# THE TWO NONCHARACTERISTIC PROBLEM WITH data partly on the parabolic line 

M. H. Protter

1. Introduction. We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(y) u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(y)$ is a monotone increasing, twice differentiable function of $y$ with $K(0)=0$. The equation is elliptic for $y>0$, hyperbolic for $y<0$, and $y=0$ is a parabolic line. Equations of this type have been of interest recently because of certain problems arising in transonic flow. The equations for the compressible flow of an ideal fluid when transformed to the hodograph plane lead, in the transonic case, to an elliptic-hyperbolic equation of the above type.

In this paper the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a certain boundary value problem are discussed. It will be clear from the methods employed that estimates can be obtained for the solution in terms of the boundary values, although these estimates are not stated explicitly.

Equation (1) has real characteristics in the lower half-plane given by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y}{d x}=+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}}  \tag{2a}\\
& \frac{d y}{d x}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}} \tag{2b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\gamma_{1}$ be the characteristic of (2b) passing through ( 0,0 ), and $\gamma_{2}$ the member of (2a) passing through (2, 0). Then the segment $0 \leq x \leq 2$, along with $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, will enclose a domain which we denote by $D^{\prime}$. Let $\Gamma$, given by $y=h(x)$, be a curve lying in $D^{\prime}$ and emanating from the point ( 2,0 ). It will be assumed that $h(x)$ intersects each characteristic of (1) at most once, and that there are two positive constants $m$ and $M$ such that $0<m \leq h^{\prime}(x) \leq M$. We call
$P_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ the point where $\Gamma$ intersects $\gamma_{1}$.
The following problem is treated. Let

$$
F_{0}(x),(0 \leq x \leq 2), \quad G_{0}(x),\left(x_{0} \leq x \leq 2\right),
$$

be two given functions possessing continuous derivatives of the fifth order. A solution $u(x, y)$ of (1) is sought in $D^{\prime}$ which satisfies the conditions $u(x, y)=F_{0}(x) \quad(0 \leq x \leq 2)$ and $u[x, h(x)]=G_{0}(x) \quad\left(x_{0} \leq x \leq 2\right)$. We denote by $D$ the domain bounded by $\gamma_{1}, \Gamma$, and the segment $0 \leq x \leq 2$. Then clearly all considerations may be confined to $D$ instead of $D^{\prime}$. For, once $u(x, y)$ is determined in $D$, the Cauchy problem may be solved with the function $u$ and its first derivatives prescribed along $h(x)$ and this will yield $u$ in the remainder of $D^{\prime}$. The solution of this problem is well known for the case of purely hyperbolic equations [2]. The case where $\Gamma$ coincides with one of the characteristics has been treated earlier [3], and under those circumstances certain simplifications take place and some of the hypotheses can be weakened.
2. The step-function case. Suppose $K^{*}(y)$ is a nondecreasing step-function with $m$ steps:

$$
K^{*}(y)=-\lambda_{i}^{2}, \quad y_{i} \leq y \leq y_{i-1} \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, m)
$$

We will take

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}>0, y_{0}=0, \text { and } y_{m}=c<0
$$

The boundary value problem proposed in $£ 1$ will first be solved for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{*}(y) u_{x x}+u_{y y}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic curves of equation (2) are polygonal arcs, and the domain $D^{\prime}$ will be divided into strips in each one of which the solution $u(x, y)$ will satisfy the wave equation with the appropriate constant $\lambda_{i}^{2}$.

Thus by a solution of (3) we mean a function $u(x, y)$ which satisfies the equation

$$
\lambda_{i}^{2} u_{x x}-u_{y y}=0
$$

in the $i$ th strip, and in addition $u, u_{x}$, and $u_{y}$ are continuous throughout $D^{\prime}$. In the $i$ th strip a solution of (3) will have the form

$$
f_{i}\left(x+\lambda_{i} y\right)+g_{i}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y\right),
$$

and this is valid for $y_{i} \leq y \leq y_{i-1}$. To preserve continuity of $u, u_{x}, u_{y}$ at the junction of two strips we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}\left(x+\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)+g_{i}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right) & =f_{i+1}\left(x+\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right)+g_{i+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right) \\
\lambda_{i} f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x+\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i} g_{i}^{\prime}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right) & =\lambda_{i+1} f_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(x+\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right)-\lambda_{i+1} g_{i+1}^{\prime}\left(x-\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

With a proper adjustment of constants this yields the relations

$$
f_{i+1}\left(x+\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right)=\frac{\lambda_{i+1}+\lambda_{i}}{2 \lambda_{i+1}} f_{i}\left(x+\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}}{2 \lambda_{i+1}} g_{i}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i+1}\left(x-\lambda_{i+1} y_{i}\right)=\frac{\lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}}{2 \lambda_{i+1}} f_{i}\left(x+\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{i+1}+\lambda_{i}}{2 \lambda_{i+1}} g_{i}\left(x-\lambda_{i} y_{i}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may suppose $F_{0}(2)=G_{0}(2)=0$. We denote by $\gamma_{1}^{(m)}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{(m)}$ the characteristics of (3) which pass through $(0,0)$ and $(2,0)$, respectively, and which intersect. Then $D_{m}$ will designate the domain bounded by $\gamma_{1}^{(m)}, \Gamma$, and the segment of the $x$-axis, $0 \leq x \leq 2$. Let $P_{0}^{(m)}\left(x_{0}^{(m)}, y_{0}^{(m)}\right)$ be the point where $\Gamma$ and $\gamma_{1}^{(m)}$ intersect. Since our ultimate purpose is to select a sequence of step-functions $K_{n}(y)$ converging to $K(y)$ it is no restriction to select $K^{*}(y)$ so that $D_{m}$ lies entirely in the domain $D_{m}^{\prime}$ consisting of $\gamma_{1}^{(m)}$, $\gamma_{2}^{(m)}$ and $0 \leq x \leq 2$.

Lemma. Let $F_{0}(x)(0 \leq x \leq 2)$ and $G_{0}(x)\left(x_{0} \leq x \leq 2\right)$ be given functions with continuous first derivatives with $F_{0}(2)=G_{0}(2)=0$. Then there exists a unique solution $u(x, y)$ of (3) in $D_{m}^{\prime}$ satisfying the conditions

$$
u(x, 0)=F_{0}(x)(0 \leq x \leq 2) \text { and } u[x, h(x)]=G_{0}(x)\left(x_{0}^{(m)} \leq x \leq 2\right) .
$$

Further, for $y_{1} \leq y \leq 0, u(x, y)$ may be represented in the form

$$
u(x, y)=f_{1}\left(x+\lambda_{1} y\right)+g_{1}\left(x-\lambda_{1} y\right),
$$

and the functions $f_{1}, g_{1}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\left|f_{1}\right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_{1}}, \quad\left|g_{1}\right| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_{1}},
$$

where $M$ is a constant depending on the slope of $h(x)$ and the maximum of

$$
\left|F_{0}(x)\right|,\left|F_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|G_{0}(x)\right|,\left|G_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right| .
$$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness will be established simultaneously by constructing the solution. The solution itself will be obtained in a step-bystep process, and the method for constructing the first few steps will be shown in detail. From this it will be clear how to continue until the complete solution is obtained in a finite number of steps. Let $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{k}(k<m)$ be the points of intersection of $y=h(x)$ with the lines $y=y_{1}, y=y_{2}, \ldots, y=y_{k}$, respectively. Draw the characteristic $Q_{1} R_{1}$ (see figure). The determination of the solution of (3) in the trapezoid $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$ with data given along two noncharacteristics is a classical problem for the wave equation. However, since certain estimates are needed for the functions $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$, this solution will be obtained explicitly. Let $P(x, y)$ be a point in the trapezoid $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$ lying above $\Gamma$. Then $f_{1}\left(x+\lambda_{1} y\right)$ is constant along the characteristic through $P$ parallel to $R_{1} Q_{1}$. This characteristic intersects $\Gamma$ at, say, $S_{1}$, and we have


$$
f_{1}(P)=f_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=G_{0}\left(S_{1}\right)-g_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) .
$$

From $S_{1}$ draw the characteristic parallel to $A_{0} A_{1}$ intersecting the $x$-axis at $T_{1}$. Then

$$
g_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=g_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)=F_{0}\left(T_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(T_{1}\right),
$$

and consequently

$$
f_{1}(P)=G_{0}\left(S_{1}\right)-F_{0}\left(T_{1}\right)+f_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) .
$$

Through $T_{1}$ draw the characteristic parallel to $R_{1} Q_{1}$ intersecting $\Gamma$ at $S_{2}$. Through $S_{2}$ draw the characteristic parallel to $A_{0} A_{1}$ intersecting the $x$-axis at $T_{2}$. Continuing in this way we find

$$
f_{1}(P)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{0}\left(S_{n}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{0}\left(T_{n}\right)
$$

or

$$
f_{1}(P)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left[G_{0}\left(S_{n}\right)-G_{0}\left(S_{n+1}\right)\right]-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left[F_{0}\left(T_{n}\right)-F_{0}\left(T_{n+1}\right)\right]
$$

The convergence of these series under the hypotheses of the lemma follows easily. Let

$$
M_{1}=\max \left(\left|F_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|G_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right|\right),
$$

and denote the length of the line segment from $T_{n}$ to $T_{n+1}$ by $\left|T_{n}-T_{n+1}\right|$. Then an application of the theorem of the mean yields

$$
\left|f_{1}\right| \leq M_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|T_{n}-T_{n+1}\right|+M_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left|S_{n}-S_{n+1}\right| .
$$

To obtain an estimate for $f_{1}$ we first note that the lengths $\left|T_{n}-T_{n+1}\right|$ and $\left|S_{n}-S_{n+1}\right|$ form geometric progressions. Let $L$ denote the length of that part of $\Gamma$ between $A_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$. For simplicity we may replace the arc $A_{0} Q_{1}$ by the chord and let $k$ be the tangent of the angle this chord makes with the horizontal. In the actual case $k$ is replaced by a variable for which we have upper and lower bounds. Construct the perpendiculars from the points $S_{n}$ to the $x$-axis
and denote these lengths by $b_{n}$. It is easily seen that these lengths are given by

$$
b_{n}=\frac{L k}{\left(1+\lambda_{1} k\right)^{n}}
$$

and hence

$$
\left|T_{n-1}-T_{n}\right|=\frac{2 \lambda_{1} L k}{\left(1+\lambda_{1} k\right)^{n}}
$$

Since a similar estimate holds for the lengths $\left|S_{n}-S_{n+1}\right|$, we find

$$
\left|f_{1}\right| \leq \frac{M_{1} C L}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending only on the slope of $h(x)$. To determine $g_{1}\left(x-\lambda_{1} y\right)$ we proceed in a similar way. From the point $P$ in the trapezoid $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$ lying above $\Gamma$ we draw the characteristic parallel to $A_{0} A_{1}$, and denote by $t_{1}$ the point where this characteristic meets the $x$-axis. Through $t_{1}$ we construct the characteristic parallel to $R_{1} Q_{1}$ intersecting $\Gamma$ at $s_{1}$. The sequences $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ are constructed as before, and we obtain

$$
g_{1}(P)=g_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=F_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=F_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)=F_{0}\left(t_{1}\right)-G_{0}\left(s_{1}\right)+g_{1}\left(t_{2}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
g_{1}(P)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{0}\left(t_{n}\right)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{0}\left(s_{n}\right) .
$$

A similar estimate to that made for $f_{1}$ yields

$$
\left|g_{1}\right| \leq \frac{M_{1} C L}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

The solution $u(x, y)$ is now completely determined in that part of the trapezoid $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$ lying above $\Gamma$. However, from the fact that $f_{1}$ is constant along the characteristics $x+\lambda_{1} y=$ const., and $g_{1}$ along the characteristics $x-\lambda_{1} y=$ const., we see that $u$ is completely determined in the remainder of $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$. From the compatibility relations (4) this determines the solution in the triangle (or trapezoid) $A_{1} B_{1} Q_{1}$. (See figure.) Construct now the characteristics $Q_{1} B_{3}$,
$R_{1} B_{2}$, and $B_{2} B_{4}$. Since $g_{1}$ is a function of $x-\lambda_{1} y$, the determination of $g_{1}$ in $A_{0} A_{1} Q_{1} R_{1}$ defines it also in the triangle $R_{1} Q_{1} B_{2}$ and in particular along the segment $Q_{1} B_{2}$. This together with the fact that $u$ is prescribed along the arc $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ enables us to determine $u$ throughout the triangle $Q_{1} B_{2} B_{4}$. Let $P(x, y)$ be a point on the segment $Q_{1} B_{2}$. From (4) we have

$$
f_{1}(P)=\frac{2 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}} f_{2}(P)-a g_{1}(P)
$$

where we have set

$$
\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}}=a
$$

Through $P$ construct the characteristic parallel to $B_{2} B_{4}$ and intersecting $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ at the point $r_{1}$. From $r_{1}$ we next draw the characteristic parallel to $Q_{1} B_{3}$ and meeting $Q_{1} B_{2}$ at the point $v_{1}$. Continuing this process we obtain the sequences $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ along $Q_{1} Q_{2}$ converging to $Q_{1}$, and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ along $Q_{1} B_{2}$ converging to $Q_{1}$. Then, by the same argument employed above,

$$
f_{1}(P)=-a g_{1}(P)+\frac{2 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}} f_{2}\left(r_{1}\right)=-a g_{1}(P)+\frac{2 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}}\left[G_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)-g_{2}\left(r_{1}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{1}(P)=-a g_{1}(P)+\frac{2 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}} G_{0}\left(r_{1}\right)-g_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)-a f_{1}\left(v_{1}\right) .
$$

Continuing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(P)=-a g_{1}(P)+\frac{2 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-a)^{n-1} G_{0}\left(r_{n}\right) \\
&-\frac{4 \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}{\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-a)^{n-1} g_{1}\left(v_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields not only the complete determination of $f_{1}$ on the segment $Q_{1} B_{2}$ but also the estimate

$$
\left|f_{1}\right| \leq \frac{3 \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}} M_{2},
$$

where $M_{2}$ depends only on the given data and $\Gamma$. Knowledge of the function $f_{1}$ along $Q_{1} B_{2}$ together with relations (4) yields the solution $u$ in the triangle $Q_{1} B_{2} B_{4}$. Draw now the characteristic $B_{2} R_{2}$ as shown. Since $f_{1}$ is a function of $x+\lambda_{1} y$, we now know $f_{1}$ in the parallelogram $R_{1} Q_{1} B_{2} R_{2}$. Along $R_{1} R_{2}$, $g_{1}=F_{0}-f_{1}$, and thus $g_{1}$ and therefore $u$ is determined in this parallelogram. The transition from the second to the third step is completely analogous and may be carried out in the same way. The estimates for $f_{1}$ and $g_{1}$ are easily obtained by an induction argument that parallels that given in [3] and need not be repeated. The bounds show that the solution obtained is unique.

We note that $u_{x}(x, y)$ also satisfies equation (3). Therefore we may consider again the same problem treated in the lemma with the following data:

$$
F_{0}^{\prime}(x)
$$

$$
(0 \leq x \leq 2, y=0)
$$

and

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x+\lambda_{i} h(x)\right)+g_{i}^{\prime}\left(x-\lambda_{i} h(x)\right) \quad\left(x_{0}^{(m)} \leq x \leq 2, \text { along } y=h(x)\right) .
$$

To do this we assume that $F_{0}(x), G_{0}(x)$ possess continuous second derivatives. If the argument employed in the lemma is repeated and the relation

$$
G_{0}^{\prime}(x)=f_{i}^{\prime}\left[x+\lambda_{i} h(x)\right]\left[1+\lambda_{i} h^{\prime}(x)\right]+g_{i}^{\prime}\left[x-\lambda_{i} h(x)\right]\left[1-\lambda_{i} h^{\prime}(x)\right]
$$

is employed then estimates of the form

$$
\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|,\left|g_{1}^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{M_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

may be obtained. Here $M_{3}$ depends only on max $\left|K^{*}(y)\right|$, the given data, and $h(x)$. In the first strip, that is, the strip bounding the $x$-axis, we have

$$
u_{y}(x, y)=\lambda_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x+\lambda_{1} y\right)-\lambda_{1} g_{1}\left(x-\lambda_{1} y\right) .
$$

Hence the estimates for $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g_{1}^{\prime}$ show that $u_{y}(x, y)$ is uniformly bounded with the bound depending only on the given data, the domain, and max $\left|K^{*}(y)\right|$.
3. The limiting process. We now consider a sequence $K_{n}(y)$ of nondecreas-
ing step-functions, each with a finite number of steps, which converges uniformly to $K(y)$. The fact that $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{y}(x, 0)$ are uniformly bounded for all $n$ enables us to employ the following theorem of Bers [1]:

Theorem (Bers). Let $\tau(x)$ and $\nu(x)$ be once continuously differentiable functions defined for $0 \leq x \leq 2$. Then there exists a unique solution $u(x, y)$ of equation (1) in $D^{\prime}$ satisfying the initial conditions

$$
u(x, 0)=\dot{\tau}(x), u_{y}(x, 0)=\nu(x) \quad(0 \leq x \leq 2)
$$

In $D^{\prime}, u(x, y)$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
|u| \leq T+|y| N, \quad\left|u_{y}\right| \leq A T^{\prime}+B N^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=A(y)=\sqrt{-K(y)}, B=x+|y| A(y), T=\max |\tau(x)|, N=\max |\nu(x)| \\
T^{\prime}=\max \left|\tau^{\prime}(x)\right|, N^{\prime}=\max \left|\nu^{\prime}(x)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

The theorem of Bers applies equally well to equation (3). Employing this theorem together with the bounds we obtained for $f_{1}^{\prime}$ and $g_{1}^{\prime}$, we obtain uniform bounds for the solution $u(x, y)$ in $D^{\prime}$ in terms of $F_{0}, G_{0}$, and their first two derivatives.

Denote by $u^{(n)}(x, y)$ the solution of the boundary value problem corresponding to $K_{n}(y)$. Then

$$
u^{(n)}(x, 0)=F_{0}(x)
$$

for all $n$, and $\left\{u_{y}^{(n)}(x, 0)\right\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence. The assumption that $F_{0}$ and $G_{0}$ possess continuous fourth derivatives gives us a uniform bound on $\left\{u_{y x}^{(n)}(x, 0)\right\}$; hence the sequence $\left\{u_{y}^{(n)}(x, 0)\right\}$ is equicontinuous, and there exists a convergent subsequence. Let $u_{y}(x, 0)$ be the limiting value. This fact together with the estimates obtained above allows us to apply a lemma of the author [3, p.427] and conclude that a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}(x, y)\right\}$ converges to a function $u(x, y)$ which satisfies (1). It is clear that $u(x, y)$ assumes the proper boundary values as each $u_{n}(x, y)$ does.

To determine the uniqueness of the solution, a method previously exploited [4] may be used. We assume that $u(x, y)$ is a solution which vanishes on the $x$-axis, $0 \leq x \leq 2$, and on $\Gamma$. We consider the integral

$$
2 \iint_{D}\left(a u_{x}+b u_{y}+c u_{z}\right)\left(K u_{x x}+u_{y y}\right) d x d y=0
$$

where $a, b$, and $c$ are functions yet to be determined. In this case we may take $a=0$ and $b$ and $c$ constant. An application of Green's theorem yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{2} c(x, 0) u_{y}^{2}(x, 0) d x+\iint_{D} c K^{\prime} u_{x}^{2} d x \\
& -\int_{\gamma_{1}}(c \sqrt{-K}-b)\left(\sqrt{-K} u_{x}^{2}-2 u_{x} u_{y}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{-K}} u_{y}^{2}\right) d x \\
& -\int_{\Gamma}\left(c-h^{\prime}(x) b\right)\left(K+\frac{1}{h^{\sim^{2}}}\right) u_{x}^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

An appropriate selection for $b$ and $c$ makes all these integrals have the same sign. This can only happen if $u$ vanishes identically.

The preceding has proved the following:
Theorem. Let $F_{0}(x)(0 \leq x \leq 2), G_{0}(x)\left(x_{0} \leq x \leq 2\right)$ be functions with continuous fifth derivatives and $F_{0}(2)=G_{0}(2)$. Let $y=h(x)$ and $D$ be defined as in §l. Then there exists a unique solution $u(x, y)$ of (1) in $D$ satisfying the boundary conditions $u(x, 0)=F_{0}(x)(0 \leq x \leq 2)$ and $u[x, h(x)]=G_{0}(x)\left(x_{0} \leq x \leq 2\right)$. Further, estimates for $u(x, y)$ may be obtained in terms of the given data.
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