# REMARK ON THE AVERAGES OF REAL FUNCTIONS

## R. E. CHAMBERLIN

1. Introduction. Let f(x) be a continuous function defined on the closed interval [a, b]. It is known that if for each x in the open interval (a, b) there is a positive number t such that

$$[x-t, x+t] \subset (a, b)$$
 and  $f(x) = \frac{f(x-t) + f(x+t)}{2}$ 

then f(x) is linear (see [2, p. 253]). The same method of proof shows that if there is such a t for each  $x \in (a, b)$  with

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) \, ds$$

then f(x) is linear. Suppose f(x) is such that for each  $x \in (a, b)$  there exists a t with  $[x-t, x+t] \subset (a, b)$  and

(1) 
$$\frac{f(x+t)+f(x-t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) ds \, .$$

Is f(x) necessarily linear? On page 231 of [1] it is shown that if (1) holds for each x and all t such that  $[x-t, x+t] \subset (a, b)$  then f(x) is linear. The question arises whether or not one can relax the requirement that (1) holds for all t in the above intervals and still conclude that f(x) is linear.

In this note a continuously differentiable non-linear function f(x) is given which satisfies (1) for every  $x \in (a, b)$  and an infinity of t's. The values of t depend on x but they may be chosen arbitrarily small for each x. Conditions which together with (1) make f(x) linear are given and the note is concluded with some remarks on the approximation to a function by its averages

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) \, ds$$
.

DEFINITION. A continuous function f(x) on [a, b] will be said to have property (1) if for each  $x \in (a, b)$  there are arbitrarily small values of t for which (1) is true.

2. An example. We give an example of a continuously differentiable function having property (1) which is not linear. Let

Received January 14, 1954.

(2) 
$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2 \cdot 10^{2n}}$$

It is clear that f(x) is not linear and is continuously differentiable. To show that f(x) has property (1) we begin with the following

LEMMA. For every x,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\cos 10^{2n} \ \pi x \,| \geq 10^{-3}.$$

Since the functions  $\cos 10^{2n}\pi x \ (n \ge 1)$  all have 1 as a period it is clear we need only consider  $x \in [0, 1]$  in the proof of this lemma. Since there is no loss in generality we assume hereafter that we are dealing with the interval [0, 1] and x is in this interval.

Let the decimal expansion of x be  $.a_1a_2\cdots$ . Then

$$10^{2n}x \!=\! a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n}\!+.a_{2n+1}\,a_{2n+2}\cdots ext{ and } |\cos 10^{2n}\,\pi x\,|\!=\!\!|\!\cos(.a_{2n+1}\,a_{2n+2}\cdots)\pi\,|\,.$$

Suppose  $|\cos 10^{2n} \pi x| < 10^{-3}$ . Set  $.a_{2n+1}a_{2n+2} \dots = .5 + r_n$  where  $|r_n| < .5$ . Then

$$10^{-3} \ge |\cos(.a_{2n+1}a_{2n+2}\cdots)\pi| = |\sin r_n\pi| = \sin |r_n\pi| \ge rac{2}{\pi} |r_n\pi|,$$

that is  $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 10^3} \ge |r_n|$ . Hence there is an integer *b* with  $0 \le b \le 5$  such that  $|r_n| = .000b \cdots$ . Therefore,

$$|\cos 10^{2(n+1)}\pi x \,| \!=\! |\cos (.0b \cdots) \pi \,| \!\geq \! \left( 1 \!-\! rac{(.1\pi)^2}{2} 
ight) \!\!> \!\!.9$$
 .

Thus for every x and every  $n_0$  there are integers  $n > n_0$  such that  $|\cos 10^{2n}\pi x| \ge 10^{-3}$ . This proves the lemma.

For the function (2) we have

$$(3) \quad g(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(x+t) + f(x-t) \right] - \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(s) ds$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 10^{2n} \cdot n^2} \left[ \cos 10^{2n} \pi(x+t) + \cos 10^{2n} \pi(x-t) \right]$$
$$- \frac{1}{2t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 10^{2n} \pi(x+t) - \sin 10^{2n} \pi(-t)}{10^{2n} \cdot n^3 \cdot 10^{2n} \pi} .$$

From elementary trigonometric identities we now obtain

$$g(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2n} n^2} \cos 10^{2n} \pi x \left[ \cos 10^{2n} \pi t - \frac{\sin 10^{2n} \pi t}{10^{2n} \pi t} \right].$$

664

We investigate in detail the last expression for g(x, t) in (3).

Given x, let  $\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} |\cos 10^{2n}\pi x| = d$ . From the lemma it is clear there are an infinity of integers k with the following properties:

- (a)  $|\cos 10^{2k}\pi x| > .99d$ .
- (b)  $|\cos 10^{2n}\pi x| < 1.01 \ d$  for  $n \ge [k/3]$
- (c)  $k \ge 10$ .

For these values of k we show that the sign of g(x, t) in (3) is determined by the sign of the k-th term in its series expansion if t is chosen properly. We assume hereafter that k is subject to conditions (a), (b) and (c).

For the given x and subject to conditions (a), (b) and (c) pick k large enough so that for  $t=2\cdot10^{-2k}$ ,  $[x-t, x+t]\subseteq[0, 1]$ . Then

$$(4) \quad g(x, 10^{-2k}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2 10^{2n}} \left[ \cos 10^{2(n-k)} \pi - \frac{\sin 10^{2(n-k)} \pi}{10^{2(n-k)} \pi} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2 10^{2n}} \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{6} 10^{4(n-k)} + \theta_n \cdot 10^{6(n-k)} \right) + (-1) \cdot \frac{\cos 10^{2k} \pi x}{k^2 10^{2k}} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2 10^{2n}}$$

where  $|\theta_n| < 2$ . Now

$$(5) \qquad \left| \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2 10^{2n}} \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{6} 10^{4(n-k)} + \theta_n 10^{6(n-k)} \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{10}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{2k}} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \left| \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{n^2} \right| 10^{2(n-k)} \\ \leq \frac{10}{3} 10^{-2k} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor k/3 \rfloor - 1} \frac{1}{n^2} 10^{2(n-k)} \right) \cdot 10^3 d + \left( \frac{10}{3} \right) 10^{-2k} \left( \sum_{n=\lfloor k/3 \rfloor - 1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{n^2} 10^{2(n-k)} \right) 1.01 d$$

where we have used the lemma and property (b) of k to get the last inequality.

For the first sum in the last inequality of (5) we have

$$(6) \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{[k/3]-1} \frac{1}{n^2} 10^{2(n-k)} < \sum_{n=1}^{[k/3]-1} 10^{2(n-k)} \le 10^{-4/3(k-1)} \frac{1 - (10^{-2})^{(k/3)}}{1 - 10^{-2}} < (1.01) 10^{-4/3(k-1)}.$$

To get an estimate on the second part of the last inequality of (5), recall that if  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$  then

$$\sum_{n=r}^{m} \alpha_n \beta_n = \sum_{n=r}^{m} s_n (\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}) - s_{r-1} \beta_r + s_m \beta_{m+1} .$$

Letting  $\alpha_n = 10^{2(n-k)}$ ,  $\beta_n = 1/n^2$  we get

$$(7) \qquad \sum_{n=\lfloor k/3 \rfloor}^{k-1} \frac{10^{2(n-k)}}{n^2} = \sum_{n=\lfloor k/3 \rfloor}^{k-1} 10^{-2(k-n)} \left(\frac{1-10^{-2n}}{1-10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) \\ - 10^{-2(k-\lfloor k/3 \rfloor - 1)} \left(\frac{1-10^{-2\lfloor k/3 \rfloor}}{1-10^{-2}}\right) \cdot 1/\lfloor k/3 \rfloor^2 + 10^{-2} \left(\frac{1-10^{-2(k-1)}}{1-10^{-2}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{2}{10^2} \frac{1}{k^2}$$

at least for  $k \ge 10$ . Using the estimates obtained in (6) and (7) we get

$$(8) \quad \frac{10}{3} \cdot 10^{-2k} \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{|\cos 10^{2n} \pi x|}{n^2 10^{2n}} \leq \frac{d}{10^{2k}} \left[ \frac{1.01}{3} 10^{-4/3(k-1)+4} + \frac{10}{3} \cdot (1.01) \cdot \frac{2}{10^2 k^2} \right] \\ < \frac{2}{10} d \cdot \frac{1}{k^2 10^{2k}} \quad \text{for} \quad k \geq 10 .$$

Furthermore

$$(9) \qquad \left|\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 10^{2n} \pi x}{10^{2n} n^2} \left[\cos 10^{2(n-k)} \pi - \frac{\sin 10^{2(n-k)} \pi}{10^{2(n-k)} \pi}\right]\right| \\ \leq 1.01 \ d \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10^{2n} n^2} < \frac{1.01 \ d}{(k+1)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{10^{2(k+1)}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-10^{-2}} < \frac{1}{10} \frac{d}{k^2 10^{2k}}$$

From (8) and (9) we see that the k-th term of the series for  $g(x, 10^{-2k})$  is greater in absolute value than the sum of the remaining terms. Hence the signs of  $g(x, 10^{-2k})$  and  $-10^{-2k}k^{-2}\cos 10^{2k}\pi x$  are the same. For  $t=2\cdot10^{-2k}$  the k-th term of the series for  $g(x, 2\cdot10^{-2k})$  is  $10^{-2k}k^{-2}\cos 10^{2k}\pi x$  and in the same manner as above one can show that the signs of  $g(x, 2\cdot10^{-2k})$  and the k-th term are the same. Since  $10^{-2k}k^{-2}\cos 10^{2k}\pi x$  and  $-10^{-2k}k^{-2}\cos 10^{2k}\pi x$  and  $-10^{-2k}k^{-2}\cos 10^{2k}\pi x$  are of opposite signs, g(x, t) vanishes for some  $t \in (10^{-2k}, 2\cdot10^{-2k})$ . But for g(x, t) to vanish means that f(x) satisfies (1). Since for each x there are an infinity of k's satisfying (a), (b) and (c), there are (for each x) arbitrarily small values of t for which the f(x) of (2) satisfies (1). Hence this f(x) has the property (1).

#### 3. Sufficient conditions for a function to be linear.

LEMMA 1. If f(x) is continuously differentiable and  $f''(x_0) \approx 0$ , then  $g(x_0, t)$  is of one sign for some open interval  $(0, t_0)$   $(t_0 > 0)$ .

Under the stated conditions we may represent f(x) by

(10) 
$$f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2}(x - x_0)^2 + o[(x - x_0)^2].$$

Using (10) and the definition of g(x, t) gives

666

(11) 
$$g(x_0, t) = \frac{f(x_0 + t) + f(x_0 - t)}{2} - \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x_0 - t}^{x_0 + t} f(u) du$$
$$= \left\{ f(x_0) + \frac{f''(x_0)}{2} t^2 + o(t^2) \right\} - \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x_0 - t}^{x_0 + t} \left\{ f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(u - x_0) + \frac{f'(x_0)}{2}(u - x_0)^2 + o[(u - x_0)^2] \right\} du = \frac{1}{3} f''(x_0) t^2 + o(t^2).$$

Thus if  $f''(x_0) = 0$ , it is clear  $g(x_0, t)$  is one-signed for sufficiently small values of t.

THEOREM 1. If f(x) has property (1) and f'(x) is absolutely continuous then f(x) is linear.

For f''(x) exists almost everywhere and by Lemma 1 it is zero everywhere it exists because f(x) has property (1). Hence f'(x) is a constant and f(x) is linear.

THEOREM 2. If f'(x) is continuous, monotone increasing and not constant in any sufficiently small symmetric interval about  $x_0$  then  $g(x_0, t)$  is one-signed in an interval  $(0, t_0)$ .

One has

$$f(x_0+t) = f(x_0-t) + \int_{x_0-t}^{x_0+t} f'(u) \, du$$

and for any  $x \in (x_0 - t, x_0 + t)$  we get

(12) 
$$f(x) \leq f(x_0-t) + f'(x)(x-x_0+t), f(x_0+t) \geq f(x) + f'(x)(x_0+t-x)$$

where at least one of the inequalities is strict by the hypothesis of Theorem 2. From (12) one obtains

(13) 
$$\frac{(x-x_0+t)f(x_0+t)+(x_0-x+t)f(x_0-t)}{2t} > f(x)$$

It is obvious from (13) that  $g(x_0, t)$  is positive. Clearly this result with the inequality reversed holds if f'(x) is monotone decreasing.

We do not know if property (1) and bounded variation of f'(x) imply linearity for f(x). In view of the two preceding theorems it seems quite likely.

### 4. Remarks on the approximation of a function by its averages.

Suppose f(x) is a continuous function defined on the interval  $(a-\delta, b+\delta)$  ( $\delta > 0$ ). We make some remarks on the approximation to

f(x) by its averages

$$f(x, t) = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(u) du \qquad (0 < t < \delta), \ x \varepsilon[v, b].$$

If f(x) is linear then f(x, t) = f(x). If f(x) is not linear in any subinterval then there is an everywhere dense subset of points x at which the approximating functions are all either above or below f(x). Otherwise the conditions of the theorem of [2, p. 253] are met and f(x) would be linear.

One might ask if there are necessarily points at which f(x, t) approaches f(x) monotonely. From the results of §2 above this can be seen to be false. For t>0, f(x, t) is continuously differentiable function of t and

$$f_t(x, t) = \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \frac{f(x+t) + f(x-t)}{2} - \frac{1}{2t} \int_{x-t}^{x+t} f(u) du \right\} = \frac{1}{t} g(x, t) .$$

From this it is clear the function of §2 gives an example of a continuously differentiable function which at no point is approximated monotonely by its averages.

#### References

1. E.F. Beckenbach and M.O. Reade, "Mean values and harmonic polynomials", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 53 (1943), 230-238.

2. R. Courant and D. Hilbert, "Methoden der mathematischen Physik.", 2, Berlin, 1937.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

668