ERRATA

Correction to

A DESCRIPTION OF MULT_i (A^1, \dots, A^n) BY GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

THOMAS W. HUNGERFORD

Volume 16 (1966), 61–76

The statement in the first sentence that \otimes always means \otimes_R is incorrect. The general rule for reading the paper is this: in any statement involving the tensor product of more than two modules or chain complexes, such as $A^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A^n$ or $K^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes K^r$, \otimes means \otimes_R . In any statement involving the tensor product of two finitely generated free complexes of length i (as in the definition of the generators), \otimes means \otimes_Z . If this is kept in mind, the few exceptions will be clear in context.

In lines 4 and 8 on page 62 "bimodule" should read "module". In the definition of the generators, the complexes E^r for r odd [even] are complexes of length i of finitely generated free right [left] *R*-modules. u(1) [u(n)] is a right [left] *R*-module map and u(r, r + 1) is a map of *R*-bimodules.

Correction to

ON A STRONGER VERSION OF WALLIS' FORMULA

V. R. RAO UPPULURI

Volume 19 (1966), 183-187

The note by Boyd [1] has led the author to go through the computations in finding the Bhattacharya bounds and the following corrections should be made in [2].

The results on page 186 of [2] should be corrected as follows:

$$egin{aligned} S_1 &= (Y-n)/\sigma & ext{ where } Y &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i^2/\sigma^2
ight) \ S_2 &= \{(Y-n)^2 - 3(Y-n) - 2n\}/\sigma^2 \ \lambda_{11} &= 2n/\sigma^2, \quad \lambda_{12} &= \lambda_{21} &= 2n/\sigma^3 \ \lambda_{22} &= 2n(4n+9)/\sigma^4 \ . \end{aligned}$$

 $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} > L_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$ implies:

$$(\ 4\) \qquad \qquad \left\{ rac{n}{2} rac{\Gamma^2\!\!\left(rac{n}{2}
ight)}{\Gamma^2\!\!\left(rac{n+1}{2}
ight)} \!-\!1
ight\} \sigma^2 \!> rac{\sigma^2}{2n} \, rac{4n+9}{4n+8} \, ,$$

for
$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$
.

For n = 2m, (4) may be written as:

$$(\,5\,) \qquad \qquad rac{arGamma^2(m+1)}{arGamma^2\Big(m+rac{1}{2}\Big)} > m + rac{1}{4} + rac{1}{32m+32}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \cdots$.

and for n = 2m + 1, (4) may be written as:

(6)
$$\frac{\Gamma^{2}(m+1)}{\Gamma^{2}(m+\frac{1}{2})} < \frac{\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{m+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{32m+48}}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Thus (5) and (6) taken together prove

(7)

$$\left\{m+rac{1}{4}+rac{1}{32m+32}
ight\}^{^{1/2}}<rac{arGam(m+1)}{arGam(m+rac{1}{2})}<\left\{rac{\left(m+rac{1}{2}
ight)^2}{m+rac{3}{4}+rac{1}{32m+48}}
ight\}^{^{1/2}}$$
 ,

which also agrees with the result of Boyd [1]. Equation (3) of [2] has to be replaced by equation (7) of this note.

References

 A. V. Boyd, Note on a paper by Uppuluri, Pacific J. Math. 22 (1967), 9-10.
 V. R. Rao Uppuluri, On a stronger version of Wallis' formula, Pacific J. Math. 19 (1966), 183-187.

Correction to

MAPPINGS AND SPACES

TAKESI ISIWATA

Volume 20 (1967), 455-480

 $(A \Longrightarrow B: A \text{ should read } B)$ in containing $y_n \Longrightarrow$ containing y_n in

p. 459 line 26