## REMARK ON THE AVERAGES OF REAL FUNCTIONS

R. E. Chamberlin

1. Introduction. Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$. It is known that if for each $x$ in the open interval $(a, b)$ there is a positive number $t$ such that

$$
[x-t, x+t] \subset(a, b) \quad \text { and } \quad f(x)=\frac{f(x-t)+f(x+t)}{2}
$$

then $f(x)$ is linear (see [2, p. 253]). The same method of proof shows that if there is such a $t$ for each $x \in(a, b)$ with

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) d s
$$

then $f(x)$ is linear. Suppose $f(x)$ is such that for each $x \in(a, b)$ there exists a $t$ with $[x-t, x+t] \subset(a, b)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x+t)+f(x-t)}{2}=\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) d s . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is $f(x)$ necessarily linear ? On page 231 of [1] it is shown that if (1) holds for each $x$ and all $t$ such that $[x-t, x+t] \subset(a, b)$ then $f(x)$ is linear. The question arises whether or not one can relax the requirement that (1) holds for all $t$ in the above intervals and still conclude that $f(x)$ is linear.

In this note a continuously differentiable non-linear function $f(x)$ is given which satisfies (1) for every $x \in(a, b)$ and an infinity of $t$ 's. The values of $t$ depend on $x$ but they may be chosen arbitrarily small for each $x$. Conditions which together with (1) make $f(x)$ linear are given and the note is concluded with some remarks on the approximation to a function by its averages

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) d s
$$

Definition. A continuous function $f(x)$ on [a, b] will be said to have property (1) if for each $x \in(a, b)$ there are arbitrarily small values of $t$ for which (1) is true.
2. An example. We give an example of a continuously differentiable function having property (1) which is not linear. Let
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$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{n^{2} \cdot 10^{2 n}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is clear that $f(x)$ is not linear and is continuously differentiable. To show that $f(x)$ has property (1) we begin with the following

Lemma. For every $x$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right| \geq 10^{-3}
$$

Since the functions $\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x(n \geq 1)$ all have 1 as a period it is clear we need only consider $x \in[0,1]$ in the proof of this lemma. Since there is no loss in generality we assume hereafter that we are dealing with the interval $[0,1]$ and $x$ is in this interval.

Let the decimal expansion of $x$ be.$a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$. Then

$$
10^{2 n} x=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{2 n}+. a_{2 n+1} a_{2 n+2} \cdots \text { and }\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right|=\left|\cos \left(. a_{2 n+1} a_{2 n+2} \cdots\right) \pi\right| .
$$

Suppose $\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right|<10^{-3}$. Set $. a_{2 n+1} a_{2 n+2} \cdots=.5+r_{n}$ where $\left|r_{n}\right|<.5$. Then

$$
\left.10^{-3} \geq\left|\cos \left(. a_{2 n+1} a_{2 n+2} \cdots\right) \pi\right|=\left|\sin r_{n} \pi\right|=\sin \left|r_{n} \pi\right| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} r_{n} \pi \right\rvert\,
$$

that is $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 10^{3}} \geq\left|r_{n}\right|$. Hence there is an integer $b$ with $0 \leq b \leq 5$ such that $\left|r_{n}\right|=.000 b \ldots$. Therefore,

$$
\left|\cos 10^{2(n+1)} \pi x\right|=|\cos (.0 b \cdots) \pi| \geq\left(1-\frac{(.1 \pi)^{2}}{2}\right)>.9
$$

Thus for every $x$ and every $n_{0}$ there are integers $n>n_{0}$ such that $\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right| \geq 10^{-3}$. This proves the lemma.

For the function (2) we have
(3) $g(x, t)=\frac{1}{2}[f(x+t)+f(x-t)]-\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) d s$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 10^{2 n} \cdot n^{2}}\left[\cos 10^{2 n} \pi(x+t)+\cos 10^{2 n} \pi(x-t)\right] \\
-\frac{1}{2 t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin 10^{2 n} \pi(x+t)-\sin 10^{2 n} \pi(-t) \\
10^{2 n} \cdot n^{3} \cdot 10^{2 n} \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

From elementary trigonometric identities we now obtain

$$
g(x, t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2 n} n^{2}} \cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\left[\cos 10^{2 n} \pi t-\frac{\sin 10^{2 n} \pi t}{10^{2 n} \pi t}\right]
$$

We investigate in detail the last expression for $g(x, t)$ in (3).
Given $x$, let $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right|=d$. From the lemma it is clear there are an infinity of integers $k$ with the following properties:
(a) $\left|\cos 10^{2 k} \pi x\right|>.99 d$.
(b) $\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right|<1.01 d$ for $n \geq[k / 3]$
(c) $k \geq 10$.

For these values of $k$ we show that the sign of $g(x, t)$ in (3) is determined by the sign of the $k$-th term in its series expansion if $t$ is chosen properly. We assume hereafter that $k$ is subject to conditions (a), (b) and (c).

For the given $x$ and subject to conditions (a), (b) and (c) pick $k$ large enough so that for $t=2 \cdot 10^{-2 k},[x-t, x+t] \subset[0,1]$. Then
(4) $g\left(x, 10^{-2 k}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{n^{2} 10^{2 n}}\left[\begin{array}{c}\left.\cos 10^{2(n-k)} \pi-\frac{\sin 10^{2(n-k)} \pi}{10^{2(n-k)} \pi}\right]\end{array}\right]$

$$
=\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{n^{2} 10^{2 n}}\left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} 10^{4(n-k)}+\theta_{n} \cdot 10^{6(n-k)}\right)+(-1) \cdot \frac{\cos 10^{2 k} \pi x}{k^{2} 10^{2 k}}+\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{n^{2} 10^{2 n}}
$$

where $\left|\theta_{n}\right|<2$. Now

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left|\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{n^{2} 10^{2 n}}\left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} 10^{\lfloor(n-k)}+\theta_{n} 10^{6(n-k)}\right)\right|  \tag{5}\\
\quad \leq \frac{10}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{2 k}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{k-1}\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right| 10^{2(n-k)} \\
\quad \leq^{10} 10^{-2 k}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{[k / 3]-1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} 10^{2(n-k)}\right) \cdot 10^{3} d+\left(\frac{10}{3}\right) 10^{-2 k}\left(\sum_{n=[k \mid 3]}^{k-1} 1\right. \\
n^{2}
\end{array} 0^{2(n-k)}\right) 1.01 d .
$$

where we have used the lemma and property (b) of $k$ to get the last inequality.

For the first sum in the last inequality of (5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=1}^{[k / 3]-1} \frac{1}{n^{2}} 10^{2(n-k)}<\sum_{n=1}^{[k / 3]-1} 10^{2(n-k)} \leq 10^{-4 / 3(k-1)} \frac{1-\left(10^{-2}\right)^{(k / 3)}}{1-10^{-2}}  \tag{6}\\
& \quad<(1.01) 10^{-4 / 3(k-1)} .
\end{align*}
$$

To get an estimate on the second part of the last inequality of (5), recall that if $s_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}$
then

$$
\sum_{n=r}^{m} \alpha_{n} \beta_{n}=\sum_{n=r}^{m} s_{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\beta_{n+1}\right)-s_{r-1} \beta_{r}+s_{m} \beta_{m+1} .
$$

Letting $\alpha_{n}=10^{2(n-k)}, \beta_{n}=1 / n^{2}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=[k / 3]}^{k-1} \frac{10^{2(n-k)}}{n^{2}}=\sum_{n=[k / 3]}^{k-1} 10^{-2(k-n)}\left(\frac{1-10^{-2 n}}{1-10^{-2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& -10^{-2(k-[k / 3]-1)}\left(\frac{1-10^{-2[k / 3]}}{1-10^{-2}}\right) \cdot 1 /[k / 3]^{2}+10^{-2}\left(\frac{1-10^{-2(k-1)}}{1-10^{-2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{k^{2}}<\frac{2}{10^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

at least for $k \geq 10$. Using the estimates obtained in (6) and (7) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{10}{3} \cdot 10^{-2 k} \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left|\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x\right|}{n^{2} 10^{2 n}} \leq \frac{d}{10^{2 k}}\left[\frac{1.01}{3} 10^{-4 / 3(k-1)+4}+\frac{10}{3} \cdot(1.01) \cdot \frac{2}{10^{2} k^{2}}\right]  \tag{8}\\
& \quad<\frac{2}{10} d \cdot \frac{1}{k^{2} 10^{2 k}} \text { for } k \geq 10
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2 n} \pi x}{10^{2 n} n^{2}}\left[\cos 10^{2(n-k)} \pi-\frac{\sin 10^{2(n-k)} \pi}{10^{2(n-k)} \pi}\right]\right.  \tag{9}\\
& \leq 1.01 d \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2 n} n^{2}}<\frac{1.01 d}{(k+1)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{2(k+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-10^{-2}}<\frac{1}{10} \frac{d}{k^{2} 10^{2 k}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (8) and (9) we see that the $k$-th term of the series for $g\left(x, 10^{-2 k}\right)$ is greater in absolute value than the sum of the remaining terms. Hence the signs of $g\left(x, 10^{-2 k}\right)$ and $-10^{-2 k} k^{-2} \cos 10^{2 k} \pi x$ are the same. For $t=2 \cdot 10^{-2 k}$ the $k$-th term of the series for $g\left(x, 2 \cdot 10^{-2 k}\right)$ is $10^{-2 k} k^{-2} \cos 10^{2 k} \pi x$ and in the same manner as above one can show that the signs of $g\left(x, 2 \cdot 10^{-2 k}\right)$ and the $k$-th term are the same. Since $10^{-2 k} k^{-2} \cos 10^{2 k} \pi x$ and $-10^{-2 k} k^{-2} \cos 10^{2 k} \pi x$ are of opposite signs, $g(x, t)$ vanishes for some $t \in\left(10^{-2 k}, 2 \cdot 10^{-2 k}\right)$. But for $g(x, t)$ to vanish means that $f(x)$ satisfies (1). Since for each $x$ there are an infinity of $k$ 's satisfying (a), (b) and (c), there are (for each $x$ ) arbitrarily small values of $t$ for which the $f(x)$ of (2) satisfies (1). Hence this $f(x)$ has the property (1).

## 3. Sufficient conditions for a function to be linear.

Lemma 1. If $f(x)$ is continuously differentiable and $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then $g\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is of one sign for some open interval $\left(0, t_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}>0\right)$.

Under the stated conditions we may represent $f(x)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{2}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+o\left[\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10) and the definition of $g(x, t)$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(x_{0}, t\right)= & \frac{f\left(x_{0}+t\right)+f\left(x_{0}-t\right)}{2}-\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x_{0}-t}^{x_{0}+t} f(u) d u  \tag{11}\\
= & \left\{f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{2} t^{2}+o\left(t^{2}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x_{0}-t}^{x_{0}+t}\left\{f\left(x_{0}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}{2}\left(u-x_{0}\right)^{2}+o\left[\left(u-x_{0}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} d u=\frac{1}{3} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) t^{2}+o\left(t^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus if $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$, it is clear $g\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is one-signed for sufficiently small values of $t$.

Theorem 1. If $f(x)$ has property (1) and $f^{\prime}(x)$ is absolutely continuous then $f(x)$ is linear.

For $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ exists almost everywhere and by Lemma 1 it is zero everywhere it exists because $f(x)$ has property (1). Hence $f^{\prime}(x)$ is a constant and $f(x)$ is linear.

Theorem 2. If $f^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous, monotone increasing and not constant in any sufficiently small symmetric interval about $x_{0}$ then $g\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is one-signed in an interval ( $0, t_{0}$ ).

One has

$$
f\left(x_{0}+t\right)=f\left(x_{0}-t\right)+\int_{x_{0}-t}^{x_{0}+t} f^{\prime}(u) d u
$$

and for any $x \in\left(x_{0}-t, x_{0}+t\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \leq f\left(x_{0}-t\right)+f^{\prime}(x)\left(x-x_{0}+t\right), f\left(x_{0}+t\right) \geq f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)\left(x_{0}+t-x\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where at least one of the inequalities is strict by the hypothesis of Theorem 2. From (12) one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(x-x_{0}+t\right) f\left(x_{0}+t\right)+\left(x_{0}-x+t\right) f\left(x_{0}-t\right)}{2 t}>f(x) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious from (13) that $g\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is positive. Clearly this result with the inequality reversed holds if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is monotone decreasing.

We do not know if property (1) and bounded variation of $f^{\prime}(x)$ imply linearity for $f(x)$. In view of the two preceding theorems it seems quite likely.

## 4. Remarks on the approximation of a function by its averages.

Suppose $f(x)$ is a continuous function defined on the interval $(a-\delta, b+\delta)(\delta>0)$. We make some remarks on the approximation to
$f(x)$ by its averages

$$
f(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(u) d u \quad(0<t<\delta), x \in[p, b] .
$$

If $f(x)$ is linear then $f(x, t) \equiv f(x)$. If $f(x)$ is not linear in any subinterval then there is an everywhere dense subset of points $x$ at which the approximating functions are all either above or below $f(x)$. Otherwise the conditions of the theorem of [2, p. 253] are met and $f(x)$ would be linear.

One might ask if there are necessarily points at which $f(x, t)$ approaches $f(x)$ monotonely. From the results of $\S 2$ above this can be seen to be false. For $t>0, f(x, t)$ is continuously differentiable function of $t$ and

$$
f_{t}(x, t)=\frac{1}{t}\left\{\frac{f(x+t)+f(x-t)}{2}-\frac{1}{2 t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(u) d u\right\}=\frac{1}{t} g(x, t) .
$$

From this it is clear the function of $\S 2$ gives an example of a continuously differentiable function which at no point is approximated monotonely by its averages.
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