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1. Introduction. For integral $n \geqq 2$ let $C$ be a bounded open convex subset of Euclidean $n$-space $E_{n}$, and let $C^{\prime}$ be the boundary (surface) of $C$. Let $B_{n}$ be the closed unit ball in $E_{n}$, that is, the set of points $x$ in $E_{n}$ with $\|x\| \leqq 1$, and let $S_{(n-1)}$ be the boundary of $B_{n}$, that is, the set of points $x$ in $E_{n}$ with $\|x\|=1$. Let $D$ be the set of directions of straight line segments lying in $C^{\prime}$, specifically, the set of points $(a-b) /\|a-b\|$, where $a$ and $b$ are distinct points of a line segment lying in $C^{\prime}$. Thus $D$ is contained in $S_{(n-1)}$.
V. L. Klee [2] has stated that $D$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set and has raised two questions: Is $D$ of first category in $S_{(n-1)}$ ? Is $D$ of ( $n-1$ )-dimensional measure zero? Both of these questions are herein answered affirmatively for the case $n=3$. The method employed unfortunately does not generalize to $n>3$. (For $n=2$ the case is trivial, for then $D$ is countable. The case is also trivial if $C$ is of dimension less than $n$, for then the $(n-2)$-dimensional measure of $D$ cannot be greater than the ( $n-2$ )-dimensional measure of $S_{(n-2)}$ which is finite. The restriction to bounded sets is only a matter of convenience, for any answers to the questions posed are easily made to serve the unbounded case.)

In one sense though, for the case $n=3$, we show somewhat more, namely, that $D$ is contained in the union of the ranges of a countable family of Lipschitz functions each on $B_{1}$ to $S_{2}$. By virtue of the Lipschitz nature of these functions, they possess total differentials (Lebesgue measure) almost everywhere [4; straight forward generalizations of Definition 1, V. 2.2, and Lemmas 1 and 2, V. 2.3, to cover the case of a Lipschitz function on a domain contained in $E_{1}$ to $E_{3}$ ] and their ranges are compact and have finite one dimensional measure [1]. The affirmative answers to Klee's questions for this case immediately follow from these last two properties.
2. Preliminaries. We assume henceforth that $n=3$.

Let a flat side of $C^{\prime}$ be a two dimensional intersection of $C^{\prime}$ with a plane supporting $C^{\prime}$. It is easy to check that the set of flat sides is countable. (Check, for instance, that relative to $C^{\prime}$, the interior of each flat side is non-vacuous and, that no two such interiors intersect.) Thus the set of directions of line segments lying in flat sides is the union of a countable family of great circles lying on $S_{2}$ and can certainly be represented as the union of the ranges of an appropriately
chosen countable family of Lipschitz functions on $B_{1}$ to $S_{2}$.
We go on to show that the set of directions of line segments not lying in flat sides can be similarly represented.

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the set of closed line segments each of which is the middle third line segment contained in a maximal line segment of $C^{\prime}$ not lying in a flat side. Clearly $\mathscr{L}$ is disjointed, for if any two members intersected they would be forced by the convexity of $C$ to lie in a flat side determined by the plane containing the two line segments.

Now choose a point $a$ in $C$ and let $2 \delta$ be the distance from $a$ to $C^{\prime}$. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the family of open right circular cylinders of radius $\delta$ extending infinitely in two directions whose axis is a line radiating out from $a$ infinitely in two directions. Thus each member of $\mathscr{K}$ intersects $C^{\prime}$ in a set open relative to $C^{\prime}$ and having two components. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the set of all these components corresponding to all cylinders of $\mathscr{K}$.

Since $\mathscr{M}$ forms an open covering of the compact space $C^{\prime}$ we can reduce it to a finite subcovering $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$.

Now let $\mathscr{P}$ be the family of planes each of which intersects $C$ and perpendicularly intersects a coordinate axis in a point with rational coordinates. Let $\mathscr{Q}$ be the family of pairs of distinct parallel members of $\mathscr{P}$.

Clearly every member of $\mathscr{L}$ intersects at least one member of $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ and every such intersection intersects both planes of at least one pair in $\mathscr{Q}$.

Since $\mathscr{M}^{\prime}$ is finite and $\mathscr{Q}$ is countable, we will have achieved our aim when we have shown that corresponding to each member $m$ of $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ and each pair $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ of planes in $Q$ both intersecting $m$ there exist two Lipschitz functions each on $B_{1}$ to $S_{2}$ whose ranges together contain the set of directions of the members of $\mathscr{L}$ each of which intersects both $m \cap P_{1}$ and $m \cap P_{2}$. With $m, P_{1}$, and $P_{2}$ fixed and letting $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ be the set of members of $\mathscr{L}$ each intersecting both $m \cap P_{1}$ and $m \cap P_{2}$, we proceed to secure the required functions.
3. The Lipschitz direction functions. Let $f$ be the set of all pairs $(x, y)$ such that $x \in \lambda \cap P_{1}$ and $y \in \lambda \cap P_{2}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. Let $A$ be the domain of $f$. Since $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$ is disjointed and since $\lambda \cap P_{1}$ and $\lambda \cap P_{2}$ are singletons we infer that $f$ is a function. The key to the construction of the required functions lies in the

Lemma. $f$ is Lipschitz.
Momentarily leaving aside its proof, we first show how it is used to obtain these functions.

Drawing upon the lemma, we apply a method due to McShane [3; or 4, V. 2.4, Lemma 1] to get a Lipschitz extension $f^{*}$ of $f$ on the
closure of $P_{1} \cap m$, that is, a Lipschitz function $f^{*}$ on the closure of $P_{1} \cap m$ to $P_{2}$ that agrees with $f$ on $A$.

We next let $h$ be a function that assigns to each member $x$ of the closure of $P_{1} \cap m$ one of the directions of the line connecting $x$ to $f^{*}(x)$, specifically for $x$ in the closure of $P_{1} \cap m$ we let

$$
h(x)=\frac{f^{*}(x)-x}{\left\|f^{*}(x)-x\right\|}
$$

Upon checking that the difference of two Lipschitz functions is Lipschitz and that the ratio of a Lipschitz function whose values are bounded away from the origin (in our case bounded by the distance between $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ) with its norm is Lipschitz, we infer that $h$ is Lipschitz. It is easy to construct a Lipschitz homeomorphism $g$ on $B_{1}$ onto the closure of $P_{1} \cap m$. So finally upon defining functions $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ on $B_{1}$ to $S_{2}$ to be such that for $x$ in $B_{1}$

$$
k(x)=h(g(x)), \quad k^{\prime}(x)=-k(x),
$$

and noting that the composition of Lipschitz functions is Lipschitz, we conclude that $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are Lipschitz and furthermore that their ranges together contain the set of directions of members of $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. These are the functions we seek.

We now turn our attention to the lemma and close our discussion with its proof.
4. Proof of the Lemma. We show that $f$ is Lipschitz by showing that it can be represented as the composition of Lipschitz functions. To do this let us project $m$ perpendicularly onto a plane perpendicular to the axis of the cylinder in $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$ associated with $m$. Let $m^{\prime}$ be the projected set and let $p$ be the projecting function. Thus $p$ is on $m$ onto $m^{\prime}$. From the convexity of $C$ and the nature of the cylinder determining $m$ we readily check that $p$ is a Lipschitz homeomorphism on $m$ onto $m^{\prime}$ whose inverse is also Lipschitz. For $x^{\prime}$ in $p(A)$ let $f^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p\left(f\left(p^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$. For $x$ in $A$ clearly $f(x)=p^{-1}\left(f^{\prime}(p(x))\right)$. We have only to show that $f^{\prime}$ is Lipschitz.

Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be two members of $\mathscr{L}^{\prime}$. Let $x_{1} \in \lambda_{1} \cap P_{1}$ and $x_{2} \in \lambda_{2} \cap P_{1}$. Let $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ be maximal line segments contained in $C^{\prime}$ containing respectively $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$. Let $l_{1}^{\prime}$ and $l_{2}^{\prime}$ be the respective perpendicular projections of $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ onto the plane of $m^{\prime}$. Clearly $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ fail to intersect or intersect only in an end point of both $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$. Consequently the same is true of $l_{1}^{\prime}$ any $l_{2}^{\prime}$. If $l_{1}^{\prime}$ and $l_{2}^{\prime}$ are parallel or, when extended, intersect on the side of $P_{2}$ opposite from $P_{1}$, then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-p\left(f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, on the other hand, $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $l_{2}^{\prime}$, when extended, intersect in a point $b$, on the same side of $P_{2}$ that $P_{1}$ lies on, then either an end point of $l_{1}^{\prime}$ lies at $b$ or between $b$ and $P_{1}$, or an end point of $l_{2}^{\prime}$ lies at $b$ or between $b$ and $P_{1}$. We may assume the first of these two main disjunctions without loss of generality. Now since the line segment connecting $p\left(x_{1}\right)$ with $p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$ is contained in the middle third segment of $l_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-p\left(x_{1}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-b\right\|
$$

and hence
(2) $\quad\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-b\right\|=\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-p\left(x_{1}\right)\right\|+\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-b\right\| \leqq 2\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-b\right\|$.

As $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are parallel, we may use a property of similar triangles to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-p\left(f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\|}{\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{2}\right)\right\|}=\frac{\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-b\right\|}{\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-b\right\|} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2) and (3) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)-p\left(f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)\right\| \leqq 2\left\|p\left(x_{1}\right)-\left(x_{2}\right)\right\| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since equations (1) and (4) show that for any $x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}$ in the domain of $f^{\prime}$

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leqq 2\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|,
$$

and hence that $f^{\prime}$ is Lipschitz, our proof is complete.
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