# ON GROUPS OF EXPONENT FOUR WITH GENERATORS OF ORDER TWO 

C. R. B. Wright

1. If $x, y, \cdots$ are elements of a group $G$, we define the commutator $(x, y)$ of $x$ and $y$ by $(x, y)=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$. More generally, we define $e x-$ tended commutators inductively by $(x, \cdots, y, z)=((x, \cdots, y), z)$. In this paper we shall also be concerned with higher commutators of type $\left(\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{s}\right),\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{t}\right), \cdots,\left(c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}\right)\right)$ which we denote by $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{s}\right.$; $\left.b_{1}, \cdots, b_{t} ; \cdots ; c_{1}, \cdots, c_{r}\right)$. If we let $G_{i}$ be the subgroup of $G$ which is generated by all extended commutators of length $i$, (i.e., with $i$ entries), then $G_{i}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$, and the series $G=G_{1} \supset G_{2} \supset \cdots$ is called the lower central series of $G .{ }^{1}$

Let $G(n)(n=1,2, \cdots)$ be the freest group of exponent 4 on $n$ generators of order 2. That is, $G(n)$ is a group in which the fourth power of every element is the identity, $1, G(n)$ is generated by $n$ elements of order 2 , and if $H$ is any other group with these properties, then $H$ is a homomorphic image of $G(n)$.

We prove $G(n)_{n+2}=1$. For this purpose it may be assumed, since $G(n)$ is finite ${ }^{2}$ and hence nilpotent, that $G(n)_{n+3}=1$. Moreover, it will be enough to show $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}\right)=1$ for all choices of $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+2}$ from among the generators of $G(n)$.
2. Lemma 2.1. If $x, y, \cdots, z$ are elements of order 2 in a group of exponent 4 , then $(x, y)^{2}=1,(x, y, \cdots, z)^{2}=1$, and $(x, y, x)=1$.

Proof. Since $(x, y)=x y x y=(x y)^{2},(x, y)^{2}=1$. By induction, $(x, y, \cdots$, $z)^{2}=1$, while $(y, x)=y x y x=x(x, y) x=(x, y)(x, y, x)$, so that $(x, y, x)=$ $(y, x)^{2}=1$.

The relation $(x, y, \cdots, z)^{2}=1$ will be the justification for future substitutions and will be used without specific mention.

Theorem 2.1. $G(2)_{3}=1$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.1, if the generators of $G(2)$ are $a$ and $b$, then $(a, b, a)=(b, a, a)=(a, b, b)=(b, a, b)=1$.
3. Lemma 3.1. If $a, b$ and $c$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then
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$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b, c) \equiv(b, c, a)(c, a, b) \bmod G_{5} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$$
(a, b ; c, a)=(a, c ; b, a) \equiv(a, c, b, a) \bmod G_{5}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b, c, a) \equiv(b, c, a, b)(c, a, b, c) \bmod G_{5} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We may assume that $a, b$ and $c$ generate $G$. Now

$$
a b c a b c=a b a(a, c) b(b, c)=(a, b)(a, c)(a, c, b)(b, c) .
$$

Thus, modulo $G_{5},(a b c)^{2}=(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)(a, c, b)$. Hence
$1 \equiv[(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)]^{2} \bmod G_{5}$, so that, modulo $G_{5}$, $1=(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)=(a, b)(a, c)(a, b)(a, b ; b, c)(a, c)(a, c ; b, c)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \equiv(a, b ; a, c)(a, b ; b, c)(a, c ; b, c) \bmod G_{5} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

But also

$$
\begin{aligned}
a b c & =c a(a, c) b(b, c) \\
& =b c(c, b) a(a, b)(a, c)(a, c, b)(b, c) \\
& =a b(b, a) c(c, a)(c, b)(c, b, a)(a, b)(a, c)(a, c, b)(b, c),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $1=(b, a)(b, a, c)(c, a)(c, b)(c, b, a)(a, b)(a, c)(a, c, b)(b, c)$, and hence, modulo $G_{5}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =(b, a)(c, a)(c, b)(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)(b, a, c)(c, b, a)(a, c, b) \\
& =[(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)]^{2}(a, b, c)(b, c, a)(c, a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (1) is proved. Replacing $b$ by $(a, b)$ in (1) gives $(a, b, c, a)(c, a ; a, b) \equiv$ $1 \bmod G_{5}$ or (2). And (2) and (4) together give (3).

Lemma 3.2. If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ and a are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4 , then $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, a\right) \equiv X \bmod G_{k+2}$, where $X$ is a product of commutators of form $\left(a, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}\right)$ with $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}$ from among $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$.

Corollary. If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}$ and $a$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, a, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right) \equiv X \bmod G_{k+s+2}
$$

where $X$ is a product of commutators of form $\left(a, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{s}\right)$ with $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}$ from among $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Certainly the lemma and corollary are true if $k=1$. Assume for induction that both are true for $k=n-1 \geq 1$.

Now by (1), modulo $G_{n+2},\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}, a\right)=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, a, x_{n}\right)\left(x_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{n-1} ; a, x_{n}\right)$. But by the inductive assumption $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, a, x_{n}\right)$ is a product of terms $\left(a, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$, and ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1} ; a, x_{n}$ ) is a product of terms $\left(a, x_{n}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n-1}\right)$. The lemma and its immediate corollary follow by induction.

Theorem 3.1. $G(3)_{5}=1$.
Proof. Let $a, b$ and $c$ be the generators of $G(3)$. Consider any commutator $C=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ in arguments $a, b$ and $c$. We show $C=1$. There is no loss of generality in taking $x_{5}=a$. If $a$ does not appear again in $C$, then by Theorem $2.1, C=\left(1, x_{5}\right)=1$. If a appears again, then by Lemma 3.2 and the assumption that $G(3)_{6}=1$, we may suppose $C=\left(a, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, a\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, if $a$ appears a third time, then $C=1$. Thus we may take $C=(a, b, c, b, a)$. Now $(a, b, c, b, a)=$ $(b, c, a, b, a)(c, a, b, b, a)=(b, c, a, b, a)$ by (1). Replacing $c$ by ( $b, c$ ) in (3) gives $(a, b ; b, c, ; a)=(b ; b, c ; a ; b)=1$, while replacing $c$ by $(b, c)$ in (2) gives $(a, b ; b, c ; a)=(b, c, a, b, a)$. Hence, $C=(a, b, c, b, a)=(b, c, a, b, a)=$ $(a, b ;, b, c ; a)=1$, and the theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. If $a, b$ and $c$ are elements of order 2 in a group of exponent 4, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(a, b, c) & =(b, c, a)(c, a, b) \\
(a, b ; c, a) & =(a, b, c, a) \\
(a, b, c, a) & =(b, c, a, b)(c, a, b, c)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. These follow from Lemma 3.1.
Corollary 2. If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{s}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{t}(s \geq 2)$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{s} ; z_{1} ; \cdots ; z_{t}\right) \equiv A B \bmod G_{k+s+t+1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{s-1} ; y_{s} ; z_{1} ; \cdots ; z_{t}\right) \\
& B=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, y_{s} ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{s-1} ; z_{1} ; \cdots ; z_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from (1').
The following corollary lists some relations for future use.
Corollary 3. If $a, b, c, d$ and $f$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\begin{align*}
(a, b, c, d, c) & \equiv(a, b, d, c, d) \bmod G_{6}  \tag{5}\\
(b, c, a ; d, f, a) & \equiv 1 \bmod G_{7}  \tag{6}\\
(a, f ; b, d, c) & \equiv(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f ; b, d ; c)  \tag{7}\\
(b, f, d ; a, c)(d, f, b ; a, c) & \equiv(b, d, f ; a, c) \bmod G_{8} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (3'), with $a$ replaced by $(a, b)$ and $b$ replaced by $d$, $(a, b, d, c ; a, b)=(d, c ; a, b ; d)(c ; a, b ; d ; c)=(a, b ; d, c ; d)(a, b, c, d, c)$, so that, since $(a, b ; d, c ; d)=(a, b, d, c, d),(5)$ is true. By $\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $3^{\prime}$ ) with $b$ replaced by $(b, c)$ and $c$ replaced by $(d, f),(b, c, a ; d, f, a)=(a ; b, c ; d, f ; a)=$ $(b, c ; d, f ; a ; b, c)(d, f ; b, c ; a ; d, f)$, so that (6) is true. Finally, (7) and (8) are obvious from ( $1^{\prime}$ ).
4. Lemma 4.1. If $a, b, c$ and $d$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4 , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b ; c, d) \equiv(a, c ; b, d)(a, d ; b, c) \bmod G_{5} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, working modulo $G_{5}$ and collecting as we did in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we obtain $(a b c d)^{2}=T_{2} T_{3} T_{4}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2}=(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)(a, d)(b, d)(c, d) \\
& T_{3}=(a, c, b)(a, d, c)(a, d, b)(b, d, c) \\
& T_{4}=(a, d, b, c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that modulo $G_{5}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ and $T_{4}$ commute, and $T_{3}^{2}=T_{4}^{2}=1$. Hence, modulo $G_{5}, 1=(a b c d)^{4}=T_{2}^{2}$. Collecting the $(a, d)$ 's in $T_{2}^{2}$ we obtain $1 \equiv X A B C Y \bmod G_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=[(a, b)(a, c)(b, c)]^{2} \\
& A=(b, c ; b, d)(b, c ; c, d)(b, d ; c, d) \\
& B=(a, c ; a, d)(a, c ; c, d)(a, d ; c, d) \\
& C=(a, b ; a, d)(a, b ; b, d)(a, d ; b, d) \\
& Y=(a, b ; c, d)(a, c ; b, d)(a, d ; b, c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now modulo $G_{5}, X=1$, while $A=B=C=1$ by (2') and (3'). Hence, $1 \equiv(a, b ; c, d)(a, c ; b, d)(a, d ; b, c) \bmod G_{5}$, which is (9).

Corollary 1. If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ and a are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then for $i=2, \cdots, k$,

$$
\left(x_{1}, a, x_{2}, a, \cdots, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{k}\right) \equiv\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, a, x_{i}, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right) \bmod G_{k+3}
$$

Hence, if two of $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$, a are equal, $\left(x_{1}, a, x_{2}, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod G_{k+3}$.

Proof. Let $a, b, c$ and $d$ be elements of order 2 in $G$. Then modulo $G_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b, a, c, a, d) & =(b, a ;, c, a ; d) \\
& =(b, a, d ; c, a)(c, a, d ; b, a) \\
& =(b, a, c ; d, a)(c, a, b ; d, a) \\
& =(b, c, a ; d, a) \\
& =(b, c, a, d, a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first statement follows. Now the second statement is clearly true if $a$ appears a third time, since then $\left(x_{1}, a, x_{2}, a, \cdots, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, a, a, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=1$. If some $x_{i}$ appears twice, then modulo $G_{k+3}\left(x_{1}, a, x_{2}, a, \cdots, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, a, x_{i}, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.x_{i}, a, x_{i}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{i} x_{2}, x_{i}, \cdots, a, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$ (the second step following from (5)), and we are back to the case of three appearances of $a$. Thus the corollary is proved.

Corollary 2. If $a, b, c, d$ and $f$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \equiv(a, f, b ; c, d)(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f, d ; b, c) \bmod G_{6}  \tag{10}\\
& (a, c ; d, f ; b)(a, d ; c, f ; b) \equiv(c, d ; a, f ; b) \bmod G_{6} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. These follow from (9).
Theorem 4.1. $\quad G(4)_{6}=1$.
Proof. Let the generators of $G(4)$ be $a, b, c$ and $d$ and consider any commutator $C=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ in $a, b, c$ and $d$. It will be sufficient to prove $C=1$ under the assumption that $G(4)_{7}=1$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we may suppose that $C=\left(a, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, a\right)$. Moreover, if $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ or $x_{5}$ is $a$, then by Theorem 2.1 or Corollary 1 of Lemma 4.1, $C=1$. It will thus be sufficient to prove $(a, b, c, b, d, a)=1,(a, b, c, d, b ; a)=$ 1 , and $(a, c, b, d, b, a)=1$. Now by Corollary 1 of Lemma 4.1, $(a, b, c, b, d, a)=(a, c, b, d, b, a)=1$, while by $\left(1^{\prime}\right), \quad(a, b, c ; b, d, a)=$ $(a, c, b ; b, d ; a)(b, c, a ; b, d ; a)$, so that by (6) $(a, b, c ; b, d ; a)=1$. Thus $(a, b, c, d, b, a)=(a, b, c, b, d, a)(a, b, c ; b, d ; a)=1$, and the theorem is proved.
5. The main result, that $G(n)_{n+2}=1$, has now been proved for $n=2,3$ and 4 . In this section we derive an identity analogous to (1) and (9) for five generators. This identity enables us to prove, in §6, that $G(n)_{n+2}=1$ for $n \geq 5$.

Lemma 5.1. If $a, b, c, d$ and $f$ are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a, b ; c, d ; f) \equiv(c, b ; f, d ; a)(f, b ; a, d ; c) \bmod G_{6} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. If $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right),\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{j}\right),\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right), a$ and $b(k, j, m \geq$ 1) are elements of order 2 in a group $G$ of exponent 4, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, a ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{j}, b ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m}\right) \equiv C_{1} C_{2} \bmod G_{k+j+m+3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{j} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m} ; x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}, b ; a\right) \\
& C_{2}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} ; z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m} ; y_{1}, \cdots, y_{j}, a ; b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. First, working modulo $G_{5}$, we collect $f$ 's in the expression $(a b c d f)^{2}$ to get $(a b c d f)^{2}=(a b c d) a(a, f) b(b, f) c(c, f) d(d, f)$. Then collecting $b, c$ and $d$ in that order we obtain $(a b c d f)^{2}=(a b c d)^{2} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2}=(a, f)(b, f)(c, f)(d, f) \\
& S_{3}=(a, f, d)(a, f, c)(a, f, b)(b, f, d)(b, f, c)(c, f, d) \\
& S_{4}=(a, f, c, d)(a, f, b, d)(a, f, b, c)(b, f, c, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

But as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, $(a b c d)^{2} \equiv T_{2} T_{3} T_{4} \bmod G_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{2}=(a, b)(a, c)(a, d)(b, d)(c, d) \\
& T_{3}=(a, c, b)(a, d, c)(a, d, b)(b, d, c) \\
& T_{4}=(a, d, b, c)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, modulo $G_{5},(a b c d f)^{2}=T_{2} T_{3} T_{4} S_{2} S_{3} S_{4}$. But then, modulo $G_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=(a b c d f)^{4} & =T_{2} T_{3} T_{4} S_{2} S_{3} T_{2} T_{3} T_{4} S_{2} S_{3} \\
& =T_{2} T_{3} T_{4} T_{2} S_{2}\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right) T_{3} T_{4} S_{2} S_{3} \\
& =\left(T_{2} T_{3} T_{4}\right)^{2} S_{2}\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right) S_{2} S_{3} \\
& =S_{2}\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right) S_{2} S_{3} \\
& =S_{2}^{2}\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(S_{3}, S_{2}\right)\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right) S_{3} \\
& =S_{2}^{2}\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right) S_{3}^{2}\left(S_{3}, S_{2}\right)\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But modulo $G_{6}, S_{3}^{2}=1$, while $S_{2}^{2}$ is a product of commutators of weight 4. Thus the last relation may be rewritten as $1 \equiv A \bmod G_{6}$ where $A$ is a product of commutators in $a, b, c, d$ and $f$ of weight 4 or 5 ; hence the factors of $A$ commute modulo $G_{6}$. Let $A_{a}^{\prime}$ be the product of all factors of $A$ which do not contain $a$ as argument, and let $A_{a}$ be the product of the remaining factors of $A$. Then $1 \equiv A_{a}^{\prime} A_{a} \bmod G_{6}$, so that, setting $a=1,1 \equiv A_{a}^{\prime} \bmod G_{6}$, and hence $1 \equiv A_{a} \bmod G_{6}$. Continuing this argument we finally arrive at $1 \equiv A_{\text {abcaf }} \bmod G_{6}$, where $A_{a b c a f}$ is the product of all factors of $A$ which contain each of $a, b, c, d$ and $f$. But what are
these factors? Clearly $S_{2}^{2}$ and $\left(S_{2}, T_{2}\right)$ do not contain any such factors; and since each factor of $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ contains $f,\left(S_{3}, S_{2}\right)$ cannot contain any such factors. We are left with $\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ and $\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right)$. The product of the desired factors of $\left(S_{2}, T_{3}\right)$ is clearly

$$
(a, f ; b, d, c)(b, f ; a, d, c)(c, f ; a, d, b)(d, f ; a, c, b),
$$

while the product of the desired factors of $\left(S_{3}, T_{2}\right)$ is $(a, f, d ; b, c)(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f, b ; c, d)(b, f, d ; a, c)(b, f, c ; a, d)(c, f, d ; a, b)$.

Hence, modulo $G_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=(a, f ; b, d, c) & (b, f ; a, d, c)(c, f ; a, d, b)(d, f ; a, c, b) \\
& \cdot(a, f, d ; b, c)(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f, b ; c, d) \\
& \cdot(b, f, d ; a, c)(b, f, c ; a, d)(c, f, d ; a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

so that by (10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=(a, f ; b, d, c)(b, f ; a, d, c)(c, f ; a, d, b)(d, f ; a, c, b) \\
& \cdot(b, f, d ; a, c)(b, f, c ; a, d)(c, f, d ; a, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (7) on the first four factors gives, modulo $G_{6}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f ; b, d ; c)(b, f, c ; a, d)(b, f ; a, d ; c) \\
& \cdot(c, f, b ; a, d)(c, f ; a, d ; b)(d, f, b ; a, c)(d, f ; a, c ; b) \\
& \cdot(b, f, d ; a, c)(b, f, c ; a, d)(c, f, d ; a, b) \\
&=(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f ; b, d ; c)(b, f ; a, d ; c)(c, f, b ; a, d)(c, f ; a, d ; b) \\
& \cdot(d, f, b ; a, c)(d, f ; a, c ; b)(b, f, d ; a, c)(c, f, d ; a, b) \\
&=(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, f ; b, d ; c)(b, f ; a, d ; c)(c, f, b ; a, d) \\
& \cdot(c, f ; a, d ; b)(d, f ; a, c ; b)(b, d, f ; a, c)(c, f, d ; a, b),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step follows from (8). Now applying (11) twice gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
1=(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, b ; d, f ; c)(c, f, b ; a, d)(a, f ; c, d ; b) \\
\cdot(b, d, f ; a, c)(c, f, d ; a, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

so that by (10)

$$
1=(a, f, c ; b, d)(a, b ; d, f ; c)(a, f ; c, d ; b)(b, d, f ; a, c)(c, f, a ; b, d)
$$

and hence by (8)

$$
1=(a, b ; d, f ; c)(a, f ; c, d ; b)(b, d, f ; a, c)(a, c, f ; b, d) .
$$

Thus, by (7)

$$
1 \equiv(a, b ; d, f ; c)(a, f ; c, d ; b)(a, c ; b, d ; f) \bmod G_{6}
$$

so that interchanging $a$ with $b$ and $c$ with $f$ we get

$$
1 \equiv(a, b ; c, d ; f)(c, b ; f, d ; a)(f, b ; a, d ; c) \bmod G_{6}
$$

which is (12). Thus the lemma is proved.
The corollary follows immediately.
6. Having proved the crucial relation (12), we are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Let $G(n),(n=1,2, \cdots)$ be the freest group of exponent 4 generated by $n$ elements of order 2 . Then $G(n)_{n+2}=1$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $n$. We have the result for $n=1,2,3$ and 4. Assuming the result true for $n$ we now prove it for $n+1$. As before, we may assume $G(n+1)_{n+4}=1$. Consider a commutator $C=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n+3}\right)$ in the generators $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}, a$ and $b$ of $G(n+1)$. As before, we may restrict attention to the case $C=\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.y_{n+2}, a\right)$. There are two possibilities to consider-Case 1: $a$ appears again; Case 2: $b$ appears twice. In either case we may assume that every $x_{i}$ appears once, since otherwise, by the inductive assumption, $C=1$.

Case 1. The proof in this case is by induction on the position of the middle $a$. Clearly ( $a, y_{2}, a, \cdots, a$ ) $=1$. Assume that for some $i \geq 3$, $\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-1}, a, \cdots, a\right)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i}, a, y_{i+1}, \cdots, y_{n+2}, a\right) \\
& \quad=\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-1} ; y_{i}, a ; y_{i+1} ; \cdots ; y_{n+2} ; a\right) \\
& \quad=\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-1} ; y_{i}, a ; a, y_{n+2}, \cdots, y_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last step follows from $G(n)_{n+2}=1$. But by (13),

$$
\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-1} ; y_{i}, a ; a, y_{n+2}, \cdots, y_{i+1}\right)=C_{1} C_{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-2}, y_{i} ; a, y_{n+2}, \cdots, y_{i+1}, a ; y_{i-1}\right) \\
& C_{2}=\left(a, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{i-2} ; a, y_{n+2}, \cdots, y_{i+1} ; y_{i-1}, a ; y_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $y_{i}$ and $y_{i-1}$ appear only once, by the assumption that $G(n)_{n+2}=1$ we have $C_{1}=C_{2}=1$. Hence, by induction, $C=1$ if $a$ appears three times.

Case 2. In this case also the proof is by induction, this time on the distance between the $b$ 's. Let

$$
C=\left(a, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{i}, b, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{j}, b, z_{j+1}, \cdots, z_{n-1}, a\right),
$$

where $0 \leq i<j \leq n-1$ (that is, there might be no entries between
the $a$ 's and the $b$ 's). If $j-i=1$, then clearly $C=1$. Assume that $C=1$ for $j-i=k \geq 1$. Then as in Case 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{i}, b, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{i+k+1}, b, z_{i+k+2}, \cdots, z_{n-1}, a\right) \\
& \quad=\left(a, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{i}, b, z_{i+1}, \cdots, z_{i+k} ; z_{i+k+1}, b ; a, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_{i+k+2}\right) \\
& \quad=C_{1} C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\left(a, \cdots, b, \cdots, z_{i+k-1}, z_{i+k+1} ; a, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_{i+k+2}, b ; z_{i+k}\right)=1 \\
& C_{2}=\left(a, \cdots, b, \cdots, z_{i+k-1} ; a, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_{i+k+2} ; z_{i+k}, b ; z_{i+k+1}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $C=1$ for $j-i=k+1$, so that by induction $C=1$ if $b$ appears twice.

Since $C=1$ in both cases, we conclude that $G(n+1)_{n+3}=1$, so that by induction $G(n)_{n+2}=1$ for $n=1,2, \cdots$.
7. The author conjectures that the class of $G(n)$ is precisely $n+1$ for $n>2$. As supporting evidence, he has constructed $G(n) / G(n)^{\prime \prime}$ and shown that its class is exactly $n$. Moreover, for $n=3$ and $n=4$, $G(n)^{\prime \prime}$ is fairly large, and $G(n)_{n+1} \neq 1$.
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