# ON FINITE GROUPS CONTAINING A CCT-SUBGROUP WITH A CYCLIC SYLOW SUBGROUP 

Marcel Herzog

Let $G$ be a finite group containing a CCT-subgroup $M . \mathrm{M}$ is called a CCT-subgroup of $G$ if $M$ contains the centralizer in $G$ of each of its nonunit elements and it is also a trivial-intersection subset of $G$. In this paper the $p$-blocks of characters of $G$ of full defect are described in detail, under the additional assumption that the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $M$ are cyclic and nontrivial. This information yields, under the same conditions, a detailed characterization of the nonexceptional (with respect to $M)$ irreducible characters of $G$. As an application, it is shown that if $G$ is also perfect, its order is less than $q m\left(m^{2}+3 m+2\right) / 2$, where $m$ is the order of $M$ and $q m$ is the order of $N_{G}(M)$, and $N_{G}(M) \neq M, G$, then $G$ is isomorphic either to $\operatorname{PSL}(2, p)$, $m=p>3$, or to $\operatorname{PSL}(2, m-1), m-1=2^{b}, b>1$. These results generalize those of $R$. Brauer, dealing with the case $m=p$.

We proceed with a precise statement of the results. Quite recently, E. C. Dade [4] obtained a very detailed information about blocks with cyclic defect groups. Using his results, we will prove the following

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finite group of order $g$ and suppose that $G$ contains a subgroup $M$ of order $m$, satisfying the following conditions:
(i) for all $h \in M^{\#}, C_{G}(h) \subseteq M$
(ii) a Sylow p-subgroup $P$ of $M$ is cyclic of order $p^{a}, a \geqq 1$
(iii) $q=\left[N_{G}(M): M\right]>1, g>q m$.

Then the nonexceptional (with respect to $M$ ) irreducible characters of $G$, nonvanishing on $M^{*}$, are of one of the following two types:
(I) $P_{i}(i=1, \cdots, y)-P_{i}(1)=r_{i} m+1, P_{i}(h)=1$ for all $h \in M^{*}$
(II) $Q_{i}(i=1, \cdots, q-y)-Q_{i}(1)=s_{i} m-1, Q_{i}(h)=-1$ for all $h \in M^{*}$
where $1 \leqq y \leqq q$ and $r_{i}, s_{i}$ are nonnegative integers.
The assumptions of Theorem 1 imply that $M$ is a nilpotent Hall subgroup of $G$, which is a trivial-intersection-subset of $G$. It follows, hence, that the Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ are cyclic, of order $p^{a}$. The situation described in Theorem 1 is similar to that which was summarized by R. Brauer in [1, pp. 59-60], for the case that $M$ satisfies condition (i) and $m=p$.

Theorem 1 follows quite easily from the following proposition,
which is of independent interest. Since we are to distinguish between the (irreducible) exceptional characters of $G$ with respect to $M$ (see W. Feit [5]) and those with respect to the cyclic $p$-group $P$ (in the sense of E. C. Dade [4]), the latter ones will be called $p$-exceptional. An irreducible character is $p$-nonexceptional if it is not $p$-exceptional.

Proposition. Let $G$ and $M$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1. Let $t$ be the number of conjugate classes of $G$ meeting $M^{*}$ nontrivially. Then the $p$-blocks of $G$ are listed and described below:
( I ) d blocks of defect 0 , each containing one ordinary irreducible character and one modular irreducible character.
(II) One block of defect $a$, containing $q$ modular irreducible characters, $q$ p-nonexceptional characters and $\left(p^{a}-1\right) / q p$-exceptional characters.
(III) $\left(t q+1-p^{a}\right) / p^{a} q$ blocks of defect $a$, each containing one modular irreducible character, one $p$-nonexceptional character and $p^{a}-1$ $p$-exceptional characters.

As an application of Theorem 1, we will prove the following generalization of the main result of R. Brauer in [1]. Theorem 1 is also needed in a forthcoming paper.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a finite group of order $g$ and assume that $G=G^{\prime}$. Suppose that $G$ contains a subgroup $M$ of order $m$, satisfying conditions (i)-(iii) of Theorem 1.

Then $g=q m(n m+1)$, where $n$ is a positive integer, and one of the following statements holds:
( I ) $n=\left(m u w+u^{2}+u+w\right) /(u+1)$
where $u$ and $w$ are positive integers.
(II) $\quad n=1, m=p, q=(p-1) / 2, G \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, p), p>3$.
(III) $\quad n=(m-3) / 2, q=2, m=2^{b}+1>3, G \cong \operatorname{PSL}(2, m-1)$.

As an immediate corollary we get:

Corollary. Let $G$ and $M$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2. If $n<(m+3) / 2$, then $G$ is a simple group either of type (II) or of type (III).

The arguments applied in the proof of Lemma 2.2 are quite similar to those used by $R$. Brauer in his proof of Theorem 7 in [1]. However, for the sake of completeness, the proof is given in full detail.

Most of our notation is standard. If $G$ is a group, then $G^{*}$ and $1_{G}$ denote, respectively, the nonunit elements of $G$ and its principal
irreducible character. If $p$ and $q$ are rational integers, then $(p, q)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $p$ and $q$, and $p \mid q$ means: $p$ divides $q$. If $u, e, h$ are elements of the group $G$, then $n(u)$ is the order of the centralizer of $u$ in $G$ and $c_{u e h}$ is the coefficient of the conjugate class of $h$ in the product of the conjugate classes of $u$ and $e$.

1. Proof of the Proposition and of Theorem 1. In this proof by blocks we mean $p$-blocks. Since $q>1, M$ is a nilpotent group and $P \subseteq Z(M)$. It follows, in view of assumptions (i) and (ii), that $G$ has blocks of defect 0 and $a$ only. The blocks of defect 0 are well known to be of type (I)(see [3], Th. 86.3). Therefore it remains to show that the blocks of defect $a$ are either of type (II) or of type (III), and their number is correct.

If $m=p$, then the Proposition and Theorem 1 follow by Brauer [1,pp.59-60]. In that case $G$ has only one block of defect $a$, as required. Therefore, from now on, we will assume that $m>p$. Since $N_{G}(M)$ is a Frobenius group and $P$ is cyclic, it follows that $q \leqq p-1$ and $q<\sqrt{m-1}$.

As $P$ is cyclic, the blocks $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{u}$ of defect $a$ were described by Dade [4]. Each $B_{i}$ contains $e_{i}$ modular irreducible characters, $e_{i}$ $p$-nonexceptional characters and $\left(p^{a}-1\right) / e_{i} p$-exceptional characters, where $e_{i}$ is an integer dividing $q$.

Let $d^{\prime}$ be the number of the irreducible characters of $G$ vanishing on $M^{\sharp}$. We will show that $d^{\prime}=d$. Let $X$ be an irreducible character of $G$ vanishing on $M^{\ddagger}$. Then $m \mid X(1)$, and since $m$ is divisible by the full $p$-part of $|G|, X$ has defect 0 . Thus $d^{\prime} \leqq d$. On the other hand, $G$ and $M$ satisfy the assumptions of Hypothesis $A$ in [6]. By [6], Lemma 3.1.d, $c=0$. Hence, according to the formulas following Hypothesis A, the degrees of the irreducible characters of $G$, nonvanishing on $M^{*}$, are of one of the following forms:

$$
z(f m+q \varepsilon), r m+s
$$

where $f$ and $r$ are nonnegative integers, $\varepsilon= \pm 1, s$ is the value taken on $M^{*}$ by the corresponding nonexceptional character, hence a nonzero integer, and $z$ is a degree of an irreducible character of $M$. Since $P$ is a normal abelian subgroup of $M$, it follows by Ito (see [3], Corollary $53.18)$ that $z$ divides $[M: P]$, hence $(z, p)=1$. As also $(q, p)=1$, it follows that no character of degree $z(f m+q \varepsilon)$ belongs to a block of defect 0 . Since by the definition of $T$ and by [6], Lemma 3.1.b $s \leqq T \leqq q$, it follows that $(s, p)=1$ and no character of degree $r m+s$ belongs to a block of defect 0 . Consequently, $d \leqq d^{\prime}$ and the equality follows.

It is well known, that the number of the modular irreducible
characters of $G$ is equal to the number of (conjugate) classes in $G$ minus the number of $p$-singular classes in $G$ (see [3], Th. 83.5). In view of the nilpotency of $M$, the number of the $p$-singular classes in $M$ is $\left(p^{a}-1\right)(t q+1) / p^{a}$, and the corresponding number for $G$ is $\left(p^{q}-1\right)(t q+1) / q p^{a}$. Let $b$ be the number of the nonexceptional characters of $G$, nonvanishing on $M^{\#}$. Then, $t$ being the number of the exceptional characters of $G$, the number of the conjugate classes in $G$ is $t+b+d$. It follows from the above mentioned equality, remarks and the results of Dade that:

$$
\sum e_{i}+d=t+b+d-\left(p^{a}-1\right)(t q+1) / q p^{a}
$$

where the summation is over $i=1, \cdots, u$. The index of summation $i$ will run over these values throughout this proof. It follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum e_{i}=t+b-\left(p^{a}-1\right)(t q+1) / q p^{a} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the number of the (ordinary) irreducible characters of $G$ is equal to the number of the conjugate classes in $G$, we get, using once more Dade's results, that after subtraction $d$ from both sides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p^{a}-1\right) \sum\left(1 / e_{i}\right)+\sum e_{i}=t+b . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1) and (2) yield:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=p^{a} \sum\left(1 / e_{i}\right)-(1 / q) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\sum e_{i}-\sum\left(1 / e_{i}\right)+(1 / q) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also well known, that the number of blocks of defect $a$ is equal to the number of $p$-regular conjugate classes of $G$ of defect $a$ (see [3], Th. 86.10). Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=t-\left(p^{a}-1\right)(t q+1) / q p^{a}+1=\left(t q+1-p^{a}\right) / p^{a} q+1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally let $q=e_{i} f_{i}$. It follows from (3) and (5) that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=(1 / q) \sum f_{i}-(1 / q)+1 \\
\sum f_{i}=u q+1-q \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that $f_{i} \neq q$. Then $f_{i} \leqq q / 2$ and it follows from (6) that at most one $f_{i}$, say $f_{1}$, is unequal to $q$. Hence:

$$
f_{1}+(u-1) q=(u-1) q+1
$$

and consequently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=1, e_{1}=q, f_{2}=\cdots=f_{u}=q, e_{2}=\cdots=e_{u}=1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Proposition follows from (5), (7) and the results of Dade.

Equations (4) and (7) immediately yield $b=q$. Thus there are $q$ nonexceptional characters nonvanishing on $M^{*}$ and therefore, in view of [6], Lemma 3.1.b, they are either of type (I) or of type (II). As the principal character is of type ( I ), $y \geqq 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
2. Proof of Theorem 2. By [6], Theorem 2.3, $N_{G}(M)=Q M$, where $Q \cap M=1$ and $g=q m(n m+1)$. Since $q \neq 1, M$ is a nilpotent group with a cyclic Sylow subgroup, hence $Q$ is abelian. As $G=G^{\prime}$, $n \neq 0$.

If $m=p$, then the theorem holds by Brauer ([1], Th. 10). Therefore, from now on, we will assume that $n$ does not satisfy (I), $m>p$ and will prove that then $G$ satisfies (III). Since $P$ is cyclic, it follows that $1<q<\sqrt{m}-1$ and $q \leqq p-1$.

The groups $G$ and $M$ now satisfy the assumptions of Hypothesis A in [6]. Therefore it follows from Theorem 3.1 there that $c=0$, and by Thorem 1 of this paper, $c_{i}= \pm 1$. Consequently, taking into account the formulas preceding Theorem 3.1 in [6], the degrees of the nonprincipal irreducible characters of $G$, nonvanishing on $M^{*}$, are:
the exceptional characters: $z(b m+q \varepsilon)=(z / v)[(b v+\varepsilon) m-\varepsilon]$
the nonexceptional characters: $u m \pm 1$
where $u$ is a positive integer, $b$ is a nonnegative integer, $\varepsilon= \pm 1$, $v=(m-1) / q$ and $z$ is a degree of an irreducible character of $M$. By Ito ([3], Corollary 53.18) $z$ divides [ $M: P$ ], hence $z<v$.

We will proceed with a series of lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. $G$ is a simple group and $m$ is an odd integer.
Proof. Let $K$ be a normal complement of $N_{G}(M)$ in $G$. Then $K$ is nilpotent and $G$ is solvable, a contradiction. Therefore $N_{G}(M)$ has no normal complement in $G$.

Let now $K$ be a nontrivial, proper normal subgroup of $G$. Then by [6], Theorem 2.3, the order of $K$ is either of the form $w m+1$, where $w m+1$ divides $n m+1$ and $n=y w m+y+w$ for some nonnegative integer $y$, or it is of the form $q_{0} m(n m+1)$, where $q_{0}$ divides $q$. Suppose that the first case occurs. As shown above, $w \neq n$, and hence $y$ is a positive integer. Let $x=(w+1) y$; then

$$
n=\left(x w m+x+w^{2}+w\right) /(w+1),
$$

which has been assumed not to be the case. On the other hand, the order of $K$ could not be $q_{0} m(n m+1)$, since that would force the exis-
tence of a nonprincipal irreducible character of $G$ of degree less than $q$, which is not the case. Thus $G$ is a simple group.

If $m$ is even, then it follows from Suzuki ([8]), Theorem 1) and the fact that $q \neq 1$, that $M$ is a Sylow 2 -group of $G$. Hence $p=2$ and consequently $q=1$, a contradiction. It follows that $m$ is odd, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Lemma 2.2. The degrees of the nonprincipal irreducible characters of $G$, nonvanishing on $M^{*}$, are:
the exceptional characters: $\quad z(n m+1) / v$
the nonexceptional characters: $m-1$ or $n m+1$
where $z$ and $v$ are as described above.
Moreover, $\varepsilon=-1$ and $v \mid n+1$.
Proof. In view of (8), it suffices to show that if

$$
u m+1 \mid(m-1)(n m+1)
$$

then $u=n$, if $u m-1 \mid(m-1)(n m+1)$ and $u m-1 \neq(m-1)(n m+1)$ then $u=1$, and no irreducible character of $G$ of degree $z(m-1) / v=z q$ exists. Indeed, by (8) each character in question has order of the form $k(u m+\delta)$, where $k$ is either 1 or $z / v$ and $\delta= \pm 1$. If $\delta=1$ then $u=n$, as required. If $\delta=-1$, then either $u m-1=(m-1)(n m+1)$ or $u=1$. If $u=1$, then $k=1$ and the corresponding character is not an exceptional one. If $u m-1=(m-1)(n m+1)$, then:

$$
k^{2}(u m-1)^{2} \geqq(m-1)^{2}(n m+1)^{2} / v^{2}>q m(n m+1)
$$

a contradiction. Thus if $k=z / v$ then $\delta=1$ and $u=n$; it follows also that $\varepsilon=-1$ and $v$ divides $n m+1-n(m-1)=n+1$.

Let $u m+1=d e$, where $d \mid m-1$ and $e \mid n m+1$. Suppose that $n<u$. Then $d \mid u+1$ and $e \mid u-n$. Hence $(u+1)(u-n)=w(u m+1)$, where $w$ is a positive integer and:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u+1 \mid w(m-1), u \leqq w m-w-1 \\
u^{2}=u(w m+n-1)+w+n, u>w m+n-1
\end{gathered}
$$

a contradiction. If on the other hand, $n>u$ then $d \mid u+1$ and $e \mid n-u$. It follows that $(u+1)(n-u)=w(u m+1)$, where $w$ is a positive integer, and $n$ is of form ( I ), a contradiction. Thus $u=n$.

Let $u m-1=d e$, where $d \mid m-1$ and $e \mid n m+1$. Suppose that $u>1$. Then $d \mid u-1$ and $e \mid n+u$. Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u-1)(n+u)=w(u m-1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is a positive integer, and considering this equation as a
quadratic equation for $u$, we get that the second root $u^{\prime}=(w-n) / u$ is an integer. Since for $u=1$ the left hand side of (9) vanishes, while the right hand side is positive, it follows that $u^{\prime} \leqq 0$. Let $u^{\prime}=-u^{\prime \prime}$, and suppose first that $u^{\prime \prime}=0$. Then $w=n$, and (9) yields:

$$
u=n(m-1)+1, u m-1=(n m+1)(m-1) .
$$

Therefore if $u m-1 \neq(n m+1)(m-1)$ then $u^{\prime \prime}$ is a positive integer and $-u^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies equation (9). Consequently, $n$ satisfies (I), which is not the case. Thus $u=1$.

Finally assume that $x=z q$ is a degree of an irreducible character of $G$. As $z$ is a degree of an irreducible character of $M^{*}$, it is well known that $z^{2} \leqq[M: Z(M)] \leqq m / 2 p$. It follows that

$$
x=z q \leqq \sqrt{m / 2 p} \sqrt{m}-1<(m-1) / 2
$$

But then, since $G$ is simple, the character of degree $x$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 4.2 [7]. This is a contradiction, since the group $G$ does not satisfy any of the conclusions of that theorem. Thus no irreducible character of degree $z q$ exists, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Lemma 2.3.

$$
g=(m-1) m[(q-1) m-q]
$$

and no nonexceptional character of $G$ is of degree $n m+1$.
Proof. Let $h \in M^{\#}$ and let $y$ have the same meaning as in Theorem 1. Then it follows from Lemma 2.2, Theorem 1 and from the summation formulas of $\S 3$ in [6] that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum X(1) X(h) \\
& =1+(f m-q)(-\varepsilon)+(y-1)(n m+1)-(q-y)(m-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the summation ranges over all the irreducible characters of $G$ and $f=(n+1) / v, f m-q=(m n+1) / v$. After cancellation, we get:

$$
q=f+y(n+1)-n=(n+1) / v+y(n+1)-n
$$

Suppose that $y>1$; then, as $v \leqq n+1$ :

$$
q>(n+1) / v+n+1>v, m-1=q v<q^{2}
$$

in contradiction to our assumptions. Therefore $y=1$ and $n=(q-1) v-1$. Consequently, there are no nonexceptional characters of degree $n m+1$, and:

$$
g=q m[(q-1) v m-(m-1)]=(m-1) m[(q-1) m-q] .
$$

Lemma 2.4.

$$
q=2
$$

If $u$ is an involution of $N_{G}(M)$, then $n(u)=m-1$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.3,

$$
g=(m-1) m r
$$

where $r=(q-1) m-q$. It is easy to see that the three factors are prime to each other.

Let $u, h$ and $e$ be elements of $G^{*}$, whose orders divide $m-1, m$ and $r$, respectively. Let $X, R$ and $D$ be: an exceptional character of $G$, a nonprincipal nonexceptional character of $G$ nonvanishing on $M^{*}$ and an irreducible character of $G$ vanishing on $M^{*}$, respectively. Let $s$ be a prime divisor of the order of $u$. Then $R$, being of degree $m-1$, belongs to an $s$-block of defect 0 , and consequently $R(u)=0$ (see [3], Th. 86.3). Similarly, $X(e)=0$. We, therefore, get the following character table:

|  | 1 | $u$ | $h$ | $e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1_{G}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $X$ | $z(n m+1) / v$ |  |  | 0 |
| $R$ | $m-1$ | 0 | -1 |  |
| $D$ | $a m$ |  | 0 |  |

By the well known formula for $c_{u e h}$ it follows that:

$$
c_{u e n}=g / n(u) n(e), \quad n(u) n(e) \mid(m-1) r .
$$

Since $e$ is an arbitrary element of order dividing $r$, it follows that $n(u) \mid m-1$.

As $m$ is odd, $m-1$ is even. Let now $u$ be an involution of $G$, and let $C$ be the conjugate class of $G$ containing $u$, of order $c$. Then:

$$
c \geqq g /(m-1)>g / m-1
$$

and by [2], Theorem (4K), and the trivial intersection property of $M$, $q$ is even.

Let, finally $u$ be an involution belonging to $N_{G}(M)$. Then it follows from [2], Theorem (4J), that $n(u) \geqq m-1$. Since $n(u) \mid m-1$, $n(u)=m-1$. The same theorem also yields:

$$
g=(m-1) m(m-q) .
$$

Thus, in view of Lemma $2.3, q=2$ and the proof of the lemma is complete.

Theorem 2 now follows from Lemma 2.4 and a result of Suzuki [9]. Suzuki has shown, that if Lemma 2.4 holds, then $G$ is of type (III).
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