A CLASS OF SMOOTH BUNDLES OVER A MANIFOLD

JAMES EELLS, JR.

1. Introduction. In this paper we illustrate certain constructions of importance in the geometry of smooth manifolds. First of all we prove that a homogeneous space B of a connected Lie group G can always be represented as a homogeneous space of a contractible Lie group E, necessarily of infinite dimension in general. In particular, that representation shows that the loop space of B can be replaced effectively by a Lie group of infinite dimension. The construction is a special case of a general theory of differentiable structures in function spaces [4]. Secondly, we examine relations between the Lie algebra of G and that of E (this latter being a Banach-Lie algebra), in case G is compact and semi-simple.

As an application we consider certain differentiable fibre bundles over a smooth (i.e., infinitely differentiable) manifold X having infinite dimensional Lie structure groups. Particular attention is given to the bundles associated with maps of X into a sphere; these bundles are important because they are in natural (Poincaré dual) correspondence with certain equivalence classes of normally framed submanifolds of X. Using a theory of smooth differential forms in function spaces, we give explicit integral representation formulas for the characteristic classes of these bundles. These formulas provide examples of a residue theory of differential forms with singularities [1]—and express those forms with singularities as forms without singularities in differentiable bundles over X.

2. The homogeneous spaces. (A) Let G be a connected Lie group (of finite dimension!), and let L(G) denote its Lie algebra, considered as the tangent space to G at its neutral element e. If K is a closed subgroup of G, we let B denote the homogeneous space G/K of left cosets of K. The coset map $\pi: G \to B$ is an analytic fibre bundle map $[9, \S 7]$.

We now construct an acyclic fibre bundle over B; our construction is a variant of Serre's space of paths over B based at a point [8, Ch. IV]. For this purpose we have chosen a special class of paths on G suitable for our applications in §5. (These path spaces are also of importance in the calculus of variations.)

(B) Let G be given a left invariant Riemann structure, determined by an inner product on L(G). If $\mathcal{J}(G)$ denotes the tangent vector bundle of G with projection map $q: \mathcal{J}(G) \to G$, then $\mathcal{J}(G)$ has induced Riemann structure. If u, v are tangent vectors at a point

Received June 10, 1959. Research partially supported by the Office of Naval Research.

 $m \in G$, we let $(u, v)_m$ denote their inner product, and $|v|_m$ denote the length of v.

DEFINITION. If I is the unit interval $\{t \in I : 0 \le t \le 1\}$, we say that a map $x: I \to G$ is an admissible path on G if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) x(0) = e, the neutral element of G;
- (2) x is absolutely continuous in the metric of G; then its tangent vector x'(t) exists for almost all $t \in I$, and we require that
- (3) the tangent map $x': I \to \mathcal{J}(G)$ is square integrable; i.e., the Lebesgue integral

(1)
$$\int_0^1 |x'(t)|_{x(t)}^2 dt$$

is finite. We observe that $x(t) = q \circ x'(t)$ for each $t \in I$ for which x'(t) exists.

Let E(G) denote the totality of admissible paths on G. Using pointwise multiplication and metric defined analogously to (1), it is easily seen that E(G) is a topological (metrizable) group. As in the case of continuous path spaces [8, p. 481], E(G) is a contractible group with contraction $h: E(G) \times I \to E(G)$ given by h(x, t)s = x(ts).

Let $p: E(G) \to G$ be defined by p(x) = x(1). Then p is a continuous epimorphism whose kernel is the subgroup $\Omega(G) = \{x \in E(G) : x(1) = e\}$ of admissible loops on G; thus we have an exact sequence

$$(2) 0 \longrightarrow \Omega(G) \longrightarrow E(G) \xrightarrow{p} G \longrightarrow 0$$

of topological groups. If $E(G, K) = \{x \in E(G) : x(1) \in K\}$, then E(G, K) is a closed subgroup of E(G), and the composition $\lambda = \pi \circ p : E(G) \to G \to B$ is a representation of B as a homogeneous space of E(G), with E(G, K) as fibre over $b_0 = \pi(K) \in B$.

PROPOSITION. $\lambda: E(G) \to B$ is a principal E(G, K)-bundle.

To prove that it remains (by [9, p. 30]) to show that there is a local section of E(G) defined in a neighborhood of b_0 ; because π is a bundle map it suffices to find a neighborhood V of e in G and a section f of E(G) over V. We use the Riemann structure of G to obtain a neighborhood V of e such that for any point $m \in V$ there is a unique geodesic segment $x_m: I \to V$ such that $x_m(0) = e$ and $x_m(1) = m$; then x_m is clearly an admissible path, and $f(m) = x_m$ is a continuous map of V into E(G) such that $p \circ f(m) = m$ for all $m \in V$.

(C) The following result is an application of a general theory of function space manifolds [4].

THEOREM. Let G be a connected Lie group, and E(G) the space of

its admissible paths. Then E(G) is an infinite dimensional Lie group modeled on a separable Hilbert space. The map $p: E(G) \to G$ is an analytic bundle epimorphism.

We recall the principal ideas of that construction. Given $x \in E = E(G)$, the tangent space to E at x is the separable Hilbert space E(x) of maps $u: I \to \mathcal{F}(G)$ such that

- (1) $q \circ u(t) = x(t)$ for all $t \in I$,
- (2) u(0) = 0 (the zero in L(G)), and
- (3) the map u is absolutely continuous with square integrable tangent vector field, and the norm $|u|_x$ induced from the inner product (3) below is finite. Thus E(x) is considered as the space of admissible variations of the path x. The algebraic operations in E(x) are defined pointwise; i.e., if $u, v \in E(x)$ and $a, b \in R$, then (au + bv)t = au(t) + bv(t), where the right member is computed in the tangent space G(x(t)). A symmetric, bilinear form in E(x) is defined by

(3)
$$(u, v)_x = \int_0^1 (u'(t), v'(t))_{x(t)} dt ;$$

this is an inner product, for if $(u, u)_x = 0$, then $|u'(t)|_{x(t)} = 0$ for almost all $t \in I$, and the condition that u is admissible then implies u(t) = 0 for all $t \in I$. We emphasize that each E(x) is complete (by standard L^2 theory), a property that is used in the theory of differentiation in infinite dimensional linear spaces.

Using the natural correspondence (defined locally) between geodesic segments on G emanating from a point m and tangent vectors in G(m), we can find a neighborhood U_x (called a coordinate patch) of x in E(G) which is mapped homeomorphically (by a map ϕ_x called a coordinate system) onto a neighborhood of 0 in E(x) [4, § 3]. In overlapping coordinate patches U_x , U_y we have a map

$$\phi_{xy}:\phi_x(U_x\cap\ U_y)\longrightarrow\phi_y(U_x\cap\ U_y)$$

defined by $\phi_{xy}(u) = \phi_y \circ \phi_x^{-1}(u)$, and this map is analytic in its domain of definition. (If ϕ is a map of an open subset U of a Hilbert space E into a Hilbert space F, then ϕ is analytic in U if every $x \in U$ has a neighborhood in which ϕ can be expressed by the convergent power series

$$\phi(x + v) = \phi(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{\phi}^{k}(x, v)/k!$$
,

where $P_{\phi}^{k}(x, v)$ denotes the kth iterated directional derivative of ϕ at x in the direction v.) Easy modifications of standard Lie group theory show that the group operation in E(G) is analytic and that $p: E(G) \to G$ is an analytic homomorphism.

COROLLARY. The fibration $\lambda : E(G) \to B$ is an analytic bundle map.

(D) REMARK. The inner product (3) is easily seen to provide an analytic Riemann structure on E(G). We note, however, that it is not left invariant on E(G).

Suppose we let G act on E(G) by $T_{g}(x)t = gx(t)g^{-1}$ for all $t \in I$ and $x \in E(G)$. If G is compact and semi-simple and if the inner product (3) is computed using the bi-invariant Riemann metric on G (see our § 3A), then the Riemann structure on E(G) is G-invariant.

3. The Lie algebra of certain path groups. (A) Suppose that G is connected, compact, and semi-simple. Then its Killing form [7, §§ 6, 11] defines a bi-invariant Riemann structure on G (essentially unique); furthermore, the inner product and the bracket in L(G) are related by

$$([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$$

for all $x, y \in L(G)$. By taking a suitable real multiple of the Killing form we can suppose that the norm induced from the inner product and the bracket in L(G) are related by

$$|[x, y]| \le |x||y|$$

for all $x, y \in L(G)$.

(B) If e also denotes the neutral element of E(G) (so that e(t) = e for all $t \in I$), then the tangent space E(e) consists of those admissible paths on L(G) starting at 0; we introduce the bracket of u and v in E(e) by

$$[u, v]t = [u(t), v(t)] \qquad \text{for all } t \in I.$$

We will call E(e) the Lie algebra of E(G), and henceforth will denote it by L(E(G)); note that L(E(G) = E(L(G))). Of course the exponential map exp: $L(E(G)) \to E(G)$ is defined by $(\exp u)t = \exp(u(t))$ for all $t \in I$.

If $|u|_e^2 = (u, u)_e$ in the notation of §2 (3), then the following result shows that the bracket (3) on L(E(G)) is continuous.

LEMMA. For any $u, v \in L(E(G))$ we have

$$|[u, v]|_e \leq 2 |u|_e |v|_e.$$

Proof. First of all, we note that if $m_u = \max{\{|u(t)| : t \in I\}}$, then $m_u \leq |u|_e$. Namely, for any $t \in I$ we apply the Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$|2u(t)-u(1)|^2=\left|\int_0^1\!\mathop{
m sgn}\,(t-s)u'(s)ds
ight|^2\leq \int_0^1\!\mathop{
m sgn}\,(t-s)^2\!ds\int_0^1\!|u'(s)|^2\!ds\;.$$

Thus

$$m_u \leq \max\{|2u(t) - u(1)| : t \in I\} \leq |u|_e$$
.

By (2) and the Schwarz inequality in L(G) we find that $|[u, v]|_e^2$ is bounded by

$$egin{aligned} \int_0^1 \{ \mid u'(t)\mid^2 \mid v(t)\mid^2 + 2\mid u'(t)\mid \mid v(t)\mid \mid u(t)\mid \mid v'(t)\mid + \mid u(t)\mid^2 \mid v'(t)\mid^2 \} \, dt \ & \leq m_v^2 \int_0^1 \mid u'(t)\mid^2 dt + 2m_u m_v \int_0^1 \mid u'(t)\mid \mid v'(t)\mid dt + m_u^2 \int_0^1 \mid v'(t)\mid^2 dt \ & \leq 4\mid u\mid^2_e\mid v\mid^2_e \; . \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (4) follows.

REMARK. Unlike the finite dimensional Hilbert-Lie algebra L(G), L(E(G)) does not satisfy a relation of the form (1). Thus the bracket in L(E(G)) respects its Banach space structure—i.e., L(E(G)) is a Banach-Lie algebra—rather than its structure as a Hilbert space.

(C) Let $p_*: L(E(G)) \to L(G)$ be defined by $p_*(u) = u(1)$; clearly p_* is a Lie algebra epimorphism, and the inequality

$$|u(t_2) - u(t_1)| \le |t_1 - t_2|^{1/2} |u|_e$$
 for any $t_1, t_2 \in I$

shows that $|p_*(u)| \leq |u|_e$ for all $u \in L(E(G))$.

Our next result establishes an infinitesimal analogue of the analytic bundle over G given by Theorem 2C.

THEOREM. If G is a connected, compact, semi-simple Lie group, then p_* is a continuous Lie epimorphism with kernel $L(\Omega(G)) = \Omega(L(G))$, the closed ideal of admissible loops on L(G); i.e.,

$$(5) 0 \longrightarrow L(\Omega(G)) \longrightarrow L(E(G)) \xrightarrow{p_*} L(G) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of Banach-Lie algebras. Furthermore, as Hilbert spaces (but not as Lie algebras), p_* induces an orthogonal direct decomposition $L(E(G)) \approx L(\Omega(G)) \oplus M$, where M is a vector space isomorphic to L(G).

Proof. The first statement follows from the algebraic properties of p_* and the fact that p_* is bounded, and therefore continuous. To prove the second, we define a map $j\colon L(G)\to L(E(G))$ by letting j(x) be the linear path j(x)t=tx for each $x\in L(G)$; then j is a linear map of L(G) onto a subspace M of L(E(G)), and $p_*\circ j$ is the identity; moreover, i is an isometry, because for any $x,y\in L(G)$,

$$(j(x),j(y))_e=\int_0^1(x,y)dt=(x,y).$$

Note, however, that M is not a subalgebra of L(E(G)).

The subspaces $L(\Omega(G))$ and M are orthogonal complements in L(E(G)), for if $x \in L(G)$ and $v \in L(\Omega(G))$, then

$$(j(x), v)_e = \int_0^1 (x, v'(t))dt = (x, v(1)) - (x, v(0)) = 0.$$

COROLLARY. The group $\Omega(G)$ of admissible loops on G forms a subgroup of E(G) whose codimension (as a submanifold of E(G)) equals the dimension of G.

REMARK. If K is a closed subgroup of G and if we set $\lambda_*=\pi_*\circ p_*:L(E(G))\to L(G)\to L(G)/L(K)$, then we have an exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow L(E(G, K)) \longrightarrow L(E(G)) \xrightarrow{\lambda_*} L(G)/L(K) \longrightarrow 0$$
.

(D) PROBLEM. Consider L(E(G)) as a Hilbert space, and form its topological exterior algebra $C^*(L(E(G)))$, using the natural inner product on its pth exterior power. The inequality (4) implies that we can construct the Lie algebra cochain complex as in [7, § 3] and that the differential operator in $C^*(L(E(G)))$ is continuous. The elements $\omega \in C^P(L(E(G)))$ determine left invariant differential p-forms on E(G)—an important property because a version of de Rham's Theorem is valid for E(G) (see § 5A). What are the relations between the derived cohomology algebras $H^*(L(E(G)))$, $H^*(L(\Omega(G)))$, and $H^*(L(G)) \approx H^*(G; \mathbb{R})$?

As a first step, because $L(\Omega(G))$ is a closed ideal in L(E(G)) we can appeal to our Theorem 3C and Theorem 4 of Cohomology of Lie algebras, G. Hochschild and J-P. Serre, Annals of Math. 57 (1953), 591-603, to obtain the

PROPOSITION. The filtration of $C^*(L(E(G)))$ by the ideal $L(\Omega(G))$ determines a spectral sequence such that

$$E^{\,p,q}_{\,2}=H^{\,p}(L(G);\,H^{\,q}(L(arOlimits(G)))$$
 ,

and whose terminal algebra E_{∞} is the graded algebra associated with $H^*(L(E(G)))$, suitably filtered.

4. The bundles over a manifold. (A) Let B = G/K be the homogeneous space of § 2A. Since E(G) is contractible, the fibre bundle $\lambda: E(G) \to B$ can be interpreted as a universal bundle [9, § 19] for the infinite dimensional Lie group E(G, K). In particular, by the Classification Theorem for principal bundles we have the

PROPOSITION. If X is a paracompact smooth manifold of finite

dimension, then the isomorphism classes of smooth principal E(G, K)-bundles over X are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the smooth homotopy classes of maps of X into B.

In that statement we have made use of the fact that for maps of X into B their classification by homotopy equivalence coincides with classification by smooth homotopy equivalence.

REMARK. There is a certain uniqueness theorem for universal bundles over B, which implies that for any other contractible bundle over B with group Γ , the homotopy groups of Γ are isomorphic to those of E(G, K); see [6, p. 284]. Of course, it follows directly from the homotopy sequence of a bundle and the 5-lemma that the homotopy groups of E(G, K) are isomorphic to those of the loop space of B.

(B) Suppose that B is (n-1)-connected and that the nth homotopy group $\pi_n(B)$ is infinite cyclic (n>1); then the group E(G,K) is (n-2)-connected, and the connecting homomorphism of the homotopy sequence of the universal bundle of B is an isomorphism of $\pi_n(B)$ onto $\pi_{n-1}(E(G,K))$.

Let $\mu: W \to X$ be an E(G, K)-bundle over X. Its characteristic class [9, p. 178] is the primary obstruction to the construction of a section of the bundle. The condition n > 1 insures that its structural group is 0-connected, whence the bundle \mathscr{D} of local coefficients (used in defining characteristic classes in general) is simple [9, p. 153]. To orient the bundle is to choose one of the two isomorphism of \mathscr{D} onto the product bundle $X \times Z$. Thus the characteristic class of an oriented E(G, K)-bundle over X is a cohomology class $w \in H^n(X, Z)$.

It is well known that such a characteristic class can be represented by a transgressive pair of cochains (a^n, c^{n-1}) . (A transgressive pair in a bundle consists of a cochain of some sort c on W whose restriction to a fibre is a cocycle of E(G, K), and such that its coboundary $dc = \mu^*a$ for some cocycle a of X.) Furthermore, the restriction of c^{n-1} to a fibre defines the generator of $H^{n-1}(E(G, K); \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$ which is the negative of that determined by the orientation of the bundle.

Let w_0 be the characteristic class of the universal oriented bundle $\lambda: E(G) \to B$. Suppose that $\mu: W \to X$ is induced by the smooth map $f: X \to B$, and let $g: W \to E(G)$ be a smooth bundle map covering f [9, § 19]. If (a_0, c_0) is a transgressive pair representing w_0 , then $a = f^*a_0$, $c = g^*c_0$ is known to be a transgressive pair representing the characteristic class w of $\mu: W \to X$ [2, § 18].

5. Representations of the characteristic classes. (A) Let Y be any paracompact smooth manifold modeled on a Hilbert space E. A differential r-form η on Y assigns to each point $y \in Y$ an alternating r-linear functional (with real values) on the tangent space Y(y), which is continuous simultaneously in the r variables, using the Hilbert space

topology in Y(y). In terms of the differentiable structure on Y we can define the exterior algebra $\mathscr{C}^*(Y)$ of smooth differential forms on Y and its derived cohomoly algebra $H^*(\mathscr{C}^*(Y))$. It is known (an extension of de Rham's Theorem [4, § 4]) that there is a canonical isomorphism of $H^*(\mathscr{C}^*(Y))$ onto $H^*(Y; \mathbf{R})$, the singular real cohomology algebra of Y.

We remark that this result uses the local Hilbert space structure of Y in two ways:

- (1) the square of the norm in E is an analytic function on E, which implies that there are sufficiently many smooth functions on Y;
- (2) there is a natural Hilbert space structure on the rth exterior power of E; its completeness is used essentially in the differentiability of differential forms.

We will now give examples of such forms which are transgressive pairs on E(G, K)-bundles over X.

(B) We have seen in Theorem 2C that the group E(G) of admissible paths on a connected Lie group G is itself a Lie group modeled on a Hilbert space. Since E(G) is contractible, the general existence theorem quoted in (A) insures that any smooth closed r-form ω on E(G) is the exterior differential of a smooth (r-1)-form ξ (for r>0). The following result uses a standard homotopy construction to give an explicit formula for ξ in case ω is the p^* -image of a form on G.

PROPOSITION. Given any smooth closed r-form ω on G (r > 0), consider the (r-1)-form on E(G) defined as follows: For any $x \in E(G)$ and r-1 vectors u_1, \dots, u_{r-1} in the tangent space at x, set

$$(\ 1\)\quad \xi(x)\cdot u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\vee\,\cdots\,\vee\,u_{r-{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}=\int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\left\{\omega(x(t))\cdot x'(t)\vee\,u_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(t)\vee\,\cdots\,\vee\,u_{r-{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}(t)\right\}dt\;,$$

where x'(t) denotes the tangent vector to x at x(t), and the bracket in the right member (involving the exterior product \vee) is computed in the tangent space G(x(t)). Then ξ is a smooth (r-1)-form on E(G) and $d\xi = p^*\omega$.

Proof. The contraction $h: I \times E(G) \to E(G)$ given by h(t, x)s = x(ts) is simultaneously continuous in the arguments (t, x), and is a smooth function of x for each $t \in I$. Furthermore, for each $x \in E(G)$ the differential $h_*(t, x)$ is a square integrable function of t; in particular, if e_1 denotes the unit vector of I, then $(h_*(t, x) \cdot e_1)s = sx'(ts)$ for almost all $x \in I$.

Because the homomorphism p is analytic, the induced form $\omega^* = p^*\omega$ is a smooth closed r-form on E(G) for which

(2)
$$\xi(x) = (k\omega^*)x = \int_0^1 h^*\omega^*(t, x) \wedge e_1 dt$$

exists (as a Lebesgue integral, where the integrand in the right member involves the interior product with e_1). The explicit formula (3) for $\xi(x)$ below shows that $\xi(x)$ is actually an (r-1)-covector and that ξ is smooth. Standard reasoning about homotopy operators for differential forms leads to the identity $\omega^* = dk\omega^* + kd\omega^*$, and because $d\omega = 0$, we have $d\xi = \omega^*$.

Consider the composite map $q = p \circ h : I \times E(G) \to B$. It is easily checked that $q_*(t,x)e_1 = x'(t)$ for almost all $t \in I$, and for any u in the tangent space at x (interpreted as the vector $0 \oplus u$ in the tangent space of $I \times E(G)$ at (t,x)) we have $q_*(t,x)u = u(t)$. If we take vectors u_1, \dots, u_{r-1} as in the hypotheses,

$$\xi(x) \cdot u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-1} = \int_0^1 h^* \circ p^* \omega(t, x) \cdot e_1 \vee u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-1} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \{\omega(x(t)) \cdot q_*(t, x) e_1 \vee q_*(t, x) u_1 \vee \cdots \vee q_*(t, x) u_{r-1} \} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \{\omega(x(t)) \cdot x'(t) \vee u_1(t) \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-1}(t) \} dt .$$

COROLLARY. Let $\lambda : E(G) \to B$ be the universal E(G, K)-bundle of § 2B. Then for any smooth closed r-form ω_0 on B, the formula (1) with ω replaced by $\pi^*\omega_0$ defines a smooth (r-1)-form ξ_0 on E(G) such that $d\xi_0 = \lambda^*\omega_0$.

If $i: E(G, K) \to E(G)$ is the inclusion homomorphism, then we remark that $\eta_0 = i^* \xi_0$ is the suspension of ω_0 in the sense of [8, p. 453]. Applying [8, Cor. 2, p. 469], we obtain the

COROLLARY. If B is (n-1)-connected and $\pi_n(B)$ is infinite cyclic (n>1) and if ω_0 is a closed n-form representing a generator v of $H^n(B; \mathbf{Z})$, then (ω_0, ξ_0) is a transgressive pair representing v.

REMARK. Suppose that $\mathscr G$ is connected, compact, and semi-simple. Then the bi-invariant Riemann structure on G induces an analytic G-invariant Riemann structure on B. In the preceding corollary a generator v is then represented by a unique harmonic n-form ω_0 ; furthermore, ω_0 is G-invariant, and $\pi^*\omega_0$ can be expressed as an exterior polynomial in (left invariant) Maurer-Cartan forms on G. Thus the generator v is uniquely represented by a transgressive pair (ω_0, ξ_0) where ω_0 is harmonic and where ξ_0 is defined by (1); see § 6A.

(C) We return to the oriented universal bundle $\lambda : E(G) \to B$, where B is (n-1)-connected and $\pi_n(B)$ is infinite cyclic (n>1). (These assumptions can be relaxed at the expense of simplicity of exposition.)

Let X be a smooth manifold of finite dimension, and let $\mu: W \to X$ be a smooth oriented E(G, K)-bundle over X with characteristic class w.

Suppose that bundle is induced by a smooth map f of X into B, and let g be a smooth bundle map covering f:

$$\begin{array}{c}
W \longrightarrow E(G) \\
\downarrow^{\mu} & \downarrow^{\lambda} \\
X \longrightarrow F
\end{array}$$

If (ω_0, ξ_0) is a transgressive pair of forms representing the characteristic class w_0 of $\lambda : E(G) \to B$ as in (B), then $\omega = f^*\omega_0$, $\xi = g^*\xi_0$ is a transgressive pair representing w (§ 4B).

DEFINITION. An admissible partial section of the bundle $\mu: W \to X$ is a smooth section ϕ defined over $X - e(\phi)$, where $e(\phi)$ is a smooth polyhedral subset of X with $\dim e(\phi) \leq \dim X - n$. Admissible partial sections exist because E(G,K) is (n-2)-connected. (For example, we can take a smooth locally finite simplicial subdivision L of X and let L_* be a dual subdivision; then standard obstruction theory provides a smooth section over a neighborhood of the (n-1)-skeleton $L^{(n-1)}$ of L which can be smoothly extended over $X - L_*^{(m-n)}$, where $m = \dim X$.)

The following result is an example of the general representation theorem of [1, § 4]; note that the present pair $(\omega, \phi^* \xi)$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 5B of [1]. We will use freely the concepts and results of that paper. As usual in constructing integral formulas for characteristic classes, our method of proof follows that of the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem as given by Chern [3, § 2]: We first obtain a transgressive pair of forms representing the class; we then appeal to Stokes' Formula to localize and interpret the residue (i.e., the right member of (4) below.

THEOREM. In the above notation, the characteristic class w of the oriented bundle $\mu: W \to X$ is represented by

$$w \cdot c = \int_{c} \omega - \int_{\partial c} \phi^* \xi$$

for any admissible partial section ϕ , where c is any smooth integral n-chain on X whose boundary does not intersect $e(\phi)$.

Proof. First of all, $(\omega, \phi^*\xi)$ is an (R, n)-pair on X because ϕ is admissible, and in $X - e(\phi)$ we have $d(\phi^*\xi) = \phi^*d\xi = (\mu \circ \phi)^*\omega = \omega$. Secondary, to verify (4) it suffices to do so for the n-simplexes of a simplical subdivision L of X (by Corollary 5A of [1]), provided that $e(\phi)$ lies on the (m-n)-skeleton of the dual L_* . Furthermore, in considering its obstruction cocycle we will suppose that ϕ is defined only on $L^{(n-1)}$, and then make below a (piecewise smooth) extension to $L^{(n)} - e$,

where e is a discrete set of points; such an alteration will not change the obstruction class.

Let b_{σ} be the barycenter of the oriented n-simplex σ , and let σ_t be that simplex radially contracted toward b_{σ} by the ratio 1:(1-t), using an admissible coordinate system on X containing σ . Let h be a smooth covering homotopy of that contraction. For any t < 1 and x in $\partial \sigma_t$ let r(x) be the radial projection x on $\partial 6$; setting $\phi(x) = h(t,\phi(r(x)))$ defines an extension of ϕ over $\sigma - b_{\sigma}$.

Applying Stokes' Formula to the chain $\tau_t = \sigma - \sigma_t$ we obtain

$$-\int_{\partial\sigma_t}\phi^*\xi=\int_{\tau_t}\omega-\int_{\partial\sigma}\phi^*\xi.$$

As $t \to 1$ the right member approaches the right member of (4) with $c = \sigma$, because ω is defined on all σ . To complete the proof of the theorem we will show that as $t \to 1$ the left member determines the obstruction cocycle.

Since $-\xi$ defines the generator of $\mu^{-1}(b_{\sigma})$ by § 4B, we see that (writing w for the obstruction cocycle)

$$w \cdot \sigma = - \int_{\mathfrak{d}\sigma} \phi^* \xi$$
 .

On the other hand, the homotopy h satisfies a Lipschitz condition locally on $\mu^{-1}(\sigma)$ (relative to any metric on W), whence there is a number M independent of t such that t < 1 implies

$$\left| \int_{\phi(\partial \sigma)} \xi - \int_{\phi(\partial \sigma_t)} \xi \right| \leq M |1 - t|.$$

Using the transformation of integral formula, we find that

$$\left|w\cdot\sigma+\int_{\partial\sigma_t}\phi^*\xi\right|=\left|\int_{\partial\sigma}\phi^*\xi-\int_{\partial\sigma_t}\phi^*\xi\right|\leq M|1-t|.$$

This shows that as $t \to 1$ the left member of (5) approaches $w \cdot \sigma$, and formula (4) follows.

6. Spherical maps of a manifold. (A) As an example of the proceding constructions let G = SO(n+1), the rotation group in its usual matrix representation in numerical space \mathbf{R}_{n+1} . Let K = SO(n), considered as the subgroup of G which acts trivially on the (n+1)th axis of \mathbf{R}_{n+1} . The unit sphere S_n in \mathbf{R}_{n+1} is then naturally identified with the homogeneous space G/K, and the coset map $\pi: SO(n+1) \to S_n$ represents SO(n+1) as the principal SO(n)-bundle of orthonormal n-frames on S_n [9, § 7]. We will suppose that S_n has its usual Riemann structure and is oriented by the coordinate axes in \mathbf{R}_{n+1} . Henceforth we denote the infinite dimensional Lie group E(SO(n+1), SO(n)) by A_n .

Let ω_{ij} $(1 \le i < j \le n+1)$ be a base of Maurer-Cartan forms for the conjugate space of L(SO(n+1)); if we let k(n) denote the reciprocal of the volume of S_n , then the exterior polynomial (the *Kronecker Index form*) on SO(n+1) given by

$$(1) \qquad \omega_0^* = k(n)\omega_{1,n+1} \vee \cdots \vee \omega_{n,n+1}$$

is known to be S_n -basic (i.e., there is a unique SO(n+1)-invariant n-form ω_0 on S_n such that $\pi^*\omega_0 = \omega_0^*$), and thereby represents the harmonic generator of $H^n(S_n; \mathbf{Z})$.

Suppose n is even; then a crucial step in the derivation of the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem [3] for S_n establishes that ω_0 is part of a transgressive pair in the principal frame bundle of S_n . If n is odd, then ω_0 does not generally have that property. However, for all n>1 Proposition 5B gives an explicit transgressive pair in the oriented universal bundle of S_n , determined entirely by the Kronecker Index form.

(B) If X is a compact, oriented, smooth Riemann manifold of dimension n+m, then the isomorphism classes of smooth principal Λ_n -bundles over X play an important role in its geometry, primarily because of the following construction: Let V be a closed, oriented, m-dimensional regularly imbedded submanifold of X; suppose that V admits a smooth normal n-frame in X, and let ϕ be such a frame field; we will call the pair (V, ϕ) a normally framed submanifold of X. These have been studied by Kervaire [5, § 1] and Thom [10, Ch. II, 4]. It is known that certain equivalence classes of normally framed m-submanifolds of X are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the homotopy classes of maps of X into S_n [5, § 1]. Combining with the Classification Theorem for Λ_n -bundles, we have the

PROPOSITION. If X is a compact, oriented, smooth Riemann (n+m)-manifold, then there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of normally framed m-submanifolds of X and isomorphism classes of smooth Λ_n -bundles over X.

Let (V, ϕ) be a normally framed m-submanifold, and let $i: V \to X$ be the inclusion map; then since V is closed and oriented (the orientation on X and the frame field ϕ determine an orientation of V) we have a distinguished generator $v_0 \in H_m(V, \mathbf{Z})$, which determines a definite homology class $i_*(v_0) = v \in H_m(X, \mathbf{Z})$; Furthermore, v depends only on the equivalence class of (V, ϕ) . On the other hand, applying a theorem of Thom [10, Théorème II.2], we obtain the

PROPOSITION. In the correspondence of the above proposition, the homology class of a normally framed submanifold is the Poincaré dual of the characteristic class of the oriented Λ_n -bundle associated with it.

(C) Let X be a smooth manifold of finite dimension. In the study of differential forms with singularities [1] it is important (e.g., in working with exterior products of such forms) to know when a closed (\mathbf{Z}, r) -pair is cohomologous to a pair defined in terms of a transgressive pair (as in Theorem 5C). For example, it is well known that the isomorphism classes of SO(2)-bundles over X are (by their characteristic classes) in natural one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $H^2(X; \mathbf{Z})$. An easy construction shows that every 2-dimensional integral cohomology class of X can be represented by a transgressive pair in a canonically defined SO(2)-bundle over X.

A cohomology class $u \in H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be *spherical* if there is a map $f: X \to S_n$ such that $u = f^*(s)$ for some $s \in H^n(S_n; \mathbb{Z})$. The representation theorem [1, § 4] of cohomology classes by forms with singularities together with our *Theorem* 5C gives a transgressive integral representation formula for every spherical class of X in a A_n -bundle. That bundle is uniquely defined by the homotopy class of $f: X \to S_n$, but is not generally determined by u.

EXAMPLE. Suppose that X has dimension n. The Hopf Classification Theorem then implies that the isomorphism classes of smooth A_n -bundles over X are in natural one-to-one correspondence with the elements of $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$, the correspondence assigning to each isomorphism class its characteristic class. Theorem 5C gives a transgressive integral representation formula for each element v of $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ in a bundle canonically associated with v. Of course that fact is significant only for compact manifolds, because $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ if X is open. On the other hand, it is particularly useful for non-orientable compact manifolds, because then $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ has torsion, in which case the singularity of a (\mathbb{Z}, n) -pair representing v plays an essential role.

If X is orientable and if its Euler characteristic $\chi(X) \neq 0$, then the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem provides a transgressive integral formula for the elements of $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ in a finite dimensional bundle over X. In general (and for lower dimensional spherical classes) it appears necessary to use infinite dimensional smooth bundles to obtain such a formula.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. C. B. Allendoerfer and J. Eells, On the cohomology of a manifold, Comm. Math. Helv. **32** (1958), 165-179.
- 2. A. Borel, Selected topics in the homology theory of fibre bundles. Mimeographed Notes. Univ. of Chicago (1954).
- 3. S. S. Chern, On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold, Annals of Math. **46** (1945), 674-684.
- 4. J. Eells, On the geometry of function spaces, Sym. Inter. de Top. Alg. Mexico (1958), 303-308.

- 5. M. Kervaire, Courbure intégrale généralisée et homotopie, Math. Ann. 131 (1956), 219-252.
- 6. J. Milnor, Construction of universal bundles I, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956), 272-284.
- 7. Séminaire S. Lie; E.N.S. 1954-5.
- 8. J-P. Serre, Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 425-505.
- 9. N. E. Steenrod, The Topology of Fibre Bundles, Princeton, 1951.
- 10. R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, Comm. Math. Helv 28 (1954), 17–86.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY