_l) \varphi_l^*(x) \right| \leq \varphi(x).$$

Then the function  $G = g_k - \varphi$  is in  $\mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{R}_k)$  and for any  $x \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= g_k(x) - \varphi(x) = \phi(x) - \sum_{l:p_l \in R_k} R_\alpha^\phi(x, p_l) \varphi_l^*(x) - \varphi(x) \\ &\leq \phi(x) + \left| \sum_{l:p_l \in R_k} R_\alpha^\phi(x, p_l) \varphi_l^*(x) \right| - \varphi(x) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $G(x) = 0$  in  $\partial\Omega$  and

$$\nabla G(x) = \nabla g_k(x) - \nabla \varphi(x) = \nabla g_k(x) = \nabla \phi(x) \neq 0$$

in  $R_k$ . Finally we can play an identical game for  $G$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$  and obtain another function  $r$  extending  $G$  to  $\bar{\Omega}^c$ , in such a way that  $r$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  and strictly positive in  $\bar{\Omega}^c$ . This finishes the construction and provides the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Th. Bröcker, *Differentiable Germs and Catastrophes*, Lecture Notes LMS, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1975.
- [2] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd. edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [3] S. G. Krantz, H. R. Parks, *Distance to  $\mathcal{C}^k$  Hypersurfaces*, Journal of Differential Equations, **40** (1981), 116–120.
- [4] S. G. Krantz, H. R. Parks, *The Geometry of Domains in Space*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [5] S. G. Krantz, H. R. Parks, *The implicit function theorem. History, theory, and applications*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [6] M. de Guzman, *Real variable methods in Fourier analysis*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 46, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
- [7] P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [8] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiable Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

