OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS TO SECOND-ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

JACK W. MACKI AND JAMES S. W. WONG

A solution y(t) of

(1)
$$y'' + f(t, y) = 0$$

is said to be oscillatory if for every T > 0 there exists $t_0 > T$ such that $y(t_0) = 0$. Let \mathscr{F} be the class of solutions of (1) which are indefinitely continuable to the right, i.e. $y \in \mathscr{F}$ implies y(t) exists as a solution to (1) on some interval of the form $[T_y, \infty)$. Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if each solution from \mathscr{F} is oscillatory. If no solution in \mathscr{F} is oscillatory, equation (1) is said to be nonoscillatory.

THEOREM 1. Let f(t, x) be continuous and satisfy $b(t)\Psi(x) \ge f(t, x) \ge a(t)\varPhi(x)$ for $0 \le t < \infty$, $-\infty < x < \infty$, where (i) $a(t) \ge 0$, $b(t) \ge 0$ are both locally integrable, (ii) $\varPhi(x)$, $\Psi(x)$ are nondecreasing and satisfy $x\varPhi(x) > 0$,

 $x\Psi(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and, for some $\alpha \ge 0$, $\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} [\Psi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty$, $\int_{-\alpha}^{-\infty} [\Psi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty$. Then equation (1) is oscillatory if and only if $\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} ta(t) dt = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} tb(t) dt = \infty$.

Conditions on f(t, x) are also given (Theorem 2) which are sufficient for equation (1) to be nonoscillatory.

We are interested principally in the nonlinear equation, and in particular with extending the results of Atkinson [1], who treated the case $f(t, x) = a(t)x^{2n-1}$, with a(t) continuous and positive, and n > 1. For this particular equation, Atkinson proved that (1) is oscillatory if and only if

(2)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ta(t)dt = \infty .$$

He also proved that the conditions

$$(3) a(t) \in C^{1}[0, \infty) , a'(t) \leq 0 , \int^{\infty}_{\infty} t^{2n-1} a(t) dt < \infty$$

are sufficient for (1) to be nonoscillatory. We shall state analogous results for a considerable larger class of functions f(t, x). Results of this type are numerous, the principle ones being due to Jones [4], Walman [7], Das [3], Bhatia [2], Nehari [5], and Utz [6]. Our results

can be specialized to yield certain of the theorems presented by the first two authors, and have some points of contact with the others.

THEOREM 1. Let f(t, x) be continuous on $S = [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)$, with $b(t)\Psi(x) \ge f(t, x) \ge a(t)\Phi(x)$ for $(t, x) \in S$, where

(a) a(t) and b(t) are nonnegative locally integrable functions,

(b) $\Phi(x)$ and $\Psi(x)$ are nondecreasing, with $x\Phi(x) > 0$ and $x\Psi(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, on $(-\infty, \infty)$, and for some $\alpha \ge 0$,

$$\int_{lpha}^{\infty} [\varPhi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty \ , \qquad \int_{-lpha}^{-\infty} [\varPsi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty \ .$$

Then equation (1) is oscillatory if and only if

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} tb(t)dt = \int_{0}^{\infty} ta(t)dt = \infty$$
 .

Proof. The proof is modelled on that of Atkinson. Suppose (1) has a nonoscillatory solution, y(t), from the class \mathscr{F} , say y(t) > 0 for t > T. Then, for $T \leq s \leq t$,

(4)
$$y'(t) - y'(s) = -\int_{s}^{t} f(u, y(u)) du \leq 0$$
,

and so y'(t) is nonincreasing. This, and the fact that y(t) > 0, imply that $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = L$ exists, where $0 \leq L < \infty$. Let $t \to \infty$ in (4) to get, for $s \geq T$,

$$y'(s) = L + \int_s^\infty f(u, y(u)) du \ge \int_s^\infty f(u, y(u)) du \ge 0$$
.

Integrating from s = T to s = t, we obtain

$$y(t) \ge y(t) - y(T) \ge \int_{T}^{t} \int_{s}^{\infty} f(u, y(u)) du ds \ge \int_{T}^{t} (u - T) f(u, y(u)) du$$

which implies that

(5)
$$y(t) \ge \int_T^t (u - T)a(u) \Phi(y(u)) du .$$

From the monotonicity of ϕ , we have

$$arPsi(y(t)) \Big[arPsi(u) - T) a(u) arPsi(y(u)) du \Big) \Big]^{-1} \ge 1 \; ,$$

and if we multiply by (t - T)a(t) and integrate from r to s, we get, after a change of variable on the left,

(6)
$$\int_{t}^{u} [\varPhi(u)]^{-1} du \geq \int_{r}^{s} (t - T) a(t) dt ,$$

where

$$L = \int_T^r (u - T) a(u) \varPhi(y(u)) du$$
, $U = \int_T^s (u - T) a(u) \varPhi(y(u)) du$.

If, by an appropriate choice of r, we can make $L \ge \alpha$, than the lefthand side of (6) is bounded above for all s > r, hence $\int_0^\infty ta(t)dt < \infty$. If this is not possible, then for all $r \ge T$,

$$lpha > \int_{T}^{r} (u - T) a(u) \varPhi(y(u)) du \ge \varPhi(y(T)) \int_{T}^{r} (u - T) a(u) du$$
,

and the result again follows.

For the case when y(t) < 0 for $t \ge T$, the procedure is the same, the changes in detail being that y'(t) now goes to a finite nonpositive limit, the inequalities (4) through (5) are reversed while the inequality (6) is in the same direction, and the functions a(t) and $\Phi(x)$ are replaced in (5) and (6) by the functions b(t) and $\Psi(x)$.

To prove the other half of the theorem, we must show that if either $\int_{0}^{\infty} ta(t)dt < \infty$ or $\int_{0}^{\infty} tb(t)dt < \infty$, then (1) has a nonoscillatory solution. Suppose $\int_{0}^{\infty} tb(t)dt < \infty$, and consider the integral equation

(7)
$$y(t) = 1 - \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f(s, y(s)) ds .$$

If (7) has a nonnegative continuous solution on some interval $[T, \infty)$, it is clear that y'' + f(t, y(t)) = 0 on this interval. Also, since the improper integral in (7) would converge, we would have $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 1$, that is, y(t) would be nonoscillatory.

Let a positive integer T be chosen such that $\Psi(1) \int_T^{\infty} sb(s) ds \leq 1/2$. we define, for N a positive integer, $N \geq T$,

$$egin{aligned} &y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(t) = 1 & ext{for} \ t \ge N \ , \ &y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(t) = 1 - \int_{t+(1/N)}^\infty \Bigl(s-t-rac{1}{N}\Bigr) f(s,\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(s)) ds & ext{for} \ T \le t \le N \ . \end{aligned}$$

This formula defines $y_N(t)$ successively on the intervals [N - (k/N), N - (k-1)/N] for $k = 1, 2, \dots, N(N - T)$; hence $y_N(t)$ is defined on $[T, \infty)$. For $N - 1/N \leq t < \infty$, we have

$$0 \leq \int_{t+\langle 1/N
angle}^{\infty} \Bigl(s-t-rac{1}{N}\Bigr) f(s,\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(s)) ds \leq arPsi(1) \! \int_{\scriptscriptstyle T}^{\infty} \! sb(s) ds \leq \! rac{1}{2} \;,$$

hence $1/2 \leq y_N(t) \leq 1$ on this interval. Any easy induction then shows that $1/2 \leq y_N(t) \leq 1$ on the entire interval $[T, \infty)$. Consequently, for $t \geq T$,

$$|y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}'(t)| = \left|\int_{t+(1/N)}^{\infty} f(s, y_{\scriptscriptstyle N}(s)) ds
ight| \leq \varPsi(1) \int_{t+(1/N)}^{\infty} b(s) ds \leq rac{1}{2}$$

Since the family $\{y_N(t)\}$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on $[T, \infty)$, we extract a uniformly convergent subsequence $\{y_k(t)\}$, $\lim y_k(t) = y(t)$.

We now choose any large real number B, and write

$$y_k(t) = 1 - \int_{t+\{1/N(k)\}}^{B} \left(s - t - \frac{1}{N(k)}\right) f(s, y_k(s)) ds + \epsilon(k, B) ,$$

where

$$\mid \in (k,\,B) \mid {\buildrel =} arpsilon(1) {\int_{\scriptscriptstyle B}^{^{\infty}}} sb(s) ds$$
 .

If we let $k \to \infty$, we have

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \in (k, B) \leq y(t) - 1 + \int_t^B (s-t)f(s, y(s))ds \leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \in (k, B)$$

If we now let $B \to \infty$, it is clear from the above bound on $\in (k, b)$ that the lim inf and lim sup terms go to zero, and so y(t) satisfies equation (7).

For the case

$$\int_{0}^{\infty}ta(t)dt<\infty$$
 ,

we consider the integral equation

$$y(t) = -1 - \int_t^{\infty} (s - t) f(s, y(s)) ds$$
,

and the procedure is the same, except that $-1 \leq y_k(t) \leq 0$, and $a(t)\Phi(x)$ replaces $b(t)\Psi(x)$.

COROLLARY 1. Let f(t, x) be continuous on S, with xf(t, x) > 0for $x \neq 0$. If $|f(t, x)| \ge a(t) | \Phi(x) |$ for $(t, x) \in S$, where a(t) is locally integrable and continuous on $[0, \infty)$, while $\Phi(x)$ is nondecreasing, $x\Phi(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, on $(-\infty, \infty)$, and

$$\int_{lpha}^{\infty} [arPsi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty \;, \qquad \int_{-lpha}^{-\infty} [arPsi(u)]^{-1} du < \infty \;, \qquad for \; some \; \; lpha \geqq 0 \;,$$

then

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} ta(t)dt = \infty \Rightarrow (1)$$
 is oscillatory.

COROLLARY 2. Let f(t, x) be continuous on S, with xf(t, x) > 0for $x \neq 0$. If $|f(t, x)| \leq a(t) | \mathcal{O}(x) |$ for $(t, x) \in S$, where a(t) is locally

114

integrable and continuous on $[0, \infty)$, while $\Phi(x)$ is nondecreasing and $x\Phi(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, on $(-\infty, \infty)$, then

(1) is oscillatory
$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty ta(t)dt = \infty$$
.

These corollaries are obtained by closely examing which conditions on f(t, x) are used in the two halves of Theorem 1. The importance of the theorems and the corollaries lies in the fact that they show it is the global behaviour of f(t, x), rather than its local behaviour, which determines the oscillation properties of (1).

Jones [4] considers the equation

$$y'' + \sum\limits_{k=2}^n a_k(t) y^{_{2k-1}} = 0$$
 ,

with suitable conditions on the $a_k(t)$. His result follows from Theorem 1 by setting

with

$$b(t) = a(t) = \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_{k}(t)$$
 .

Waltman [7] considers the equation y'' + c(t)f(y) = 0, with $c(t) \ge 0$, f(0) = 0, $f(y) \ne 0$ for $y \ne 0$, $f'(y) \ge 0$ and continuous, and, for some p > 1, lim inf $|f(y)| \cdot |y|^{-p} \ne 0$ for $y \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Noting that the last condition implies that

$$\int_{lpha}^{\infty} [f(u)]^{-1} du < \infty$$
 , $\int_{-lpha}^{-\infty} [f(u)]^{-1} du < \infty$,

for some $\alpha > 0$, we can obtain Waltman's Theorem 2 by setting $\Phi(y) = \Psi(y) = f(y)$, a(t) = b(t) = c(t) in our Theorem.

We now establish a sufficient condition for the nonoscillation of (1) analogous to Atkinson's condition (3). Our restrictions on f(t, x) are more severe than in Theorem 1, though they are still global rather than local.

THEOREM 2. Let f(t, x) be continuous on S, with $f_t(t, x)$ defined and continuous on S, and such that f(t, 0) = 0, $xf_t(t, x) \leq 0$ and xf(t, x) > 0 for $x \neq 0$. Furthermore, assume that $y(t) \equiv 0$ is the only solution of (1) in the class \mathscr{T} such that $y(\tau) = y'(\tau) = 0$ for any $au \in [0, \infty)$. Finally, assume that for $0 \leq t < \infty$, $0 \leq x < \infty$, we have

 $f(t, x) \leq a(t) \Phi(x)$,

where a(t) is locally integrable, $\Phi(x)$ is nondecreasing and such that, for some $B \ge 0$, we have

$$arPhi(xy) \leq \chi(x) arPhi(y) \qquad for \quad 0 < x < \infty \ , \quad B < y < \infty \ ,$$

with

$$\limsup_{n\to 0^+} x^{-1}\chi(x) < \infty$$

Then

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} arPsi(t) a(t) dt < \infty \Rightarrow$$
 equation (1) is nonoscillatory.

Proof. For any solution from the class \mathscr{F} , y(t), defined on some interval, $[T, \infty)$, we define

$$V(t) = {y'}^2 + 2 \int_0^{y(t)} f(t, u) \, du \ge 0 \qquad ext{on} \quad [\,T, \, \infty) \; .$$

Then

$$V'(t)=2\int_{0}^{y(t)}f_t(t,u)du\leq 0\qquad ext{on}\quad [T,\,\infty)\;.$$

Thus V(t) is bounded above, and hence so is |y'(t)|, say $|y'(t)| \leq M$ for $t \in [T, \infty)$. Suppose y(t) is a solution from \mathscr{F} that oscillates at $t = \infty$, and select a sequence of points $s_k \to \infty$ at which $y(s_k) = 0$, $y'(s_k) > 0$. This is possible because no zero of y(t) can be a zero of y'(t), hence one of two consecutive zeros must be of the type desired. Let t_k be the first zero of y'(t) on $t \geq s_k$, and note that y(t) is positive and increasing while y'(t) is positive and decreasing, on (s_k, t_k) ,

Since

$$0 \leq y'(s_k) = \int_{s_k}^{t_k} f(u, y(u)) du \leq \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) \varPhi(y(u)) du$$
 ,

and

$$0 \leq y(t) = \int_{s_k}^{t_k} y'(u) du \leq y'(s_k) t \quad ext{ for } s_k \leq t \leq t_k \; ,$$

we have, from the monotonicity of Φ ,

(8)
$$0 \leq y'(s_k) \leq \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) \Phi(y'(s_k)u) du$$
$$\leq \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) \Phi(Mu) du \leq \chi(M) \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) \Phi(u) du ,$$

116

for k large enough to make $s_k \ge B$. Since, by hypothesis,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} a(u) \varPhi(u) du < \infty$$

the upper bound in (8) goes to zero as $k \to \infty$, hence $y'(s_k) \to 0$. From (8) it also follows that

$$0 \leq y'(s_k) \leq \chi(y'(s_k)) \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) \varphi(u) du$$
,

thus

$$1 \leq [y'(s_k)]^{-1} \chi(y'(s_k)) \int_{s_k}^{t_k} a(u) arPhi(u) du$$
 ,

which yields the desired contradiction.

Theorem 2 is related somewhat to a result of Das' [3, Th. 3]. It should be remarked that Das apparently overlooks the necessity of requiring that the trivial solution be the only solution in \mathscr{F} such that $y(\tau) = y'(\tau) = 0$ at a point in $[0, \infty)$. If something to this effect is not assumed, the existence of a sequence, $\{t_k\}, t_k \to \infty, y(t_k) = 0$, $y'(t_k) > 0$, is not guaranteed.

The authors with to express their appreciation to Professor D. Boyd of the University of Alberta, Edmonton, for some excellent suggestions and stimulating discussions concerning these results.

References

1. F. V. Atkinson, On second-order nonlinear oscillations, Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 643-647.

2. J. Jones, Jr., On the extension of a theorem of Atkinson, Quart. J. Math. 7 (1956), 306-309.

3. P. Waltman, Oscillation of solutions of a nonlinear differential equation, SIAM Review 5 (1963), 128-130.

4. K. M. Das, Properties of solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 8 (1964), 445-451.

5. N. P. Bhatia, Some oscillation theorems for second order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 15 (1966), 442-446.

6. Z. Nehari, On a class of nonlinear second-order differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 101-123.

7. W. R. Utz, Properties of solutions of $u'' + g(t)u^{2n-1} = 0$, Monatsh. Mat. **66** (1962), 55-60.

Received December 28, 1966. Research supported by National Research Council of Canada Operating Grants.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA